

OFLOG Performance Indicators: Financial Resilience – Lincolnshire District Councils – Metric 1: Non-ringfenced reserves as percentage of net revenue expenditure									
All data is for the Financial Year 2021/22. For finance data, national rankings and decile placings are calculated from the pool of English district councils. Counties, unitaries, metropolitans and London borough councils are excluded. Authorities where data is lacking for a specific metric are also excluded. For this metric the total pool is 154 with 1 st being the best performer.									
Decile Key: Decile 9 – in the top 20% of English local authorities, Decile 2 – in the bottom 20% of English local authorities, Deciles 6+ in the top half of English local authorities for given indicator.									
Lincolnshire Ranking	Authority	Value	Net Revenue Expenditure (£ thousands)	Total un-ringfenced reserves (£ thousands)	Data Polarity	National Decile Placing	National Ranking	National & Regional Context	Source & Explanatory Notes
1	Boston	322.2%	9,751	31,414	Highest to Lowest	9	21	The median for district councils in England was 146.4%. The median for authorities in the East Midlands was 143.25%. The median for districts in Lincolnshire was 135.9%.	DLUHC: Local authority general fund earmarked and unallocated reserve levels, 2017-18 to 2021-22 Net Revenue Expenditure – column L Total un-ringfenced reserves – column AN The HRA is excluded from Revenue Expenditure.
2	North Kesteven	204.4%	14,081	28,783		8	45		
3	West Lindsey	167.1%	13,360	22,318		7	61		
4	South Kesteven	153.1%	14,191	21,728		6	74		
5	East Lindsey	103.4%	24,759	25,608		3	116		
6	Lincoln	88.9%	13,991	12,433		2	127		
7	South Holland	17.2%	12,561	2,155		1	151		

OFLOG Performance Indicators: Financial Resilience – Lincolnshire District Councils – Metric 2: Non-ringfenced reserves as percentage of service spend									
All data is for the Financial Year 2021/22. For finance data, national rankings and decile placings are calculated from the pool of English district councils. Counties, unitaries, metropolitans and London borough councils are excluded. Authorities where data is lacking for a specific metric are also excluded. For this metric the total pool is 154 with 1 st being the best performer.									
Decile Key: Decile 9 – in the top 20% of English local authorities, Decile 2 – in the bottom 20% of English local authorities, Deciles 6+ in the top half of English local authorities for given indicator.									
Lincolnshire Ranking	Authority	Value	Total Service Expenditure (£ thousands)	Total un-ringfenced reserves (£ thousands)	Data Polarity	National Decile Placing	National Ranking	National & Regional Context	Source & Explanatory Notes
1	Boston	263.9%	11,905	31,414	Highest to Lowest	9	18	The median for district councils in England was 131%. The median for authorities in the East Midlands was 130.7%. The median for districts in Lincolnshire was 126.7%.	DLUHC: Local authority general fund earmarked and unallocated reserve levels, 2017-18 to 2021-22 Total service expenditure – column G Total un-ringfenced reserves – column AN The HRA is excluded from Service Spend.
2	North Kesteven	188.8%	15,248	28,783		8	34		
3	West Lindsey	165%	13,529	22,318		7	54		
4	South Kesteven	117.3%	18,523	21,728		5	90		
5	East Lindsey	102.4%	25,015	25,608		4	105		
6	Lincoln	67.5%	18,428	12,433		2	136		
7	South Holland	22.7%	9,512	2,155		1	151		

OFLOG Performance Indicators: Financial Resilience – Lincolnshire District Councils – Metric 3: Total core spending power per dwelling									
All data is for the Financial Year 2021/22. For finance data, national rankings and decile placings are calculated from the pool of English district councils. Counties, unitaries, metropolitans and London borough councils are excluded. Authorities where data is lacking for a specific metric are also excluded. For this metric the total pool is 164 with 1 st being the best performer.									
Decile Key: Decile 9 – in the top 20% of English local authorities, Decile 2 – in the bottom 20% of English local authorities, Deciles 6+ in the top half of English local authorities for given indicator.									
Lincolnshire Ranking	Authority	Value	Total Core Spending Power (£ millions)	Total number of dwellings (September 2021)	Data Polarity	National Decile Placing	National Ranking	National & Regional Context	Source & Explanatory Notes
1	Boston	£279.7	8.7	30,933	Highest to Lowest	9	26	The median for district councils in England was £242.19. The median for districts in Lincolnshire was £242.49. The median for authorities in the East Midlands was £237.70.	DLUHC: Core spending power: final local government finance settlement 2022 to 2023 Core Spending Power is set at the annual Local Government Finance Settlement. Per dwelling is calculated: Core Spending Power/Number of Dwellings.
2	West Lindsey	£251.6	11.2	44,660		7	58		
3	Lincoln	£246.2	11.5	46,592		6	73		
4	South Holland	£245.3	10.4	42,425		6	75		
5	East Lindsey	£228.1	16.1	70,518		4	111		
6	North Kesteven	£225.7	11.9	52,919		3	117		
7	South Kesteven	£208.6	13.7	65,625		2	139		

