


Q1 Should a parish or town council be set up to serve the town of Grantham?

Answered: 1,472 Skipped: 11



| ANSWER CHOICES | RESPONSES |
|---------------------|--------------|
| Yes | 66.17% 974 |
| No | 20.31% 299 |
| Don't know/not sure | 13.52% 199 |
| TOTAL | 1,472 |

| # | PLEASE USE THE SPACE BELOW TO COMMENT ON THIS PROPOSAL | DATE |
|---|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------|
| 1 | A town council would enable more recognition of the need to see the parish and town has separate requirements to reflect the numbers living in those areas. town has greater demands on community governance to enforce issues such as neighbourhood planning applications, management of assets. | 4/25/2022 3:57 PM |
| 2 | It is best we have close governance of the town's affairs. provided the councillors liven up and are proactive and the costs are not too much | 4/25/2022 2:58 PM |
| 3 | I have never understood the reason for the targets town ie Grantham not to have its own town council. i believe that the people of Grantham should have more of a say in the running of the town | 4/25/2022 2:52 PM |
| 4 | The town will have more say in things that matter to us. | 4/25/2022 2:50 PM |
| 5 | Enough money is already wasted by SKDC ie councils paying themselves large sums of / and spending money in non essential things!! The roads are in a disgraceful state!! These need airing | 4/25/2022 2:47 PM |
| 6 | I dont feel it will improve anything and may cost people more | 4/25/2022 2:42 PM |
| 7 | Seems appropriate to have local people making local decisions | 4/25/2022 2:35 PM |
| 8 | The local residents need a voice to their town. I do not reside here but have done with a town council and it had its benefits | 4/25/2022 2:31 PM |
| 9 | Grantham is in a very strategic position, on the cross of the A1 and the A52 and the east | 4/25/2022 2:14 PM |

Community Governance Review Survey March 2022

coast main line goes slap bang through the middle. It has a very lively self identity which could be better channelled through a town council with the additional areas of influence , currently enjoyed by the parishes, rather than in the context of the wider SKDC

| | | |
|----|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------|
| 10 | Grantham town council taxes for Grantham folk, not to subsidise the Deepings, Bourne and certainly not Stamford (the richest town in the east midlands!) There are more SKDC councillors living outside Grantham town than in it. Grantham Town has too long suffered at their hands | 4/25/2022 12:46 PM |
| 11 | Grantham councillors should be responsible for Grantham and our local taxes should only be used for the town | 4/25/2022 12:43 PM |
| 12 | Important that local community is represented | 4/25/2022 12:41 PM |
| 13 | A town council would allow money generated for and by Grantham to be spent on Grantham. I believe that currently money generated by grantham is being spent in Bourne, the Deepings and Stamford. This seems unfair. | 4/25/2022 12:34 PM |
| 14 | It would be good for Grantham | 4/25/2022 12:31 PM |
| 15 | It seems better for Grantham to have a council | 4/25/2022 12:29 PM |
| 16 | A council respresenting the town of Grantham people, schools, businesses focused on the wellbeing (social domestic) development (social commercial) of this historic town | 4/25/2022 12:27 PM |
| 17 | I have become increasingly concerned about the transparency of setting local priorities, the lack of a democratic voice on planning and how money charged to the Grantham special expense area is often spent on matters relating to the whole district. I would like to see the tax raising powers of a town council limited in the same way as they are for district and county councils | 4/25/2022 12:14 PM |
| 18 | A town the size of Grantham should have its own council to ensure the needs of the people are met, and not have decisions made for the town by people who have little to no interest in the town | 4/25/2022 12:06 PM |
| 19 | As long as it doesnt cost any more in council tax | 4/25/2022 11:38 AM |
| 20 | In the 10 years since we moved to Grantham from Boston (which has had a town council for many years and is of a comparable size) we have seen substantial growth in housing development. We have sensed a perception that Grantham is often influenced by councillors and decisions from outside the area within SKDC. Many towns within Lincolnshire and beyond have a council and we think that with continuing growth in housing and infrastructure , there is a compelling case for a town council for Grantham. | 4/25/2022 10:57 AM |
| 21 | I feel very strongly that we need a town council so that Grantham residents can have a say in our services and facilities as do Bourne, Stamford and Market Deeping | 4/25/2022 10:44 AM |
| 22 | We already have too many tiers of government | 4/25/2022 10:34 AM |
| 23 | It is so obvious that Grantham should be served by its own councillors, elected by the poeple living within the Grantham area, to represent them. Decisions can then be taken by the representative councillors for Grantham, as happens now, in all the other communities in SKDC. as things are now, the system is undemocratic in SKDC. | 4/25/2022 10:01 AM |
| 24 | A town council made up of local residens, no councillors , who only have the improvement of our town and facilities. | 4/25/2022 9:22 AM |
| 25 | Grantham needs more of a voice. Rightly or wrongly there is a feeling that SKDC finds it difficult to assess and respond to the very particular needs of the town. The decline of the town over the last 20 yeasr in terms of its commercial viability has been sad to see and we need a whole town feeling and sense of ownership now to help combat this decline. | 4/25/2022 9:14 AM |
| 26 | More local governance would enable the local community to have more input regarding at least some local issues and decisions. In this case for the area of Grantham town. People who live in the loal area are best informed of local issues and the effect any proposed measures would bring to the locaity, as they actually live there! I am in favout of any increase of local governance which is preferable to past and current decision making by people who do not redie in Grantham and do not appear to understand the full impact these have on the local population. | 4/25/2022 9:10 AM |

Community Governance Review Survey March 2022

| | | |
|----|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------|
| 27 | Definitely as it will mean that Grantham can make decisions for itself. Grantham is growing with even more properties being built leading to a higher population. | 4/25/2022 9:03 AM |
| 28 | Other town councils seem to do quite a lot. 1. Cemetery -plastic flowers/wreaths removal 2. Litter picking. I do this around GHE !!-could be a full time job! 3. Speak to people easier/quicker 4. Link between SKDC and LCC?! 5. Links with Rotary/ xmas markets/ play areas 6. Could it get Grantham back up and better looking/involved in all areas?? | 4/25/2022 8:55 AM |
| 29 | I need more detailed information of what the new council would do and what it would cost before I could make an informed decision. Use of jargon and political-style rhetoric does not help | 4/23/2022 10:12 AM |
| 30 | Grantham should not continue to be the only town in SKDC without a town council. | 4/22/2022 10:41 PM |
| 31 | We should not continue to be the only town in SKDC without a town council | 4/22/2022 10:33 PM |
| 32 | Most definitely | 4/22/2022 9:18 PM |
| 33 | At present decisions are taken about Grantham by all SKDC councillors, many of whom do not have local knowledge of Grantham. I believe having a town council would allow views on planning/highways etc of local people to be aired before the final decision is taken by SKDC or LCC. Charter Trustees only have a ceremonial/administrative role with no power as a group to decide on general functions or services | 4/22/2022 9:02 PM |
| 34 | At present, decisions on Grantham are taken by all SKDC councillors, many of whom have little knowledge of Grantham. It is particularly important that local elected representatives should be allowed to give a view on planning/highways, before that is referred to SKDC. Charter Trustees for Grantham have no powers in respect of general functions and are purely for ceremonial & admin purposes. | 4/22/2022 7:19 PM |
| 35 | Just another reason to squeeze money out of tax payers, without any benefit real benefit to the community. | 4/22/2022 6:48 PM |
| 36 | Don't know why my household is being asked/offered this question (or why we were sent the two documents in printed format) - as we don't live in the unparished area of Grantham. This review really should be two reviews: Review 1: Addressed to householders that are within the unparished Grantham area and asked this question above. Once this question has been resolved then Review 2 should be undertaken to determine Questions 2, 3 and 4 of this questionnaire. This 2nd Review should be addressed to 'households within the NEW Grantham parish' and separately to those (like us) in the urban parts of the surrounding parishes. The question being, do we want to become part of the new Grantham parish? and do people in the new Grantham parish want us to be incorporated with them?? | 4/22/2022 6:00 PM |
| 37 | Definitely | 4/22/2022 4:08 PM |
| 38 | Grantham needs a town council | 4/22/2022 4:04 PM |
| 39 | People of Grantham need town council so they can make their own decisions | 4/22/2022 4:01 PM |
| 40 | Grantham must have their own town council | 4/22/2022 3:56 PM |
| 41 | Grantham people need to have ownero | 4/22/2022 3:53 PM |
| 42 | Absolutely | 4/22/2022 3:51 PM |
| 43 | Need to be on par with Stamford and Bourne | 4/22/2022 3:49 PM |
| 44 | Grantham needs their own town council | 4/22/2022 3:46 PM |
| 45 | Grantham does | 4/22/2022 3:44 PM |
| 46 | We have to have our own town council | 4/22/2022 3:38 PM |
| 47 | About time | 4/22/2022 3:37 PM |
| 48 | Why shouldn't Grantham we need our own town council | 4/22/2022 3:28 PM |
| 49 | Certainly | 4/22/2022 3:26 PM |
| 50 | The degradation of Grantham town centre must be addressed, if this requires a local town council to achieve this then it can not be organised fast enough. | 4/22/2022 3:24 PM |

Community Governance Review Survey March 2022

| | | |
|----|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------|
| 51 | We must | 4/22/2022 3:23 PM |
| 52 | We should have a town council as the other SKDC towns do | 4/22/2022 3:22 PM |
| 53 | Why should Grantham be different | 4/22/2022 3:21 PM |
| 54 | Absolutely | 4/22/2022 3:19 PM |
| 55 | Grantham should be the same as Stamford and Bourne | 4/22/2022 3:16 PM |
| 56 | We have to | 4/22/2022 3:15 PM |
| 57 | If it is a fordable | 4/22/2022 3:15 PM |
| 58 | Grantham must have it | 4/22/2022 3:14 PM |
| 59 | We need it | 4/22/2022 3:11 PM |
| 60 | Definitely | 4/22/2022 3:07 PM |
| 61 | Absolutely | 4/22/2022 3:05 PM |
| 62 | This is a question for Grantham residents to vote on and NOT residents of the adjacent parishes, | 4/22/2022 2:33 PM |
| 63 | Presently it appears nobody really takes responsibility for much in the Grantham area as South Kesteven have little interest and LCC defers to them; secondly it seems other towns in SK with town councils such as Bourne and Stamford get distinctly better local services than Grantham does, and one presumes having a local body representing them directly leads to this. I grew up in Peterborough and saw the benefits to locals of a city council operating separately from the county council for the best interests of the city rather than the overall county, so this seems like a great idea to me. | 4/22/2022 1:41 PM |
| 64 | Would better server local issues than SKDC | 4/22/2022 12:50 PM |
| 65 | Unclear what the actual benefits would be compared to additional cost implication, particularly in the current climate. | 4/22/2022 12:00 PM |
| 66 | Any body that can represent the views of locals when it comes to planning and public spaces would be a good thing. As a parent to two small children, I would be happy to pay more council tax if it meant that spaces such as the Campbell Close recreation area were better maintained, accessible (a path over to the play equipment?) and protected from the threat of development, and if developers were better held to account with regard to s106 commitments such as the half-built play area on Pennine Way. | 4/22/2022 11:28 AM |
| 67 | Business efficiency improvements in Industry are usually driven by stripping out, rather than creating, layers of management. Interfaces between layers of management drive in needless additional costs. My former home areas of Bournemouth/Poole/Christchurch have just merged their councils to save costs. A new Town Council for Grantham is a step in the wrong direction. | 4/22/2022 10:38 AM |
| 68 | Grantham should be served by a town council named Grantham Town Council rather than by a parish council called Grantham Parish Council because Grantham is a town and not just a village. | 4/22/2022 12:55 AM |
| 69 | Town council | 4/21/2022 10:01 PM |
| 70 | Grantham needs a town council so that it's the people of Grantham who decides | 4/21/2022 9:44 PM |
| 71 | Grantham needs a town council | 4/21/2022 9:43 PM |
| 72 | We require the needs of Grantham to be identified and resolved by those living in the town. | 4/21/2022 9:12 PM |
| 73 | Allowing better resource management apply to Town | 4/21/2022 7:12 PM |
| 74 | We need a Town Council to speak for our TOWN on our own issues that are very important for our GROWING town with so much new building going on--which is GREAT for our area | 4/21/2022 6:46 PM |
| 75 | More control of local resources | 4/21/2022 6:06 PM |
| 76 | Long overdue. Parish councils get officially contacted for their view on planning proposals in their parish. In Grantham we do not get that, and are missing out. We are not being | 4/21/2022 6:03 PM |

Community Governance Review Survey March 2022

represented, as much. LCC states that parishes can have extra street lighting, or speed cameras. No-one speaks for Grantham. So we cannot have them. This is not right.

| | | |
|-----|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------|
| 77 | It will cost the taxpayer even more | 4/21/2022 5:16 PM |
| 78 | We have to Grantham seems to be the poor relation compared to Stamford and Bourne | 4/21/2022 5:12 PM |
| 79 | We must | 4/21/2022 5:10 PM |
| 80 | Grantham should be equal to Stamford and Bourne | 4/21/2022 5:09 PM |
| 81 | We must be the same as Stamford and Bourne and other area's that have a town council | 4/21/2022 5:07 PM |
| 82 | A remote council cant possibly have the towns interest as a priority | 4/21/2022 5:06 PM |
| 83 | Grantham must have a town council | 4/21/2022 5:05 PM |
| 84 | Absolutely | 4/21/2022 5:04 PM |
| 85 | Decisions should be from the people of Grantham | 4/21/2022 5:03 PM |
| 86 | Why should Grantham be different | 4/21/2022 5:01 PM |
| 87 | Now is not the time to increase running expenses by putting in a new administration with extra implementation and running costs. If it aint broke, don't fix it | 4/21/2022 5:00 PM |
| 88 | We most definitely do | 4/21/2022 4:58 PM |
| 89 | Absolutely | 4/21/2022 4:57 PM |
| 90 | Definitely | 4/21/2022 4:55 PM |
| 91 | Grantham people need to take owney | 4/21/2022 4:54 PM |
| 92 | Why should people who don't live here make decisions for us | 4/21/2022 4:53 PM |
| 93 | Grantham people should have their say | 4/21/2022 4:51 PM |
| 94 | It will just create more expense. new set of people on high salaries . Am happy as things are. | 4/21/2022 4:50 PM |
| 95 | Grantham have been left behind | 4/21/2022 4:49 PM |
| 96 | Grantham need to have control | 4/21/2022 4:47 PM |
| 97 | We do so the needs of Grantham can be consulted | 4/21/2022 4:45 PM |
| 98 | In the 20 years I have lived in the town it has been poorly served by both SKDC and Lincolnshire. Grantham needs an authority accountable to the residents of the town. | 4/21/2022 4:45 PM |
| 99 | Grantham certainly does | 4/21/2022 4:43 PM |
| 100 | If Bourne, Stamford and Market Deeping have one it seems sensible for Grantham too | 4/21/2022 4:43 PM |
| 101 | Grantham really needs a town council | 4/21/2022 4:41 PM |
| 102 | Grantham must | 4/21/2022 4:40 PM |
| 103 | Most definitely | 4/21/2022 4:36 PM |
| 104 | Grantham certainly does we need the decisions of people that live in Grantham | 4/21/2022 4:34 PM |
| 105 | Grantham needs their own town council | 4/21/2022 4:32 PM |
| 106 | Why should Grantham be different | 4/21/2022 4:29 PM |
| 107 | We need our own town council | 4/21/2022 4:27 PM |
| 108 | It is important that decisions made about Grantham are made by those invested in the area and that the needs, interests and voices of residents of the town are represented | 4/21/2022 3:31 PM |
| 109 | The decisions that are made about Grantham should be decided by those that live / work here or have extensive knowledge of the area. | 4/21/2022 3:16 PM |
| 110 | The affairs of Grantham should be decided by the people of Grantham. I see no harm in Grantham having its own town council providing that: a) it provides value for money b) is of | 4/21/2022 1:58 PM |

Community Governance Review Survey March 2022

direct benefit to the residents of Grantham c) it enhances the services offered to the residents of Grantham d) it is cost neutral AND it is not to the disadvantage or detriment of neighbouring parishes.

| | | |
|-----|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------|
| 111 | Waste of money. More bureaucracy. More over paid jobs, pensions Waste of money. More people making decisions and over ruling other government bodies | 4/21/2022 1:10 PM |
| 112 | Grantham is growing and there is a need for town specific concerns to be addressed by town specific people. That can only be done with a town council made up of councillors local to the town and who are not members of the district and county councils. | 4/21/2022 12:58 PM |
| 113 | Existing system works well. A new council would cost taxpayers more at a time of severe financial pressures on household budgets without providing significant benefits. | 4/21/2022 11:05 AM |
| 114 | Grantham has suffered greatly from not having a town council for nearly fifty years it will be a travesty if it does not get one now. | 4/21/2022 10:08 AM |
| 115 | Grantham is a small town and already has two levels of bureaucracy (County and District Council's), so personally, I see no further need or justification for the creation of another administrative layer that will add to taxpayers paying even more for local services. | 4/21/2022 9:44 AM |
| 116 | Happy for it to serve Grantham but not at the expense of moving the current parish boundaries to subsume villages such as Barrowby. | 4/21/2022 9:26 AM |
| 117 | It is about time Grantham was treated with the same respect as other towns in the area, for too long it has been underfunded and treated as a a poor relation. SKDC are not fit for purpose as an organisation anymore. | 4/20/2022 9:24 PM |
| 118 | It is more democratic | 4/20/2022 8:20 PM |
| 119 | Introducing another tier to how we are governed locally.This at a time of financial constraint surely we should be looking to consolidate rather than continually looking at change and with no doubt the same individuals making the decisions. | 4/20/2022 8:10 PM |
| 120 | Better planning/consulting on existing and future developments | 4/20/2022 4:20 PM |
| 121 | I cannot understand why Grantham is the only market town in SKDC area which does not have a town council. It is very important for residents to have their say on issues which impact on the town in which they live. | 4/20/2022 1:53 PM |
| 122 | Government should be closer to the community being governed. | 4/20/2022 12:39 PM |
| 123 | Yes we should have a Town Council. Local people elected by Grantham People having a say on what Grantham needs, not people from other towns telling us what to do. South Kesteven do a good job but having our own say is not a bad thing. Would the elected Mayor be in charge of the council and would we have a full time Town clerk and would they be paid. | 4/20/2022 12:28 PM |
| 124 | Definitely yes. Then decisions made will be in the interest of Grantham. EG parking fees (cf to Bourne) as they will not be decided by non Grantham councillors | 4/20/2022 9:57 AM |
| 125 | Grantham does not need another tier of bureaucracy. We should be saving money not setting up a new entity whose functions already exist. these costs should not be passed onto us the council tax payers. From previous experiences with town councils they tend to be self serving bodies who waste money on beautification of the town centre | 4/20/2022 9:52 AM |
| 126 | Yes providing the council is empowered to make decisions about important matters like planning permission, traffic and other major issues | 4/20/2022 9:48 AM |
| 127 | The Parish Councils opinion is status quo. our parishioners do not want to pay more for something already provided. | 4/20/2022 9:44 AM |
| 128 | I dont see any advantage in this proposal for Grantham . Does it not add another layer of bureaucracy? | 4/20/2022 9:30 AM |
| 129 | Why at this time would you want to add another layer of cost to everyone. | 4/20/2022 9:25 AM |
| 130 | This should be independent of SKDC | 4/20/2022 9:22 AM |
| 131 | I think we have been asked this question in the past and it wasnt agreed. Hopefully a town council as opposed to a district council will consist entirely of members from Grantham Town/Parish . I would sincerely hope and trust they will have the genuine interest for the best | 4/20/2022 9:13 AM |

Community Governance Review Survey March 2022

of Grantham at heart! Most suitable candidates send letters to the Grantham Journal most weeks voicing their ideas and opinions - its time someone listened and then had some influence!!

| | | |
|-----|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------|
| 132 | Is it going to make things better for us. Yes I would like more decision making on Grantham town | 4/20/2022 9:09 AM |
| 133 | Crucially for organising the road system. It obviously has had people who know nothing about Grantham. utter chaos everywhere!! | 4/20/2022 9:05 AM |
| 134 | Waste of public finance to have an extra layer | 4/20/2022 8:55 AM |
| 135 | Local decision making by locally elected representatives is important to the community. this enables local people to serve the needs of the local electorate | 4/20/2022 8:42 AM |
| 136 | I live in an area that is unrepresented which leaves the people of that ward vulnerable to bad decisions. | 4/20/2022 8:38 AM |
| 137 | I do not want another level of bureaucracy. This is a time to reduce the size of office bound staff | 4/20/2022 8:33 AM |
| 138 | I think it would be a pointless extra layer of bureaucracy at council tax payers expense | 4/20/2022 8:30 AM |
| 139 | This is a fantastic idea! Some areas of Grantham are badly neglected, under-resourced and under-utilised. A town council would be better equipped to know what the town truly needs. | 4/20/2022 12:01 AM |
| 140 | Grantham definitely need it's own town council | 4/19/2022 7:43 PM |
| 141 | We need our own | 4/19/2022 7:39 PM |
| 142 | We certainly do | 4/19/2022 7:37 PM |
| 143 | We need to be the same as Stamford and Bourne | 4/19/2022 7:35 PM |
| 144 | We really need our own town council | 4/19/2022 7:33 PM |
| 145 | We need a town council | 4/19/2022 7:31 PM |
| 146 | Grantham needs to be inline with Stamford and Bourne | 4/19/2022 7:26 PM |
| 147 | Absolutely they should | 4/19/2022 7:24 PM |
| 148 | This what Grantham needs | 4/19/2022 7:22 PM |
| 149 | Yet another proposed and expensive layer of local government, you should look to reduce not extend. | 4/19/2022 5:17 PM |
| 150 | I believe it is very important that Grantham has its own voice a d elected representatives able to make submissions to local and strategic plans. It is not right that key decisions regarding the allocation of Granthams precept or S106 funding are made with little, or no reference to local District Councillors. Should unitary authorities go ahead it will be all the more important that Grantham has its own local focal point. Grantham could benefit from a Neighbourhood plan. A Town Council could include this in its remit. | 4/19/2022 4:55 PM |
| 151 | I feel that Grantham needs to see people in leadership roles, taking pride in the town, taking decisions in the town for the town. I believe this will in time engender the town to become more proud in itself, a bigger believer in its ability to build back stronger and better. We have some great people, some good services (others not so much) and some strong businesses in the area - working together this town could and should be more. Give us a council so we can make local decisions for local people. | 4/19/2022 4:27 PM |
| 152 | Most definitely | 4/19/2022 4:12 PM |
| 153 | It's unfair that Grantham hadn't got there own Town council | 4/19/2022 3:29 PM |
| 154 | This would mean that decisions can be taken by people who live in the town | 4/19/2022 3:26 PM |
| 155 | Grantham is an expanding historic town which deserves to have its own town council represented by councillors who live in Grantham and who are passionately determined to improve the facilities and lives of the local community. The councillors should be elected by the residents of Grantham only. It would give residents a more meaningful voice towards | 4/19/2022 3:22 PM |

Community Governance Review Survey March 2022

decisions made on their behalf, with the councillors able to take account of how well those decisions are working.

| | | |
|-----|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------|
| 156 | Why should Grantham be different | 4/19/2022 3:21 PM |
| 157 | It's about equality so we need to be the same as Stamford and Bourne | 4/19/2022 3:18 PM |
| 158 | Let Grantham have a voice | 4/19/2022 3:15 PM |
| 159 | Why should Grantham be different to Stamford and Bourne | 4/19/2022 3:12 PM |
| 160 | Needs to be the same as Grantham and Bourne | 4/19/2022 3:09 PM |
| 161 | A more local council would be more responsible to the local community | 4/19/2022 3:05 PM |
| 162 | No to add to the ever escalating cost of council tax. This is unacceptable. The existing administration seems to work well, complicate as it is, does not need more levels of administration added to it. Keep it simple. Becuase of the existing state of the country's finances and cost of living rise this project should be abandoned. The extra charges incurred by this proposal is not a one off payment but has the potential to increase annually. | 4/19/2022 3:02 PM |
| 163 | They certainly should so they are the same as Bourne and Stamford. The Deepings and other towns in the same rural settings have town councils. This means btgst people who live in the town can be taken rather than other parts of the district. This would enable to have to an my annual plan into SKDC. This would be about the needs of Grantham and be consulted on planning issues. Town councillor's will not be paid. | 4/19/2022 3:01 PM |
| 164 | It would bring Grantham into the modern age in line with others. The town is dying. It needs new blood. Getting rid of the charter trustees would be a good start | 4/19/2022 2:57 PM |
| 165 | Local metter should be decided more locally | 4/19/2022 2:48 PM |
| 166 | It has needed one for a long time. The fact it hasnt is an anomaly | 4/19/2022 2:40 PM |
| 167 | Town Council can feed SKDC with the needs of Grantham and be consulted on planning | 4/19/2022 2:29 PM |
| 168 | It is a completely unnecessary additional cost. there is no justification for it! | 4/19/2022 2:23 PM |
| 169 | Unsure because the advantge is unclear | 4/19/2022 12:59 PM |
| 170 | Everywhere else seems to have one. Grantham shouldnt lose out by being under-represented | 4/19/2022 12:55 PM |
| 171 | Grantham is strategically important to the area. it must have a town council. | 4/19/2022 12:52 PM |
| 172 | A town council and parish councils are local to the voter and as such can be contacted locally by the voter. The further away decisions are decided the less local involvement is made and apathy develops. I am in favour of the local town council providing facilities where the local voter can contact his/her MP in a local office | 4/19/2022 12:39 PM |
| 173 | I believe that Grantham misses out on various schemes. the southern by pass should have had more priority and the roads have very bad pot holes. Also our public toilets are almost non existent. the bus station definitely needs a public toilet - one that is properly looked after. being so close to the A1 every time there is a problem on the A1 nearby the town is grid locked with traffic | 4/19/2022 12:34 PM |
| 174 | We should be treated the same as Stamford or Bourne | 4/19/2022 12:28 PM |
| 175 | I think a town council is a wonderful idea but not yet. Not at the expense of the taxpayer. Especially those like myself who earns a good wage of £26k a year but struggles already before the hike in fuel and energy prices. If a town council was set up to serve unparished areas, it should not be at an increase in council tax for areas already parished. | 4/19/2022 12:20 PM |
| 176 | Additional layer of administration involves additional cost. Times are hard. We do not need this. | 4/19/2022 11:43 AM |
| 177 | Yes if local decisions can be made more locally | 4/19/2022 11:39 AM |
| 178 | Barrowby has always been a village with its own Parish Council and I wish it to remain. It would be more expensive without any gain and we would have no control. | 4/19/2022 11:38 AM |
| 179 | It is unnecessary and will add cost | 4/19/2022 9:58 AM |

Community Governance Review Survey March 2022

| | | |
|-----|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------|
| 180 | Not at the moment. All the info is in 'Counilese' which is nigh on impossible for anyone not connected to the council to understand | 4/19/2022 9:32 AM |
| 181 | Grantham should have its own town council independent of South Kesteven | 4/19/2022 9:18 AM |
| 182 | This would cause another expensive tier of administration that would give no additional benefit to the wider population of Grantham. It would serve as another opportunity for self-serving elected members to enjoy the title "Grantham Councillor" without actually contributing anything more to the community except another round of meetings, for which of course, another set of expenses claims will be made thus increasing costs. To say that there would only be one further appointment to be made, i.e. Town Clerk is absurd. Who would monitor contracts, deal with the issues relating to the crematorium and cemetery, the management of town assets, mowing verges, parks and gardens, planning issues within the town etc. etc. Additional posts would need to be made if the organisation is to function properly. Have you listened to those councillors in the other South Kesteven towns who express the view that their town council is a waste of time and money and that SKDC should take over their functions as it would be cheaper? | 4/18/2022 10:24 PM |
| 183 | Other towns like Stamford Bourne and Deepings have town council so should we. | 4/18/2022 7:48 PM |
| 184 | Bourne, Stamford and the Feelings have one so why not Grantham! | 4/18/2022 7:44 PM |
| 185 | At present we are linked with Stamford and Bourne not taking into account that Grantham has a totally different socio-demographic. | 4/18/2022 3:53 PM |
| 186 | Recommend that this should be a town council incorporating the outlying parishes. | 4/18/2022 1:00 PM |
| 187 | The interests of the people of Grantham are grossly under-represented under current SKDC arrangements. The town needs a dedicated civic body to manage its local affairs and its interests at district and county level. The three existing town councils in SKDC taken together scarcely equal the population of the unparished area of Grantham (40k in 2011 v 45k in 2016), but between them they have 18 district councillors to Grantham's 15 - a built-in disadvantage that the current proposal will do little to overturn. But a Town Council to represent and lobby for its interests should be able to help to ensure that Grantham's voice is given fairer weight in SKDC deliberations. | 4/18/2022 12:09 PM |
| 188 | Expense little benefit | 4/18/2022 9:24 AM |
| 189 | The people living in Grsnthsm are having to put up with ridiculous decisions made about our town by people who have no idea what they are doing and how stupid/impractical and devastating the decisions are in relation to how this town runs. It is time local decisions are made locally not by outsiders who continually make dreadful decisions. | 4/17/2022 9:50 PM |
| 190 | The existence of a town council is an important part of the collective identity of a town, and should be reinstated. | 4/17/2022 3:15 PM |
| 191 | It is an additional level of bureaucracy with an associated additional cost. The responsibilities given to the Town Council would be of little importance. Would prefer if all councils at this level were to be abolished | 4/17/2022 8:47 AM |
| 192 | WE should have same as in Stamford, Bourne etc | 4/16/2022 1:27 PM |
| 193 | Strongly support | 4/16/2022 1:25 PM |
| 194 | We absolutely should have parity with the other towns in our district and county. Only a tiny amount of authorities nationally persist with Chartered Trustees following the reorganisation of local government in the 1970s. There is a democratic and accountability deficit in Grantham as a result. | 4/16/2022 1:19 PM |
| 195 | This would be more democratic | 4/16/2022 12:54 PM |
| 196 | It would be a fairer way to ensure the needs of the people of Grantham are met. | 4/16/2022 11:14 AM |
| 197 | NOT ENOUGH INFORMATION TO MAKE AN INFORMED DECISION | 4/16/2022 7:17 AM |
| 198 | I think Grantham should've had one sooner, it is at the centre of South Kesteven and yet embarrassed to draw too much attention to itself as if the town is in competition with our neighbours | 4/15/2022 4:45 PM |
| 199 | Funds would be diverted away from Barrowby. | 4/15/2022 3:23 PM |

