

CORBY GLEN NEIGHBOURHOOD PLAN

List of changes made to the Neighbourhood Plan following submission to SKDC

The following list summarises the changes that are in the Referendum Version of the Neighbourhood Plan. (Note that the policy numbering used here is based on the revised policy numbers that have resulted from changes required by the Examiner.)

1. Small changes have been made to Sections 1.2-1.6 to reflect the changed timing of the document, compared to the submission version.
2. Section 1.7 (now called “Subsequent steps”) has been revised to include events that have happened since the document was submitted to SKDC.
3. In Section 1.8, under “National Policy”, a sentence has been added about the updating of the NPPF, pointing out that minor updates have been made to the Neighbourhood Plan to reflect the recent version of the NPPF.
4. In Section 1.8, an explanatory title has been added to the map on page 12.
5. In Section 1.10 (Local Plan Review), a sentence has been added to explain the current position regarding the Local Plan review. The sentence reads: “The timetable published by SKDC anticipates consultation on a new plan in the Winter of 23/24, the pre-submission stage in Sumer 2024, formal Submission in Winter 2024/25 followed by examination. If the new Local Plan is found to be sound, it will be adopted by the Spring of 2026.” A further addition to the text explains that the Neighbourhood Plan was produced in conformity with the current Local Plan.
6. Section 2.4 (Population and Housing) has been modified slightly to reflect the fact that some of the links to data the sets have become out of date. However, the charts are unchanged from the submission version. An explanatory statement has been added as follows: “The complete results of the 2021 census will be examined in more detail when this Neighbourhood Plan is reviewed at a future date. However, it should be noted that the occupation of the large number of new houses in the village between 2021 and 2024 will result in an increase in population and a possible alteration in its structure, with an increased proportion of families. This will have to be taken into account alongside the recorded 2021 census figures.”
7. In Section 2.5 (Community facilities and activities in Corby Glen), updates have been made in the table because The March Hare has changed hands and is now called Hattie’s Tea Rooms, and the Co-op is now owned by Nisa.
8. In Section 6 (List of Planning Policies), the table has been updated to reflect changes in policy numbering following the examiner’s recommendations.
9. In Section 7 (Planning Policies), **all changes, including deletion and merging of policies, that were required by the examiner have been completed.** The policy explanations have also been modified accordingly. Some other minor changes have been made to Section 7, as explained below.
10. In the explanation of Policy CG4, 2nd para, the following text (in italics below) has been added to reflect recent changes in the NPPF: *...solar farms and wind farms, but it is acknowledged that the September 2023 changes to the NPPF (Paras. 155, 158 and 222 in Annex 1) will also be taken into account in decision making.*
11. In the explanation of Policy CG6, last paragraph, the following quote (in italics) from the NPPF has been added in order to clarify the background to the policy regarding the NPPF: *...taking into*

account, in particular (Para. 190): (b) *the wider social, cultural, economic and environmental benefits that conservation of the historic environment can bring...*” and “(d) *opportunities to draw on the contribution made by the historic environment to character.*”

12. In the explanation of Policy CG8, start of the 2nd para, the following has been added: “Details of the buildings and features identified can be found in Section 5.6 of the Evidence Document. The same details are also available in a shorter document entitled ‘Description of Non-designated Heritage Assets, Open Spaces and Green Spaces.’” *(It is intended that this shorter document will be made widely available, thereby avoiding the need to hunt through the much longer evidence text to find the relevant details. The same applies in CG9 and CG10).*
13. In the explanation of Policy CG9, as required by the Examiner, an additional paragraph has been added to read: “The Lincolnshire Heritage Explorer currently has 106 records spanning the Neolithic, Roman and medieval periods. Future building pressure could put at risk unexplored archaeological sites, such as the medieval fishponds to the north of St John’s church. Policy CG9 emphasises the local importance attached to preserving the village’s rich archaeological heritage.”
14. In the explanation of Policy CG10, the following has been added at the start: “The location and extent of these spaces are shown in a separate Evidence Document (see Sections 6.2 and 6.4). The same details are also available in a shorter document entitled Description of Non-designated Heritage Assets, Open Spaces and Green Spaces.”
15. In the explanation of Policy CG11, the following has been added at the start: “A full description of the areas LGS1-LGS5, including the case for designation, is provided in a separate Evidence Document (see Section 6.4). The same details are also available in a shorter document entitled ‘Description of Non-designated Heritage Assets, Open Spaces and Green Spaces.’”
16. In Policy CG13, the bullets have been replaced with letters, in order to remain consistent with other policies. In the explanation, the final sentence has been removed as the link is no longer functional, and the full document referred to is no longer available.
17. In the explanation of Policy CG14, 1st para, a change has been made to be in line with the revised (2023) NPPF as follows: “.. and decisions should (a) *plan positively for the provision and use of shared spaces, community facilities (such as local shops, meeting places, sports venues, open space, cultural buildings, public houses, and places of worship) and other local services to enhance the sustainability of communities and residential environments; ... and (c) guard against the unnecessary loss of valued facilities and services, particularly where this would reduce the community’s ability to meet its day-to-day needs.*”
18. In the explanation of Policy CG14, the following has been added as a penultimate paragraph (as required by the examiner): “Policy CG14 includes the Ron Dawson Memorial Hall and Church Street Meeting Rooms in the list of facilities. The Parish Council recognises the importance of these community halls and strongly supports any initiative that will increase their value to the community.”
19. Under CA4, the following text has been removed because the original Infrastructure delivery Schedule document is no longer available:
“In a SKDC document called the “2011 -2036 Infrastructure delivery Schedule” (link: https://www.southkesteven.gov.uk/sites/default/files/2023-08/Infrastructure_delivery_plana_nd_project_schedule.pdf the following was concluded regarding the impact of development in Corby Glen on the primary school. *“Primary school within village has capacity. However large allocation (more than 100 dwellings) will require extension which will require small additional land which can be resolved.”*”