OFLOG Performance Indicators: Financial Resilience – Lincolnshire District Councils – Metric 4: Level of Band D council tax rates

All data is for the Financial Year 2021/22. For finance data, national rankings and decile placings are calculated from the pool of English district councils. Counties, unitaries, metropolitans and London borough councils are excluded. Authorities where data is lacking for a specific metric are also excluded. For this metric the total pool is 164 with 1st being the best performer.

Decile Key: Decile 9 – in the top 20% of English local authorities, Decile 2 – in the bottom 20% of English local authorities, Deciles 6+ in the top half of English local authorities for given indicator.

Lincolnshire Ranking	Authority	Value	Band D Rates (2011/12)	Data Polarity	National Decile Placing	National Ranking	National & Regional Context	Source & Explanatory Notes
1	East Lindsey	£151.74	£112.41	Lowest to Highest	9	20	The median for district councils in England was £192.56. The median for districts in Lincolnshire was £194.74. The median for authorities in the East Midlands was £193.49.	DLUHC: Live tables on Council Tax, Band D Council Tax figures 1993 onwards (accessed through OFLOG Local Authority Data Explorer) Table 5: excluding Parish Precepts
2	South Kesteven	£168.59	£134.63		8	37		
3	North Kesteven	£174.6	£139.43		7	53		
4	South Holland	£192.56	£164.43		5	83		
5	West Lindsey	£222.74	£188.55		3	124		
6	Boston	£234.41	£172.61		2	133		
7	Lincoln	£285.39	£236.25		1	158		

OFLOG Performance Indicators: Financial Resilience – Lincolnshire District Councils – Metric 5: Council tax revenue per dwelling

All data is for the Financial Year 2021/22. For finance data, national rankings and decile placings are calculated from the pool of English district councils. Counties, unitaries, metropolitans and London borough councils are excluded. Authorities where data is lacking for a specific metric are also excluded. For this metric the total pool is 164 with 1st being the best performer.

Decile Key: Decile 9 – in the top 20% of English local authorities, Decile 2 – in the bottom 20% of English local authorities, Deciles 6+ in the top half of English local authorities for given indicator.

Lincolnshire Ranking	Authority	Value	Data Polarity	National Decile Placing	National Ranking	National & Regional Context	Source & Explanatory Notes
1	Boston	£279.7	Highest to Lowest	9	26	The median for district councils in England was £1556.44. The median of SKDC's CIPFA nearest neighbours was £1444.24. The median for districts in Lincolnshire was £1310.83. The median for authorities in the East Midlands was £1530.34.	DLUHC: Live tables on Council Tax, Average Council Tax per dwelling 1993 onwards (accessed through OFLOG Local Authority Data Explorer) Council tax revenue per dwelling is calculated: Total Payable Council Tax area requirement (including precepting authorities)/Total Number of Chargeable Dwellings.
2	West Lindsey	£251.6		7	58		
3	Lincoln	£246.2		6	73		
4	South Holland	£245.3		6	75		
5	East Lindsey	£228.1		4	111		
6	North Kesteven	£225.7		3	117		
7	South Kesteven	£208.6		2	139		

OFLOG Performance Indicators: Financial Resilience – Lincolnshire District Councils – Metric 6: Debt Servicing as percentage of core spending power

All data is for the Financial Year 2021/22. For finance data, national rankings and decile placings are calculated from the pool of English district councils. Counties, unitaries, metropolitans and London borough councils are excluded. Authorities where data is lacking for a specific metric are also excluded. For this metric the total pool is 154 with 1st being the best performer.

Decile Key: Decile 9 – in the top 20% of English local authorities, Decile 2 – in the bottom 20% of English local authorities, Deciles 6+ in the top half of English local authorities for given indicator.