Community Governance Review Survey March 2022

| | | |
|-----|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------|
| 200 | We are a lovely independent village and should stay that way. We are answerable to ourselves. That would end if we belonged to Grantham. | 4/15/2022 3:03 PM |
| 201 | Wo my for Grantham,not the surrounding villages | 4/15/2022 2:57 PM |
| 202 | It needs a local body to address local issues. | 4/15/2022 12:56 PM |
| 203 | A Town Council should support the proper tackling of anti-social behaviour across Grantham Town, boost business and visitor economy and should be used to protect local heritage and green spaces including allotments for community use. | 4/15/2022 10:17 AM |
| 204 | I think it's really important for the town to have independent people making up part of the town council in order to break free of the pomp and ceremony of the current charter trustees who seem to have little interest in the prosperity of the town but more interested in their own egos. A town council would allow all parts of the community to hopefully become engaged in the future of Grantham, in order to bring positive changes for all. | 4/14/2022 6:47 PM |
| 205 | Thank you for consulting Lincolnshire County Council on the community governance review to decide if a new parish council or town council should be set up for Grantham. After careful consideration of the documentation, the view of the administration at LCC is that this is a matter best decided at a local level and that it neither supports nor objects to a new parish or town council being set up for Grantham. | 4/14/2022 5:15 PM |
| 206 | Present system works well. South Kesteven District Council based in Grantham. No more additional tax. Town council would just add another payment item to the annual council tax bill. | 4/14/2022 12:04 PM |
| 207 | More say should be given to people who live in Grantham. | 4/14/2022 11:13 AM |
| 208 | The village of Barrowby is already taken care of by the Parish Council and if we were incorporated into a Grantham Town Council I doubt we would have the dedicated work already provided by the Parish Council | 4/13/2022 6:52 PM |
| 209 | I don't trust the new boundaries not to swallow up my parish council area. Barrowby enjoys its current status and does not want to become part of a greater Grantham. | 4/13/2022 5:51 PM |
| 210 | A town the size of Grantham should have its own town council, especially as smaller towns in the county have theirs. | 4/13/2022 5:44 PM |
| 211 | We shouldn't be governed by Lincoln. A parish council would benefit the town instead of us being left out and at the bottom of the pile regarding funding. | 4/13/2022 4:48 PM |
| 212 | This would just be another layer of Bureaucracy /administration. More expense with no gain to the population of Grantham. It would appear that where this has been tried in other towns the residents are very dissatisfied with the costs involved - surely this should be the benchmark. | 4/13/2022 4:10 PM |
| 213 | As we live in a democracy I would expect this to be voted on by people living within the areas not represented by a parish or town council, it is them who would be affected not outlying areas who have parish councils already | 4/13/2022 2:11 PM |
| 214 | We already pay enough for people to decide town issues. Our thoughts are asked for but never taken notice of. We also don't want a one way system. | 4/13/2022 1:00 PM |
| 215 | Grantham has been left behind and this is because we have no-one looking after the Town's intereses. No local representation at present. | 4/12/2022 7:36 PM |
| 216 | Should be local people able to decide grantham future, the town is dying at the moment. | 4/12/2022 6:20 PM |
| 217 | Don't need another level/tier of the council that adds more cost to the council tax | 4/12/2022 5:54 PM |
| 218 | Local people should make decisions about local issues. | 4/12/2022 3:37 PM |
| 219 | It does not seem fair that other towns and areas have a local council but Grantham Does not | 4/12/2022 3:37 PM |
| 220 | Local taxes are enough of a burden,any further costs are unwelcome and unnecessary, especially at this time. | 4/12/2022 1:24 PM |
| 221 | I have wanted one for a number of years and support this review. | 4/12/2022 1:21 PM |
| 222 | Hopefully it will give the people of Grantham a greater say in the running of the town and area | 4/12/2022 1:10 PM |
| 223 | Its just another layer of Government which would increase the already high level of council tax residents have to pay. the town has survived without a town council since 1974 so it seems to | 4/12/2022 1:05 PM |

Community Governance Review Survey March 2022

| | | |
|-----|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------|
| | be a waste of money to bring one in now | |
| 224 | An anomaly that Grantham does not have same local representation as other towns in South Kesteven | 4/12/2022 12:54 PM |
| 225 | Yes but not now! We all have difficulty with finance at the moment and whatever the cost it will increase our burden. Even £10 would be an added problem | 4/12/2022 12:42 PM |
| 226 | Grantham seems to be the only place with no local council to assess projects and ideas which affect the whole of Grantham and seem to be decided by too many people who do not live, work or understand Grantham. We need a voice! | 4/12/2022 12:36 PM |
| 227 | We should be treated the same as Stamford and Bourne | 4/12/2022 12:31 PM |
| 228 | No to town council. Just another layer of administration with no increase of workers on the ground. the new admin would require salaries plus pension payments which rate payers would have to pay. | 4/12/2022 12:19 PM |
| 229 | There are functions that are either not being carried out by SKDC or are being provided for Grantham alone as other areas are parished or Grantham are being missed out. the charter trustees do not have the powers to manage these functions and were only ever seen as an interim measure when they were created. | 4/12/2022 12:11 PM |
| 230 | Local people have a better knowledge of their area and understand what is best for the local community | 4/12/2022 12:05 PM |
| 231 | Since local government re-organisation in 1974 and the denial of a town council for Grantham at that time it has become increasingly clear that the best interests of the town are not served by representation which, at both district and county level, is in the form of a small minority of the overall authority members. This lack of local accountable authority has resulted in the interests of the town being subordinated to the priorities of larger and wider bodies. This would appear to be particularly evident in the areas of residential planning development, transport and highways. With regard to the oft raised issue of cost, a town council with proper and relative powers should not, of itself, cause an increase as it is to be presumed that the costs incurred by both county and district councils will be reduced in direct relationship to the cost of services transferred to the town council; it is also for consideration as to whether or not the number of elected representatives on the current authorities should be reviewed to reflect their reduced activities. | 4/12/2022 11:50 AM |
| 232 | This is an opportunity for our Town to have more autonomy and not be run by unelected people on the archaic corrupt and secretive charter trustees. | 4/12/2022 8:14 AM |
| 233 | Decisions about Grantham should be made in Grantham | 4/12/2022 6:34 AM |
| 234 | this would be no more than a talking shop. expensive for tax payers and not improve local services any more than those already provided by skdc. | 4/11/2022 10:51 PM |
| 235 | This will increase costs. | 4/11/2022 8:58 PM |
| 236 | It would make the town more democratic and bring it in line with Stamford and Bourne. | 4/11/2022 5:37 PM |
| 237 | By virtue of its size, Grantham makes a substantial contribution to the funds of both Lincolnshire County and South Kesteven District Councils. Despite this the town is historically under-represented since re-organisation in 1974 and, therefore lacks a cohesive and corporate voice when decisions are made regarding its well-being. Examples of this are too numerous to identify but it is often the case that the differing imperatives of county and district council fail to come to a beneficial conclusion. Perhaps the most obvious example of this is the issue of highways and transport where only 4 of the 70 odd county councillors represent the town and 16 of the 56 district councillors are elected by the tax paying community of Grantham. I know there will be resistance from both councils to the proposal and it will be important to assume as many revenue generating responsibilities as possible whilst formulating a focussed and suitably dynamic body to scrutinise and challenge decisions made about the council with a view to exposing the potential disadvantages which they may bring to town. | 4/11/2022 5:36 PM |
| 238 | Might allow more money to be spent on the facilities of the town. | 4/11/2022 4:38 PM |
| 239 | I think if we have a Town Council we will have a better chance of having our democratic and local views heard by those in power. | 4/11/2022 4:24 PM |
| 240 | I don't see why we, in South Kesteven should have another level of government foisted on us | 4/11/2022 3:48 PM |

Community Governance Review Survey March 2022

| | | |
|-----|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------|
| | at, no doubt great expense. | |
| 241 | yet another layer of expensive beaurocracy | 4/11/2022 1:05 PM |
| 242 | It is extraordinary that Grantham is the only one of the four towns not to have a council to look after it specific interests. | 4/11/2022 12:52 PM |
| 243 | This is a question for Grantham residents to vote for and NOT residents of the parishes. | 4/11/2022 11:47 AM |
| 244 | Grantham has unique characteristics and needs, often different to the county as a whole. | 4/11/2022 11:04 AM |
| 245 | People who live or work in the town know whats needed best | 4/11/2022 10:23 AM |
| 246 | As long as ther would be benefits for the town. And also would this help in bringing the council tax rate down a little? | 4/11/2022 10:18 AM |
| 247 | A town council would seem the right way to go but with the high cots of council tax which is increasing every year, how much extra is the council tax going to be? With the extra charge for the council tax precept? | 4/11/2022 10:15 AM |
| 248 | This council and its councillors dont listen to the needs of the poeple and community. They vote behind closed doors amongst themselves so the people of the town dont actually seem to count. Its been far too long run by tory councillors who seem to think they know for the communiyu. I think a town council would be good for this flagging town. | 4/11/2022 10:07 AM |
| 249 | Only if no additional cost to pensioners | 4/11/2022 9:29 AM |
| 250 | No because we have 50+ councillors already (and LCC) and therefore we do not want any more duplicity in decision making but accountability. We already have a good system keeping parks, grass verges, litter bins, waste collection and recycling working. Any spare money can be put towards maintenance of school property, leisure and the arts. We could do with a good art hallery, dance hall, youth clubs for Cherry Orchard/ New Beacon Road area. Music-more provision in schools for less well off pupils. Hospitals= A and E need better facilities so lets push for that as well but no more committees. No. | 4/11/2022 9:06 AM |
| 251 | Effective operation of local issues are best developed by local people. Grantham it appears has been run by SKDC councillors from 40 miles away Deepings Stamford etc. This has allowed Grantham to decline in favour of the south of the county. TIME TO CHANGE | 4/11/2022 8:53 AM |
| 252 | There will inevitably be a cost to set up and run a new council. That cost would fall upon local council tax payers. We do not need another level of beaurocracy. | 4/10/2022 11:40 AM |
| 253 | I would like to see the unparished areas like the Barrowby Road developments included in the new boundaries. | 4/10/2022 11:21 AM |
| 254 | I need to know costs involved. It seems just another layer of of adminstration to pay for | 4/9/2022 12:02 PM |
| 255 | SKDC does a great job in Grantham; a swap to a new town council could result in a disruption or reduction in quality of services. It is not clear from the material provided who would actually carry out the day to day work of the town council. Presumably SKDC staff (not the councillors) carry out the councillors' decisions and it is not clear whether a new town council would (a) simply be given some of the existing SKDC staff transferred to them, (b) have an arrangement to give work to existing SKDC staff, or (c) need to hire new town staff. | 4/9/2022 8:18 AM |
| 256 | Would like to know costs BUT when using the click here options to find the costs out it fails to tell of any costs so without the full information it is more difficult to make a decision! Like the idea of a very local government for the town though in general. | 4/8/2022 5:11 PM |
| 257 | In the present financial climate working people and retired people on fixed incomes can ill afford to incur any additional expense to fund anymore council vanity projects. | 4/8/2022 4:27 PM |
| 258 | I would like Grantham to make its own decisions on planning etc... | 4/8/2022 3:01 PM |
| 259 | Would welcome this as an oppportunity to focus some actions directly on Grantham and the close proximity villages. | 4/8/2022 2:47 PM |
| 260 | Grantham is a significant town within South Kesteven and as such should have an appropriate say over its own affairs. A town council would provide this. | 4/8/2022 12:02 PM |
| 261 | This action is not required, will increase bureaucracy and increase cost by adding an additional layer of costly wasteful staffing. A town council of Grantham will have a serious detrimental | 4/8/2022 5:36 AM |

Community Governance Review Survey March 2022

| | | |
|-----|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------|
| | impact on surrounding parishes. | |
| 262 | I think decisions for the town should be made by the people that live here. | 4/7/2022 6:00 PM |
| 263 | Similar to Brexit i believe we should have the ability to make the decisions that are going to affect our town not a detached committee with a wider agenda. | 4/7/2022 5:27 PM |
| 264 | It is important that as many decisions affecting local communities as possible are taken at the most local level possible. | 4/7/2022 4:21 PM |
| 265 | It is not clear what additional benefit this would bring to me/the town for the extra cost involved. I do not consider that I get good value for money for the amount of council tax I pay for Band E, so I am not in favour of paying any more. | 4/7/2022 2:59 PM |
| 266 | at the moment grantham is partly controled by councilers from bourn stamford the deepings | 4/7/2022 2:06 PM |
| 267 | It would constitute a further level of bureaucracy and expense. | 4/7/2022 12:53 PM |
| 268 | This action is not required, will increase bureaucracy and increase costs by adding an additional layer of costly wasteful staffing. A town council of Grantham will have a serious detrimental impact on surrounding parishes. | 4/7/2022 12:44 PM |
| 269 | The town is well served by SKDC | 4/7/2022 11:46 AM |
| 270 | Give residents of Grantham more say in how the town is developed and it's future. | 4/7/2022 10:45 AM |
| 271 | Would expect those contributing to the growth of Grantham (commercial and residential developments) to contribute heavily to this | 4/6/2022 9:14 PM |
| 272 | It is simply not necessary | 4/6/2022 8:00 PM |
| 273 | Decision making should be as local as possible | 4/6/2022 5:58 PM |
| 274 | The more power and decisions rest locally the better. | 4/6/2022 5:56 PM |
| 275 | More ownership by the people of Grantham and less things being imposed from those that know very little about our town. | 4/6/2022 4:47 PM |
| 276 | What is the point of another costly layer of inadequate local bureaucracy? Would this town council get 24hr A&E services reinstated? Would this town council ensure proper road repairs are undertaken? Would this town council reverse the proposed town centre road changes? Would this town council ensure that the Pennine Way road bridge is built by the developers? Probably no to all of those but no doubt would come up with other unwanted schemes to justify its existence. | 4/6/2022 3:59 PM |
| 277 | A lot would depend on the costs of the proposal. In the current economic straits, additional Council Tax costs would not be welcomed by the residents, even if it purports to improve representation. | 4/6/2022 1:59 PM |
| 278 | Local interests not general | 4/6/2022 1:51 PM |
| 279 | I would need to know the additional cost or anticipated cost before making an informed decision. It would also be good to get an idea of the services that would be taken on and the benefit of doing them locally as opposed to the current trustees. | 4/5/2022 6:50 PM |
| 280 | The town is dying on its feet. Take control and help it prosper. | 4/5/2022 3:35 PM |
| 281 | Will be an unnecessary increase in costs and council tax bills. | 4/5/2022 3:06 PM |
| 282 | Current arrangement is fine. This will only add extra costs and increase our council tax bills. | 4/5/2022 3:00 PM |
| 283 | I don't think it would make a significant amount of difference but could drive council tax much higher than at present. At this time the cost of living is high enough. | 4/5/2022 11:30 AM |
| 284 | Feel a Grantham council would be able to concentrate on local problems as would have a greater understanding of immediate needs rather than one size fits all | 4/5/2022 10:45 AM |
| 285 | The district doesnt need any further layers of bureaucracy. The implementation of a town council will require a town clerk which will mean a salary with on costs of possibly £70k. The council tax payers of Grantham will have to pick up this bill | 4/5/2022 10:41 AM |
| 286 | I do not think that 3 levels of local government are necessary. My preferred system would be | 4/5/2022 10:38 AM |

Community Governance Review Survey March 2022

| | | |
|-----|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------|
| | the County Council and town and parish councils, removing the district councils | |
| 287 | It'll just pay for another talking shop | 4/5/2022 10:26 AM |
| 288 | We can see no point in setting up an additional organisation as we are adequately represented by councillors in particula wards. it would seem to be an expensive and unnecessary piece of bureaucracy. If there are difficulties with boundaries resolve these anyway to clarify town boundaries | 4/5/2022 10:23 AM |
| 289 | The 'precept' should be included or lower than Stamford minus the £50 special expense area charge. Increase should certainly be no more than £30 | 4/5/2022 10:19 AM |
| 290 | We do not believe that the additional fees associated with forming a town council for Grantham would be beneficial to our community. This exercise is a complete waste of money for our ever increasing council tax. | 4/5/2022 10:18 AM |
| 291 | This is not the time for this additional cost per household. There are a large number of families in the area who are virtually on the poverty line - why should they add unnecessary costs for another layer of bureaucracy that will be as ineffectual as the current district and county councillors? So little is being done for the items listed -no more lacksadical people who only want to be thought of as people of power. POT HOLES GALORE! | 4/5/2022 9:22 AM |
| 292 | We would have more say to what happens in our own town | 4/5/2022 9:17 AM |
| 293 | Local decisions by local people | 4/5/2022 7:49 AM |
| 294 | I am happy with the District Council, I don't see the need. | 4/4/2022 8:17 PM |
| 295 | The expectation is this will add cost and bureaucracy. | 4/4/2022 5:50 PM |
| 296 | Yes-because it allows for matters to be dealt with locally and; No - because when living in a previous area I had both a parish and Town council paying double for the same thing E. G. Bin costs, council tax additions etc. | 4/4/2022 5:39 PM |
| 297 | The existing council is too big and Grantham itself would be better served financially and practically with its own council | 4/4/2022 4:46 PM |
| 298 | I strongly believe that Grantham needs a town council. | 4/4/2022 4:17 PM |
| 299 | Local people more able to decide on local issues. | 4/4/2022 4:13 PM |
| 300 | Grantham has needed a voice for years. SKDC has let the town down and it is crying out for careful and cosndiered leadership to make more of what it has to offer and make much needed improvements to the town | 4/4/2022 3:57 PM |
| 301 | No comment | 4/4/2022 3:22 PM |
| 302 | We need a council more specific to the town. However this should not add more layers of government. I would merge North and South Kesteven back into kesteven take the county council as top layer | 4/4/2022 3:19 PM |
| 303 | a. Knowing there will be a cost to having a parish council, under the present economic climate the country is now in i think to ask for more money from families is wrong b. It is hard to make a considered opinion on something when in the information provided, you cannot provide cost and what it will provide. c. Just another level of bureaucracy that will cost the taxpayer more d. if the parish council is to take away some of the decision making of the district and county council then i would expect some of the council tax to be lowered proportionately. | 4/4/2022 3:13 PM |
| 304 | The town of Grantham would be well served by a town council which could focus on the needs of the town. As the town is expanding it would give the local community a distinct voice. I'm just surprised hta this has not been in place before. | 4/4/2022 3:06 PM |
| 305 | The town definitely needs funding from somewhere. Better transport links to the main city of Lincoln would really help people who dont drivehave better access to jobs,schools and further education. | 4/4/2022 2:25 PM |
| 306 | To provide better service to people | 4/4/2022 2:19 PM |
| 307 | The last time we had a town council it was full of rogues who were only interested in feathering their own nest. There is too much of a likelihood of corruption when councillors have a say in local planning | 4/4/2022 2:16 PM |

Community Governance Review Survey March 2022

| | | |
|-----|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------|
| 308 | The council tax is expensive enough as it is without adding more costs to it | 4/4/2022 2:07 PM |
| 309 | I think Grantham to have its own town or parish council to manage its own affairs, rather than decisions taken by SKDC | 4/4/2022 2:03 PM |
| 310 | In the current financial situation in our country it would be unwise to create new governing organisations and ask people to pay increasing council tax. I would suggest to look at reducing council tax expenditure instead. | 4/4/2022 2:00 PM |
| 311 | The existing Charter Trustees was only suppose to be temporary whilst a LOCAL Town Council was established. We have no democratic representation in Grantham. | 4/4/2022 1:49 PM |
| 312 | its time the people of Grantham were responsible for their own affairs | 4/4/2022 12:33 PM |
| 313 | The population of Grantham is already too great to have all decisions taken remotely. As a growth town, it is important to bring as much decision making as possible to the local residents. | 4/4/2022 10:52 AM |
| 314 | Grantham needs a council to represent the voice of residents | 4/4/2022 10:03 AM |
| 315 | grantham council for grantham people not for outsiders to tell us what to do | 4/4/2022 9:44 AM |
| 316 | Over several decades successive SKDC Councillors have sat on the sidelines while Grantham has changed from a thriving market town into a tatty back water. Grantham needs a Town Council to work for the town and not just "rubber stamp" ideas put forward by the other Councillors working for their Towns....Bourne the Deepings and, (as I have been told on numerous occasions!!) the jewel in the crown Stamford! The Council, SKDC has let numerous developers ride roughshod over the limits put on planning consents eg: David Wilson NOT building the bridge linking Barrowby Road to Gonerby Road thus alleviating the daily road block at the Barrowby Road/Asda roundabout not to mention taking lorries away from the notorious Barrowby Road bridge. And who thought building McDonalds at Gainsborough Corner was a good idea?? If Councillors have no interest in Grantham they cannot be expected to take an interest in the town. Allowing Downtown to build a super store at Gonerby Moor was the death knell for the "good" shops in the town, and now apparently the council are delighted that a new Shopping Village is to be built at the other end of the town....(yes creating jobs but all poorly paid), say goodbye to the few shops left. We need Councillors to tap the enthusiasm of the young families who are in the town and willing to take part and help with new ideas. One only has to look at the increase in the congregation and the number of choirs at St Wulfram's church since Father Stuart Craddock arrived. It's no good Councillors sitting enjoying meetings they need to be seen around the town, in the areas they represent and then they would realise that most of the populous think we live in a "dump" with a useless council! It's no good blaming Lincs CC, which is all too easy, we need councillors to work for us and tell Lincs CC what Grantham needs! | 4/3/2022 4:59 PM |
| 317 | I have no faith that in reality there will be any improvement in services other than residents experiencing increase in bills to feed another layer of bureaucracy with no case made as to how exactly services will improve. I believe current accountability systems in place are adequate | 4/3/2022 4:53 PM |
| 318 | Over several decades now successive SKDC Councillors have watched as Grantham has lost its industry and consequently well paid jobs, welcomed endless poor housing and let developers ride roughshod over the restrictions that were put on the Planning consents, eg: David Wilson NOT building the bridge over the railway to create a through road from Barrowby Road to Gonerby Road to helping to alleviate the daily congestion at the Barrowby Road/Asda roundabout. AND who thought putting McDonalds at Gainsborough Corner was a good idea? Allowing Downtown to build a Super store at Gonerby Moor sounded the death knell for all the "good" shops in the town and now Councillors are delighted to "welcome" a so-called shopping village at the other end of Grantham, creating jobs "Yes", but low paid ones! Say "goodbye" to the few shops that are left. Stamford, Bourne and the Deepings, all with their own Town Councils, have lovely individual shops and Stamford in particular a thriving market. Councillors are quick to blame Lincs CC but it seems to me observing the decline of Grantham that no one will stand up for our town. No wonder the populous at large think its a "dump" and nothing can be done to change things, we need Councillors working for Grantham, being enthusiastic and not just thinking, (as I have often heard), "Oh Grantham People won't like it.", whatever "it" is! We have lovely buildings, many listed and looking at the outside of the "George Centre" some not even being maintained, (another mad decision by the way, and the planning consents were not adhered to! What happened to the beautiful fireplaces etc that should have stayed ?). A Town Council is essential to tap the energy of all the young families, (you only have to look at | 4/3/2022 4:31 PM |

Community Governance Review Survey March 2022

the growth of congregations and choirs since Father Stuart Craddock arrived at St Wulfram's to see what can be done), who want the town to be a place they can be proud of and bring their families up in a happy, pleasant and safe environment.

| | | |
|-----|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------|
| 319 | It would bring Grantham into line with places like Stamford and Bourne. | 4/3/2022 4:02 PM |
| 320 | <p>A town council might/ should remedy the complete indifference SKDC has for Grantham. For example the difficulty apparent in trying to access this questionnaire using a Google web browser. There is no obvious or easy answer as to why https://www.surveymonkey.co.uk/r/CGR-SKDC isn't clearly shown as the preferred access point to the online consultation process. Also the PDF website clearly and apparently incorrectly states consultation closes on 8th April. More substantively, and from knowledge of Grantham, the town has been in serious decline since the 1974 re-organisation. Major companies have closed and 1,000's of important job opportunities have been lost, eg Aveling Barford, Ruston Hornsby, Coles Cranes, MARCO (Oerlikon), British Rail (Marshalling and Maintenance,etc), and local government (WKRDC, Grantham Borough Council. SKDC has consistently added "low quality" housing into Grantham and the consequent influx of low paid and welfare benefit-based residents has seriously detracted from the Town's previous economic wealth/per household. This in turn has resulted in the loss of the town's long-established "better" shops e.g. Tiplers, Halls, Dixons(Furniture), Cammocks, Marks and Spencers, Woolworths, Burtons, Chambers, Co-op Departmental, Arbons, etc. In fact, the whole High Street. Obviously many of these employers would have experienced difficulties throughout this period BUT SKDC seems to have done very little if anything to support and sustain the Town's former glory. Equally former Town amenities such as the two Lido have been closed, the Saturday Market is a shadow of it's former self, Grantham FA is now no better than a pub team, the road system is a total disgrace and Lincolnshire CC has been allowed to "get away with it" - indeed there is evidence to show that SKDC often failed to appear and represent the Town's interests alongside other DC's in consultative meetings established by Lincs CC. The importance of Grantham to the Country's strategic transport importance in terms of it's East-West/ North-South road and rail links has been largely ignored and are apparently no longer considered to be important. The list is virtually endless. The SKDC obviously overwhelmed by majority representations from Councillors acting for SKDC's already established Town Councils ensure that their respective areas are favoured with better Parking facilities (and lower charges), public amenities, less "social" (public and private) housing, and better investment in roads systems. It is also notable that SKDC has failed to appoint good staff (especially Chief Executives) capable of advising SKDC members of "best practise" in local government (such as the dangers of the Council being overwhelmed by a majority of Members supporting their individual Town Councils rather than the District Council as a whole ("deals" being done between Councillors when voting to exclude areas within the District NOT represented by a specific Town Council - a point well illustrated by the disproportionate advantages enjoyed by Stamford, Bourne, and the Deepings.</p> | 4/3/2022 2:09 PM |
| 321 | I believe the information is vague, eg, such as how much it is going to cost, but I can only see additional cost involved. Under the present cost of living crisis, I feel the council should be doing all it can to reduce costs to it's residents, not increase them. As pensioners we only have a limited income at our disposal. | 4/3/2022 1:17 PM |
| 322 | More levels of burococy | 4/3/2022 12:56 PM |
| 323 | I am fed up with councillors outside of Grantham deciding what is best for Grantham. Councillors from Lincoln, Bourne, Stamford, etc always decide to do things for Grantham without consultation with the people of Grantham and when they do consult with the people of Grantham, they ignore the suggestions made by the people of Grantham. Its very annoying. | 4/3/2022 10:37 AM |
| 324 | Grantham town plays second fiddle to Stamford and Bourne. | 4/3/2022 10:33 AM |
| 325 | Council Tax costs are already high, unless the Council Tax that goes to SKDC and LCC can be offset against any Town Council, I'm not sure what any Town council will add to the already high levels of beauracacry in LCC | 4/3/2022 9:39 AM |
| 326 | Seems the outskirts of grantham have local council for their interests but the town which is ever expanding in population doesn't. The town needs a local heart. | 4/2/2022 7:59 PM |
| 327 | We are currently led and decisions about local matters are made from too far away | 4/2/2022 5:17 PM |
| 328 | We need a voice in what happens in our part of the district especially with the threat of becoming a unitary authority in the future. | 4/2/2022 3:27 PM |

Community Governance Review Survey March 2022

| | | |
|-----|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------|
| 329 | I think decisions about Grantham should be made where possible by people in Grantham. | 4/2/2022 12:23 PM |
| 330 | Grantham needs an organisation to ensure the town has a long term, coordinated plan to improve the town. The way traffic has been planned over the last 10 years, the planners disregard for the potential to beautify the town (as demonstrated by the planning given to Dominoes and Sallys Buildings, indicates a cost only approach that has no consideration for the long term effects on the town and its people. | 4/2/2022 10:09 AM |
| 331 | It will ensure consistency across the district and ensure parity regarding decision making. | 4/2/2022 6:37 AM |
| 332 | Stop Grantham being the unimportant, forgotten poor relation. | 4/2/2022 1:25 AM |
| 333 | This is something that is long overdue. Grantham has been disadvantaged for years when decisions have been taken and enforced by members with no connection to Grantham. Let's set up a town council ASAP. | 4/1/2022 7:26 PM |
| 334 | Something which is long overdue. Grantham is disadvantaged in subjects such as planning development where important decisions regarding Grantham are decided by members from other parts of the District. | 4/1/2022 7:18 PM |
| 335 | More beauracracy and more people to pay. Add little value | 4/1/2022 6:32 PM |
| 336 | SKDC need to make their decision making process more efficient and transparent rather than introduce another layer of unnecessary bureaucracy which we as council tax payer will have to foot. | 4/1/2022 4:01 PM |
| 337 | we are paying enough out in allowances without adding to it | 4/1/2022 3:04 PM |
| 338 | Present arrangements are perfectly adequate | 4/1/2022 1:06 PM |
| 339 | I think we should have our own Town Council to make decisions on what happens in our own Tiwn and surrounding villages | 4/1/2022 11:39 AM |
| 340 | More cost to local taxpayers | 4/1/2022 9:28 AM |
| 341 | Grantham is a substantial town and needs its own voice to ensure its interests are looked after | 3/31/2022 11:11 PM |
| 342 | depends on how much extra we have to pay for the privillage of having a town council | 3/31/2022 5:27 PM |
| 343 | Any additional layer of governing bodies cost more as the councilors would require a building to operate from. This would cost an additional considerable sum. Our local parish only has the minimal cost of hiring a hall for meetings. Councilors would be claiming expenses (as do SKDC councilors). Our local parish councilors only claim minimal amounts for the cost of long journeys etc and not for attendance at meetings etc. The existing arrangement of Charter Trustees is a historical system the should not be lost. | 3/31/2022 5:03 PM |
| 344 | I did not realise that Grantham was different to the other towns in South Kesteven by not having its own town council. In practice this would be a good idea, encouraging local decision making. Looking at the information sent each parish has a list of responsibilities which do differ slightly. How would this be decided for Grantham? I also have concerns regarding encouraging people to vote. | 3/31/2022 3:42 PM |
| 345 | Dependant on Financial implications | 3/31/2022 3:14 PM |
| 346 | Yes, we need one as SKDC's councillors are mostly from out of the town and Stamford has one for example and is a much better kept town/area. | 3/31/2022 3:11 PM |
| 347 | Presently SKDC provides 6 services for almost double the cost of the 13 provided in Bourne. Our town has become increasingly shabby over the years and is in dire need of some closer management and attention. It has been an important market town in its day and is now a shadow of its former self - and a disgrace when compared to towns like Stamford. | 3/31/2022 1:25 PM |
| 348 | Prefer to remain as we are | 3/31/2022 12:22 PM |
| 349 | It would be beneficial for elected town councillors, who know the town, to make decisions at a local level. | 3/31/2022 11:56 AM |
| 350 | It is unfair that the SKDC is taking decisions re Grantham unlike the other centres of population in the District | 3/31/2022 10:17 AM |
| 351 | This seems unnecessary and only adds a further layer of administration which needs to be | 3/31/2022 8:39 AM |