Additional changes following comments received from SKDC after submitting the Referendum Version of the Neighbourhood Plan

Page 6 – (paragraph 1.3) – This section may need rewording as regulation 5A of The Neighbourhood Planning (General) and Development Management Procedure (Amendment) Regulations 2016 means there is no longer a requirement for public consultation on a Neighbourhood Plan if the Neighbourhood Plan area covers the entirety of the same parish (i.e as Corby Glen is) – Therefore there would have been no public consultation on the designation for the Corby Glen Neighbourhood Plan.

The section has been reworded as follows:

As the appropriate ‘Qualifying Body’ Corby Glen Parish Council wished to prepare a Neighbourhood Plan and applied to South Kesteven District Council in January 2018 to become a designated Neighbourhood Area. The proposed boundary of the neighbourhood area followed the parish boundary. The designation was approved on January 24th 2018.

Page 28 - (bottom paragraph – third sentence) – the words “in the” need inserting before “Design Guidelines for Rutland and South Kesteven”.

These Words have been inserted

Page 29 - (policy CG2 – criteria G) – Refers to the key views policy being “CG6”, but it should be “CG5” – while not specifically referenced by the examiner this is a minor amendment in light of changes to the document elsewhere, so would not need any further approval.

This has been changed

Page 32 - (policy CG5 – first sentence) – Could a formatting space be added between the first sentence and the key views listed below the policy - while not specifically referenced by the examiner it is a formatting change to make the policy read more clearly.

A space has been added.

Page 32 - (bottom sentence) – Some of the text appears to be a smaller font than the others, could this therefore be changed.

This has been changed.

Page 39 - (third paragraph – first sentence) Sentence doesn’t quite read correctly – would suggest deleting the section “ *but this policy addresses other forms of development*” (As you address what the policy does in the second paragraph)

The relevant paragraph has been changed to read as follows:

This policy builds on the wider planning context provided by Local Plan and applies it at the level of the Neighbourhood Plan. The community consultation indicated that residents greatly valued the rural environment surrounding the village. Any proposal for development is expected to safeguard the landscape character, protect areas of wildlife interest and protect or enhance the historic environment of the surrounding open countryside.

Page 47 - (Section CA4) - the current infrastructure delivery plan which informed the currently adopted Local Plan can be found at the following link [Infrastructure delivery plan and project schedule.pdf \(southkesteven.gov.uk\)](https://southkesteven.gov.uk/infrastructure-delivery-plan-and-project-schedule.pdf) and is still a valid document. It might also be worth stating that this document is also being updated as part of SKDCs ongoing Local Plan review process.

This paragraph has been changed to read as follows:

In the current Infrastructure Delivery Plan for SKDC

(see [Infrastructure delivery plan and project schedule.pdf \(southkesteven.gov.uk\)](https://southkesteven.gov.uk/infrastructure-delivery-plan-and-project-schedule.pdf)) Corby Glen Primary School was listed as having 140 available places. An Ofsted report in 2021 stated that the school had 81 students, suggesting that there is spare capacity to cope with the ongoing enlargement of the village. The

Infrastructure Delivery Plan is currently being updated as part of the Local Plan Review, and this will include a reassessment of whether the spare capacity is adequate for future needs.