Lincolnshire Ranking	Authority	Value	Total Debt Servicing Costs (£ millions)	Total Core Spending Power (£ millions)	Data Polarity	National Decile Placing	National Ranking	National & Regional Context	Source & Explanatory Notes
1	East Lindsey	3%	0.493	16.1	Lowest to Highest	8	35	The median for district councils in England was 10.2%. The median for districts in Lincolnshire was 15.65%. The median for authorities in the East Midlands was 18.5%.	DLUHC: Local authority revenue expenditure and financing England: 2021 to 2022 individual local authority data – Revenue outturn summary (RS) Debt Servicing Costs calculation is the sum of Spending Lines: 773(MRP), 776(leasing), 781(interest payments), 788 (PFI). HRA item 8 payments are excluded. Core spending power: final local government finance settlement 2023 to 2024
2	Boston	5.7%	0.494	8.7		7	55		
3	North Kesteven	7.4%	0.888	11.9		6	62		
4	West Lindsey	10.5%	1.197	11.2		5	79		
5	South Kesteven	18.6%	2.569	13.7		4	94		
6	South Holland	22.3%	2.347	10.4		4	101		
7	Lincoln	45.1%	5.241	11.5		2	138		

OFLOG Performance Indicators: Financial Resilience – Lincolnshire District Councils – Metric 7: Total debt as percentage of core spending power									
All data is for the Financial Year 2021/22. For finance data, national rankings and decile placings are calculated from the pool of English district councils. Counties, unitaries, metropolitans and London borough councils are excluded. Authorities where data is lacking for a specific metric are also excluded. For this metric the total pool is 162 with 1 st being the best performer.									
Decile Key: Decile 9 – in the top 20% of English local authorities, Decile 2 – in the bottom 20% of English local authorities, Deciles 6+ in the top half of English local authorities for given indicator.									
Lincolnshire Ranking	Authority	Value	Capital Financing Requirement (March 2022 £ thousands)	Total Core Spending Power (£ millions)	Data Polarity	National Decile Placing	National Ranking	National & Regional Context	Source & Explanatory Notes
1	East Lindsey	143.1%	25,500	16.1	Lowest to Highest	7	48	The median for district councils in England was 457.5%. The median for districts in Lincolnshire was 531.8%. The median for authorities in the East Midlands was 340.85%.	DLUHC: Local authority capital expenditure and receipts in England: 2021 to 2022 individual local authority data - Capital outturn return (COR C): prudential system information, England, 2021-22, Column O). The Capital Financing Requirement (CFR) as of 31st March 2022 is used as the measure of total debt. Core spending power: final local government finance settlement 2023 to 2024
2	Boston	218.6%	20,274	8.7		7	55		
3	West Lindsey	328%	40,295	11.2		6	71		
4	South Holland	667.3%	77,131	10.4		5	93		
5	North Kesteven	730.4%	88,786	11.9		5	95		
6	South Kesteven	733.4%	107,101	13.7		5	96		
7	Lincoln	1134.2%	142,858	11.5		3	119		

Performance Indicators: Financial Resilience – Lincolnshire District Councils – Metric 8: Council Tax Collection Rate							
All data is for the Financial Year 2021/22. This is not an OFLOG indicator. Authorities where data is lacking for a specific metric are also excluded. For this metric the total pool is 295 with 1 st being the best performer.							
Decile Key: Decile 9 – in the top 20% of English local authorities, Decile 2 – in the bottom 20% of English local authorities, Deciles 6+ in the top half of English local authorities for given indicator.							
Lincolnshire Ranking	Authority	Value	Data Polarity	National Decile Placing	National Ranking	National & Regional Context	Source & Explanatory Notes
1	South Kesteven	98.64%	Highest to Lowest	10	13	The median for England was 96.72%. The median for authorities in the East Midlands was 97.11%. The median for districts in Lincolnshire was 96.97%.	DLUHC, Collection rates for Council Tax and non-domestic rates in England, 2021 to 2022 (accessed through LG Inform)
2	North Kesteven	98.38%		19	27		
3	West Lindsey	98.01%		8	62		
4	South Holland	96.9%		6	137		
5	East Lindsey	96.28%		4	179		
6	Boston	94.01%		2	251		
7	Lincoln	94%		2	253		