Community Governance Review Survey March 2022

| | | |
|-----|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------|
| | paid for by council taxpayers | |
| 352 | As a Barrowby resident the idea of my picture postcard village becoming a part of Grantham Town fills me with horror, it's a terrible idea and clearly designed to fill the funding gap you have created through poor management | 3/30/2022 8:21 PM |
| 353 | Additional costs through Council Tax for residents to pay for this at this time with the cost of living crisis is the last thing we need | 3/30/2022 7:22 PM |
| 354 | It is time for a body that has a more obvious interest in our town than the current arrangement. | 3/30/2022 4:48 PM |
| 355 | Can see little benefit that would justify the Increased cost to council tax payers. | 3/30/2022 4:43 PM |
| 356 | More democratic. | 3/30/2022 3:24 PM |
| 357 | - The services required by Grantham town are already being met. - This change would create another level of bureaucracy. - Additional Councillors creates additional expense, which will be met by a town's precept not currently charged | 3/30/2022 1:32 PM |
| 358 | To allow more involvement in all aspects of decision making for Grantham residents | 3/30/2022 12:56 PM |
| 359 | Because we should be able to have our say | 3/30/2022 11:49 AM |
| 360 | We need a town council with Granthams need at heart. At the moment i sometimes feel that SKDC have prioritised other towns in the area. We should be a lovely market town but we are not due to planning decisions over many years. We seem to have lost the heart in the centre of Grantham | 3/30/2022 11:42 AM |
| 361 | If this will improve Grantham as a whole, then yes we should have a town council | 3/30/2022 11:37 AM |
| 362 | We need local decisions by local people, otherwise we get left out | 3/30/2022 11:23 AM |
| 363 | Yes, then maybe Grantham won't be overlooked so much in the future for services & ammenities. Provided the cost added to council tax is not ridiculous. The 3 examples you give are of towns South of Grantham with higher costs of living. I expect the cost implications here to be much less. | 3/30/2022 11:22 AM |
| 364 | always had town council | 3/30/2022 11:14 AM |
| 365 | Given the growth of Grantham and the new housing we consider this to be essential and will give Grantham its own identity. | 3/30/2022 11:12 AM |
| 366 | I look at Stamford and Bourne and give the impression that someone cares about the towns. Not the case at Grantham | 3/30/2022 11:05 AM |
| 367 | Unnecessary expense without other governance cutbacks. | 3/30/2022 11:02 AM |
| 368 | Could be of benefit to have a council which is Grantham focused! However i do not wish to have another level of bureaucracy just for the sake of it! | 3/30/2022 11:00 AM |
| 369 | Its a waste of money | 3/30/2022 10:55 AM |
| 370 | As long as jobs are not duplicated, also it has a voice in decision making for the future of the town. The cost must not be excessive to council tax payers | 3/30/2022 10:53 AM |
| 371 | Judging by the figures given for other towns, the amount we will have to pay will rise considerably. The only benefit seems to be being a consultee on planning but with no actual ability to actually determine any | 3/30/2022 10:39 AM |
| 372 | As items need to be discussed to make thing happen a lot quicker and usually you find this happens with a town council | 3/30/2022 10:27 AM |
| 373 | Probably good idea if it doesn't add to the rates | 3/30/2022 9:56 AM |
| 374 | It will be better for the people of Grantham | 3/30/2022 9:24 AM |
| 375 | I believe that Grantham being one of the larger towns of Lincolnshire and South Kesteven should have its own voice when planning of all kinds is proposed. | 3/30/2022 9:20 AM |
| 376 | Local accountability and democracy is important. Grantham should be brought into line with other towns of it's size including Bourne and Stamford in having it's own elected town council. | 3/29/2022 8:27 PM |

Community Governance Review Survey March 2022

| | | |
|-----|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------|
| 377 | A new parish/town council would add a greater financial burden on people living in Grantham and would not add any value to services provided in Grantham. It may even lead to a decrease in the quality of services provided (due to lack of local funds). | 3/29/2022 4:37 PM |
| 378 | Yes, a good idea for Grantham to have a parish or town council. Grantham is well situated and needs an active town council, with local representatives | 3/29/2022 3:39 PM |
| 379 | Grantham has been left with little development in the town for too long. The state of the roads with the heavy traffic has been left for way too long. This could mean that money is spent on appropriate services. | 3/29/2022 3:33 PM |
| 380 | Grantham and its people need a focal point to raise issues of local concern, lobby for change, improvements to amenities and services, and to give the opportunity for elected representatives a forum for joint and collective working for a clear benefit to residents. The current arrangement of an array of district and county councillors appears unstructured and unaccountable. Although these roles will be presumably unchanged by the introduction of a town council, the latter will provide a more public facing front which should aid communication. | 3/29/2022 3:26 PM |
| 381 | Since there are much smaller towns that have a town council, Grantham should now be included. | 3/29/2022 1:34 PM |
| 382 | No it's just another cost for us to pay with no real decisions or improvements to the town being made | 3/29/2022 12:32 PM |
| 383 | Greater local accountability | 3/29/2022 10:44 AM |
| 384 | I feel Grantham having its own Parish Council will involve the local population more and create an increased sense of community and involvement. | 3/28/2022 5:08 PM |
| 385 | The council have wasted many thousands of pounds over the years. Pulling down a perfectly good office building and putting in a walkway between St Catherines Road and St Peters Hill that is barely used. Erecting a statue that no one wants. Other projects like building housing on a much needed car park, a new bus station and offices on station road and other projects that never came to anything but still cost a lot of money. Creating traffic chaos by altering traffic flow. Given the current financial situation it would be very wrong to increase the taxes we are struggling to pay | 3/28/2022 2:42 PM |
| 386 | It is not necessary to add administrative cost to the area | 3/28/2022 2:07 PM |
| 387 | Parish or town council would be what this area needs | 3/28/2022 1:59 PM |
| 388 | A town council set up of: one elected town mayor (by public election not councillors) plus one elected councillor from each ward of Grantham town area which is accountable to public of Grantham wards | 3/28/2022 1:50 PM |
| 389 | Grantham town is disappearing. I would like to think a town council would bring it back to life for children, grandchildren and future generations. | 3/28/2022 1:46 PM |
| 390 | Locally elected people could run things better than those on SKDC who come from all over the district and don't have local knowledge of how local people want their town to be run. They would be easier to talk to as they should know Grantham better than say someone living in Market Deeping etc | 3/28/2022 1:40 PM |
| 391 | Grantham does not require a further level of governance with all the added red tape that produces | 3/28/2022 1:15 PM |
| 392 | Grantham should have a town council to better represent the people who live in Grantham | 3/28/2022 12:25 PM |
| 393 | To improve the life of residents in Grantham | 3/28/2022 12:19 PM |
| 394 | The town centre apart from the Guildhall needs some TLC. Flower boxes, a lick of paint in some of the buildings not occupied. | 3/28/2022 12:13 PM |
| 395 | If other towns in SKDC have town councils I do not see why Grantham should not | 3/28/2022 12:04 PM |
| 396 | Will bring governance of town closer to the people and become more accountable | 3/28/2022 11:55 AM |
| 397 | No, there is enough bureaucracy already. | 3/28/2022 11:54 AM |
| 398 | Enough time and money is already wasted by SKDC and LCC councillors having repeated meetings to make no decisions but claim their expenses every time. Spending the money on | 3/28/2022 11:51 AM |

Community Governance Review Survey March 2022

infrastructure would be better as would one regulatory authority for the county. Enough Council Tax is already wasted.

| | | |
|-----|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------|
| 399 | Grantham has specific potential and problems which have not been adequately serviced by SKDC. In this respect Grantham deserves the same treatment as for example the other market towns | 3/28/2022 11:41 AM |
| 400 | It would cost too much | 3/28/2022 10:31 AM |
| 401 | I'd need to see a clear explanation of benefits compared to the current arrangements. | 3/28/2022 9:32 AM |
| 402 | To take a direct representation of Grantham, in its current form it seems to slip between South Kesteven and Lincolnshire with no obvious consideration to the declining town and its roads. | 3/27/2022 3:35 PM |
| 403 | It is important that decisions about tax, planning and local priorities are taken in Grantham. The town council would be a great democratic body to lobby for the hospital, local planning and improvements to the A1. At present the people of Grantham have no organised democratic voice on such matters | 3/27/2022 1:35 PM |
| 404 | Local representatives should be involved in decisions which affect the town e.g. I can't imagine local people would have been involved in the current traffic system which causes congestion on all parts of the town. Those who make decisions about the town should be accountable to the residents. | 3/27/2022 12:48 PM |
| 405 | Local representatives should be involved in decisions which affect the town. I can't imagine that local people would have agreed to a traffic system which causes traffic jams all over the town which is the current situation. Those who make the decisions affecting the the town should be accountable to the residents. I read that the Charter Trustees are accused of secrecy - are the minutes of meetings not available for public scrutiny? Grantham has no art gallery which is a very sad omission, I hope that should a town council is appointed they will correct this. | 3/27/2022 12:31 PM |
| 406 | So we can have more of a say over our dying town and hopefully have shops that are open again and not have to pay extortionate rent prices to people in London who'd prefer an empty shop over an open one. | 3/27/2022 11:22 AM |
| 407 | I only moved to Grantham 9 years ago but I was shocked when I heard that it did not have a town council but that Stamford, Bourne and The Deepings did have. It seemed scandalous that the largest town in the SKDC area was disenfranchised at this level, instead making do with decisions made by those who did not have our best interests at heart. I think this shows alarmingly in the different conditions of the towns, ie Grantham vs the others and the general lack of civic pride there is in Grantham. Sure, a town council won't change things overnight but it will help to create a better forum for choice and pride, as well as overall ambition for the town which, as you say, is growing rapidly. | 3/26/2022 7:31 PM |
| 408 | It's been a long time coming! | 3/26/2022 3:04 PM |
| 409 | Yes. It will serve the people of Grantham fairly. | 3/26/2022 12:33 PM |
| 410 | I don't think we should be different from the other towns in SKDC | 3/26/2022 12:04 PM |
| 411 | I can see no reason for this since I understand the Government will make us have one in due course. I find this a total waste of taxpayers money.I agree the Charter Trustees need replacing asap but that is all | 3/26/2022 9:23 AM |
| 412 | We need more control over our future. Grantham should definitely have a town council. | 3/25/2022 11:35 AM |
| 413 | Need to know the cost to the Taxpayer | 3/24/2022 12:18 PM |
| 414 | Better for understanding local issues | 3/24/2022 11:18 AM |
| 415 | Works well as is | 3/24/2022 10:10 AM |
| 416 | In the present climate of rising prices and inflation now is not the time to put an added expenditure onto our Council Tax. | 3/23/2022 11:24 PM |
| 417 | Another layer of bureaucracy seems unnecessary when the district council appears to be doing a relatively good job in the areas the town council proposes to encompass | 3/23/2022 8:55 PM |
| 418 | yes as we ned to make our own decisions | 3/23/2022 5:51 PM |

Community Governance Review Survey March 2022

| | | |
|-----|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------|
| 419 | another layer of government without getting rid one of the other layers. | 3/23/2022 4:54 PM |
| 420 | I think its a very good idea to set up a parish or town council. Having only lived in Grantham for five years but i lived previously in Ripley Derbyshire for 70 years. They had a parish/town council for probably over 100 years, so I know how beneficial it is to a busy town | 3/23/2022 4:45 PM |
| 421 | There is quite enough government in all its forms without adding another layer. Its a matter of small government doing significantly less and significantly better | 3/23/2022 4:43 PM |
| 422 | We need a specific voice as the other towns have | 3/23/2022 4:38 PM |
| 423 | It is important that those making decisions for the area are elected officials so they they can be better held to account | 3/23/2022 3:51 PM |
| 424 | For too long skdc councillors from other towns have made decisions that do not concern them, often it is based on money not what is good for Grantham | 3/23/2022 3:26 PM |
| 425 | Concerned about extra costs added to council tax | 3/23/2022 3:20 PM |
| 426 | Could you please please explain to council tax payers via the grantham journal why oh why thousands of pounds have been wasted on postage and envelopes for this , when they could have been put in with the council tax bill. maybe this sort of unnecessary wastage of funds is one of the first things that should be addressed | 3/23/2022 3:17 PM |
| 427 | It seems reasonable to have a council specifically for the unparished areas of Grantham. | 3/23/2022 2:22 PM |
| 428 | A very good idea to stand on our own | 3/23/2022 10:58 AM |
| 429 | Yes absolutely. Start by bringing back the Grantham hospital A&E 24/7. That should be a priority. | 3/23/2022 8:16 AM |
| 430 | I do not wish to see an additional layer of government and the costs of this. | 3/22/2022 9:27 PM |
| 431 | Bad enough now with Councillors of the County & District Councils not sorting things out. Dont want another layer of Councillors who haven't a glue. More money to pay in Council Tax, bad enough now with Special Expenses & Grantham(3) extra for whatever this is for. The state of the roads in Grantham with potholes, sunken ironwork/drain covers and what have the current Councillors done about it - nothing. Where I live the road hasn't been swept and the weeds are still growing out of the kerbs. | 3/22/2022 5:31 PM |
| 432 | Depending if Grantham is Grantham boundaries only or all the small associate places surrounding it | 3/22/2022 4:47 PM |
| 433 | I have read your information. I think a town of Grantham's size should have a town council. Locals know what is best for locals. I have no problem with SKDC. I hope you can both work together | 3/22/2022 4:34 PM |
| 434 | grantham and its surrounds need all the help it can get | 3/22/2022 4:05 PM |
| 435 | grantham needs all the help it can get | 3/22/2022 4:01 PM |
| 436 | It is impossible to complete this survey as there is insufficient information about how much it will actually cost the ratepayer. | 3/22/2022 3:28 PM |
| 437 | Will be democratic and offer more things for Grantham. | 3/22/2022 2:44 PM |
| 438 | Local council should be able to make best decisions on local changes etc | 3/22/2022 1:54 PM |
| 439 | Its about time the town had a full council not a makeshift one | 3/22/2022 1:48 PM |
| 440 | SKDC all ok | 3/22/2022 1:46 PM |
| 441 | I'm generally in favour of government being as local as possible. To have a town/parish council will give local representation for Grantham free from competing issues arising from outside the given area | 3/22/2022 1:41 PM |
| 442 | The other towns in the area already have their own councils | 3/22/2022 12:47 PM |
| 443 | Once formed it will want its own staff and buildings incurring extra costs on top of existing council tax. I see little return for this extra cost | 3/22/2022 12:10 PM |
| 444 | A Town Council would be able to better represent the interest of the people of Grantham | 3/22/2022 11:49 AM |

Community Governance Review Survey March 2022

| | | |
|-----|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------|
| 445 | Good idea and the roads might get repaired. they are dangerous and hazardous with all these potholes and drains collapsing. Also perhaps a local council could sort the hospital out. the old stone building needs renovating and utilizing as it looks disgraceful. needs sorting before it collapses. | 3/22/2022 11:45 AM |
| 446 | Dont understand why we have not had a town council before. We need people living locally to try and appreciate what the town is all about | 3/22/2022 11:36 AM |
| 447 | Extra cost No benefit Extra bureaucracy | 3/22/2022 11:07 AM |
| 448 | It is very much needed for the size of the town | 3/22/2022 11:00 AM |
| 449 | The district council already carries out the essential services which a town council would do and a town council would probably still contract these back to the district. All that a town council would achieve would be to increase the local precept to cover its own admin expenses (including a well paid job for a town clerk) | 3/22/2022 10:58 AM |
| 450 | I do think if the levels of authority powers change Grantham would be need a town council so the residents have a voice. I am very worried about the cost of the introduction of a town council on my household bills . | 3/22/2022 10:54 AM |
| 451 | Given the size of Grantham, the proposed housing and the need for a focus on improvement, I believe it's imperative that Grantham has a town council | 3/22/2022 10:46 AM |
| 452 | So local people have control over local issues | 3/22/2022 10:40 AM |
| 453 | Waste of time and money on the overburdened rate payers of Grantham. An exercise for councillors to achieve a big headed approach in the community. | 3/22/2022 9:20 AM |
| 454 | I think the district council are doing a good job with regards local parks and community events. I can't see much benefit in another tier of government | 3/21/2022 8:40 PM |
| 455 | I have only lived in Grantham a short while, yet the growth of Grantham continues and will continue to grow at a rapid rate with several new housing estate and the arrival of the Retails Shopping Centre just off the A1, it should show that Grantham is getting bigger and no doubt will continue to grow in the years to come. With this it is very important for all residence and industry to ensure they have the right representation as a parish and by a town council. | 3/21/2022 3:55 PM |
| 456 | Lincolnshire already has two tiers of local government covering Grantham and I don't believe adding a third layer with a town council will be an improvement. It adds an additional layer of bureaucracy, increases Council Tax bills and will further confuse many residents, businesses and visitors as to which local government organisation is responsible for delivering services. The range of services which town councils can deliver is pretty limited to events of various types, ceremonial functions and some types of green spaces which SKDC does well enough. Being a consultee is not a significant level of involvement in planning decisions. On an unrelated note, the design of the website hosting the consultation questionnaire is poor from an accessibility perspective as the text moves against a fixed picture background. | 3/21/2022 3:29 PM |
| 457 | We need local people making decisions on our behalf. Currently we have people who don't even live in Grantham making decisions who cannot be 100% interested as they live elsewhere. | 3/21/2022 1:58 PM |
| 458 | It is extremely disturbing that it is not possible to say how much a Grantham precept would be. Is the SKDC seriously expecting council tax payers to be committed to an unquantified level of future expenditure? With council tax bills scheduled to continue rising, a further burden to tax-payers is unjustifiable. If however the added expenditure on a new town council can be proved to be offset by a reduction in spending elsewhere such as by a district or county council then the proposal might have some merit. My fear is that a further level of bureaucracy is going to be added which inevitably will increase costs, even if only for a transition period. It might also also be argued that this is a vanity exercise, rather than a necessity. | 3/21/2022 11:47 AM |
| 459 | Two many bureaucratic layers I agree with town council but not parish | 3/21/2022 10:49 AM |
| 460 | What benefits will this provide on top of those already available. Do we need another level of bureaucracy and more public payroll expense? | 3/21/2022 10:06 AM |
| 461 | Would benefit the town having people who live here having the towns best interests at heart | 3/21/2022 10:02 AM |
| 462 | To allow Grantham to control its own affairs | 3/21/2022 9:43 AM |

Community Governance Review Survey March 2022

| | | |
|-----|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------|
| 463 | This is just another tax on households when they could do without it. The money for these services are already paid to SKDC so why should we pay again? | 3/21/2022 7:08 AM |
| 464 | It is vital for anyone voting on proposals which affect the town to reside and understand the issues affecting the town and the people who live, work and leisure within the urban infrastructure. | 3/20/2022 5:29 PM |
| 465 | Grantham currently has no identity within South Kesteven other than site of Admin HQ | 3/20/2022 3:45 PM |
| 466 | As expansion of the town is coming One body with complete overview would be better. | 3/20/2022 2:58 PM |
| 467 | The existing layers of councils should work better - yet more cost and waste really should not be necessary to suit political ego's | 3/20/2022 1:07 PM |
| 468 | Grantham is poorly served at present. The majority of SKDC councillors do not live or work here or represent the local community yet get a say on how our town is provided for. Given the expansion plans for Grantham, issues like the hospital services etc, clearer local representation is needed. | 3/20/2022 11:51 AM |
| 469 | It is important for all local residents to have a human point of contact on matters regarding to their local community | 3/20/2022 10:19 AM |
| 470 | There is enough beuracy in skdc without adding to the management which is already overpaid and overstaffed.As a taxpayer I do not want to pay more tax to an already full circus. | 3/19/2022 10:00 PM |
| 471 | I absolutely believe Grantham should have a town council - there is often a perceived disconnect (even hostility) between the residents of Grantham and SKDC/ LCC. A town council would seem to be a good way to bridge that gap, offering residents the opportunity to advocate for their local community in a way that would help to ensure council policies and process were reflective of multiple perspectives before decisions are made. | 3/19/2022 8:12 PM |
| 472 | A local service for local people by local people. | 3/19/2022 6:34 PM |
| 473 | I feel it important to have local voices on local issues and a town council would give this to Grantham | 3/19/2022 2:57 PM |
| 474 | Grantham should take responsibility at a local level for how the town develops | 3/19/2022 2:22 PM |
| 475 | The area should be properly represented by local people with powers, not appointed representatives. | 3/19/2022 1:14 PM |
| 476 | Additional costs doesn't seem to be value for money especially during time of significant living cost increases- terrible timing to suggest this | 3/19/2022 12:05 PM |
| 477 | It is a practical idea to help make decision making by those who know the area in more detail. | 3/19/2022 11:28 AM |
| 478 | Grantham needs its own council to look at local issues | 3/19/2022 10:15 AM |
| 479 | Too many issues that have no real bearing on the town . | 3/19/2022 9:59 AM |
| 480 | Another layer of local bureaucracy with no benefit for the community at a cost that you must already know but will not disclose No transparency from the council yet again | 3/19/2022 9:48 AM |
| 481 | Grantham's size indicates that it needs a town council | 3/19/2022 9:38 AM |
| 482 | Grantham | 3/19/2022 8:37 AM |
| 483 | We need fewer layers of bureaucracy in Lincs, not more SKDC is seen as a self-serving entity, PLEASE don't add another layer and suggest it improves democracy | 3/19/2022 8:17 AM |
| 484 | I think that local Grantham people should govern Grantham, not Councillors from other districts. | 3/18/2022 8:10 PM |
| 485 | Who else is going to make decisions about the town and the residents have no say. | 3/18/2022 4:40 PM |
| 486 | A great move forward for local democracy and accountability | 3/18/2022 3:55 PM |
| 487 | There are too many councillors as it is,we don't need anymore. It will also add extra cost to an already appalling coun il tax. | 3/18/2022 2:30 PM |
| 488 | Excellent idea | 3/18/2022 2:04 PM |

Community Governance Review Survey March 2022

| | | |
|-----|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------|
| 489 | It's going to cost money people don't have with all costs rising | 3/18/2022 1:51 PM |
| 490 | Absolutely not. In fact we dont need skdc it should be privatised. | 3/18/2022 11:31 AM |
| 491 | Stamford Town Council have shown the value this model presents - Grantham is desperate for this local representation | 3/18/2022 11:20 AM |
| 492 | A more focussed approach reflecting the needs of Grantham would be welcome. | 3/18/2022 11:08 AM |
| 493 | SKDC should provide the required cover. Another layer of bureaucracy is not what is needed | 3/18/2022 8:36 AM |
| 494 | Already adequate representation available, plus an extra cost to the town residents | 3/18/2022 8:00 AM |
| 495 | Yes: the current administration has overseen a massive decline in Grantham over the previous 20 years. The High Street, or what remains of it, has borne the brunt of what has been a complete lack of investment and indeed, imagination, by the current administration. Grantham needs to be administered by people who are resident in Grantham and thus have a shared interest in the town's prosperity. | 3/18/2022 7:06 AM |
| 496 | I generally support devolution of decision making to the most local level subject to efficient use of resources and appropriate accountability. | 3/18/2022 6:40 AM |
| 497 | Grantham seems to have got on reasonably well without a town council up to the present. Perhaps it would be just an added expense for the council taxpayer with little benefit for them. | 3/17/2022 6:17 PM |
| 498 | be better run all round | 3/17/2022 5:00 PM |
| 499 | An unnecessary additional layer of bureaucracy | 3/17/2022 4:44 PM |
| 500 | Anything that involves costing more money now is simply not acceptable | 3/17/2022 3:52 PM |
| 501 | Because it's will not be a full decision maker on all issues to do with Grantham just another layer | 3/17/2022 3:22 PM |
| 502 | I think Grantham needs some local decision making and accountability | 3/17/2022 3:21 PM |
| 503 | A local council would not benefit the local community to warrant this PR exercise. If this was to come in force it would run by the local money men/women and it would a be a vehicle to push their own agenda and line their own pockets as in the past. | 3/17/2022 3:02 PM |
| 504 | The DC is currently providing a good service here and additional levels of council will add to costs. | 3/17/2022 2:31 PM |
| 505 | Grantham is large enough to have a council in force to make local decisions | 3/17/2022 12:52 PM |
| 506 | Grantham is an important and large town with diverse community needs I think it's important that decisions are made for Grantham and its surrounding area at a local level. | 3/17/2022 12:43 PM |
| 507 | I am more than content with the services currently provided by the Kesteven District Council. I see no reason to introduce another tier of local governance. What YOU HAVE CONVENIENTLY FAILED to state in the literature provided is the estimated cost to facilitate the change proposed. In the current economic climate I believe the proposal is ill-timed and totally irresponsible. | 3/17/2022 12:24 PM |
| 508 | The growth of so called local democracy by way of multi-level councils and their contracted out services is out of control, very inefficient ignored by most local residents and does not represent local requirements. One Lincolnshire would be my requirement. | 3/17/2022 12:02 PM |
| 509 | If it puts in another level of bureaucracy which sdds costs then no. | 3/17/2022 11:40 AM |
| 510 | As responsibilities would be moved from SKDC to a Town Council the money saved by SKDC should reflect in the precept. | 3/17/2022 11:20 AM |
| 511 | already pay to much tax plus grantham wastes to much money already such as meeting meres £37,000 | 3/17/2022 11:11 AM |
| 512 | Will create additional cost and bureaucracy with negligible accoutability | 3/17/2022 10:43 AM |
| 513 | simple equity demands this | 3/17/2022 10:03 AM |
| 514 | In a time when council tax is already a huge cost to families no one will want to pay more for a service that already happens any way . | 3/17/2022 9:46 AM |

Community Governance Review Survey March 2022

| | | |
|-----|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------|
| 515 | Grantham needs it's own voice | 3/17/2022 9:34 AM |
| 516 | I FEEL I DONT HAVE ENOUGH INFORMATION TO REALLY DECIDE. | 3/17/2022 8:56 AM |
| 517 | To deal purely with Grantham specifically related matters. For faster out coming results to be realised effectively. To be govern by local people understanding all local matters the most and encouraging positive changes at the same time. | 3/17/2022 8:06 AM |
| 518 | I have been monitoring Grantham's development since 2009. I see the surrounding counties developing much better. Grantham is lagging behind for decades, which is the fault of the leadership. No need for further leadership. This beautiful city would need professional guidance. | 3/17/2022 6:57 AM |
| 519 | Given we are the only town in SK without a town council it seems logical that we have one. Also, it would seem more sensible given the potential for a change to Unitary authority at some point in the future. | 3/17/2022 1:54 AM |
| 520 | It seems completely sensible for the town to have its own council. This will ensure proper local representation for the residents of Grantham whilst making sure more of our council tax is spent on local services that effect us. | 3/16/2022 7:36 PM |
| 521 | Another unnecessary waste of money in already costly times!! | 3/16/2022 6:33 PM |
| 522 | To ensure Grantham grows with the full understanding of Grantham people and there experience of the town and its needs rather than outsiders who presume the needs. | 3/16/2022 6:10 PM |
| 523 | It will allow local people and stakeholders to be closer to the decision making process | 3/16/2022 6:04 PM |
| 524 | Happy with SKDC | 3/16/2022 5:08 PM |
| 525 | It would be good that decisions are made at local level | 3/16/2022 5:07 PM |
| 526 | Decisions would be made by local people and not councillors from other areas. | 3/16/2022 4:29 PM |
| 527 | It would give Grantham more local voice | 3/16/2022 4:16 PM |
| 528 | A town council is absolutely essential for Grantham. | 3/16/2022 4:04 PM |
| 529 | The town of Grantham has been in decline for many years now, with little sign of hope for any resurgence. Those managing the town appear, on the face of it, to have little interest, desire or idea of how to improve matters and so the proposal of a town council brings only concerns of further costs to pay for another layer of pointless bureaucracy | 3/16/2022 3:50 PM |
| 530 | Local people are more knowledgeable on local issues. | 3/16/2022 3:27 PM |
| 531 | we used to have a town council prior to 1974 with approx 8/9 wards | 3/16/2022 3:12 PM |
| 532 | A huge emphatic "No"! To introduce another level of bureaucracy into local decision making is neither wanted nor needed. The long suffering Council Tax Payers all ready face massive increases in all of their bills and to add another precept on to our Council Tax Bills would be another kick in the teeth for the hard pressed taxpayers. The whole thing has the smell of another gravy train that the interested parties cannot wait to jump on. | 3/16/2022 3:09 PM |
| 533 | This is just another layer of money wasting self serving individuals with no interest in the community they "serve". To add insult to injury we have to pay for it. | 3/16/2022 2:27 PM |
| 534 | People living in this area will have a bigger say on their surroundings | 3/16/2022 2:17 PM |
| 535 | We currently have quite sufficient numbers of people making decisions about the control of Grantham. I accept we currently a pay sum of money to the district council for specific services in Grantham, however, what is to say, if a Town Council is setup, that they will not starting having grand ideas which would see our charges greatly increasing. I note that Stamford pay £84.96 and Market Deeping pay £109.80. Grantham currently pays £50.85. It is stated that no councillors are paid an allowance, what is to say this will not change in the future. | 3/16/2022 2:12 PM |
| 536 | I agree that Grantham should have a Town Council. I am concerned that this should not increase costs for Grantham or SKDC residents and so would expect savings to be made in other departments - especially if services currently performed by SKDC are then carried out by Grantham Town Council employees. | 3/16/2022 1:36 PM |

Community Governance Review Survey March 2022

| | | |
|-----|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------|
| 537 | It is inevitable that additional costs and expenses claims would arise from something that is procedurally unnecessary. | 3/16/2022 1:17 PM |
| 538 | Vital for small market town | 3/16/2022 12:47 PM |
| 539 | This is essential to make Grantham better, help to reduce or stop planning permission for new housing estates to retain the fantastic countryside and nature around Gonerby, Arnold field, barromby, etc, and make better decisions for our town and citizens not housing companys | 3/16/2022 12:43 PM |
| 540 | This probable increase in Council Tax due to the new layer of local government is not affordable to many households in Grantham who are already suffering due to the rapid and seemingly unrelenting increases in the cost of living which central government in Westminster appear unable or unwilling to mitigate | 3/16/2022 12:35 PM |
| 541 | Why add yet another level of bureaucracy with its inevitable costs. We already have Lincs CC and SKDC and half of Grantham seems to be taken up by very expensive council buildings as it is! | 3/16/2022 12:01 PM |
| 542 | Should have happened in 1974 then the town might be doing better than it is. | 3/16/2022 11:11 AM |
| 543 | Being a town council would access grants easier. | 3/16/2022 11:05 AM |
| 544 | Is this just another level of bureaucracy? Will more officers need to be recruited? Will elected Town Councillors be paid? How many offices can an Elected Member hold? | 3/16/2022 10:21 AM |
| 545 | It should have its own council to represent it directly as other market towns do. | 3/16/2022 9:57 AM |
| 546 | It's not where the decisions are made, it's the quality of the decisions that are made in respect of Grantham. | 3/16/2022 9:30 AM |
| 547 | Probably but yet to be convinced, seems like another money wasting exercise to me. | 3/16/2022 8:43 AM |
| 548 | If its going to increase council tax payments then its a no, but if its not going to, and the money we already pay is enough then yes. | 3/16/2022 8:04 AM |
| 549 | Gives each area of the town an identification. | 3/16/2022 7:49 AM |
| 550 | Another cost | 3/15/2022 10:34 PM |
| 551 | I'm not convinced that an additional layer of council administration will lead to improvement in the services already provided by the District Council. | 3/15/2022 9:32 PM |
| 552 | We do not need the extra costs of a town council at this time of increased living costs. The general public can not afford this. | 3/15/2022 9:18 PM |
| 553 | Grantham's need should be looked after by people who actually live in this area. If a town council will provide local councillors then maybe I would be convinced to back a town council. | 3/15/2022 9:16 PM |
| 554 | The district council already does a more than satisfactory job. When everyone else is removing layers of bureaucracy and cost why is SKDC adding more ??? As the seat of the district council Grantham does not need more bureaucracy and cost on our council tax | 3/15/2022 8:19 PM |
| 555 | We cannot afford another layer of Government,what we already have is poor value for money. | 3/15/2022 7:57 PM |
| 556 | I am very disappointed to read that Grantham is the only town in South Kesteven without a town council. | 3/15/2022 7:34 PM |
| 557 | It will be beneficial to the town to have decisions that directly affect the town to be made by a parish/town council | 3/15/2022 6:56 PM |
| 558 | There should be a governing body that has its primary focus as the town of Grantham. | 3/15/2022 6:48 PM |
| 559 | Long overdue - unfair that smaller towns in the DC have Parish/Town Councils | 3/15/2022 6:46 PM |
| 560 | a more formalised local council arrangement with elected councillors seems to be fairer than the current trustees. | 3/15/2022 6:42 PM |
| 561 | We do not need another level of bureaucracy and it's attendant costs with doubtful benefits to residents | 3/15/2022 6:29 PM |
| 562 | I would support the creation of a Grantham Town council if the councillors were elected via a proportional representation system. The Additional Member or D'Hondt (Jefferson) systems | 3/15/2022 5:24 PM |

Community Governance Review Survey March 2022

seem to work particularly well in many administration areas and countries. So something with this form of electoral representation would be acceptable and would therefore gain my full support for a town council.

| | | |
|-----|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------|
| 563 | Cost. Will increase council tax just like - Police Commissioner with all the administrative staff - Adult social care. | 3/15/2022 5:10 PM |
| 564 | We need a town council for the best interests of the town. | 3/15/2022 4:50 PM |
| 565 | It I'll just cost more money | 3/15/2022 4:33 PM |
| 566 | Because it would increase the council tax to pay for things I'm not interested in. | 3/15/2022 4:23 PM |
| 567 | If this will add to the council tax, I would say no. So many pressures on cost of living this would be terrible timing. | 3/15/2022 3:12 PM |
| 568 | Grantham needs a local decision making committee to ensure the necessary infrastructure meets the needs of local businesses and people. | 3/15/2022 2:29 PM |
| 569 | This is long overdue. | 3/15/2022 2:15 PM |
| 570 | Grantham is expanding in size, but with our any regard to the impact on services, the road network or its residents. A town council would be able to serve Grantham and its residents better than they are served now. | 3/15/2022 2:07 PM |
| 571 | A recipe for increased costs | 3/15/2022 1:39 PM |
| 572 | The lack of effective local government is so very telling in Grantham. The Charter Trustees have past their sell by dates and we should have our own directly elected council! | 3/15/2022 1:20 PM |
| 573 | It will provide another layer of bureaucracy and stifle decision making. | 3/15/2022 12:58 PM |
| 574 | Every surrounding area has a parish or town council, it seems strange that Grantham doesn't. It would potentially mean greater control over planning permissions for further development in the area. | 3/15/2022 12:58 PM |
| 575 | There are already enough layers of bureaucracy to add another would, i feel confuse the issues, slow down already painful processes not to mention additional costs to homeowners | 3/15/2022 12:12 PM |
| 576 | I feel the cost and extra bureaucracy from a town council would detract further from what the town needs. Its states about the growth of the town but some of the plans are not going to come to fruition for at least 10 more years. There are plans to build on the army camp but that has already been safeguarded until 2028 as a minimum. | 3/15/2022 11:59 AM |
| 577 | Yea I think it should | 3/15/2022 11:43 AM |
| 578 | It only seems fair. We have a town with a lot of people, over 40,000 as I'm aware and something like this is greatly needed. I'm 21 and see this as a great opportunity for the town. | 3/15/2022 11:34 AM |
| 579 | Too many layers of government already | 3/15/2022 10:55 AM |
| 580 | Need a council focussed on Grantham, not subsumed into SKDC. Really surprised Charter Trustees still exist and Grantham was not awarded a Town Council many years ago. | 3/14/2022 10:00 PM |
| 581 | I don't understand the need and justification of additional cost when the district council deals with all issues well | 3/14/2022 8:43 PM |
| 582 | To allow residents of the town to have their own voice other than district cllrs. | 3/14/2022 6:48 PM |
| 583 | I'd be basically in favour dependant on who was sitting on it, it should not just be one party ie the corrupt self serving Tories, it needs be a cross party council covering all aspects of society | 3/14/2022 5:32 PM |
| 584 | It sounds like a good idea but at the moment of increasing food & fuel prices plus energy prices predicted to be passing the orbit of Mars in the near future, any further price rises should be carefully considered. Imagine a pensioner shivering next winter because of energy costs, will he think to himself (or herself) "It's OK, we've got a town council" | 3/14/2022 11:16 AM |
| 585 | but depends on costs, powers of the new council and its place in SKDC and LCC | 3/14/2022 10:59 AM |
| 586 | More decisions need to be taken in Grantham by Grantham people | 3/14/2022 10:38 AM |
| 587 | It would be an additional layer of bureaucracy and cost which is unnecessary and deliver few | 3/14/2022 9:03 AM |

Community Governance Review Survey March 2022

| | | |
|-----|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------|
| | additional benefits | |
| 588 | Grantham ought to benefit from having a council solely focussed on improving the citizens of Grantham | 3/13/2022 7:21 PM |
| 589 | An important step in proving the Grantham community with a democratic voice. This will provide much needed structure (as found in, for example, Bourne and Stamford) for taking community action. | 3/12/2022 7:23 PM |
| 590 | A Town Council will be able to focus on issues concerning Grantham only, whereas SKDC covers the whole district. | 3/12/2022 4:25 PM |
| 591 | In view of the way that SKDC currently bulldozes over our on Parish Council recommendations, concerns and views. This is probably a waste of good time and money | 3/12/2022 9:35 AM |
| 592 | Grantham is growing rapidly & has many challenges. The current council structure is not designed to address the sole needs of the town. A town council would be able to focus fully on the town & make strong representation to get it's fair share of funding to develop the area | 3/12/2022 9:27 AM |
| 593 | It is such a huge that it needs some sort of representation locally | 3/12/2022 8:58 AM |
| 594 | 50k for the mayor's office is a waste of money. Put it into something more useful. The town councillors do not listen to town residents, they're only interested in serving themselves. | 3/11/2022 9:50 PM |
| 595 | The interests of people who live in the unparished areas of Grantham are not the same as the interests of people who live outside those areas and beyond in SKDC. In particular, people who live in the areas surrounding Grantham prioritise access to the town centre at the expense of the quality of Grantham's urban environment. People who live in Grantham need to be able to walk around their town and enjoy it as an urban space. Attracting people to live in a town will increasingly depend on making it a pleasant environment, for instance by promoting Park and Ride schemes, residential parking zones and discouraging near-school parking. Noisy, traffic-ridden, ugly town centres will find it harder to attract professional people and good businesses. Grantham has long been perceived as being a lower quality town than Newark to the north or Stamford to the south. This is partly because successive SKDC administrations have promoted the interests of people who do not live here. This will increasingly impact the town's economic future. | 3/11/2022 9:47 PM |
| 596 | We don't need any more layers of bureaucracy with their costs! | 3/11/2022 7:24 PM |
| 597 | The current system is outdated and lacks democracy. Grantham Charter Trustees have no power to influence LOCAL decision making and costs a lot of money which is wasted. The Charter Trustees should be disbanded and a democratically elected council to administer local affairs should be completed asap. | 3/11/2022 7:09 PM |
| 598 | It seems a waste of money, especially when the current parish councils are already a waste of money because they have little power and usually is made up of select cliques. The town council is good enough to ensure Grantham remains a lovely town. I don't see it being necessary. Whereas I do think we need the town council. | 3/11/2022 6:22 PM |
| 599 | No it's not required. SKDC should be abolished also and a unitary authority created with larger regional and sub regional powers. As a reformer in local government I am surprised SKDC has not taken the opportunity to ask these questions too as part of the governance review. It should be "in scope" however I suspect the weak argument as to why it is not is simply not "in scope" as it's too big a question that SKDC wishes to ask itself. | 3/11/2022 4:51 PM |
| 600 | They will have the interests/welfare of the residents of Grantham. At the moment we have people who live outside town making our decisions. | 3/11/2022 4:18 PM |
| 601 | Let Grantham people have the same voice as the rest of the district | 3/11/2022 4:07 PM |
| 602 | We need our own town council as Stamford has. | 3/11/2022 1:25 PM |
| 603 | Need to have an accountable town council | 3/11/2022 1:25 PM |
| 604 | I don't understand what the town would benefit from having a town council | 3/11/2022 12:20 PM |
| 605 | I think Grantham Residents would benefit more and maybe our services and roads etc would be dealt with better. | 3/11/2022 11:32 AM |
| 606 | The real problems in Grantham are the dying town centre, dying hospital, parking fees and | 3/11/2022 11:28 AM |


Community Governance Review Survey March 2022

highway issues including traffic volume, potholes and grass cutting - none of these would come under a parish council direct although it could have a view regarding these and be a voice for change

| | | |
|-----|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------|
| 607 | The current system works | 3/11/2022 10:35 AM |
| 608 | Additional cost, additional beaurocracy, layers of governance are unnecessary, given that so few people vote in local elections anyway, for town/parish council is even lower. | 3/11/2022 10:31 AM |
| 609 | Sunningdale doesn't seem to be included.....are we not part of the town? | 3/11/2022 10:12 AM |
| 610 | Seems stupid we don't have one. I feel as if SKDC sees Grantham as a burden to itself as has no passion for the town leading it to its demise. A town council will reverse all this. | 3/11/2022 10:07 AM |
| 611 | We need a group of people to focus purely on Grantham itself. Those we have now, whether Trustees or Councillors do not seem to be overly proactive in helping Grantham. | 3/11/2022 9:49 AM |
| 612 | Currently I feel the voters of the town have little influence on the town's governance as the rural areas and other smaller towns overwhelmingly dominate the town's agenda. The current local authority control is also politically one sided and not a true reflection of the town's political make up. | 3/11/2022 9:43 AM |

Q2 Should any new parish or town council that is set up in the currently unparished area of Grantham also take in any of the urban parts of those parishes shown on map A? Click here to view. This could mean parts of Belton & Manthorpe, Great Gonerby and/or Loundthorpe & Harrowby Without parishes forming part of any new Grantham parish or town council boundary.

Answered: 1,338 Skipped: 145



| ANSWER CHOICES | RESPONSES |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------|
| Yes- the new parish/town council should also take in the urban part(s) of the following surrounding parish(es). (To specify which parish(es), please click next at the bottom of this page.) | 40.06% 536 |
| No- the new parish/town council should be confined to the currently unparished area | 49.78% 666 |
| Don't know | 10.16% 136 |
| TOTAL | 1,338 |

| # | PLEASE USE THE SPACE BELOW TO COMMENT: | DATE |
|---|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------|
| 1 | The areas identified have the potential to develop beyond the electors calculated. therefore it is crucial that the proposals reflect they are providing community governance. with opportunities for the community to express concern with issues on housing, planning applications , open spaces and meadows. Boundary requires restrictions and takes away public access such as footpaths and rights of way. | 4/25/2022 3:57 PM |
| 2 | Don't make more expenses which then fall on the poor tax payer! | 4/25/2022 2:47 PM |
| 3 | These parishes already have a structure of local government in place. if the people living in these areas are happy with the system as it is set up, it should continue. perhaps they should be asked if they are content as they are? Any changes should be made with their approval. | 4/25/2022 10:01 AM |
| 4 | Decisions affect all residents | 4/25/2022 9:22 AM |
| 5 | If these other existing parish councils are working well, why change them? | 4/25/2022 9:10 AM |
| 6 | People in those districts should be able to make their own decision on this question but it could be beneficial to Grantham. | 4/25/2022 9:03 AM |

Community Governance Review Survey March 2022

| | | |
|----|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------|
| 7 | Urban parts closely linked to Grantham town should be included in the new town council area, rather than remaining in surrounding parishes. | 4/22/2022 9:02 PM |
| 8 | It is just something else to be added to increased council tax bills, people are already struggling with increases in bills and general living expenses. | 4/22/2022 6:48 PM |
| 9 | Are you deliberately trying to enlarge the area the proposed town council of Grantham would be responsible for. Is this the hidden agenda?? To incorporate all the surrounding urban parts within the parish of Grantham. For what gain? More monies? And, surely, do not the three parishes mentioned above have some sort of say in this proposal? Or is this some sort of dictatorship? | 4/22/2022 6:00 PM |
| 10 | It should always be with South Kesteven but have there own community centres | 4/22/2022 3:15 PM |
| 11 | As a parish resident I am totally against any part of the village of Barrowby being taken into the unparished areas. Barrowby Parish Council look after and serve the village exceedingly well. It runs the village efficiently and has a great community feel with lots of activities and events going on. It would lose all this plus our village identity if we were incorporated into a Town Council/Parish. | 4/22/2022 2:33 PM |
| 12 | Harrowby and Barrowby in particular are distinctly geographically separate; I know residents of Great Gonerby like to feel separate from Grantham so it seems silly to try and draw them into a Grantham council. However, it seems ridiculous that the end of Manthorpe and the areas of Alma Park and Harrowby that are outside the Grantham parish would be excluded, as this would mean neighbours down the same street coming under separate councils. | 4/22/2022 1:41 PM |
| 13 | It would seem reasonable to be confined to the unparished areas. | 4/22/2022 12:00 PM |
| 14 | I don't live in any of these areas, but think it would be problematic for neighbours on a street to pay different rates for different services when they live in almost exactly the same place. | 4/22/2022 11:28 AM |
| 15 | Not applicable - this question is asking me to decide on the coverage of a new council whose very existence I oppose! | 4/22/2022 10:38 AM |
| 16 | As Grantham has expanded some of the parishes appear to part of Grantham town with no identity of there own. The exceptions are Barrowby, and Harlaxton. They have managed to keep their identities. Barrowby seems to provide facilities such as an excellent childrens play area which puts Grantham's to shame. Londonthorpe & Harrowby parishes provide various Nature walks in the Hills & Hollows overlooking Grantham. | 4/21/2022 9:12 PM |
| 17 | Inclined to think that it should only be unparished area, but perhaps if there was interest from other areas, this should be considered. | 4/21/2022 7:35 PM |
| 18 | We are one Town and need a Town Council to cover all the issues in the local area--which should include the wider areas of Gt Gonerby, Manthorpe,Belton etc | 4/21/2022 6:46 PM |
| 19 | local family | 4/21/2022 6:06 PM |
| 20 | Belton & Manthorpe, Great Gonerby, Barrowby, Little Ponton and Stroxtan should all continue as separate parishes. However, most of Londonthorpe and Harowby Without are, effectively, overflow from Grantham-proper. The Sunningdale estate, the Alma Park estate, the top end of the David Eatch estate and the top end of Bridge End Grove all look indistinguishable from Grantham when you are there. Granted the actual village of Londonthorpe is separate, as is Harrowby Without (the top end of Harrowby Lane, and the road off it), but they are only a small part of the population of that parish. | 4/21/2022 6:03 PM |
| 21 | if the current parishes already have a parish council it would dilute their impact to be included in the unparished area of Grantham. However if Grantham is to have a town council these parises should be included | 4/21/2022 5:06 PM |
| 22 | am completely against whole idea | 4/21/2022 4:50 PM |
| 23 | This is up to the people who live there | 4/21/2022 4:36 PM |
| 24 | This decision should be made based on whether the town council feel they can fairly represent and serve the urban areas of the other towns, taking into consideration what these parishes want | 4/21/2022 3:31 PM |
| 25 | We have no need of a parish council | 4/21/2022 3:16 PM |

Community Governance Review Survey March 2022

| | | |
|----|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------|
| 26 | These areas use Grantham services (hospital, schools, town centre etc) and are still affected by the decisions made with Grantham and so by including them in the council, it will ensure their voices are heard too. | 4/21/2022 3:16 PM |
| 27 | Why is it necessary to take areas of neighbouring parishes into the "Greater Grantham"? Leave neighbouring parishes alone. Allow any new builds in neighbouring parishes to pay the precept to their respective parishes. Regardless of where the boundaries might lie, the residents of new houses will still use the facilities in the neighbouring parishes. At least the allow the neighbouring parishes to benefit from the potential increase in revenue enabling them to manage and repair the village facilities that will be in in heavier use. | 4/21/2022 1:58 PM |
| 28 | We dont need any more so called officials making decisions that benefit themselves or use their so called power influencing decisions. More bad decisions to be made and interfering. Unless your [REDACTED]. They get away with building where theybe been told not to | 4/21/2022 1:10 PM |
| 29 | The southern quadrant is going to make up a significant percentage of grantham town both in population and in physical area. The more rural parish of Londonthorpe and harrowby without is going to be transformed into a town area with more complexities and facility needs that will need to be satisfied by Grantham town. | 4/21/2022 12:58 PM |
| 30 | It should only be for the residents of those parishes likely to be affected to decide whether they want control of their affairs to pass to a new council. | 4/21/2022 11:05 AM |
| 31 | In my view there is a choice between small Grantham and a large Grantham .Small Grantham would be Barrowby parish east of the A1 and Great Gonerby parish Belton and Manthorpe parish and Londonthorpe and Barrowby without parish south of Gonerby Belton lane if the direction of the road was continued east and west to the respective boundaries and the remains of the three parishes could be merged into a new parish .Large Grantham would be unparished Grantham plus all of Barrowby parish,Great Gonerby parish ,Belton and Manthorpe parish and Londonthorpe and Harrowby without parish | 4/21/2022 10:08 AM |
| 32 | The surrounding parishes should only be taken in if the people in that parish wish to do so | 4/20/2022 11:11 PM |
| 33 | The whole area would more cohesive and decisions taken would be more democratic | 4/20/2022 8:20 PM |
| 34 | Why change what does not appear to be broken. Looks like empire building. | 4/20/2022 8:10 PM |
| 35 | Barrowby east of the A1 should also be part of a new Grantham town council.Belton and Manthorpe south of Belton lane should at the very least also be part of a new Grantham town council.All of Londonthorpe and Harrowby without Great Gonerby east of the A1 and including the north A1 triangle | 4/20/2022 4:20 PM |
| 36 | The existing parishes surrounding Grantham operate well on behalf of their residents. I feel it would be a retrograde step to start to impinge on these parishes. However, it would be very important for the Town Council to communicate well with the existing parish councils. | 4/20/2022 1:53 PM |
| 37 | I think all the other parish councils should be left as they are but Londonthorpe and Harrowby should be included to give them a voice as they have no Parish Council at present. | 4/20/2022 12:28 PM |
| 38 | My Parish Council wish to remain as is, this is for the benefit of the parishioners. | 4/20/2022 9:44 AM |
| 39 | People in the other parished area do not want to take on extra costs just to be part of a new town council. The idea of local management of affairs by local people (Parish Councillors) is much more preferable than being managed by townsofolk. | 4/20/2022 9:25 AM |
| 40 | Don't understand | 4/20/2022 9:05 AM |
| 41 | If the idea is to deal with the massive inequality affecting the i parishes parts of Grantham then making them have to compete against areas that are already more privileged is counter intuitive | 4/20/2022 12:01 AM |
| 42 | Let the people agree to that that live there | 4/19/2022 7:31 PM |
| 43 | Leave it to the people who live there | 4/19/2022 7:26 PM |
| 44 | I believe that it is important the Town Council has a clear focus. Whilst there are some anomalies with existing boundaries diluting the town council too much could lead to issues around identity. Barrowby and Manthorpe have their own existing identities and parish councils and are well served by them. These can remain whilst Grantham gains parity with them. There is an argument that the bigger the town councils area covers the economies of scale will come | 4/19/2022 4:55 PM |

Community Governance Review Survey March 2022

to play however the town council will also gain more responsibility expodentially. Discussions around areas of responsibility are required such as the running of the parks or cemetery or management of West Grantham Canal. I consider these important local assets which the Town Council should have responsibility for and receive budget for. As a Town Council it will be possible to raise funds and apply for funding not accessible to the District Council. If the boundaries extend into other parishes it will also muddy the waters re access to county funding for example for defibrillators and speeding signs. Assets Lcc have already provided parishes but not Grantham Town Centre. It would make sense to encorpoate the new development immediatly adjacent to Grantham in the Southern and northern quadrants. Whilst they sit inside parishes they will be centred on Grantham rather than the next nearest village. The Manthorpe estate development is the other side of Manthorpe village from Grantham and should remain part of Manthorpe parish.

| | | |
|----|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------|
| 45 | People of the parishes decide | 4/19/2022 4:12 PM |
| 46 | Let the people in that area sort it | 4/19/2022 3:29 PM |
| 47 | Let the people sort this out in the parishes | 4/19/2022 3:26 PM |
| 48 | The parts of the Grantham area which already have their own parish councils should be invited to join the Grantham Town Council if they so wish. It should be their choice, not a decision made by SKDC. | 4/19/2022 3:22 PM |
| 49 | Let the people in the villages decide | 4/19/2022 3:21 PM |
| 50 | That's up to the people to decide | 4/19/2022 3:18 PM |
| 51 | Leave it to the people in that area | 4/19/2022 3:15 PM |
| 52 | Leave it to the people in that area | 4/19/2022 3:12 PM |
| 53 | Let the people who live there decide | 4/19/2022 3:09 PM |
| 54 | This would be for the people who live there to voice their say. | 4/19/2022 3:01 PM |
| 55 | We need to work together | 4/19/2022 2:57 PM |
| 56 | should include new developments at Spitlegate, harrowby without GR3 -H2, GR3 H3, GR3 H4, GR3 H1 | 4/19/2022 2:48 PM |
| 57 | Careful consideration needed to decide boundaries | 4/19/2022 2:40 PM |
| 58 | Up to people who live there | 4/19/2022 2:29 PM |
| 59 | Do not make changes where there is no need to do so. this question pre-supposes that an additional council will be set up | 4/19/2022 2:23 PM |
| 60 | Too vague. Unfortunately the issues and needs should be better understood and undertaken by those local to specific needs/issues | 4/19/2022 12:59 PM |
| 61 | I am in favour of Manthorpe village being in the St Wulfram ward. the manthorpe village voters must agree | 4/19/2022 12:39 PM |
| 62 | Up to the people who live there! | 4/19/2022 12:28 PM |
| 63 | I dont believe any decision to set up a town council should cover already parished areas. Why would this be required? The only time this should happen is if the individual parishes disband in the future. our parish councillors do a great job serving our parish | 4/19/2022 12:20 PM |
| 64 | Leave the villages alone. People move to a village for village life to be controlled in the village. We do not wish to be part of Grantham | 4/19/2022 11:43 AM |
| 65 | Keep a town council for the town not neighbouring villages | 4/19/2022 11:39 AM |
| 66 | Again, I do not wish for any changes whatsoever to our village Parish | 4/19/2022 11:38 AM |
| 67 | See comment above | 4/19/2022 9:32 AM |
| 68 | The Parish Councillors that administer these areas are locally accountable through the elections to their Parish Council. If we are dis-satisfied with the way the issues in our community are handled by our locally elected councillors we are able to remove them every 4/5 years through the local elections. This gives us an opportunity to raise local issues with | 4/18/2022 10:24 PM |

Community Governance Review Survey March 2022

local representatives. LEAVE PARISH COUNCILS AND PARISH BOUNDARIES ALONE! In order for the Parish Councils to take care of their communities they need the income from their parish precepts. Don't deny these councils revenue by taking parish precept households away from them.

| | | |
|----|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------|
| 69 | The new boundary should be the A1 from the Spittlegate turn-off to the Downtown roundabout, except where Earlsfield Ward and Springfield Ward already lie to the west - these should continue to be within the boundary. Areas of Barrowby and Great Gonerby parish immediately east of the A1 should be included in the new town council. From Downtown Roundabout the boundary should follow the B1174 (Great North Road) to its junction with Belton Lane, Gonerby, then turn east, taking in existing buildings on the north side of that road before returning to its line up to its junction with the A607. It should then turn south as far as the field boundary after the access to Manthorpe Mill, following that as far as the existing parish boundary in the River Witham. It should follow that boundary as far as the field lane that leads towards Londonthorpe Lane, then take that line eastwards, turning north and east to encompass the northern extent of the Alma Park industrial estate. It should then follow the eastern boundary of the Alma Park estate and the adjacent housing area, picking up the existing ward boundary across Hall's Hill until that diverges from the right of way leading to Turnor Road. The new boundary should follow that right of way along Turnor Road to its junction with the B6403 (Ermine Street/High Dyke). It should continue along the B6403 to where it meets the Little Ponton and Stroxtan parish boundary, then turn west on that boundary until it meets the B1174. From there it should turn south to its junction with the A1-Spittlegate slip road. This boundary would better reflect the real contiguous social and economic urban area of 'Greater' Grantham while protecting the status of adjacent parishes. * The exact line in this area may need to be drawn with respect to that of the southern bypass if that encroaches on the existing parish boundary. | 4/18/2022 12:09 PM |
| 70 | Parish councillors do a great job in difficult times | 4/18/2022 9:24 AM |
| 71 | The boundaries should be set based on how people see the contiguous area of Grantham as a town, based on the current boundaries rather than historic boundaries now overridden by development. | 4/17/2022 3:15 PM |
| 72 | To all intents and purposes they are part of Grantham. Why have some areas got Parish Councils? | 4/17/2022 8:47 AM |
| 73 | Yes, if that's what the people there want, but it really is their decision. | 4/16/2022 1:19 PM |
| 74 | It makes sense It makes sense to align the urban and parish boundaries. | 4/15/2022 12:56 PM |
| 75 | Yes it should take in any unparished urban areas so that all of Grantham is covered and can collaborate on any wider needs. | 4/15/2022 10:17 AM |
| 76 | (see previous comments) | 4/14/2022 5:15 PM |
| 77 | Reduce council tax bill no more additional cost which will rise each year. Just look at the Police and Crime Commissioner cost! | 4/14/2022 12:04 PM |
| 78 | The local Parish Councillor is voted for by the village people because they are known by the local population, I doubt whether those elected for a town council will be as motivated as the local councillor | 4/13/2022 6:52 PM |
| 79 | Barrowby should not become part of a greater Grantham parish/ town council area. Why would you want to land grab present parish council areas and swallow up local villages. | 4/13/2022 5:51 PM |
| 80 | Our Parish Councillors are elected by us and we are happy that we can access them as and when the need arises The parish precepts are used for our village alone and we are enormously proud of our village. Please leave the current Parish Council to maintain the present status quo. | 4/13/2022 4:10 PM |
| 81 | It should be up to these outlying parishes to vote on this question and them only | 4/13/2022 2:11 PM |
| 82 | The area on Bridge End Road, Manthorpe & Gonerby Hill Foot. | 4/12/2022 7:36 PM |
| 83 | Should cover all Grantham postcodes. | 4/12/2022 6:20 PM |
| 84 | I have answered "yes" to this question but I think the areas concerned should have the final say on the matter by referendum | 4/12/2022 3:37 PM |
| 85 | I hope there will not be any changes which impose more financial burdens on this who pay and receive no subsidy. | 4/12/2022 1:24 PM |

Community Governance Review Survey March 2022

| | | |
|-----|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------|
| 86 | Decision should be left to the people in those parishes | 4/12/2022 1:10 PM |
| 87 | Surrounding parishes should be allowed to vote to either be included or not | 4/12/2022 1:00 PM |
| 88 | I think it is important for the current parishes to maintain their own identity and community. Grantham needs its own parish/town council so it can assert its own character. There is no need to rob the current smaller parishes of their identity and individuality | 4/12/2022 12:36 PM |
| 89 | Up to the people that live there | 4/12/2022 12:31 PM |
| 90 | The existing system works. you have not said what the faults are with the existing system or what the advantages of your proposals | 4/12/2022 12:19 PM |
| 91 | Why disturb current boundaries? Is there a reason. very different rural areas will have very different demands | 4/12/2022 12:11 PM |
| 92 | Gonerby and Belton and Manthorpe will soon be part of Grantham | 4/12/2022 12:05 PM |
| 93 | Since the abolition of the Borough Council there has been a substantial increase in both the completed and planned population and developed areas of the town with an inevitable encroachment into neighbouring parishes. The population of these newly developed areas is likely to look to Grantham for a wide range of services rather than to the local parish and it is only right and proper that they should have a democratic voice as to how these are provided. | 4/12/2022 11:50 AM |
| 94 | part of adjacent parishes already essentially in grantham. | 4/11/2022 10:51 PM |
| 95 | At the behest of higher authority the town is committed to housing and population growth over the coming years. This has to an extent been to the benefit of other communities in South Kesteven as government targets have been largely met within the Grantham area. This has led to a degree of irrational growth into existing parish areas and it would seem logical for residents of future developments to have a voice in the environment in which they live and the provision of services in the local town community rather than become a potentially dominant element in current parish council areas. Inclusion of parts of the current parish council areas would also provide corporate oversight of residential and commercial development across the enlarged town with a stronger and more identifiable voice in preserving a comprehensive and sustainable community. | 4/11/2022 5:36 PM |
| 96 | I think the present parish boundaries should remain as they are, to prevent disruption of those parishes present arrangements. | 4/11/2022 4:24 PM |
| 97 | I refer you to the answer I gave at Question1. | 4/11/2022 3:48 PM |
| 98 | As a parish resident I am totally against any part of the village of Barrowby being taken into the unparished area. Barrowby Parish Council look after and serve the village exceedingly well. The village runs efficiently, has a great community feel with lots of activities going on. It would lose all this plus it's village identity if we were incorporated into a Parish or Town Council. | 4/11/2022 11:47 AM |
| 99 | This could be beneficial to all residents of these areas | 4/11/2022 10:18 AM |
| 100 | I think the immediate area should be viewed first then outer area as this council has allowed so much building work to take place in the last several years. The boundary has changed a great deal, therefore a town council would be better suited to help slow down the growth and expansion of boundaries. | 4/11/2022 10:07 AM |
| 101 | Being all under one management | 4/11/2022 9:29 AM |
| 102 | As I dont want any more councils set up any matters where villages want something doing can be decided by SKDC and funded by them. Further persons who want changes can organize petitions for inclusion and facilities but i dont see the point in spending more on administration than we do already. Any spare money should be used for work to be done- not committees sat talking. Action not words. Fill the potholes. | 4/11/2022 9:06 AM |
| 103 | Areas with a current connection to Grantham should be incorporated in a reconstruction. This will eliminate areas which have next door neighbours in a new town council whilst others remain in a parish | 4/11/2022 8:53 AM |
| 104 | Let the existing parishes decide whether they want to be part of the new town council | 4/10/2022 12:28 PM |
| 105 | As I consider a new level of council is unnecessary this question is not relevant. | 4/10/2022 11:40 AM |