OFLOG Performance Indicators: Waste Management – Lincolnshire District Councils – Metric 1: Household waste recycling rate									
All data is for the Financial Year 2021/22. For waste management data, national rankings and decile placings are calculated from the pool of all Waste Collection Authorities (WCA) in England. Authorities where data is lacking for a specific metric are also excluded. For this metric the total pool is 291 with 1 st being the best performer.									
Decile Key: Decile 9 – in the top 20% of English local authorities, Decile 2 – in the bottom 20% of English local authorities, Deciles 6+ in the top half of English local authorities for given indicator.									
Lincolnshire Ranking	Authority	Value	Total Collected Household Waste (tonnes)	Total Collected Household Waste sent for Recycling (tonnes)	Data Polarity	National Decile Placing	National Ranking	National & Regional Context	Source & Explanatory Notes
1	North Kesteven	44%	49,136	21,639	Highest to Lowest	6	122	The median for England was 41.9%. The median for districts in Lincolnshire was 38.2%. The median for authorities in the East Midlands was 40%.	DEFRA, ENV18 - Local authority collected waste: annual results tables 2021/22 - Table 2 Local authority collected waste generation annual results 2021/22 (England and regions) and local authority data annual results 2021/22 (accessed through OFLOG Local Authority Data Explorer)
2	West Lindsey	41.1%	41,515	17,082		5	156		
3	East Lindsey	40.5%	57,499	23,270		5	163		
4	South Kesteven	39.7%	56,126	22,298		5	173		
5	Boston	34.3%	29,365	10,073		3	223		
6	Lincoln	33.3%	36,981	12,332		3	227		
7	South Holland	31.2%	37,681	11,754		2	250		

OFLOG Performance Indicators: Waste Management – Lincolnshire District Councils – Metric 2: Residual household waste									
All data is for the Financial Year 2021/22. For waste management data, national rankings and decile placings are calculated from the pool of all Waste Collection Authorities (WCA) in England. Authorities where data is lacking for a specific metric are also excluded. For this metric the total pool is 291 with 1 st being the best performer.									
Decile Key: Decile 9 – in the top 20% of English local authorities, Decile 2 – in the bottom 20% of English local authorities, Deciles 6+ in the top half of English local authorities for given indicator.									
Lincolnshire Ranking	Authority	Value	Total Household Waste not sent for recycling (tonnes)	Dwellings (September 2021)	Data Polarity	National Decile Placing	National Ranking	National & Regional Context	Source & Explanatory Notes
1	East Lindsey	487.2kg	34229	70,520	Lowest to Highest	6	133	The median for England was 501.1kg. The median for districts in Lincolnshire was 540.85kg. The median for authorities in the East Midlands was 506.2kg.	DEFRA, ENV18 - Local authority collected waste: annual results tables 2021/22 - Table 2 Local authority collected waste generation annual results 2021/22 (England and regions) and local authority data annual results 2021/22 (accessed through OFLOG Local Authority Data Explorer)
2	South Kesteven	517.3kg	33828	65,630		5	171		
3	North Kesteven	521.5kg	27497	52,920		4	178		
4	Lincoln	530.1kg	24650	46,590		4	188		
5	West Lindsey	550.9kg	24434	44,660		3	207		
6	South Holland	615kg	25927	42,430		2	258		
7	Boston	627kg	19,292	30,930		1	266		

OFLOG Performance Indicators: Waste Management – Lincolnshire District Councils – Metric 3: Recycling Contamination Rate									
All data is for the Financial Year 2021/22. For waste management data, national rankings and decile placings are calculated from the pool of all Waste Collection Authorities (WCA) in England. Authorities where data is lacking for a specific metric are also excluded. For this metric the total pool is 291 with 1 st being the best performer.									
Decile Key: Decile 9 – in the top 20% of English local authorities, Decile 2 – in the bottom 20% of English local authorities, Deciles 6+ in the top half of English local authorities for given indicator.									
Lincolnshire Ranking	Authority	Value	Estimated Household rejected cycling (tonnes)	Total Household waste not sent for recycling & rejects (tonnes)	Data Polarity	National Decile Placing	National Ranking	National & Regional Context	Source & Explanatory Notes
1	Boston	8.8%	969	11042	Lowest to Highest	3	220	The median for England was 5.5%. The median for districts in Lincolnshire was 10.95%. The median for authorities in the East Midlands was 5.8%.	DEFRA, ENV18 - Local authority collected waste: annual results tables 2021/22 - Table 2 Local authority collected waste generation annual results 2021/22 (England and regions) and local authority data annual results 2021/22 (accessed through OFLOG Local Authority Data Explorer)
2	North Kesteven	10%	2394	24033		2	242		
3	East Lindsey	10.8%	2823	26093		2	251		
4	West Lindsey	16.1%	3282	20,364		1	275		
5	South Kesteven	16.4%	4368	26666		1	278		
6	Lincoln	16.7%	2464	14796		1	280		
7	South Holland	20.6%	3057	14,811		1	287		