Community Governance Review Survey March 2022

| | | |
|-----|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------|
| 106 | Everyone who uses Grantham services should be included. | 4/10/2022 11:21 AM |
| 107 | ``Manthorpe & Belton Great Gonerby Londonthorpe & Harrowby Harlaxton The New town boundary should not cut across existing parishes`` | 4/9/2022 12:51 PM |
| 108 | Why do Alma Park and Harrowby not also count as "urban parts" of Londonthorpe and Harrowby Without Parish? | 4/9/2022 8:18 AM |
| 109 | One step at a time. If it works well then we could use the option to extend to surrounding urban areas. | 4/8/2022 5:11 PM |
| 110 | As i live on Queen Eleanor Avenue i feel part of the Barrowby community! | 4/8/2022 3:01 PM |
| 111 | Merging of existing parish councils would not be beneficial to those parishes. Stay out of surrounding parishes and stop trying to subsume areas not in the Grantham administrative boundary. The Colleys (S14/3571 on map B) lies within the Barrowby parish. It has been falsely subsumed into the Grantham unparished area with the precept being appropriated by that area. Ensure the Colleys precept is returned to the Barrowby parish in which it lies and comply with current boundaries. | 4/8/2022 12:23 PM |
| 112 | Democratic legitimacy is enhanced when elected ruling bodies cover communities with legitimate joint interests. The parts of Belton & Manthorpe, Great Gonerby and/or Londonthorpe & Harrowby Without parishes fall within this definition and would benefit from a close democratic association with Grantham which would be achieved by a town council. | 4/8/2022 12:02 PM |
| 113 | Merging of existing parish councils would not be beneficial to those parishes. Stay out of surrounding parishes and stop trying to subsume areas not in the Grantham administrative boundary. The Colleys (S14/3571 on map B) lies within the Barrowby parish. It has been falsely subsumed into the Grantham unparished area with the precept being appropriated by that area. Ensure the Colleys precept is returned to the Barrowby parish in which it lies and comply with current boundaries. | 4/8/2022 5:36 AM |
| 114 | For me they use the town to shop, eat out and seek entertainment so must have a voice. | 4/7/2022 5:27 PM |
| 115 | it should be up to the residents of other parish councils to decide | 4/7/2022 2:06 PM |
| 116 | Merging of existing parish councils would not be beneficial to those parishes. Stay out of surrounding parishes and stop trying to subsume areas not in the Grantham administrative boundary. The Colleys (S14/3571 on map B) lies within the Barrowby parish. It has been falsely subsumed into the Grantham unparished area with the precept being appropriated by that area. Ensure the Colleys precept is returned to the Barrowby parish in which it lies and comply with current boundaries. | 4/7/2022 12:44 PM |
| 117 | The town area is big enough already | 4/7/2022 11:46 AM |
| 118 | Yes, including Barrowby as the parish council in Barrowby have failed in their duty to residents on a number of occasions. | 4/6/2022 4:47 PM |
| 119 | There should not be a new parish/town council in the first place. But no doubt it's already been decided that it will come into existence. Consultation these days just seems to mean giving the impression of involving those that pay for this. | 4/6/2022 3:59 PM |
| 120 | This is really a technical issue. It is best answered by either long-term residents (of which I am not one) or by existing counsellors. The objective here is to increase representation in those areas where (apparently) there is none, and it is not clear whether the inclusion of these unparished areas would advance that objective. | 4/6/2022 1:59 PM |
| 121 | Do not think there should be a parish or town council | 4/6/2022 9:45 AM |
| 122 | What are the benefits of merging current parishes and most importantly would the residents of the current parishes want to be part of the Grantham parish? | 4/5/2022 6:50 PM |
| 123 | Town Councils achieve nothing! | 4/5/2022 10:26 AM |
| 124 | See Q1 | 4/5/2022 10:23 AM |
| 125 | The town centre is in a shocking state due to the lack of focus. The less distraction the better. There is no way the infrastructure at present is fit for purpose for the current size of the town before all the planned developments are completed. | 4/5/2022 10:19 AM |
| 126 | We are happy with the current system and do not want any changes to it. | 4/5/2022 10:18 AM |

Community Governance Review Survey March 2022

| | | |
|-----|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------|
| 127 | Devolution is an attractive theory where power is handed down from above, but as Tony Blair experienced the process and expectations never satisfy the recipient- look at Scotland and Wales. It creates another talking shop and layer of bureaucracy. Diverse geographic and economic requirements mean the ideal that putting out the begging bowl for more funds and power will reach parity with the subsidizer is never achieved. | 4/5/2022 9:27 AM |
| 128 | If this goes ahead (already decided!) then to include above will cost locals more. Why not complete the current responsibilities! | 4/5/2022 9:22 AM |
| 129 | The inclusion of the areas noted below is logical. | 4/4/2022 5:59 PM |
| 130 | It is likely this proposal will merge less compliant needs, adding more management demands. It appears that a broad-brush approach is not the purpose of the proposal. | 4/4/2022 5:50 PM |
| 131 | see q1 more practical | 4/4/2022 4:46 PM |
| 132 | Allow the authority to get established alongside the existing parishes, before embarking on potentially divisive projects. | 4/4/2022 4:17 PM |
| 133 | Do not feel qualified to comment except that parish councils might be given the opportunity to say if they wish to be included in a town council. | 4/4/2022 4:13 PM |
| 134 | The surrounding parishes have their own parish councils and being incorporated into Grantham would be detrimental to their interests | 4/4/2022 3:57 PM |
| 135 | Villages should continue with current parish councils who understand the needs of the village | 4/4/2022 3:19 PM |
| 136 | Where does it stop. Grantham is continuing to grow with housing development without the infrastructure to support it. | 4/4/2022 3:13 PM |
| 137 | It looks as if those areas are part of Grantham so it would make sense if they were part of the new town council. | 4/4/2022 3:06 PM |
| 138 | Yes if it improves funding and better roads for these areas, particularly the deprived areas of Grantham such as the Earlsfield and Alma Park | 4/4/2022 2:25 PM |
| 139 | Better to have all services under one roof to reduce expenses | 4/4/2022 2:19 PM |
| 140 | This doesn't need answering if I've said no to Q1 | 4/4/2022 2:16 PM |
| 141 | As previously mentioned, the council tax is expensive as it is, to include already parished areas would only make any precept bigger. A parish council for Grantham is not necessary. | 4/4/2022 2:07 PM |
| 142 | Areas attached physical to the existing town should be incorporated. Bridge End Road, Alma Park, Gonerby Hill Foot. The A1 is the western boundary but the town has developed east to Sunningdale & Alma Park in the last 50 years | 4/4/2022 1:49 PM |
| 143 | If the existing local parishes wish to vote to join the new town council, that should be a decision taken by the electorate of each parish council | 4/4/2022 11:41 AM |
| 144 | We need as many areas of SKDC to benefit of a Town Council representation already available in many other areas. | 4/3/2022 4:59 PM |
| 145 | I am not convinced if an economical or accountability framework has been put forward properly for the change | 4/3/2022 4:53 PM |
| 146 | It's important to ensure that as many areas within SKDC enjoy the benefits of a Town Council and have representation for important issues eg: planning. | 4/3/2022 4:31 PM |
| 147 | Sunningdale and Alma Park are very much part of Grantham but are in Harrowby PC | 4/3/2022 4:02 PM |
| 148 | Important to ensure as many areas within SKDC enjoy the benefits of Town Council representation already available to some/most (to avoid favouritism apparent amongst present Town Councils). | 4/3/2022 2:09 PM |
| 149 | People who live on Somerby Hill should have a say in what is done in Grantham as we shop in Grantham. We live on the outskirts of Grantham but are still regarded as part of Grantham and therefore should have a say in what happens with our town. | 4/3/2022 10:37 AM |
| 150 | Current Parrish councils seem to operate well | 4/3/2022 10:33 AM |

Community Governance Review Survey March 2022

| | | |
|-----|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------|
| 151 | As a resident of Londonthorpe and Harrowby without Parish, I wouldn't want to pay a costs towards any town council unless I get the benefit in may immediate surrounding area. | 4/3/2022 9:39 AM |
| 152 | I belive the other areas are already covered | 4/2/2022 7:59 PM |
| 153 | The parish councils seem powerless. For example, the roads in Stroxton are constantly repaired but with no long term plan to prevent water damage. A more powerful, joined up approach may help allocate money more effectively. | 4/2/2022 10:09 AM |
| 154 | It would also seem sensible to take the also take the parcel of land to the south of the railway which now forms a natural boundary with Great Gonerby PC. | 4/2/2022 6:37 AM |
| 155 | As above. | 4/2/2022 1:25 AM |
| 156 | This question assumes i said yes in first question | 4/1/2022 6:32 PM |
| 157 | n/a | 4/1/2022 4:01 PM |
| 158 | Existing parish council boundaries have existed for generations and have a close local identity and character for people living in them.Parish councillors live in their respective parishes and already provide an effective local contact and voice for their communities. Assets such as play areas etc. are owned and maintained by the Parish. Taking parts of these parishes away would be detrimental and take away the local voice of people who actually live in them to a more remote organisation. | 4/1/2022 1:06 PM |
| 159 | More cost and red tape | 4/1/2022 9:28 AM |
| 160 | The key principle is that the Grantham Town Council boundary should be the same as the SKDC ward boundaries. It is really important to link the Town Council with elected members and little bits in other wards just will not create that link, whichever way it happens | 3/31/2022 11:11 PM |
| 161 | they already have their parish council thus their local area parish's is represented by local councillor's and do not need to shoehorned in to a bigger arear where their needs may not be truly represented ,small is good bigto unwieldy | 3/31/2022 5:27 PM |
| 162 | Not needed | 3/31/2022 5:17 PM |
| 163 | Living in a local parish means that local people have an interest in their immediate surroundings and therefor care for the area. Groups of volunteers help look after their parish. A larger area would create less local interest. Our local parish councilors only claim minimal amounts for the cost of long journeys etc and not for attendance at meetings etc. They do not require additional permanent meeting places. | 3/31/2022 5:03 PM |
| 164 | Surely this should be done in consultation with the parishes concerned. | 3/31/2022 3:42 PM |
| 165 | The parts listed are close enough to the town to be included. | 3/31/2022 3:11 PM |
| 166 | Belton & Manthorpe; Great Gonserby; Barrowby; Harlaxton; Little Ponton & Stroxton; Londonthorpe and Harrowby. But only if their financial resources are added to those of any new town council in Grantham. i.e. sufficient budget for all. | 3/31/2022 1:25 PM |
| 167 | No cmmn | 3/31/2022 12:22 PM |
| 168 | Barrowby should not be taking decisions about existing and planned new housing to the East of the A1 | 3/31/2022 10:17 AM |
| 169 | Unparished areas require representation and adoption, existing parishes do not need the option of higher precepts and poorer services thank you very much | 3/30/2022 8:21 PM |
| 170 | Why shouldn't they be represented? | 3/30/2022 3:24 PM |
| 171 | There is NO REQUIREMENT for a Grantham Town/Parish Council - this is not reflected in the choices available at Q2 | 3/30/2022 1:32 PM |
| 172 | All residents in parish boundaries should be considered when planning for Grantham issues | 3/30/2022 12:56 PM |
| 173 | Why add other areas already in a parish. That makes no sense. Keep it simple. | 3/30/2022 11:22 AM |
| 174 | be good | 3/30/2022 11:14 AM |
| 175 | this should also include the current londonthorpe and harrowby unparished areas and new building developments in that location | 3/30/2022 11:12 AM |

Community Governance Review Survey March 2022

| | | |
|-----|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------|
| 176 | Believe the people that live in parishes should have control | 3/30/2022 11:05 AM |
| 177 | If parts of the surrounding parishes are taken in, what happens to the remainder? They will be much smaller surely! | 3/30/2022 11:00 AM |
| 178 | Although it is not clear from the map it would appear to align with the old borough boundary which seems to make the most sense | 3/30/2022 10:39 AM |
| 179 | it should keep as it is | 3/30/2022 9:24 AM |
| 180 | The continued expansion of Grantham means that these parishes are realistically part of the town and use the services based there | 3/30/2022 9:20 AM |
| 181 | Difficult to decipher on map but I feel we should be part of Grantham as we use the current facilities for Grantham | 3/30/2022 8:55 AM |
| 182 | The currently unparished area is already large enough to warrant the introduction of a town council. to extend it further could dilute its effectiveness and make it unmanageable. | 3/29/2022 3:26 PM |
| 183 | There are parishes such as Great Gonerby and Manthorpe that are very closely connected to Grantham. It is logical to include these in a new town council area. | 3/29/2022 1:34 PM |
| 184 | Perhaps with small changes to areas but it is important to maintain a local identity | 3/29/2022 10:44 AM |
| 185 | I do not feel that there is any need to change what is already in place and serves those communities well. I do not think changes to the parish/town councils in the existing areas would be received very well - ie. bad feeling | 3/28/2022 5:08 PM |
| 186 | It is for the residents/owners of the properties concerned to comment. | 3/28/2022 2:07 PM |
| 187 | SKDC should cover those areas that dont currently have a parish or town council | 3/28/2022 1:59 PM |
| 188 | Yes with a new boundary set within a 2 mile radius of the town hall | 3/28/2022 1:50 PM |
| 189 | I think those areas should have their own parish council | 3/28/2022 1:46 PM |
| 190 | Leave the other parishes alone. They seem to know what they are doing and getting on with it. | 3/28/2022 1:40 PM |
| 191 | There does not need to be a further level of government with added costs to residents some of whom are pensioners on fixed incomes | 3/28/2022 1:15 PM |
| 192 | Compare Stamford to Grantham. More attenion needed to uplift the town centre making it attractive to tourists. | 3/28/2022 12:13 PM |
| 193 | Barrowby should also be included | 3/28/2022 11:55 AM |
| 194 | No, there is enough bureaucracy already. | 3/28/2022 11:54 AM |
| 195 | If they agree | 3/28/2022 11:20 AM |
| 196 | With a larger area of representation and income the new council would have more chance of being able to get change. | 3/27/2022 3:35 PM |
| 197 | The historic boundary of Grantham is out of date and should bring in neighbouring parishes | 3/27/2022 1:35 PM |
| 198 | More straightforward to leave things as they are - but I'm no expert. | 3/27/2022 12:48 PM |
| 199 | More straightforward to leave things as they are. | 3/27/2022 12:31 PM |
| 200 | Grantham is growing rapidly and the current residential development plans mean that we should be as ambitious as possible as to enfranchisement area, even if some of the villages already have their own parish councils. | 3/26/2022 7:31 PM |
| 201 | Yes as then all funding is spread equally amongst the town and surrounding areas. | 3/26/2022 12:33 PM |
| 202 | A corner of our estate (Manthorpe Estate) is currently in the Belton and Manthorpe Parish. The planned new builds off our estate would also be in that parish. So yes they should be incorporated into any larger town or Grantham Parish Council | 3/26/2022 11:38 AM |
| 203 | plus Barrowby | 3/25/2022 5:08 PM |
| 204 | Within our Council Tax we already pay for Lincolnshire County Council and South Kesteven District Council. The proposal is being put forward for a Town Council to make decisions on | 3/25/2022 4:31 PM |

Community Governance Review Survey March 2022

local priorities, local expenditure and act as a statutory consultee in respect of planning matters or whether these functions should remain with the District Council. The information suggests that if a Town Council is agreed it would not replace the District Council. I am not sure why we would need both. My answer is therefore No to a Town Council as it will also require us to pay more in our Council Tax to have all of these Councils in place and I don't think there will be benefits to this.

| | | |
|-----|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------|
| 205 | Whichever is most cost effective and most directly accountable to the electorate. | 3/25/2022 12:00 PM |
| 206 | The more in it should lower the cost | 3/24/2022 12:18 PM |
| 207 | yes so there voices can be heard | 3/23/2022 5:51 PM |
| 208 | I'm unclear on the impact of a decision either way, but if NOT including them means we end up with, for example, road works that stop have way down a street because the town council's remit doesn't extend to the end of the street, then perhaps, the town council should include these areas | 3/23/2022 3:51 PM |
| 209 | Could be confusing if only parts of above mentioned parishes are included in new town council boundary | 3/23/2022 3:20 PM |
| 210 | Waste of money | 3/22/2022 5:31 PM |
| 211 | I think it is important to know how they think and want. I would like to be asked who I want. i like the thought of Grantham having a town council but so they. It is important to know how all think and want. | 3/22/2022 4:34 PM |
| 212 | grantham and its surrounds should be treated as one community | 3/22/2022 4:01 PM |
| 213 | They are local and so should be included | 3/22/2022 1:54 PM |
| 214 | Grantham is growing at a speedy rate. If it incorporates all the parishes around it we can justify having a full hospital | 3/22/2022 1:48 PM |
| 215 | The neighbouring parished areas will have their own specific interests/needs which may be at odds with the town, especially given the rural/urban nature of their localities | 3/22/2022 1:41 PM |
| 216 | leave things as they are | 3/22/2022 12:10 PM |
| 217 | The boundary should be set to include Grantham as outlined in your map document but should include any planning permission areas granted bordering the new area eg s08/1231, s14/3260, s14/3571, GR3H1, GR3H2, GR3H3, GR3H4 etc | 3/22/2022 11:58 AM |
| 218 | Not applicable. This is a loaded question assuming yes to previous question. Are we idiots? | 3/22/2022 11:07 AM |
| 219 | This area is close and needs to be included | 3/22/2022 10:40 AM |
| 220 | If voting no for a Town council, why answer questions that are inappropriate. | 3/22/2022 9:20 AM |
| 221 | Build a town council ready for the future not for the town boundaries as they where in the 1980's | 3/21/2022 4:17 PM |
| 222 | I believe the unparished areas should be given the higher priority to be parished before thinking about the surrounding areas, which have parish / council support already. | 3/21/2022 3:55 PM |
| 223 | I give this response only on the basis that the proposals - despite my objections - go ahead. As the votes of the residents of Grantham Town would vastly outnumber those of the unparished areas listed above, what weighting might be given to the non-parished voters so that they are not just steam-rolled into a de facto scenario? | 3/21/2022 11:47 AM |
| 224 | See my previous comments!! | 3/21/2022 10:06 AM |
| 225 | Those parish councils have worked for years supporting their own community well . Also it would be better to keep the areas small to maximise the effect of the council ,a bigger area means that the effect of the council is spread more thinly over a bigger area | 3/21/2022 10:02 AM |
| 226 | The Council must cover ALL local areas | 3/21/2022 9:24 AM |
| 227 | I don't believe this council is needed. | 3/21/2022 7:08 AM |
| 228 | The urban area was once confined to the unparished areas; today, we have an enlarged urban transport and communication infrastructure that is interdependent upon neighbouring parishes. | 3/20/2022 5:29 PM |

Community Governance Review Survey March 2022

To make this work efficiently and the whole be better than the sum of the individual parts; we need to combine and co ordinate.

| | | |
|-----|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------|
| 229 | Those areas have their own village identity | 3/20/2022 3:45 PM |
| 230 | Great Gonerby, Belton and Manthorpe, Londonthorpe and Harrowby | 3/20/2022 2:58 PM |
| 231 | No I don't want any more paperweights | 3/19/2022 10:00 PM |
| 232 | Should be small but perhaps not too small | 3/19/2022 6:34 PM |
| 233 | Taking urban parts of the surrounding area would mean a bigger footprint and bigger voice. It would also allow the smaller areas to become part of something bigger. | 3/19/2022 2:57 PM |
| 234 | The town boundaries ideally should include all current wards SDKC representatives so that there are clear responsibilities. | 3/19/2022 2:22 PM |
| 235 | Current representation should remain | 3/19/2022 1:14 PM |
| 236 | All surrounding areas are interlinked. Decisions need to be made with those interdependencies in mind | 3/19/2022 10:15 AM |
| 237 | Do differently just begins a slow expansion so the unparished area is the only choice . | 3/19/2022 9:59 AM |
| 238 | Furthermore, if this does go ahead only the unparished area should pay the precept. Council tax is already high enough. | 3/19/2022 8:15 AM |
| 239 | The Village / Parish of Barrowby must be kept separate from Grantham. It is a distiNctive area and MUST NOT BE ABSORBED INTO GRANTHAM. | 3/18/2022 3:11 PM |
| 240 | What ever the public say the council will only do what they want anyway | 3/18/2022 2:30 PM |
| 241 | The more people the lower the cost, it should be as wide as possible | 3/18/2022 2:04 PM |
| 242 | Let the people of the parishes in existence choose | 3/18/2022 1:29 PM |
| 243 | We dont need a council the services should be privatised especially the bin men | 3/18/2022 11:31 AM |
| 244 | The areas like Sunningdale Estate are classed as Grantham by local people, even though in Harrowby and Londonthorpe parish. | 3/18/2022 10:40 AM |
| 245 | It is the town centre of Grantham that is in greatest need of investment, ideas and regeneration. | 3/18/2022 7:06 AM |
| 246 | The surrounding parish councils have probably been established for some time and their boundaries should be respected and retained. | 3/17/2022 6:17 PM |
| 247 | I feel the needs of "Greater Grantham" need to be considered together, by combining existing current parishes I would hope would lead to efficiency savings rather than generate new costs | 3/17/2022 3:21 PM |
| 248 | If Grantham is expanding, these parishes should be part of the plan. | 3/17/2022 2:08 PM |
| 249 | NO COMMENT I don't agree with the proposal | 3/17/2022 12:24 PM |
| 250 | See above | 3/17/2022 12:02 PM |
| 251 | Why disrupt other councils that presumably work well. | 3/17/2022 11:40 AM |
| 252 | I am not in support of creating a new body | 3/17/2022 10:43 AM |
| 253 | no persuasive evidence to the contrary | 3/17/2022 10:03 AM |
| 254 | Why change what already works . There will be costs to this which are not needed. | 3/17/2022 9:46 AM |
| 255 | We need Grantham people to stand up for Grantham not the villagers | 3/17/2022 9:34 AM |
| 256 | As the town will grow, everyone needs to feel well supported and be able to be heard. Thus bringing the parish together as whole, treating everyone equally will only grow better people here. | 3/17/2022 8:06 AM |
| 257 | It should be sorted out. For example, why does Wilsford belong to the city of Grantham when it is next to Sleaford? | 3/17/2022 6:57 AM |
| 258 | Leave Great Gonerby but the others most definitely. Especially given the housing expansion | 3/17/2022 1:54 AM |

Community Governance Review Survey March 2022

around Manthorpe and the large developments in the Londonthorpe and Harrowby without parish.


| | | |
|-----|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------|
| 259 | Grantham is growing, a town council can drive development, and focus on social housing, upgrading areas of Grantham to be a better place to live and work. | 3/16/2022 5:49 PM |
| 260 | I think some of the local area decisions could be lost in an overly large town council | 3/16/2022 5:08 PM |
| 261 | It would merge local views and knowledge and look to more local needs | 3/16/2022 4:16 PM |
| 262 | Were the town council imposed on Grantham's residents, then I would hope that the more that were included the lower the cost would be for all | 3/16/2022 3:50 PM |
| 263 | Local parish councils do a good job. | 3/16/2022 3:27 PM |
| 264 | Where is the option to answer: The area should continue to be an unparished area?? By removing this option you are already skewing the results in favour of the desired outcome. | 3/16/2022 3:09 PM |
| 265 | new development sites | 3/16/2022 2:48 PM |
| 266 | The Town Council should include all areas of Grantham even if they already have a parish council and make it all into one. | 3/16/2022 2:31 PM |
| 267 | Just make to more expensive | 3/16/2022 2:27 PM |
| 268 | If an area already has a parish/town council there is no need to alter this | 3/16/2022 2:17 PM |
| 269 | As I do not agree with the having a Town Council I see no point in answering this question. | 3/16/2022 2:12 PM |
| 270 | It makes sense that these areas also receive proper representation and inclusion. | 3/16/2022 1:36 PM |
| 271 | Parish Councils do a good job of stopping over development by housing company's and stopping council interference were its not needed for locals | 3/16/2022 12:43 PM |
| 272 | I don't think there should be a new Town Council so obviously I don't think that if you proceed with the plan it should even include areas that are already functioning perfectly well as individual parishes. | 3/16/2022 12:01 PM |
| 273 | Parish Councils are toothless, and in the main not listened to. So bring the outlying Parishes into one roof. | 3/16/2022 10:21 AM |
| 274 | None | 3/16/2022 9:30 AM |
| 275 | If you are going to do this then yes the Grantham area needs to be in charge of the complete area and simplify management. | 3/16/2022 8:43 AM |
| 276 | Those areas all fall within services provided by SKDC under the Grantham banner, so yes, any additional areas in the immediate vicinity of Grantham should also be included. | 3/15/2022 9:16 PM |
| 277 | There is no need for a town council | 3/15/2022 8:19 PM |
| 278 | merging the urban areas which are physicaly part of grantham would make more sense than having the fragmented current arrangement. | 3/15/2022 6:42 PM |
| 279 | No town council. No more cost which will increase over the years. | 3/15/2022 5:10 PM |
| 280 | An issue for those that live in the surrounding parishes. | 3/15/2022 4:01 PM |
| 281 | Probably should so that building development planning can be considered with respect to the central infrastructure. Would be helpful to have had Pros and Cons put forward. | 3/15/2022 3:12 PM |
| 282 | These areas are as much of the town as the current areas. | 3/15/2022 2:29 PM |
| 283 | I do not think we should have a parish or town council | 3/15/2022 2:14 PM |
| 284 | We need to encourage a sense of purpose in the whole town not just a bit! | 3/15/2022 1:20 PM |
| 285 | The areas currently covered by parish/Town councils don't need to be further segregated to come under Grantham town/parish Council | 3/15/2022 12:58 PM |
| 286 | The more they expand the more they will cost | 3/15/2022 11:59 AM |
| 287 | Sunningdale is seen as part of Grantham so would include together with the areas on the | 3/14/2022 8:30 PM |

Community Governance Review Survey March 2022

| | Manthorpe Estate and Gonerby Hill Foot | |
|-----|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------|
| 288 | I would prefer the Council to remain a District Council with the current parish arrangements. | 3/13/2022 11:32 PM |
| 289 | Including all areas marked for development | 3/13/2022 6:23 AM |
| 290 | The possibly affected Parish Councils should be consulted for their views. | 3/12/2022 4:25 PM |
| 291 | Absolutely not!! | 3/12/2022 9:35 AM |
| 292 | Gonerby, Manthorpe and Barrowby people are only interested in driving into town to do their shopping. I have nothing against them, but they do not live in Grantham! | 3/11/2022 9:47 PM |
| 293 | The new Town Council should involve not only the proposed areas but Alma Park & Sunningdale which are in effect attached to the Town. Considering also the Alma Park Industrial Est and it's historic links to the old Grantham Borough . | 3/11/2022 7:09 PM |
| 294 | There is no option to reiterate that the interviewee can state 'none' which by virtue implies the interviewer (SKDC) is steering the question to see a town council up. This is poor questioning. | 3/11/2022 4:51 PM |
| 295 | London Thorpe, Belton and Barrowby | 3/11/2022 3:45 PM |
| 296 | To ensure the connected urban areas of Grantham are included under the 1 council | 3/11/2022 11:28 AM |
| 297 | Sunningdale should be included as we are still in town?? | 3/11/2022 10:12 AM |
| 298 | Parish councils are a bit dated. 1 Council for the town. | 3/11/2022 10:07 AM |

Q3 If you answered yes to the previous question and think the new parish/town council should also take in the urban part(s) of any of the following surrounding parish(es), please tell us which below:

Answered: 473 Skipped: 1,010



| ANSWER CHOICES | RESPONSES | |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------|-----|
| Great Gonerby Parish – 78 properties, 173 electors | 86.68% | 410 |
| Lonthorpe and Harrowby Without Parish – 189 properties, 343 electors | 91.75% | 434 |
| Belton and Manthorpe Parish – 111 properties, 234 electors | 86.05% | 407 |
| Total Respondents: 473 | | |

| # | PLEASE USE THE SPACE BELOW TO COMMENT: | DATE |
|---|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------|
| 1 | I think that the 3 parishes listed above should be part of the Grantham Town council. They already form part of the town geographically | 4/25/2022 2:55 PM |
| 2 | The town is growing and these changes should be taken into consideration | 4/25/2022 2:35 PM |
| 3 | The new developments GR3 - H1 and GR3- H4 are going to have a massive impact on the character of Grantham Town and it would be as well to absorb these new mini villages into the town area | 4/25/2022 2:16 PM |
| 4 | Great Gonerby is an extension of Grantham whereas Londonthorpe and Belton should remain and be protected as separate villages. | 4/25/2022 1:04 PM |
| 5 | Its all part of Grantham isnt it? | 4/25/2022 12:37 PM |
| 6 | All urban extensions to Grantham existing or proposed east of the A1 should be included as they use Grantham facilities, the town centre and parks | 4/25/2022 12:15 PM |
| 7 | The people in these areas would likely use Grantham as their place of business, shopping and services and should be included in the town council area. | 4/25/2022 12:07 PM |
| 8 | Logical to include management of all urban areas | 4/25/2022 12:03 PM |
| 9 | in the above inclusions I would differentiate between Belton and Manthorpe. Manthorpe is certainly within the urban region of Grantham but not Belton. | 4/25/2022 10:59 AM |

Community Governance Review Survey March 2022

| | | |
|----|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------|
| 10 | Other parishes should be included as they use Grantham's other facilities eg car parks, parks/playing fields etc | 4/25/2022 10:44 AM |
| 11 | Great Gonerby and Londonthorpe and Harrowby without are as closely linked and dependent on Grantham, as for example, Barrowby is. | 4/25/2022 9:16 AM |
| 12 | I consider these areas to be part of Grantham | 4/23/2022 10:13 AM |
| 13 | All three areas listed are closely linked to Grantham town and I think would feel part of the town. | 4/22/2022 9:08 PM |
| 14 | I would suggest including those areas marked on Map A as "geographically part of Grantham Town but within another parish", but I would also include Alma Park and Harrowby - realistically they are part of Grantham Town geographically, there's no clear separation travelling along Harrowby Lane or Alma Park Road and separating them would mean neighbours on the same street coming under different councils. However, it seems reasonable to have the settlement of Londonthorpe in a separate parish because they're highly geographically distinct and have their own local identity. | 4/22/2022 1:50 PM |
| 15 | If the people living there agree. | 4/22/2022 1:06 PM |
| 16 | I don't know why the properties out towards Alma park shouldn't also have the same costs/benefits. | 4/22/2022 11:36 AM |
| 17 | Not Applicable - as per Q2. | 4/22/2022 10:39 AM |
| 18 | Would be super to have representatives/councillors on the Town Council to discuss and make discussions on all these LOCAL areas | 4/21/2022 6:48 PM |
| 19 | See previous comments. Also, the new developments at the top of Somerby Hill will also be, effectively, an extension of Grantham, but are currently in Londonthorpe and Harrowby Without parish. | 4/21/2022 6:06 PM |
| 20 | I cannot see any good reason not to include people movinh into the area and/or new homes since they will be part of Grantham town | 4/21/2022 4:44 PM |
| 21 | No | 4/21/2022 4:37 PM |
| 22 | The southern quadrant is going to make up a significant percentage of grantham town both in population and in physical area. The more rural parish of Londonthorpe and harrowby without is going to be transformed into a town area with more complexities and facility needs that will need to be satisfied by Grantham town. | 4/21/2022 1:02 PM |
| 23 | All urban areas abutting unparished Grantham should definitely be included in a new Grantham town council includeing areas with planning permission | 4/21/2022 10:12 AM |
| 24 | Both parishes ticked will practically join onto Grantham town eventually | 4/20/2022 9:16 AM |
| 25 | The people living in these listed areas still use most of the services offered by Grantham. Having a say in the decisions will also benefit them. | 4/20/2022 8:44 AM |
| 26 | No | 4/19/2022 7:43 PM |
| 27 | No they shouldn't | 4/19/2022 7:40 PM |
| 28 | No | 4/19/2022 7:38 PM |
| 29 | No | 4/19/2022 7:36 PM |
| 30 | No | 4/19/2022 7:31 PM |
| 31 | No | 4/19/2022 7:28 PM |
| 32 | No | 4/19/2022 4:14 PM |
| 33 | Please see previous comment. | 4/19/2022 3:31 PM |
| 34 | No | 4/19/2022 3:26 PM |
| 35 | No leave their parish council as it is | 4/19/2022 3:22 PM |
| 36 | No | 4/19/2022 3:19 PM |

Community Governance Review Survey March 2022

| | | |
|----|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------|
| 37 | No | 4/19/2022 3:16 PM |
| 38 | No | 4/19/2022 3:13 PM |
| 39 | No | 4/19/2022 3:10 PM |
| 40 | Neighbouring villages already have Parish councils to serve them. | 4/19/2022 3:03 PM |
| 41 | Do not change anything | 4/19/2022 2:24 PM |
| 42 | These areas are clearly part of Grantham | 4/19/2022 12:56 PM |
| 43 | Due to the impact of these Parish areas on Grantham as a town it is important that they are considered as a joined up entity. | 4/18/2022 1:03 PM |
| 44 | The urban area of Great Gonerby would be subsumed into Grantham, but the rural area to its north could be transferred to adjacent parishes. Londonthorpe and Harrowby Without would lose the area south of Turnor Road and parts of the Alma Park industrial estate but the two villages would not be affected. Belton and Manthorpe would lose the planned urban area south of Belton Lane and all of Manthorpe village. It might make practical sense to amalgamate the two reduced parishes into one - Belton, Londonthorpe and Harrowby Without. | 4/18/2022 12:09 PM |
| 45 | These parishes all fit within the area locals would include as being part of our town and people within the parishes use the town. | 4/17/2022 9:51 PM |
| 46 | All of these are contiguous and should be part of the built up area. | 4/17/2022 3:21 PM |
| 47 | For reasons stated previously | 4/17/2022 8:50 AM |
| 48 | If that's what they want. | 4/16/2022 1:20 PM |
| 49 | The electors affected electors should have the final say though. | 4/15/2022 12:59 PM |
| 50 | These areas are all connected by the key landmarks of the Witham and railway line. They should be considered as a whole in with town centre needs so there is a continuity of policies developed and the heart of the town thrives with these areas, not at its expense or visa versa. Tackling ASB and agreeing on protected shared green space will be more effective also. | 4/15/2022 10:22 AM |
| 51 | These are positively associated with the existing Boundaries | 4/12/2022 7:37 PM |
| 52 | All in Grantham postcode | 4/12/2022 6:21 PM |
| 53 | As I said before, this should be decided by the people living in the areas concerned. | 4/12/2022 3:52 PM |
| 54 | N/A | 4/12/2022 1:25 PM |
| 55 | These parishes are within the local area adjacent to Grantham | 4/12/2022 12:55 PM |
| 56 | The limited numbers affected indicates that their interests are likely to be significantly different to those of the more established areas of the parishes. It is recognised that there will a loss of revenue to the parishes but it is for consideration that this is primarily an acknowledgement of the "in between" status of the properties and electors affected | 4/12/2022 12:03 PM |
| 57 | these areas are part of the Town. | 4/12/2022 8:16 AM |
| 58 | only incorporate the parts of parishes that naturally attach to grantham estates. | 4/11/2022 11:01 PM |
| 59 | It should take in all the new proposed building areas at the top of Somerby Hill and the barracks. | 4/11/2022 4:42 PM |
| 60 | There are other urban areas that currently fall within the parish of Londonthorpe and Harrowby Without that about the unparished area of Grantham in the Sunningdale and Alma Park areas. Consideration should be given as to whether this is a clear and sustainable boundary. | 4/11/2022 4:17 PM |
| 61 | On balance this is probably a good idea, unless there are mitigating circumstances that would make it unworkable. | 4/11/2022 12:55 PM |
| 62 | These areas are part of Grantham and people who live in these areas use the town | 4/11/2022 10:23 AM |
| 63 | Whereverone lives boundaries should remian the same. as i said SKDC should cater for any need of any area and not differentiate. We all need things improving and one council can do it. | 4/11/2022 9:10 AM |

Community Governance Review Survey March 2022

we dont need to alter boundaries to get RESULTS. Changing boundaries provides unnecessary work for no gain. Land stays the same wherever it is.

| | | |
|----|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------|
| 64 | Local residents should not have to rely on local approval. There is no housing boundary between Great Gonerby and Grantham now and other areas such as Barrowby will eventually become a part of Grantham"s development too so should be included in the Grantham Parish proposal. | 4/10/2022 11:29 AM |
| 65 | The new town boundary should not split across existing parishes | 4/9/2022 12:54 PM |
| 66 | Why do Alma Park and Harrowby not also count as "urban parts" of Londonthorpe and Harrowby Without Parish? | 4/9/2022 8:19 AM |
| 67 | All these parishes should fall under the remit of a democratically elected Grantham Town Council so their joint interests can be properly served. | 4/8/2022 12:06 PM |
| 68 | I am, however, against the creation of a Town Council. | 4/7/2022 12:54 PM |
| 69 | See Q1 | 4/5/2022 10:24 AM |
| 70 | No comment | 4/4/2022 3:22 PM |
| 71 | I know nothing about the Belton Area but if it is physically attached to the town it should be considered as well. | 4/4/2022 1:51 PM |
| 72 | To avoid discrimination by Town Councils (present and proposed). | 4/3/2022 5:03 PM |
| 73 | To avoid voting discrimination by the Town Councils, (present and proposed). | 4/3/2022 4:36 PM |
| 74 | Not any of the villages in Harrowby PC | 4/3/2022 4:05 PM |
| 75 | To avoid voting discrimination by the Town Councils (present and proposed). | 4/3/2022 2:09 PM |
| 76 | My answer is as per previous quesiton. | 4/3/2022 10:40 AM |
| 77 | They are considered to be part of the town already | 4/2/2022 3:30 PM |
| 78 | These houses are clearly part of the town rather than a village up the road. | 4/2/2022 12:25 PM |
| 79 | n/a | 4/1/2022 4:01 PM |
| 80 | None | 4/1/2022 9:01 AM |
| 81 | See comment on Q2 | 3/31/2022 11:12 PM |
| 82 | These parts are close enough to be included. | 3/31/2022 3:13 PM |
| 83 | Providing sufficient resources are provided. | 3/31/2022 1:28 PM |
| 84 | not sure why you have left out Barrowby Parish which will have even more properties which should be transferred. | 3/31/2022 10:20 AM |
| 85 | You cannot differentiate. | 3/30/2022 3:27 PM |
| 86 | Allows all residents in all areas to be represented | 3/30/2022 1:01 PM |
| 87 | In addition to a new town council there should be parish councils for those areas curently unparished | 3/30/2022 11:44 AM |
| 88 | should all have an equal status | 3/30/2022 11:37 AM |
| 89 | These villages and areas are all part of Grantham and as such should be included | 3/30/2022 11:24 AM |
| 90 | as this area will also grow significantly under future plans | 3/30/2022 11:15 AM |
| 91 | No green space between these communities so should be considered as continuous part of town | 3/29/2022 5:48 PM |
| 92 | This is a decision for the residents of the three parishes - Great Gonerby, Londonthorpe and Belton. | 3/29/2022 3:46 PM |
| 93 | I dont see why those areas should be excluded. Barrowby has a Parish Council, why can't they. | 3/28/2022 12:05 PM |

Community Governance Review Survey March 2022


| | | |
|-----|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------|
| 94 | These areas are already integral to Grantham proper in all respects | 3/28/2022 11:42 AM |
| 95 | If most agree | 3/28/2022 11:21 AM |
| 96 | I think if there is a change to have a town council it would be important to support these areas especially regarding the development on green field sites. | 3/27/2022 3:40 PM |
| 97 | All to become just one. | 3/26/2022 12:33 PM |
| 98 | Should include Barrowby | 3/25/2022 5:12 PM |
| 99 | all | 3/23/2022 5:51 PM |
| 100 | With increased urbanisation these settlements will become part of Grantham eventually | 3/23/2022 4:39 PM |
| 101 | Also to be included should be: Manthorpe Village but not Belton, the new build or Manthorpe Estate All of Sunningdale Estate from Londonthorpe and Harrowby without parish all of Alma Park Estate (1st, 2nd, 3rd Ave etc) Parts of Bridge End Road and the Aitches Estate and any houses before the PWOG barracks | 3/23/2022 3:29 PM |
| 102 | as before should all be part of grantham | 3/22/2022 4:02 PM |
| 103 | very good idea | 3/22/2022 11:45 AM |
| 104 | we need a town council to bring the local area together. People from say Lincoln are not in the best position to decide or advise on Grantham etc | 3/22/2022 11:37 AM |
| 105 | Given their proximity to Grantham it makes sense to include these parishes within the town council area | 3/22/2022 10:47 AM |
| 106 | If the inclusion of all the above can be proved to be of practical and financial benefit to all parties concerned, then the economies of scale would be likely to operate. | 3/21/2022 11:51 AM |
| 107 | These areas are quite distinct and have their own characters and they should keep those. They add to the attraction of the whole built up area. However. they also have a duty/ role to play in ensuring the greater Grantham Area continues to provide more than these individual parishes at a higher level. This multi layer role is one that needs careful management from the Town Council; especially in this time of declining high streets and internet shopping | 3/20/2022 5:36 PM |
| 108 | A little bigger with the neighbours would have some benefits. | 3/19/2022 6:35 PM |
| 109 | See answer to Q2 | 3/19/2022 2:59 PM |
| 110 | The recent developments beyond the existing boundaries should be included. | 3/18/2022 11:10 AM |
| 111 | Also Barrowby Parish - Queen Eleanor Road etc | 3/18/2022 10:41 AM |
| 112 | Spreading the burden of grounds maintenance costs for the parks as widely as possible makes sense for those currently within the SEA | 3/18/2022 8:56 AM |
| 113 | All of these parishes are an integral part of the Grantham Township | 3/17/2022 12:54 PM |
| 114 | DONT AGREE WITH THE PROPOSAL | 3/17/2022 12:25 PM |
| 115 | If any of those named above wishes to be included I would think it to be rather welcomed, aiming at a strong community and solid communication development. | 3/17/2022 8:10 AM |
| 116 | These parishes are all part of Grantham so no need to be separate. | 3/16/2022 6:45 PM |
| 117 | It will allow for a greater say in what happens locally by a wider group | 3/16/2022 6:06 PM |
| 118 | The new boundary makes more sense, as most people I suspect think of the areas above ARE part of Grantham | 3/16/2022 5:50 PM |
| 119 | These areas all make sense and are clearly anomalies that should be rectified. | 3/16/2022 4:05 PM |
| 120 | None - leave it as an unparished area. | 3/16/2022 3:10 PM |
| 121 | I live in Great Gonerby so I would be happy for all of the parish councils to become on big town council. | 3/16/2022 2:33 PM |
| 122 | Makes sense to include all of estates in one council.Would also save existing parish councils having double election costs where the parish has been divided into two or more wards. | 3/16/2022 11:07 AM |

Community Governance Review Survey March 2022

| | | |
|-----|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------|
| 123 | As answered above. | 3/16/2022 10:22 AM |
| 124 | All, lets simplify things for a change. | 3/16/2022 8:45 AM |
| 125 | they are part of Grantham so all should be considered | 3/16/2022 8:04 AM |
| 126 | I am part of the Londonthorpe and Harrowby without Pariash area, so I would also want the other areas, that are more or less directly linked to Grantham, to be included. | 3/15/2022 9:18 PM |
| 127 | these areas are physically part of grantham and should be represented by "grantham" area council | 3/15/2022 6:45 PM |
| 128 | If all worked together the council will be more powerful and would be able to act for the needs of all | 3/13/2022 7:23 PM |
| 129 | The areas physical attached and involved in Town affairs should all be in the new Town Council | 3/11/2022 7:11 PM |
| 130 | None. Should bot be created | 3/11/2022 4:51 PM |
| 131 | Grantham should be represented as a whole | 3/11/2022 11:28 AM |
| 132 | Sunningdale?? We seem to have been forgotten | 3/11/2022 10:13 AM |

Q4 Should the boundary for any new parish or town council change and include any part of any other surrounding parishes? This may involve altering the boundaries of any of the neighbouring parishes.

Answered: 1,314 Skipped: 169



| ANSWER CHOICES | RESPONSES |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------|
| Yes – the boundary for any new parish/town council should change and include other part(s) of the following surrounding parish(es). (To specify which areas, please click next at the bottom of this page.) | 29.38% 386 |
| No – the boundary for any new parish/town council should be confined to the currently unparished area. | 56.16% 738 |
| Don't know | 14.46% 190 |
| TOTAL | 1,314 |

| # | PLEASE USE THE SPACE BELOW TO COMMENT: | DATE |
|---|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------|
| 1 | The boundaries of the identified neighbouring parishes listed all have been affected by planning development and sadly have reduced their unique village status. The effects on wildlife is threatened by the development within Little Ponton and Stroxton, Great Gonerby and Harlaxton and Barrowby. Bio diversity will be reduced further. | 4/25/2022 3:59 PM |
| 2 | The remaining 3 parishes ie Barrowby, harlaxton and Littel ponton are a little further away from Grantham should have the choice to join the town council or not | 4/25/2022 2:55 PM |
| 3 | None of the above is needed! Just attend to more important matters! | 4/25/2022 2:47 PM |
| 4 | The great extension of development to the south east of the town will affect the whole town, good idea to absorb into the town area | 4/25/2022 2:16 PM |
| 5 | The villages should remain distict to the town and discourage development spread. | 4/25/2022 1:04 PM |
| 6 | To enable the herdity of specific areas | 4/25/2022 12:03 PM |
| 7 | It is very important that the bridge over the penine way is completed for vehicular traffic. This will dilute the congestion at North Parade. In order to thin out traffic on Wharf Road why not encourage cars and vans to use Station Road and Station Road East leaving lorries to use Wharf Rd/London Rd. The more roads that stay open traffic flow will be diluted (excepting Morrisons Car Park). To cater for the vast new estate of Rectory farm area why not reinstate the old rail platforms at the bottom of Maltings Laneto take people by rail to Grantham and | 4/25/2022 10:18 AM |

Community Governance Review Survey March 2022

eventually the redesigned downtown retail village and station, with ticket collector on train.
Please reinstate the Guildhall cafe!

| | | |
|----|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------|
| 8 | No changes should be made at the moment. the newly developed areas should be included in the nearest parish. the new proposed housing estates may need to become newly formed parishes in the future, but they are not yet built, and may take many years to be so. | 4/25/2022 10:03 AM |
| 9 | more for the people in those areas to give a view than for me as a Grantham resident to say | 4/25/2022 9:16 AM |
| 10 | really very unsure as regards boundaries - needs a lot of consideration and careful planning | 4/25/2022 8:56 AM |
| 11 | urban parts only | 4/22/2022 10:05 PM |
| 12 | Grantham is a growth town and new areas of housing need to feel part of the town, not attached to rural parishes. | 4/22/2022 9:08 PM |
| 13 | Give Grantham unparished area West of the A1 to Harlaxton and Barrowby parishes - ie make the A1 the border. | 4/22/2022 7:45 PM |
| 14 | Waste of tax payers money. | 4/22/2022 6:49 PM |
| 15 | Same comments as for Question 2 | 4/22/2022 6:01 PM |
| 16 | It would be detrimental if part or the whole of Barrowby were incorporated into the Parish or Town Council. | 4/22/2022 2:35 PM |
| 17 | Again, it seems clear that with the exception of the planned developments to the South of Barrowby and the East of Great Gonerby, those marked on Map B will likely have a 'Grantham Town' geographic identity and have no clear delineation between their area and the rest of the town, so it makes little sense for them to be under a different council. | 4/22/2022 1:50 PM |
| 18 | With the exception of non-urban development (such as that planned in Barrowby which is a village separate from Grantham with its own character and parish council), again, I don't see why residents of the areas to be developed shouldn't have the same costs and benefits as existing Grantham residents as they will be using the town and its facilities in a similar way. | 4/22/2022 11:36 AM |
| 19 | Not Applicable - as per Q2. | 4/22/2022 10:39 AM |
| 20 | Grantham is our main town and decisions made affect us as we are only three miles away. Would absorbing us into Grantham be of benefit as no one listens to our parish council anyway. they are always overruled. | 4/21/2022 10:59 PM |
| 21 | If the reason for setting up a town council is to allow self determination and control over your immediate living space we shouldn't deny outlying parishes their independence in such matters. | 4/21/2022 9:17 PM |
| 22 | As far as I can see this question is addressed in q4. map B seems to answer this section | 4/21/2022 5:06 PM |
| 23 | am against the whole idea | 4/21/2022 4:50 PM |
| 24 | As many of these residents use services within Grantham town, the boundaries should reflect the routes to things like schools, hospitals, GPs, towns etc so that the views of all those impacted are considered. | 4/21/2022 3:33 PM |
| 25 | Grantham continues to grow and evolve and so it may necessary to adjust the border in alignment with their routes or access to services. | 4/21/2022 3:18 PM |
| 26 | Same reasons as above. Do not interfere with or encroach on neighbouring parishes. It makes no sense to move boundaries of parishes. | 4/21/2022 2:00 PM |
| 27 | Totally useless question when we are saying we dont want another council This is not your money but taxpayers. Stop wasting it | 4/21/2022 1:11 PM |
| 28 | The southern quadrant is going to make up a significant percentage of grantham town both in population and in physical area. The more rural parish of Londonthorpe and harrowby without is going to be transformed into a town area with more complexities and facility needs that will need to be satisfied by Grantham town. | 4/21/2022 1:02 PM |
| 29 | As previous answer. Only residents in parishes likely to have to change should be asked. | 4/21/2022 11:07 AM |
| 30 | Areas within the Grantham town area but currently within another parish should be included in the new town parish if the people living there wish to change | 4/20/2022 11:12 PM |

Community Governance Review Survey March 2022

| | | |
|----|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------|
| 31 | As indicated earlier, existing parish councils serve their communities well and it would not be helpful or welcomed if a new town council started to empire build! Good communication is always essential between parties which have a common interest and should be fostered between existing parish councils and the new town council. | 4/20/2022 1:55 PM |
| 32 | I don't think the boundries should be changed, but do include Londonthorpe and Harrowby in the new Council. | 4/20/2022 12:30 PM |
| 33 | Why would there be a need to change boundaries? | 4/20/2022 9:53 AM |
| 34 | see above | 4/20/2022 9:44 AM |
| 35 | The above proposed sites with planning permission and housing allocation when fulfilled will be a continuation of Grantham town -- there will be no great space separation. If you do not include them there could be a situation where one dwelling is only in the parish boundary and a neighbouring dwelling could be in Grantham Town Council | 4/20/2022 9:16 AM |
| 36 | The local community should be given the opportunity to unite into a common body, everyone should have equal rights. Making exemptions may cause decision making problems | 4/20/2022 8:44 AM |
| 37 | Please see my earlier comments. Whilst I largely believe the parish boundaries remain the same there are some exceptions and anomalies where altering them makes sense. | 4/19/2022 4:56 PM |
| 38 | They should stay the same | 4/19/2022 4:14 PM |
| 39 | Any areas outside the existing unparished Grantham boundary, not included in any neighbouring parish councils, but which will impact on the decisions which could be made by a new Grantham council should be included in new parish boundaries. For instance the development areas, which presumably will be mainly housing, will use Grantham for their various needs and so need to be included in the boundary. | 4/19/2022 3:31 PM |
| 40 | Leave as they are | 4/19/2022 3:26 PM |
| 41 | They should remain as individual parishes | 4/19/2022 3:22 PM |
| 42 | Remain the same | 4/19/2022 3:19 PM |
| 43 | Remain the same | 4/19/2022 3:16 PM |
| 44 | They should remain as existing rural parishes. | 4/19/2022 3:03 PM |
| 45 | Again consultation with the parish councils is needed | 4/19/2022 2:41 PM |
| 46 | All areas the town side of the A1 including those sites with planning permission and the housing allocations should be included in any new town council area | 4/19/2022 2:35 PM |
| 47 | Parish councils serve individual needs | 4/19/2022 2:30 PM |
| 48 | There is no need to make any changes at all | 4/19/2022 2:24 PM |
| 49 | No way, absolutely not. | 4/19/2022 1:00 PM |
| 50 | These areas are also clearly Grantham | 4/19/2022 12:56 PM |
| 51 | The western boundary of Grantham should be the A1 trunk road except near the A607 junction. Manthorpe Village and Manthorpe Estate south of Belton Lane should be in Grantham. The southern part of Londonthorpe and Harrowby without should form a new ward within Grantham | 4/19/2022 12:41 PM |
| 52 | They should keep their existing parish councils | 4/19/2022 12:28 PM |
| 53 | The current parishes are doing a wonderful job and we'd be making their time and efforts redundant. We should be loyal to those already loyal to us, the parishioners. | 4/19/2022 12:21 PM |
| 54 | Leave the villages alone. | 4/19/2022 11:44 AM |
| 55 | No changes at all to our village. Enough damage has been already done to our village and Precepts monies are required to maintain our village by our Parish Council | 4/19/2022 11:41 AM |
| 56 | See comment above | 4/19/2022 9:32 AM |
| 57 | LEAVE PARISH COUNCILS AND PARISH BOUNDARIES ALONE! Regardless of where residents live they still access facilities and benefits of neighbouring villages e.g. | 4/18/2022 10:29 PM |

Community Governance Review Survey March 2022

Londonthorpe, Barrowby and Gonerby playparks, shops, cemeteries, open spaces sports fields and dog walking etc. The cost of running a lot of village facilities falls on parish councils and the parish precept. It is wrong to deny these parish councils the revenue they deserve and need in order to maintain services. If new builds occur in parishes, then the parishes should benefit and not have the additional precept denied to them. The new occupants will still use the facilities in the parish.

| | | |
|----|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------|
| 58 | Each of the parishes have different needs and priorities. The parish councils are best suited to understand the needs of their comities. | 4/18/2022 3:55 PM |
| 59 | See above. | 4/18/2022 12:09 PM |
| 60 | System works well | 4/18/2022 9:26 AM |
| 61 | A town council should relate to the town as it will be live in. For this reason, I support the majority of areas with planning permission forming part of a Town Council area but without supporting inclusion of areas detached from the built up area (e.g. Barrowby LV-H3). Care needs to be taken about the areas GR3-H1 and GR3-H4, where my support for inclusion within a Town Council area presumes that these developments progress across the full areas as suburban development to Grantham. If they form a distinct settlement, then they should not be pulled into the Town Council area. | 4/17/2022 3:21 PM |
| 62 | Negotiating a change of boundaries is expected to significantly delay or prevent the progress of a Town Council which is more urgent needed for the town. the Town Council should instead set up the right governance to work in partnership with the existing neighbouring parishes. | 4/15/2022 10:22 AM |
| 63 | (See previous comments) | 4/14/2022 5:15 PM |
| 64 | We in Barrowby accommodate many residents from outside the parish who enjoy the play area, school, cemetry, butchers and Co-op (eventually) When new builds are completed within the existing parish boundary we will rely more heavily on the precept as there will be more for the local parish to deal with. | 4/13/2022 6:57 PM |
| 65 | Surrounding villages with their own parish boundaries do not want to be swallowed up by the town so as to create a greater Grantham. This would just lead to more future developments being forced on to villages and lessen their ability to object. | 4/13/2022 5:55 PM |
| 66 | WE DO NOT WANT ANY BOUNDARY CHANGES AND SEE NO NECESSITY FOR ANY CHANGE TO OUR PARISH COUNCIL. People from outside our village use our butchers Church/Post office / Pub / playing fields etc - all monies from precept are needed to maintain facilities in our village. | 4/13/2022 4:11 PM |
| 67 | Again this question should be put to the people residing in the mentioned parishes and to no one else, they know how satisfied how there parish is run, and if they would like it included within any new town council | 4/13/2022 2:14 PM |
| 68 | As previously described. | 4/12/2022 7:37 PM |
| 69 | N/A | 4/12/2022 1:25 PM |
| 70 | neighbouring parishes should make the decision | 4/12/2022 1:01 PM |
| 71 | These are so close to Grantham that decisions made should be consulted by town representation | 4/12/2022 12:55 PM |
| 72 | There may be a need to expand to include the large new housing developments if that can be donw without robbing the existing parishes of their character and identity. May be they could be consulted | 4/12/2022 12:37 PM |
| 73 | They should keep their existing parish councils | 4/12/2022 12:31 PM |
| 74 | You need to consult the above parish councils on this question. Just looking at a map doesnt necessarily provide the right answer | 4/12/2022 12:12 PM |
| 75 | This option presumes that there will be no change in the wider area of local government with current rumblings regarding unitary authorities and elected mayors - neither of which are particularly attractive as means of creating a more efficient and effective system. Should these changes come to pass, however, wider consideration must be given to the manner in which local government is delivered. | 4/12/2022 12:03 PM |

Community Governance Review Survey March 2022

| | | |
|----|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------|
| 76 | See above comment. | 4/11/2022 4:25 PM |
| 77 | In determining any boundary in the vicinity of Somerby Hill, where parts of Londonthorpe and Harrowby Without sit within Grantham Wards. The proposed development (GR3-H1) would see the areas identified above merging into the parish once more. The planned development around the unparished area of Grantham, Great Gonerby, Belton and Manthorpe, and Barrowby need to be considered to ensure that boundaries are clear and sustainable. Where the proposed sites merge across parishes there is a risk of disparity in neighbouring properties, both in terms of representation and precept, and lack of a strong community identity. | 4/11/2022 4:17 PM |
| 78 | I refer you to the answer I gave at Question1. | 4/11/2022 3:48 PM |
| 79 | The new Town Council should absorb those parts of all surrounding parishes which are already, or have been identified as future, extensions of Grantham urban area. | 4/11/2022 3:04 PM |
| 80 | if it aint broke dont fix it | 4/11/2022 1:06 PM |
| 81 | Urban areas only. | 4/11/2022 12:55 PM |
| 82 | It would be detrimental for the village of Barrowby if any part of the village were incorporated into a Parish or Town Council. | 4/11/2022 11:51 AM |
| 83 | Only put don't know because i dont know any of the specific areas that would be involved | 4/11/2022 10:19 AM |
| 84 | This town has now become huge in comparison to 25 years ago. I do believe there should be growth but at what cost. SKDC council seems to think the town is doing ok but by expanding boudaries it pust more pressure on funding therefore amenities fail this in turn fails the community. Once in office it can re-look at forseable future of changing boundaries | 4/11/2022 10:09 AM |
| 85 | I can only relate to Londonthorpe PC. As a resident of Londonthorpe parish i cannot understand why we should not be part of a Grantham Town Council. The areas involved are Sunningdale and Alma Park which are physically connected to the town. therefore to exclude these areas does not make sense= Alma park to the new town council | 4/11/2022 8:55 AM |
| 86 | As stated previously we must take and test out one step at a time before plunging into many areas. | 4/8/2022 5:12 PM |
| 87 | Why change boundaries when the have been ok for many years... | 4/8/2022 3:03 PM |
| 88 | Expansion into adjoining parishes and redefining existing parish boundaries must not be permitted, doing so would be severely detrimental to parishes and their governance. | 4/8/2022 12:24 PM |
| 89 | See previous comment covering joint interests dealt with via democratic legitimacy. | 4/8/2022 12:06 PM |
| 90 | Expansion into adjoining parishes and redefining existing parish boundaries must not be permitted, doing so would be severely detrimental to parishes and their governance. | 4/8/2022 5:37 AM |
| 91 | Where future developments overlap boundary's then all the new development should fall into one parish. How this is decided I have no answer! | 4/7/2022 5:28 PM |
| 92 | no let the other parish councils decide | 4/7/2022 2:11 PM |
| 93 | Expansion into adjoining parishes and redefining existing parish boundaries must not be permitted, doing so would be severely detrimental to parishes and their governance. | 4/7/2022 12:46 PM |
| 94 | existing parish councils should retain control | 4/7/2022 12:11 PM |
| 95 | The integrity of the existing separate parishes is important | 4/7/2022 11:47 AM |
| 96 | My main experience of local government has been the utterly stupid decision to permit a Co-op to be built in Barrowby which will lead the village to lose its butcher and Post Office. Just like this consultation, the decision was pre-determined regardless of local opinion. | 4/6/2022 4:01 PM |
| 97 | There has to be a very good reason for changing boundaries. Look at the greater political implications when Central Government proposes boundary changes. It is always, it seems, to gain party political advantage. We would want to avoid that charge being levelled against SKDC. | 4/6/2022 2:02 PM |
| 98 | Do not agree with separate parish or Town Council | 4/6/2022 9:45 AM |
| 99 | If it is decided to implement a Parish for Grantham then any future development areas should.d also be included | 4/5/2022 6:52 PM |

Community Governance Review Survey March 2022

| | | |
|-----|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------|
| 100 | Think this should be answered by the people who live in these parishes | 4/5/2022 10:45 AM |
| 101 | Unnecessary costs | 4/5/2022 10:41 AM |
| 102 | Your letter states Your opinions are extremely important to us. When do councils ever convert our opinions into actions, they are powerless. | 4/5/2022 10:27 AM |
| 103 | See Q1 | 4/5/2022 10:24 AM |
| 104 | Again the focus needs to be central. The scope of SKDC has led to the lack of investment and detrimental decision making in the past. This is what we are trying to stop. | 4/5/2022 10:23 AM |
| 105 | Leave well enough alone! | 4/5/2022 10:18 AM |
| 106 | Of course a one size fits all regulation and legal regime is the dream of all idealists as when developers dump their crammed utilitarian housing estates on Grantham with no respect for the town's character or local opinion. Local planning decisions should be final with appeals handled within the district, not by government overlords. will this happen? No, power is where the money is and it will stay there as long as higher authority has our money (taxes) ie Government and Counties | 4/5/2022 9:30 AM |
| 107 | It would be logical to make the A1 trunk road the western boundary of Grantham. This would mean returning parts within the current Grantham boundary to the appropriate adjoining parishes. This happened at Stamford some years ago, so there is a precedent. | 4/4/2022 6:01 PM |
| 108 | Yes, if efficiency can be achieved. | 4/4/2022 5:54 PM |
| 109 | Do not feel qualified to answer. | 4/4/2022 4:14 PM |
| 110 | as in q2 | 4/4/2022 3:19 PM |
| 111 | As for reason on previous page | 4/4/2022 2:26 PM |
| 112 | To reduce confusion and extra work | 4/4/2022 2:20 PM |
| 113 | See previous | 4/4/2022 2:17 PM |
| 114 | As already commented in previous question | 4/4/2022 2:08 PM |
| 115 | GH Foot, Bridge End Road, Alma Park, Sunningdale should be considered. Any NEW developments should have their own representation. | 4/4/2022 1:51 PM |
| 116 | The electorate of each existing parish Council should be allowed to make that local decision | 4/4/2022 11:42 AM |
| 117 | The part of Little Ponton, residing within the boundary of the new ring road, and the site of the largest planning approval for Grantham, currently adjacent to the unparished area of Grantham needs to be brought into the a new single town parish, as the impact on services will be greater on the hub of the town, than the surrounding extremities in which it currently sits. | 4/4/2022 11:02 AM |
| 118 | AS before, all areas should be represented by a Town Council in order to ensure fairness in the allocation of resources, determination of charges, :eg Parking, and the distribution of new services , new amenity projects, social housing etc. | 4/3/2022 5:03 PM |
| 119 | I am not convinced that an adequate case for change has been submitted | 4/3/2022 4:55 PM |
| 120 | All areas should be represented by a Town Council in order to ensure fairness in the allocation of resources , determination of charges, eg: parking, and distribution of new amenity projects such as social housing tec. | 4/3/2022 4:36 PM |
| 121 | To reiterate earlier comments - all areas should be represented by a Town Council in order to ensure fairness in the allocation of resources, determination of charges (eg parking), and distribution of new services (new amenity projects, "social" housing", etc) | 4/3/2022 2:09 PM |
| 122 | As before If the parish I live in is not included in any decision making & opportunity to gain from the town council, I would not want to pay any charges towards it | 4/3/2022 9:40 AM |
| 123 | Within reason | 4/2/2022 3:30 PM |
| 124 | Little Ponton and Stroxton | 4/2/2022 10:09 AM |
| 125 | n/a | 4/1/2022 4:01 PM |

Community Governance Review Survey March 2022

| | | |
|-----|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------|
| 126 | Current Parishes have existed for generations and have their own character, which would be lost in a more remote council. Parish councillors actually live in their parishes and are an excellent contact and local voice for the community. Don't make this relationship more remote please. Parish Councils own numerous assets such as play areas, nature areas etc which they would not wish to lose. | 4/1/2022 1:12 PM |
| 127 | More cost and red tape | 4/1/2022 9:28 AM |
| 128 | The Great Gonerby/Grantham Arnoldfield boundary should follow the Grantham - Nottingham railway line to reflect the housing- both that with planning permission and that allocated. Conversely the fields to the south east of Great Gonerby should move from Arnoldfield to Great Gonerby | 3/31/2022 11:12 PM |
| 129 | No not needed | 3/31/2022 5:18 PM |
| 130 | The existing parishes have a long history, why change them for the sake of change. If the un-parished areas need a town council, leave the other areas alone. Any boundary changes would cost considerable amounts for the changes to signs, letter headings, maps, Etc..... | 3/31/2022 5:04 PM |
| 131 | We don't need the boundaries altering. | 3/31/2022 3:13 PM |
| 132 | Please see previous comments. | 3/31/2022 1:28 PM |
| 133 | Higher precept charges to fund a new layer of bureaucracy? Alongside poor Grantham services and loss of identity No thanks | 3/30/2022 8:22 PM |
| 134 | There is NO REQUIREMENT for a Grantham Town/Parish Council - this is not reflected in the choices available at Q3 | 3/30/2022 1:32 PM |
| 135 | If the residents are within the changed boundaries after democratic voting then all have equal representation | 3/30/2022 1:01 PM |
| 136 | i think this will need to be carefully thought out as new developments come along so that new communities are looked after re infrastructure schools, medical centres etc | 3/30/2022 11:44 AM |
| 137 | It may make sense to change the boundaries to include the areas around the curtilage of the town that are in other parishes. That could avoid some confusion. | 3/30/2022 11:24 AM |
| 138 | As previously stated local people for their local parish | 3/30/2022 11:06 AM |
| 139 | As per Q2 it would leave pockets of smaller areas which may result in increased costs | 3/30/2022 11:01 AM |
| 140 | See Q2 | 3/30/2022 10:40 AM |
| 141 | If these other areas were included, you may find if meetings took place more attendance and a variety of views/opinions and support for voluntary projects that people can be involved in and a part of one team working towards the same goals | 3/30/2022 10:29 AM |
| 142 | Comments to q2 apply but don't feel boundaries should widen too far | 3/30/2022 9:21 AM |
| 143 | I feel we belong to Grantham more than Londonthorpe/Harrowby | 3/30/2022 8:58 AM |
| 144 | All of Londonthorpe and Great Gonerby as continuous housing zones and contain housing association areas | 3/29/2022 5:48 PM |
| 145 | The land to the east of the A1 from Dysart Road to the Nottingham railway line, is being developed and is in no way part of Barrowby village which is losing its identity | 3/29/2022 3:46 PM |
| 146 | See previous | 3/29/2022 10:44 AM |
| 147 | There is no need to change the boundaries already in place. | 3/28/2022 5:08 PM |
| 148 | True villages outside the continuous housing line of Grantham should not be included | 3/28/2022 2:08 PM |
| 149 | Within a 2 mile radius of the town hall. Boundary change for town council to take into account any of the above parishes that come within a 2 mile radius of the town hall | 3/28/2022 1:51 PM |
| 150 | They should have their own. Like the past. | 3/28/2022 1:47 PM |
| 151 | No, there is enough bureaucracy already. | 3/28/2022 11:55 AM |
| 152 | As in previous answer. | 3/27/2022 3:40 PM |

Community Governance Review Survey March 2022

| | | |
|-----|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------|
| 153 | I do not feel qualified to make a comment on boundaries. | 3/27/2022 12:49 PM |
| 154 | I do not feel qualified to make a comment on boundaries. | 3/27/2022 12:33 PM |
| 155 | Whichever is most cost effective. | 3/25/2022 12:01 PM |
| 156 | See my previous response | 3/23/2022 3:51 PM |
| 157 | Again to avoid any confusion | 3/23/2022 3:21 PM |
| 158 | The above are not Grantham and should be separate, but boundaries are very unclear and definite lines should be drawn | 3/22/2022 4:48 PM |
| 159 | I think you should ask them. i am from Darlington and Grantham is very similar. I think if Grantham Town Council can do a better job then it should. Can you do a better job? | 3/22/2022 4:36 PM |
| 160 | Our parish council works well and benefits the local community. | 3/22/2022 2:44 PM |
| 161 | The new town council to decide new area as town changes | 3/22/2022 1:55 PM |
| 162 | Any change in boundaries may cause adverse reactions within the communities they may cut through | 3/22/2022 1:42 PM |
| 163 | However include the planning permission areas on the maps. Do not take away existing parish boundaries!! | 3/22/2022 11:59 AM |
| 164 | The existing communities should continue to have local representation to retain their sense of community | 3/22/2022 11:50 AM |
| 165 | Another loaded question. See comment to Q2 | 3/22/2022 11:07 AM |
| 166 | Keep as a town council | 3/22/2022 10:48 AM |
| 167 | This answer is for the people who live in the unparished area. Why are these people being dictated to by people who DON'T live in an unparished area. | 3/22/2022 9:22 AM |
| 168 | I suggest that the Grantham wards are expanded to incorporate the entire Grantham conurbation extant and planned, i.e. > St Wulfram's Ward should include the area bounded by the A607, Belton Lane and the railway so including development S14/3260. > Harrowby Ward should include the area bounded by Londonthorpe Road, the Alma Park industrial estate and Alma Park (Fifth Avenue, Kenilworth Road). > A new Spittlegate Ward should be created incorporating the Spittlegate Village development (GR3-H1) and Barracks redevelopment (GR3-H4). > Barrowby Gate ward should be expanded westwards to the A1 so including developments S14/3571 and S14/2935. > Arnoldfield should expand westwards to include the new and planned developments S08/1231, GR3-H2 and GR3-H3, i.e. the area bounded by the A1, railway line and Barrowby Road. As a general rule if a built up area is clearly a contiguous part of the Grantham conurbation then it should be in a Grantham Ward and hence under Grantham Town Council. | 3/21/2022 3:49 PM |
| 169 | Only if there is a 75% minimum agreement to this by those surrounding parishes. | 3/21/2022 11:51 AM |
| 170 | It should be left as it is | 3/21/2022 7:09 AM |
| 171 | This cannot be answered at this time; but it needs to be up for consideration. The balance will be the local parish and the greater town council. This is key to providing a town and council which can provide an urban environment for the next decades and generations ahead | 3/20/2022 5:36 PM |
| 172 | All area between A1 and High Dyke. | 3/20/2022 3:01 PM |
| 173 | The existing layers of councils should work better - yet more cost and waste really should not be necessary to suit political ego's | 3/20/2022 1:07 PM |
| 174 | This makes eminent sense | 3/19/2022 2:59 PM |
| 175 | To prevent administrative confusion and provide all of Grantham built up areas with a standardised single tier of local representation. | 3/19/2022 2:24 PM |
| 176 | A good mix is important of rural/urban | 3/18/2022 3:55 PM |
| 177 | The unparished area which lies to the West of the A1 and the canal could conveniently be placed within the Parish / Village of Barrowby. This would help to keep that area separate from Grantham Town. | 3/18/2022 3:16 PM |

Community Governance Review Survey March 2022

| | | |
|-----|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------|
| 178 | As before | 3/18/2022 1:30 PM |
| 179 | The main focus should be on the current unparished area where there is the greatest need for new ideas and investment. | 3/18/2022 7:10 AM |
| 180 | As stated earlier... | 3/17/2022 6:17 PM |
| 181 | NO COMMENT DONT AGREE WITHTHE PROPOSAL | 3/17/2022 12:25 PM |
| 182 | As before | 3/17/2022 10:44 AM |
| 183 | This should be reviewed and altered according to current situation as necessary. | 3/17/2022 8:10 AM |
| 184 | Alma park/Sunningdale certainly should be included in Grantham Town Council | 3/17/2022 1:56 AM |
| 185 | It's all about letting local people have more control | 3/16/2022 6:06 PM |
| 186 | Yes | 3/16/2022 5:50 PM |
| 187 | Were the town council imposed on Grantham's residents, then I would hope that the more that were included the lower the cost would be for all | 3/16/2022 3:54 PM |
| 188 | Again - omit the possibility of answering: Leave it as an unparished area? | 3/16/2022 3:10 PM |
| 189 | See previous answers | 3/16/2022 2:29 PM |
| 190 | Due to expanding housing, I believe these areas do need to be addressed. The Miller and Carter estate seems to be in no mans land | 3/16/2022 2:24 PM |
| 191 | As stated in a previous answer, as I do not want to have a Town Council, there is no point in answering this question | 3/16/2022 2:14 PM |
| 192 | As stated above, I see no reason for yet another level of bureaucracy so certainly wouldn't want it to include a larger area. | 3/16/2022 12:03 PM |
| 193 | Again, previously answered. | 3/16/2022 10:22 AM |
| 194 | It is more efficient to have a larger town council and reduce some parish councils. | 3/16/2022 9:58 AM |
| 195 | None | 3/16/2022 9:30 AM |
| 196 | Yes but only as a clear and indicative view of what is where and who runs what. | 3/15/2022 9:18 PM |
| 197 | Grantham does not need more bureaucracy and cost, there is no need for a town council | 3/15/2022 8:20 PM |
| 198 | no comment | 3/15/2022 6:45 PM |
| 199 | No idea. What are the pros and cons? | 3/15/2022 3:13 PM |
| 200 | I do not think we should have a parish or town council | 3/15/2022 2:15 PM |
| 201 | If we are to grow as is expected then we need to include within the boundary thos areas that will be drawn in. | 3/15/2022 1:24 PM |
| 202 | if the first question answer is no then the is irrelevant | 3/14/2022 9:04 AM |
| 203 | As stated in the previous question, I would prefer current boundary arrangements to remain under control of the District Council. | 3/13/2022 11:34 PM |
| 204 | Gonerby, Manthorpe and Barrowby people are only interested in driving into town to do their shopping. I have nothing against them, but they are not Grantham people! | 3/11/2022 9:48 PM |
| 205 | None should not be created | 3/11/2022 4:51 PM |
| 206 | No benefit | 3/11/2022 10:36 AM |

Q5 If you answered yes to the previous question and think the boundary for any new parish/town council should change and include other part(s) of the following surrounding parish(es), please tell us which below:

Answered: 229 Skipped: 1,254

| ANSWER CHOICES | RESPONSES |
|------------------------------------------------|------------|
| Barrowby (specify area) | 67.69% 155 |
| Harlaxton (specify area) | 44.98% 103 |
| Little Ponton & Stroxton (specify area) | 41.92% 96 |
| Belton & Manthorpe (specify area) | 70.31% 161 |
| Great Gonerby (specify area) | 72.93% 167 |
| Londonthorpe & Harrowby without (specify area) | 80.35% 184 |

| # | BARROWBY (SPECIFY AREA) | DATE |
|----|---------------------------------------------------------|--------------------|
| 1 | yes | 4/25/2022 2:24 PM |
| 2 | yes | 4/25/2022 12:59 PM |
| 3 | yes | 4/25/2022 12:30 PM |
| 4 | yes | 4/25/2022 12:24 PM |
| 5 | area east of the A1 | 4/25/2022 12:17 PM |
| 6 | all | 4/25/2022 11:34 AM |
| 7 | yes | 4/25/2022 10:45 AM |
| 8 | all areas within Grantham | 4/25/2022 9:24 AM |
| 9 | Up to A1 | 4/24/2022 10:16 AM |
| 10 | All areas to the east of the A1 | 4/23/2022 8:23 AM |
| 11 | None | 4/22/2022 9:15 PM |
| 12 | yes | 4/22/2022 4:03 PM |
| 13 | Areas of S14/3571, S14-2935, GR3-H2 and GR3-H3 on Map B | 4/22/2022 1:55 PM |
| 14 | Whole of barrowby | 4/22/2022 1:08 PM |
| 15 | Whole of barrowby | 4/22/2022 1:01 PM |
| 16 | - | 4/22/2022 11:46 AM |
| 17 | all | 4/21/2022 11:00 PM |
| 18 | all of Barrowby | 4/21/2022 6:49 PM |
| 19 | Up to the A1. | 4/21/2022 6:08 PM |
| 20 | village | 4/21/2022 5:12 PM |
| 21 | Only Barrowby road area | 4/21/2022 4:55 PM |
| 22 | All | 4/21/2022 4:47 PM |

Community Governance Review Survey March 2022

| | | |
|----|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------|
| 23 | Yes to include all dwellings in the area | 4/21/2022 4:45 PM |
| 24 | yes | 4/21/2022 4:40 PM |
| 25 | Up to A1 | 4/21/2022 1:04 PM |
| 26 | All | 4/21/2022 1:01 PM |
| 27 | Minimum east of the A1 potentially all of the parish | 4/21/2022 10:22 AM |
| 28 | Yes | 4/20/2022 9:27 PM |
| 29 | East of the A1 | 4/20/2022 4:27 PM |
| 30 | LV -H3 | 4/20/2022 9:17 AM |
| 31 | yes | 4/20/2022 9:03 AM |
| 32 | new housing site | 4/20/2022 8:58 AM |
| 33 | yes | 4/20/2022 8:45 AM |
| 34 | Barrowby Lodge | 4/20/2022 6:52 AM |
| 35 | Should remain as is | 4/19/2022 5:02 PM |
| 36 | GR3 -H3, GR3 -H2, s14/2935 and s14/357/1 | 4/19/2022 2:36 PM |
| 37 | yes | 4/19/2022 12:57 PM |
| 38 | The eastern boundary should be the A1 | 4/19/2022 12:46 PM |
| 39 | Should stay as a parish west of A1 | 4/19/2022 11:18 AM |
| 40 | Yes, Minimum all area to east of A1(housing allocations: GR3-H3 and GR3-H2, sites with planning permission:S14/3571, S14/2935 and S08/1231) | 4/18/2022 1:12 PM |
| 41 | east of A1 | 4/18/2022 12:59 PM |
| 42 | Areas East of A1. | 4/18/2022 12:10 PM |
| 43 | S14/3571; S14/2935; GR3-H3; GR3-H2 | 4/17/2022 3:23 PM |
| 44 | All | 4/17/2022 12:44 PM |
| 45 | The village | 4/15/2022 4:48 PM |
| 46 | Align Eastern boundary with A1 | 4/15/2022 1:05 PM |
| 47 | All of barrowby | 4/14/2022 6:50 PM |
| 48 | All | 4/12/2022 6:23 PM |
| 49 | S14/3571, S14/2935, GR3-H3,GR3-H2 | 4/12/2022 3:55 PM |
| 50 | all | 4/12/2022 12:56 PM |
| 51 | whole of village | 4/12/2022 12:51 PM |
| 52 | village and surrounding area | 4/12/2022 8:18 AM |
| 53 | Eastern boundary to run along the A1 so that S14/3571, S14/2935, GR3-H3 and GR3-H2 fall within Grantham. | 4/11/2022 4:22 PM |
| 54 | all of barrowby | 4/11/2022 10:24 AM |
| 55 | Not yet | 4/10/2022 11:32 AM |
| 56 | All of Barrowby | 4/9/2022 12:56 PM |
| 57 | All of villge | 4/9/2022 12:04 PM |
| 58 | Everything East of the A1 | 4/9/2022 8:20 AM |
| 59 | All | 4/8/2022 2:48 PM |

Community Governance Review Survey March 2022

| | | |
|----|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------|
| 60 | As per Map A outline | 4/8/2022 12:10 PM |
| 61 | Yes | 4/7/2022 5:29 PM |
| 62 | S14/3571 & 2935; part of GR3-H2 & H3 | 4/7/2022 4:25 PM |
| 63 | All | 4/6/2022 4:50 PM |
| 64 | All | 4/6/2022 1:48 PM |
| 65 | All | 4/6/2022 1:42 PM |
| 66 | S14/2935 S14/3571 GR3-H3 GR3-H2 | 4/4/2022 4:41 PM |
| 67 | yes | 4/4/2022 2:30 PM |
| 68 | yes | 4/4/2022 2:04 PM |
| 69 | Whole area subject to local views but shouldn't jeopardise the overall strategy. | 4/3/2022 5:07 PM |
| 70 | All of | 4/3/2022 4:44 PM |
| 71 | All new developments to the east of the A1 | 4/3/2022 4:13 PM |
| 72 | Subject to local views but should not jeopardise overall strategy. | 4/3/2022 2:09 PM |
| 73 | S14/3571, S14/2935, GR3-H2, GRH-H3 | 4/2/2022 3:37 PM |
| 74 | Urban area | 4/1/2022 12:17 PM |
| 75 | Barrowby parish | 4/1/2022 11:42 AM |
| 76 | Yes all | 3/31/2022 12:14 PM |
| 77 | All Barrowby parish east of A1 | 3/31/2022 10:21 AM |
| 78 | Areas covered by GR3-H2/GR3-H3, S14/2935, S14/3571 & S08/1231 | 3/30/2022 4:28 PM |
| 79 | No | 3/30/2022 11:28 AM |
| 80 | yes | 3/30/2022 11:24 AM |
| 81 | no | 3/30/2022 11:17 AM |
| 82 | all parts | 3/30/2022 11:15 AM |
| 83 | yes | 3/30/2022 10:57 AM |
| 84 | yes | 3/30/2022 10:30 AM |
| 85 | yes | 3/30/2022 10:24 AM |
| 86 | yes | 3/30/2022 10:21 AM |
| 87 | yes | 3/30/2022 9:29 AM |
| 88 | yes | 3/30/2022 9:27 AM |
| 89 | All | 3/29/2022 8:31 PM |
| 90 | east of A1 from Dysart Road to Nottingham railway line | 3/29/2022 3:52 PM |
| 91 | yes | 3/29/2022 3:34 PM |
| 92 | village and Barrowby parish | 3/28/2022 11:57 AM |
| 93 | Yes | 3/26/2022 12:36 PM |
| 94 | All of Barrowby | 3/25/2022 5:13 PM |
| 95 | Urban part | 3/25/2022 10:32 AM |
| 96 | Yes | 3/24/2022 5:23 PM |
| 97 | yes | 3/24/2022 11:20 AM |

Community Governance Review Survey March 2022

| | | |
|-----|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------|
| 98 | yes | 3/23/2022 4:46 PM |
| 99 | yes | 3/23/2022 3:39 PM |
| 100 | yes | 3/23/2022 3:11 PM |
| 101 | yes | 3/22/2022 4:57 PM |
| 102 | east of A1 | 3/22/2022 12:49 PM |
| 103 | yes | 3/22/2022 12:04 PM |
| 104 | yes | 3/22/2022 11:46 AM |
| 105 | yes | 3/22/2022 10:53 AM |
| 106 | yes sorry dont know area well | 3/22/2022 10:43 AM |
| 107 | areas attached or off Dysart Road | 3/21/2022 4:22 PM |
| 108 | Between the A1, Barrowby Road, Dysart Road and Barrowby Gate Ward, including developments S14/3571 and S14/2935. Also the area bounded by the A1, railway line and Barrowby Road, including the new and planned developments S08/1231, GR3-H2 and GR3-H3. | 3/21/2022 3:49 PM |
| 109 | whole | 3/21/2022 12:29 PM |
| 110 | all | 3/21/2022 11:54 AM |
| 111 | all | 3/21/2022 10:53 AM |
| 112 | yes | 3/21/2022 9:26 AM |
| 113 | yes | 3/20/2022 5:38 PM |
| 114 | No | 3/20/2022 3:03 PM |
| 115 | yes | 3/19/2022 6:37 PM |
| 116 | Barrowby | 3/19/2022 6:20 PM |
| 117 | Up to the A1 to include S14/3571 & 2935 and GR3 H3/ H2 and remaining area north up to the railway line | 3/19/2022 2:30 PM |
| 118 | Include recent new builds. | 3/19/2022 11:30 AM |
| 119 | All | 3/19/2022 10:01 AM |
| 120 | Yes | 3/19/2022 7:29 AM |
| 121 | YES | 3/18/2022 2:55 PM |
| 122 | Queen Eleanor Road and estate, Development at top of Dysart Road opsite Beedon Park Estate, New poplar farm estates. | 3/18/2022 10:43 AM |
| 123 | All | 3/18/2022 6:42 AM |
| 124 | yes | 3/17/2022 5:04 PM |
| 125 | Yes | 3/17/2022 2:12 PM |
| 126 | All | 3/17/2022 12:55 PM |
| 127 | Whole of | 3/17/2022 11:22 AM |
| 128 | Barrowby edge | 3/16/2022 6:07 PM |
| 129 | All | 3/16/2022 5:51 PM |
| 130 | All | 3/16/2022 5:37 PM |
| 131 | Yes | 3/16/2022 5:09 PM |
| 132 | yes | 3/16/2022 4:37 PM |
| 133 | The parish boundary should be the A1 with everything east of the A1 off Barrowby Road in the | 3/16/2022 4:11 PM |

Community Governance Review Survey March 2022

| | | |
|----------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------|
| | Grantham town area. | |
| 134 | All possible | 3/16/2022 3:56 PM |
| 135 | All | 3/16/2022 2:37 PM |
| 136 | LV H3, GR3 H2 | 3/16/2022 1:40 PM |
| 137 | All land to the East of the A1 | 3/16/2022 11:22 AM |
| 138 | Add GR3-H3 &H2, S14/35/71, S14/2935 to Town Council using A1 as boundary line. | 3/16/2022 11:13 AM |
| 139 | All | 3/16/2022 9:58 AM |
| 140 | All | 3/16/2022 8:46 AM |
| 141 | New Developments GR3 H3, GR3 H2, | 3/15/2022 7:00 PM |
| 142 | Area East of A1 (GR3-H2 and GR3-H3) | 3/15/2022 6:52 PM |
| 143 | all areas which directly border the town | 3/15/2022 6:51 PM |
| 144 | All of it | 3/15/2022 5:32 PM |
| 145 | Areas within Grantham | 3/15/2022 4:17 PM |
| 146 | s14/2935 s14/3571 | 3/15/2022 1:50 PM |
| 147 | Yes | 3/15/2022 1:26 PM |
| 148 | include | 3/14/2022 5:34 PM |
| 149 | all | 3/14/2022 11:01 AM |
| 150 | All land Grantham side of A1 | 3/13/2022 4:24 PM |
| 151 | Any development Grantham side of the A1 | 3/11/2022 7:13 PM |
| 152 | Barrowby | 3/11/2022 1:33 PM |
| 153 | Barrowby | 3/11/2022 1:33 PM |
| 154 | Barrowby Gate | 3/11/2022 12:53 PM |
| 155 | Whole | 3/11/2022 9:45 AM |
| # | HARLAXTON (SPECIFY AREA) | DATE |
| 1 | yes | 4/25/2022 12:30 PM |
| 2 | yes | 4/25/2022 12:24 PM |
| 3 | all | 4/25/2022 11:34 AM |
| 4 | yes | 4/25/2022 10:45 AM |
| 5 | all areas within Grantham | 4/25/2022 9:24 AM |
| 6 | none | 4/23/2022 8:23 AM |
| 7 | None | 4/22/2022 9:15 PM |
| 8 | yes | 4/22/2022 4:03 PM |
| 9 | No | 4/22/2022 1:55 PM |
| 10 | No | 4/22/2022 1:08 PM |
| 11 | - | 4/22/2022 11:46 AM |
| 12 | all of Harlaxton | 4/21/2022 6:49 PM |
| 13 | village | 4/21/2022 5:12 PM |
| 14 | All | 4/21/2022 4:47 PM |

Community Governance Review Survey March 2022

| | | |
|----|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------|
| 15 | yes to include all dwellings in the area | 4/21/2022 4:45 PM |
| 16 | yes | 4/21/2022 4:40 PM |
| 17 | All | 4/21/2022 1:01 PM |
| 18 | None | 4/21/2022 10:22 AM |
| 19 | No | 4/20/2022 9:27 PM |
| 20 | None | 4/20/2022 4:27 PM |
| 21 | yes | 4/20/2022 8:45 AM |
| 22 | Should remain as is | 4/19/2022 5:02 PM |
| 23 | yes | 4/19/2022 12:57 PM |
| 24 | Stay as is | 4/19/2022 11:18 AM |
| 25 | No. | 4/18/2022 12:10 PM |
| 26 | None | 4/17/2022 3:23 PM |
| 27 | All | 4/17/2022 12:44 PM |
| 28 | The village itself | 4/15/2022 4:48 PM |
| 29 | Align Eastern boundary with A1 | 4/15/2022 1:05 PM |
| 30 | All area | 4/14/2022 6:50 PM |
| 31 | All | 4/12/2022 6:23 PM |
| 32 | all | 4/12/2022 12:56 PM |
| 33 | whole of village | 4/12/2022 12:51 PM |
| 34 | village and surrounding arezs | 4/12/2022 8:18 AM |
| 35 | all of harlaxton | 4/11/2022 10:24 AM |
| 36 | No | 4/10/2022 11:32 AM |
| 37 | All of Harlaxton | 4/9/2022 12:56 PM |
| 38 | All | 4/8/2022 2:48 PM |
| 39 | As per Map A outline | 4/8/2022 12:10 PM |
| 40 | Yes | 4/7/2022 5:29 PM |
| 41 | All | 4/6/2022 1:48 PM |
| 42 | All | 4/6/2022 1:42 PM |
| 43 | yes | 4/4/2022 2:30 PM |
| 44 | Whole area subject to local views but shouldn't jeopardise the overall strategy. | 4/3/2022 5:07 PM |
| 45 | All of | 4/3/2022 4:44 PM |
| 46 | No | 4/3/2022 4:13 PM |
| 47 | Subject to local views but should not jeopardise overall strategy. | 4/3/2022 2:09 PM |
| 48 | Harlaxton Parish | 4/1/2022 11:42 AM |
| 49 | Yes all | 3/31/2022 12:14 PM |
| 50 | No | 3/30/2022 11:28 AM |
| 51 | no | 3/30/2022 11:17 AM |
| 52 | all parts | 3/30/2022 11:15 AM |

Community Governance Review Survey March 2022

| | | |
|----|------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------|
| 53 | yes | 3/30/2022 10:57 AM |
| 54 | yes | 3/30/2022 10:30 AM |
| 55 | yes | 3/30/2022 10:24 AM |
| 56 | yes | 3/30/2022 10:21 AM |
| 57 | yes | 3/30/2022 9:29 AM |
| 58 | yes | 3/30/2022 9:27 AM |
| 59 | All | 3/29/2022 8:31 PM |
| 60 | yes | 3/29/2022 3:34 PM |
| 61 | Urban part | 3/25/2022 10:32 AM |
| 62 | Yes | 3/24/2022 5:23 PM |
| 63 | yes | 3/24/2022 11:20 AM |
| 64 | yes | 3/23/2022 4:46 PM |
| 65 | yes | 3/23/2022 3:39 PM |
| 66 | yes | 3/23/2022 3:11 PM |
| 67 | yes | 3/22/2022 4:57 PM |
| 68 | yes | 3/22/2022 12:04 PM |
| 69 | yes | 3/22/2022 11:46 AM |
| 70 | yes | 3/22/2022 10:53 AM |
| 71 | properties between Farrier roundabout and Harlaxton village sign | 3/21/2022 4:22 PM |
| 72 | whole | 3/21/2022 12:29 PM |
| 73 | all | 3/21/2022 11:54 AM |
| 74 | all | 3/21/2022 10:53 AM |
| 75 | yes | 3/21/2022 9:26 AM |
| 76 | yes | 3/20/2022 5:38 PM |
| 77 | No | 3/20/2022 3:03 PM |
| 78 | yes | 3/19/2022 6:37 PM |
| 79 | No change | 3/19/2022 2:30 PM |
| 80 | All | 3/19/2022 10:01 AM |
| 81 | No | 3/19/2022 7:29 AM |
| 82 | YES | 3/18/2022 2:55 PM |
| 83 | All | 3/18/2022 6:42 AM |
| 84 | no | 3/17/2022 5:04 PM |
| 85 | Yes | 3/17/2022 2:12 PM |
| 86 | All | 3/17/2022 12:55 PM |
| 87 | All | 3/16/2022 5:51 PM |
| 88 | All | 3/16/2022 5:37 PM |
| 89 | Yes | 3/16/2022 5:09 PM |
| 90 | yes | 3/16/2022 4:37 PM |

Community Governance Review Survey March 2022

| | | |
|----------|------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------|
| 91 | All possible | 3/16/2022 3:56 PM |
| 92 | All | 3/16/2022 2:37 PM |
| 93 | No | 3/16/2022 11:22 AM |
| 94 | All | 3/16/2022 9:58 AM |
| 95 | All | 3/16/2022 8:46 AM |
| 96 | The border of Grantham in this direction, west, should stop at the A1. | 3/15/2022 8:18 PM |
| 97 | All of it | 3/15/2022 5:32 PM |
| 98 | Areas within Grantham | 3/15/2022 4:17 PM |
| 99 | Possibly not | 3/15/2022 1:26 PM |
| 100 | all | 3/14/2022 11:01 AM |
| 101 | None | 3/13/2022 4:24 PM |
| 102 | Harlaxton | 3/11/2022 1:33 PM |
| 103 | Harlaxton | 3/11/2022 1:33 PM |
| # | LITTLE PONTON & STROXTON (SPECIFY AREA) | DATE |
| 1 | planning area | 4/25/2022 2:17 PM |
| 2 | yes | 4/25/2022 12:24 PM |
| 3 | LITTLE pONTON | 4/25/2022 11:34 AM |
| 4 | yes | 4/25/2022 10:45 AM |
| 5 | all areas within Grantham | 4/25/2022 9:24 AM |
| 6 | none | 4/23/2022 8:23 AM |
| 7 | None | 4/22/2022 9:15 PM |
| 8 | yes | 4/22/2022 4:03 PM |
| 9 | No | 4/22/2022 1:55 PM |
| 10 | No | 4/22/2022 1:08 PM |
| 11 | Can't see enough of parish/villages on map to form a view. | 4/22/2022 11:46 AM |
| 12 | all this area | 4/21/2022 6:49 PM |
| 13 | All | 4/21/2022 4:47 PM |
| 14 | Yes to include all dwellings in the area | 4/21/2022 4:45 PM |
| 15 | yes | 4/21/2022 4:40 PM |
| 16 | All | 4/21/2022 1:01 PM |
| 17 | Potentially small areas abutting unparished Grantham | 4/21/2022 10:22 AM |
| 18 | No | 4/20/2022 9:27 PM |
| 19 | Little Ponton and the north | 4/20/2022 4:27 PM |
| 20 | yes | 4/20/2022 8:45 AM |
| 21 | Should remain as is | 4/19/2022 5:02 PM |
| 22 | yes | 4/19/2022 12:57 PM |
| 23 | Stay as is | 4/19/2022 11:18 AM |
| 24 | No. | 4/18/2022 12:10 PM |

Community Governance Review Survey March 2022

| | | |
|----|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------|
| 25 | None | 4/17/2022 3:23 PM |
| 26 | All | 4/17/2022 12:44 PM |
| 27 | All | 4/12/2022 6:23 PM |
| 28 | all | 4/12/2022 12:56 PM |
| 29 | whole of village | 4/12/2022 12:51 PM |
| 30 | villages and surrounding areas | 4/12/2022 8:18 AM |
| 31 | Neither | 4/10/2022 11:32 AM |
| 32 | None | 4/9/2022 12:56 PM |
| 33 | To be excluded | 4/8/2022 2:48 PM |
| 34 | As per Map A outline | 4/8/2022 12:10 PM |
| 35 | Yes | 4/7/2022 5:29 PM |
| 36 | GR3-H1 & H4 | 4/7/2022 4:25 PM |
| 37 | All | 4/6/2022 1:48 PM |
| 38 | All | 4/6/2022 1:42 PM |
| 39 | yes | 4/4/2022 2:30 PM |
| 40 | Area within the bounds of the new ring road and the A52 | 4/4/2022 11:04 AM |
| 41 | Whole area subject to local views but shouldn't jeopardise the overall strategy. | 4/3/2022 5:07 PM |
| 42 | No | 4/3/2022 4:13 PM |
| 43 | Subject to local views but should not jeopardise overall strategy. | 4/3/2022 2:09 PM |
| 44 | Yes | 4/2/2022 10:10 AM |
| 45 | Little Ponton Parish | 4/1/2022 11:42 AM |
| 46 | Yes all | 3/31/2022 12:14 PM |
| 47 | No | 3/30/2022 11:28 AM |
| 48 | no | 3/30/2022 11:17 AM |
| 49 | all parts | 3/30/2022 11:15 AM |
| 50 | yes | 3/30/2022 10:57 AM |
| 51 | yes | 3/30/2022 10:30 AM |
| 52 | yes | 3/30/2022 10:24 AM |
| 53 | yes | 3/30/2022 10:21 AM |
| 54 | yes | 3/30/2022 9:29 AM |
| 55 | yes | 3/30/2022 9:27 AM |
| 56 | All | 3/29/2022 8:31 PM |
| 57 | Urban part | 3/25/2022 10:32 AM |
| 58 | yes | 3/24/2022 11:20 AM |
| 59 | yes | 3/23/2022 4:46 PM |
| 60 | yes | 3/23/2022 3:39 PM |
| 61 | yes | 3/23/2022 3:11 PM |
| 62 | yes | 3/22/2022 4:57 PM |

Community Governance Review Survey March 2022

| | | |
|----------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------|
| 63 | yes | 3/22/2022 12:04 PM |
| 64 | yes | 3/22/2022 10:53 AM |
| 65 | N/A | 3/21/2022 4:22 PM |
| 66 | whole | 3/21/2022 12:29 PM |
| 67 | all | 3/21/2022 11:54 AM |
| 68 | all | 3/21/2022 10:53 AM |
| 69 | yes | 3/21/2022 9:26 AM |
| 70 | yes | 3/20/2022 5:38 PM |
| 71 | No | 3/20/2022 3:03 PM |
| 72 | yes | 3/19/2022 6:37 PM |
| 73 | To include all of the industrial zone and any extension to the outlet village proposed | 3/19/2022 2:30 PM |
| 74 | All | 3/19/2022 10:01 AM |
| 75 | No | 3/19/2022 7:29 AM |
| 76 | All | 3/18/2022 6:42 AM |
| 77 | no | 3/17/2022 5:04 PM |
| 78 | Yes | 3/17/2022 2:12 PM |
| 79 | All | 3/17/2022 12:55 PM |
| 80 | All | 3/16/2022 5:51 PM |
| 81 | All | 3/16/2022 5:37 PM |
| 82 | No | 3/16/2022 5:09 PM |
| 83 | yes | 3/16/2022 4:37 PM |
| 84 | All possible | 3/16/2022 3:56 PM |
| 85 | All | 3/16/2022 2:37 PM |
| 86 | GR3 H4 | 3/16/2022 1:40 PM |
| 87 | No | 3/16/2022 11:22 AM |
| 88 | All | 3/16/2022 9:58 AM |
| 89 | All | 3/16/2022 8:46 AM |
| 90 | All of them | 3/15/2022 5:32 PM |
| 91 | Areas within Grantham | 3/15/2022 4:17 PM |
| 92 | Probably not | 3/15/2022 1:26 PM |
| 93 | all | 3/14/2022 11:01 AM |
| 94 | None | 3/13/2022 4:24 PM |
| 95 | Little Ponton and Stroxton | 3/11/2022 1:33 PM |
| 96 | Little pontoon&stroxton | 3/11/2022 1:33 PM |
| # | BELTON & MANTHORPE (SPECIFY AREA) | DATE |
| 1 | yes | 4/25/2022 2:55 PM |
| 2 | yes | 4/25/2022 2:26 PM |
| 3 | yes | 4/25/2022 2:24 PM |

Community Governance Review Survey March 2022

| | | |
|----|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------|
| 4 | yes | 4/25/2022 12:59 PM |
| 5 | yes | 4/25/2022 12:30 PM |
| 6 | yes | 4/25/2022 12:24 PM |
| 7 | built up area next to Grantham | 4/25/2022 12:17 PM |
| 8 | yes | 4/25/2022 12:07 PM |
| 9 | all | 4/25/2022 11:34 AM |
| 10 | Manthorpe | 4/25/2022 11:00 AM |
| 11 | yes | 4/25/2022 10:45 AM |
| 12 | All areas within Grantham | 4/25/2022 9:24 AM |
| 13 | Houses at edge of grantham town | 4/24/2022 10:16 AM |
| 14 | All south of Belton Lane including Manthorpe | 4/23/2022 8:23 AM |
| 15 | S14/3260 | 4/22/2022 9:15 PM |
| 16 | S14/3260 | 4/22/2022 7:26 PM |
| 17 | yes | 4/22/2022 4:03 PM |
| 18 | Area of S14/3260 on Map B | 4/22/2022 1:55 PM |
| 19 | Whole area | 4/22/2022 1:08 PM |
| 20 | Whole area | 4/22/2022 1:01 PM |
| 21 | Western part of area labelled S14/3260 isn't separate to Grantham. Residents of Manthorpe village proper might be asked to vote? | 4/22/2022 11:46 AM |
| 22 | Manthorpe village | 4/21/2022 8:49 PM |
| 23 | all Belton and Manthorpe | 4/21/2022 6:49 PM |
| 24 | village both | 4/21/2022 5:12 PM |
| 25 | All | 4/21/2022 4:47 PM |
| 26 | Yes to include all dwellings in the area | 4/21/2022 4:45 PM |
| 27 | yes | 4/21/2022 4:40 PM |
| 28 | All | 4/21/2022 1:01 PM |
| 29 | Definitely everything south of Belton lane potentially all of parish | 4/21/2022 10:22 AM |
| 30 | Yes | 4/20/2022 9:27 PM |
| 31 | South of Belton lane | 4/20/2022 4:27 PM |
| 32 | Manthorpe Village | 4/20/2022 9:58 AM |
| 33 | Manthorpe Village | 4/20/2022 9:27 AM |
| 34 | s14/3260 | 4/20/2022 9:17 AM |
| 35 | yes | 4/20/2022 9:03 AM |
| 36 | new housing site | 4/20/2022 8:58 AM |
| 37 | yes | 4/20/2022 8:45 AM |
| 38 | Should remain as is | 4/19/2022 5:02 PM |
| 39 | yes | 4/19/2022 3:05 PM |
| 40 | S14/3260 | 4/19/2022 2:36 PM |
| 41 | yes | 4/19/2022 12:57 PM |

Community Governance Review Survey March 2022

| | | |
|----|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------|
| 42 | yes | 4/19/2022 12:52 PM |
| 43 | Belton lane should be the southern boundary | 4/19/2022 12:46 PM |
| 44 | Yes, Minimum area site with planning permission S14/3260 | 4/18/2022 1:12 PM |
| 45 | Yes - Urban Manthorpe in new Town Council. | 4/18/2022 12:10 PM |
| 46 | S14/3260 | 4/17/2022 3:23 PM |
| 47 | All | 4/17/2022 12:44 PM |
| 48 | Manthorpe estate area | 4/16/2022 6:42 PM |
| 49 | Manthorpe | 4/15/2022 4:48 PM |
| 50 | All area | 4/14/2022 6:50 PM |
| 51 | All | 4/12/2022 6:23 PM |
| 52 | all | 4/12/2022 12:56 PM |
| 53 | whole of village | 4/12/2022 12:51 PM |
| 54 | villages and surrounding areas | 4/12/2022 8:18 AM |
| 55 | parts of new estate adjacent to manthorpe rd estate | 4/11/2022 11:05 PM |
| 56 | Urban areas only | 4/11/2022 12:57 PM |
| 57 | all of Belton and Manthorpe | 4/11/2022 10:24 AM |
| 58 | yes | 4/11/2022 9:27 AM |
| 59 | Manthorpe Village | 4/10/2022 11:32 AM |
| 60 | All of the parish | 4/9/2022 12:56 PM |
| 61 | All of village | 4/9/2022 12:04 PM |
| 62 | S14/3260 | 4/9/2022 8:20 AM |
| 63 | All | 4/8/2022 2:48 PM |
| 64 | As per Map A outline | 4/8/2022 12:10 PM |
| 65 | Yes | 4/7/2022 5:29 PM |
| 66 | S14/3260; Manthorpe village | 4/7/2022 4:25 PM |
| 67 | All. | 4/6/2022 4:50 PM |
| 68 | All | 4/6/2022 1:48 PM |
| 69 | All | 4/6/2022 1:42 PM |
| 70 | S14/3260 | 4/4/2022 4:41 PM |
| 71 | yes | 4/4/2022 2:30 PM |
| 72 | yes | 4/4/2022 2:04 PM |
| 73 | Whole area subject to local views but shouldn't jeopardise the overall strategy. | 4/3/2022 5:07 PM |
| 74 | All of | 4/3/2022 4:44 PM |
| 75 | Proposed development S14/3260 | 4/3/2022 4:13 PM |
| 76 | Subject to local views but should not jeopardise overall strategy. | 4/3/2022 2:09 PM |
| 77 | S14/3260 | 4/2/2022 3:37 PM |
| 78 | Urban area | 4/1/2022 12:17 PM |
| 79 | Belton and Manthorpe Parish | 4/1/2022 11:42 AM |

Community Governance Review Survey March 2022

| | | |
|-----|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------|
| 80 | Yes all | 3/31/2022 12:14 PM |
| 81 | Areas covered by S14/3260 | 3/30/2022 4:28 PM |
| 82 | yes | 3/30/2022 11:32 AM |
| 83 | Yes | 3/30/2022 11:28 AM |
| 84 | yes | 3/30/2022 11:24 AM |
| 85 | no | 3/30/2022 11:17 AM |
| 86 | all parts | 3/30/2022 11:15 AM |
| 87 | yes | 3/30/2022 10:57 AM |
| 88 | yes | 3/30/2022 10:30 AM |
| 89 | yes | 3/30/2022 10:24 AM |
| 90 | yes | 3/30/2022 10:21 AM |
| 91 | yes | 3/30/2022 9:29 AM |
| 92 | yes | 3/30/2022 9:27 AM |
| 93 | All | 3/29/2022 8:31 PM |
| 94 | yes | 3/29/2022 3:34 PM |
| 95 | Manthorpe | 3/29/2022 1:42 PM |
| 96 | Manthorpe only | 3/28/2022 2:09 PM |
| 97 | village and new development | 3/28/2022 11:57 AM |
| 98 | Yes | 3/26/2022 12:36 PM |
| 99 | Urban part | 3/25/2022 10:32 AM |
| 100 | Yes | 3/24/2022 5:23 PM |
| 101 | yes | 3/24/2022 11:20 AM |
| 102 | yes | 3/23/2022 4:46 PM |
| 103 | yes | 3/23/2022 3:45 PM |
| 104 | yes | 3/23/2022 3:39 PM |
| 105 | not Belton but yes to Manthorpe Village and new estate off Longcliffe Road | 3/23/2022 3:34 PM |
| 106 | yes | 3/23/2022 3:11 PM |
| 107 | this should be included | 3/23/2022 11:03 AM |
| 108 | yes | 3/22/2022 4:57 PM |
| 109 | yes | 3/22/2022 12:04 PM |
| 110 | yes | 3/22/2022 11:46 AM |
| 111 | yes | 3/22/2022 11:41 AM |
| 112 | yes | 3/22/2022 10:53 AM |
| 113 | All of Manthorpe estate and village of Manthorpe properties to the boundary on existing village | 3/21/2022 4:22 PM |
| 114 | Bounded by the A607, Belton Lane and the railway line so including development S14/3260. | 3/21/2022 3:49 PM |
| 115 | whole | 3/21/2022 12:29 PM |
| 116 | all | 3/21/2022 11:54 AM |
| 117 | all | 3/21/2022 10:53 AM |

Community Governance Review Survey March 2022

| | | |
|-----|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------|
| 118 | yes | 3/21/2022 9:26 AM |
| 119 | yes | 3/20/2022 5:38 PM |
| 120 | Yes | 3/20/2022 3:03 PM |
| 121 | yes | 3/19/2022 6:37 PM |
| 122 | Belton and Manthorpe | 3/19/2022 6:20 PM |
| 123 | To include S14/ 3260 and the area in the Grantham St Wulfram ward in the Parish | 3/19/2022 2:30 PM |
| 124 | All | 3/19/2022 10:01 AM |
| 125 | Yes | 3/19/2022 7:29 AM |
| 126 | Belton and Manthorpe | 3/18/2022 5:17 PM |
| 127 | YES | 3/18/2022 2:55 PM |
| 128 | All | 3/18/2022 6:42 AM |
| 129 | yes | 3/17/2022 5:04 PM |
| 130 | Yes | 3/17/2022 2:12 PM |
| 131 | All | 3/17/2022 12:55 PM |
| 132 | Manthorpe | 3/17/2022 11:22 AM |
| 133 | the new housing development | 3/17/2022 1:57 AM |
| 134 | All | 3/16/2022 5:51 PM |
| 135 | All | 3/16/2022 5:37 PM |
| 136 | Yes | 3/16/2022 5:09 PM |
| 137 | yes | 3/16/2022 4:37 PM |
| 138 | The parish boundary should be Belton Lane with everything south of Belton Lane in the Grantham town area. | 3/16/2022 4:11 PM |
| 139 | All possible | 3/16/2022 3:56 PM |
| 140 | All | 3/16/2022 2:37 PM |
| 141 | Yes | 3/16/2022 1:44 PM |
| 142 | Area currently within the Grantham town area | 3/16/2022 12:10 PM |
| 143 | All South of Belton Lane | 3/16/2022 11:22 AM |
| 144 | Add S14/3260 to Town Council using Belton Lane as boundary line. | 3/16/2022 11:13 AM |
| 145 | All | 3/16/2022 9:58 AM |
| 146 | All | 3/16/2022 8:46 AM |
| 147 | The area of Manthorpe estate, hatched on the map, east of the railway, should be included in Grantham as it is already included in St Wulfs ward. | 3/15/2022 8:18 PM |
| 148 | areas bordering town | 3/15/2022 6:51 PM |
| 149 | All of them | 3/15/2022 5:32 PM |
| 150 | I would move the boundary to include the hashed area of properties that lie within the town of Grantham. | 3/15/2022 4:27 PM |
| 151 | Areas within Grantham | 3/15/2022 4:17 PM |
| 152 | s14/3260 | 3/15/2022 1:50 PM |
| 153 | Manthorpe Yes whilst Belton possibly not | 3/15/2022 1:26 PM |
| 154 | include | 3/14/2022 5:34 PM |

Community Governance Review Survey March 2022

| | | |
|----------|----------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------|
| 155 | all | 3/14/2022 11:01 AM |
| 156 | Land covered by development S14/3260 | 3/13/2022 4:24 PM |
| 157 | Belton and manthorpe | 3/11/2022 1:33 PM |
| 158 | Belton&manthorpe | 3/11/2022 1:33 PM |
| 159 | All | 3/11/2022 12:53 PM |
| 160 | / | 3/11/2022 10:56 AM |
| 161 | Whole | 3/11/2022 9:45 AM |
| # | GREAT GONERBY (SPECIFY AREA) | DATE |
| 1 | yes | 4/25/2022 2:55 PM |
| 2 | yes | 4/25/2022 2:26 PM |
| 3 | yes | 4/25/2022 2:24 PM |
| 4 | planning area | 4/25/2022 2:17 PM |
| 5 | upto green field before Gonerby village | 4/25/2022 1:04 PM |
| 6 | yes | 4/25/2022 12:59 PM |
| 7 | yes | 4/25/2022 12:30 PM |
| 8 | yes | 4/25/2022 12:24 PM |
| 9 | urban extensions of Grantham | 4/25/2022 12:17 PM |
| 10 | yes | 4/25/2022 12:07 PM |
| 11 | all | 4/25/2022 11:34 AM |
| 12 | yes | 4/25/2022 11:04 AM |
| 13 | Between Belton Lane and Gonerby Hill Foot | 4/25/2022 11:00 AM |
| 14 | yes | 4/25/2022 10:45 AM |
| 15 | yes | 4/25/2022 10:18 AM |
| 16 | all areas within Grantham | 4/25/2022 9:24 AM |
| 17 | Houses at edge of grantham town | 4/24/2022 10:16 AM |
| 18 | All south of Belton Lane Gonerby | 4/23/2022 8:23 AM |
| 19 | None | 4/22/2022 9:15 PM |
| 20 | yes | 4/22/2022 4:03 PM |
| 21 | Areas of S08-1231, GR3-H2 on Map B | 4/22/2022 1:55 PM |
| 22 | Whole area | 4/22/2022 1:08 PM |
| 23 | Whole area | 4/22/2022 1:01 PM |
| 24 | There's an area around Grampian Way that isn't separate to Grantham. | 4/22/2022 11:46 AM |
| 25 | Great Gonerby | 4/21/2022 8:49 PM |
| 26 | all of Gt Gonerby | 4/21/2022 6:49 PM |
| 27 | village | 4/21/2022 5:12 PM |
| 28 | Gonerby Hill Foot | 4/21/2022 4:55 PM |
| 29 | All | 4/21/2022 4:47 PM |
| 30 | Yes to include all dwellings in the area | 4/21/2022 4:45 PM |

Community Governance Review Survey March 2022

| | | |
|----|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------|
| 31 | yes | 4/21/2022 4:40 PM |
| 32 | All | 4/21/2022 1:01 PM |
| 33 | Definitely everything south of Belton lane potentially all of parish | 4/21/2022 10:22 AM |
| 34 | Yes | 4/20/2022 9:27 PM |
| 35 | South and East of A1 triangle | 4/20/2022 4:27 PM |
| 36 | GR3 -H2 GR3 H3 SC8/1231 | 4/20/2022 9:17 AM |
| 37 | yes | 4/20/2022 9:03 AM |
| 38 | yes | 4/20/2022 8:45 AM |
| 39 | Should remain as is | 4/19/2022 5:02 PM |
| 40 | The area to the west of Arnoldfield ward, part of which have planning permission as well as the areas GR3-H2 and GR£-H3 should be included. | 4/19/2022 3:45 PM |
| 41 | yes | 4/19/2022 3:05 PM |
| 42 | all | 4/19/2022 2:36 PM |
| 43 | yes | 4/19/2022 12:57 PM |
| 44 | Pennine Way area should be lost | 4/19/2022 12:46 PM |
| 45 | Stay as is | 4/19/2022 11:18 AM |
| 46 | Yes, Great Gonerby village. | 4/18/2022 1:12 PM |
| 47 | south of railway line | 4/18/2022 12:59 PM |
| 48 | Yes - Urban and industrial zones in new Town Council | 4/18/2022 12:10 PM |
| 49 | S14/3260; GR3-H2; S08/1231 | 4/17/2022 3:23 PM |
| 50 | All | 4/17/2022 12:44 PM |
| 51 | Gonerby Hill Foot area | 4/16/2022 6:42 PM |
| 52 | The village | 4/15/2022 4:48 PM |
| 53 | Align southern boundary with railway line | 4/15/2022 1:05 PM |
| 54 | All area | 4/14/2022 6:50 PM |
| 55 | All | 4/12/2022 6:23 PM |
| 56 | GR3-H2, SO8/1231 | 4/12/2022 3:55 PM |
| 57 | all | 4/12/2022 12:56 PM |
| 58 | whole of village | 4/12/2022 12:51 PM |
| 59 | Gonerby Hill Foot Village and surrounding areas | 4/12/2022 8:18 AM |
| 60 | All of Great Gonerby | 4/11/2022 10:24 AM |
| 61 | yes | 4/11/2022 9:27 AM |
| 62 | Village | 4/10/2022 11:32 AM |
| 63 | All of the parish | 4/9/2022 12:56 PM |
| 64 | All of village | 4/9/2022 12:04 PM |
| 65 | All | 4/8/2022 2:48 PM |
| 66 | As per Map A outline | 4/8/2022 12:10 PM |
| 67 | Yes | 4/7/2022 5:29 PM |
| 68 | Part of GR3-H2 & S08/1231 | 4/7/2022 4:25 PM |

Community Governance Review Survey March 2022

| | | |
|-----|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------|
| 69 | All. | 4/6/2022 4:50 PM |
| 70 | All | 4/6/2022 1:48 PM |
| 71 | All | 4/6/2022 1:42 PM |
| 72 | GR3 -H2 S08/1231 | 4/4/2022 4:41 PM |
| 73 | yes | 4/4/2022 2:30 PM |
| 74 | yes | 4/4/2022 2:04 PM |
| 75 | Everything on Gonerby Hill Foot | 4/4/2022 1:52 PM |
| 76 | Whole area subject to local views but shouldn't jeopardise the overall strategy. | 4/3/2022 5:07 PM |
| 77 | All of | 4/3/2022 4:44 PM |
| 78 | The part of the new development south of the Nottingham railway line | 4/3/2022 4:13 PM |
| 79 | Subject to local views but should not jeopardise overall strategy. | 4/3/2022 2:09 PM |
| 80 | S08/1231, GR3-H2 | 4/2/2022 3:37 PM |
| 81 | Urban area | 4/1/2022 12:17 PM |
| 82 | Great Gonerby Parish | 4/1/2022 11:42 AM |
| 83 | Boundary areas to the south of Great gonerby | 3/31/2022 11:17 PM |
| 84 | Yes all | 3/31/2022 12:14 PM |
| 85 | Areas covered by S14/3260, GR3-H2 | 3/30/2022 4:28 PM |
| 86 | Yes | 3/30/2022 11:28 AM |
| 87 | yes | 3/30/2022 11:24 AM |
| 88 | no | 3/30/2022 11:17 AM |
| 89 | all parts | 3/30/2022 11:15 AM |
| 90 | yes | 3/30/2022 10:57 AM |
| 91 | yes | 3/30/2022 10:30 AM |
| 92 | yes | 3/30/2022 10:24 AM |
| 93 | yes | 3/30/2022 10:21 AM |
| 94 | yes | 3/30/2022 9:29 AM |
| 95 | yes | 3/30/2022 9:27 AM |
| 96 | All | 3/29/2022 8:31 PM |
| 97 | yes | 3/29/2022 3:34 PM |
| 98 | BELVOIR GARDENS | 3/29/2022 3:08 PM |
| 99 | All of Great Gonerby | 3/29/2022 1:42 PM |
| 100 | yes | 3/28/2022 2:09 PM |
| 101 | whole parish area | 3/28/2022 11:57 AM |
| 102 | Yes | 3/26/2022 12:36 PM |
| 103 | Urban part | 3/25/2022 10:32 AM |
| 104 | Yes | 3/24/2022 5:23 PM |
| 105 | yes | 3/24/2022 11:20 AM |
| 106 | Yes | 3/24/2022 10:18 AM |

Community Governance Review Survey March 2022

| | | |
|-----|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------|
| 107 | Gonerby Hill Foot | 3/24/2022 7:20 AM |
| 108 | yes | 3/23/2022 4:46 PM |
| 109 | yes | 3/23/2022 3:45 PM |
| 110 | yes | 3/23/2022 3:39 PM |
| 111 | yes | 3/23/2022 3:23 PM |
| 112 | yes | 3/23/2022 3:11 PM |
| 113 | yes | 3/22/2022 4:57 PM |
| 114 | yes | 3/22/2022 12:04 PM |
| 115 | yes | 3/22/2022 11:46 AM |
| 116 | yes | 3/22/2022 11:41 AM |
| 117 | yes | 3/22/2022 10:53 AM |
| 118 | yes sorry dont know area well | 3/22/2022 10:43 AM |
| 119 | All of gonerby and gonerby hill foot | 3/21/2022 6:32 PM |
| 120 | whole of the village from downtown roundabout | 3/21/2022 4:22 PM |
| 121 | As for Barrowby the area bounded by the A1, railway line and Barrowby Road, including the new and planned developments S08/1231, GR3-H2 and GR3-H3. Also the housing estate accessed by Pennine Way. | 3/21/2022 3:49 PM |
| 122 | whole | 3/21/2022 12:29 PM |
| 123 | all | 3/21/2022 11:54 AM |
| 124 | all | 3/21/2022 10:53 AM |
| 125 | yes | 3/21/2022 9:26 AM |
| 126 | yes | 3/20/2022 5:38 PM |
| 127 | Yes | 3/20/2022 3:03 PM |
| 128 | Gonerby Hill Foot | 3/19/2022 10:37 PM |
| 129 | yes | 3/19/2022 6:37 PM |
| 130 | To include the area in the Grantham Arnoldfield ward | 3/19/2022 2:30 PM |
| 131 | All | 3/19/2022 10:01 AM |
| 132 | Yes | 3/19/2022 7:29 AM |
| 133 | Great Gonerby | 3/18/2022 5:17 PM |
| 134 | YES | 3/18/2022 2:55 PM |
| 135 | All | 3/18/2022 6:42 AM |
| 136 | yes | 3/17/2022 5:04 PM |
| 137 | Yes | 3/17/2022 2:12 PM |
| 138 | All | 3/17/2022 12:55 PM |
| 139 | Whole of | 3/17/2022 11:22 AM |
| 140 | All | 3/16/2022 5:51 PM |
| 141 | All | 3/16/2022 5:37 PM |
| 142 | Yes | 3/16/2022 5:09 PM |
| 143 | yes | 3/16/2022 4:37 PM |

Community Governance Review Survey March 2022

| | | |
|----------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------|
| 144 | The whole of the "GR3-H2" area as marked on the accompanying map. | 3/16/2022 4:11 PM |
| 145 | All possible | 3/16/2022 3:56 PM |
| 146 | All | 3/16/2022 2:37 PM |
| 147 | , GR3 H3 | 3/16/2022 1:40 PM |
| 148 | All | 3/16/2022 12:59 PM |
| 149 | Area currently within the Grantham town area | 3/16/2022 12:10 PM |
| 150 | All | 3/16/2022 11:22 AM |
| 151 | Add GR3-H2, S08/1231 to Town Council using railway line as boundary. | 3/16/2022 11:13 AM |
| 152 | All | 3/16/2022 9:58 AM |
| 153 | All | 3/16/2022 8:46 AM |
| 154 | The hatched area on the map west of Gonerby Hill Foot should be included in Grantham as it is already included in Arnoldfield ward. | 3/15/2022 8:18 PM |
| 155 | All of it | 3/15/2022 5:32 PM |
| 156 | I would move the boundary to include the hashed area of properties that lie within the town of Grantham. | 3/15/2022 4:27 PM |
| 157 | Areas within Grantham | 3/15/2022 4:17 PM |
| 158 | Yes | 3/15/2022 1:26 PM |
| 159 | include | 3/14/2022 5:34 PM |
| 160 | all | 3/14/2022 11:01 AM |
| 161 | None | 3/13/2022 4:24 PM |
| 162 | Yes | 3/12/2022 9:19 PM |
| 163 | Everything on Gonerby Hill Foot | 3/11/2022 7:13 PM |
| 164 | Great gonerby | 3/11/2022 1:33 PM |
| 165 | Great gonerby ok | 3/11/2022 1:33 PM |
| 166 | Gonerby Hill Foot | 3/11/2022 12:53 PM |
| 167 | Whole | 3/11/2022 9:45 AM |
| # | LONDONTHORPE & HARROWBY WITHOUT (SPECIFY AREA) | DATE |
| 1 | yes | 4/25/2022 2:55 PM |
| 2 | yes | 4/25/2022 2:26 PM |
| 3 | yes | 4/25/2022 2:24 PM |
| 4 | planning area | 4/25/2022 2:17 PM |
| 5 | yes | 4/25/2022 12:38 PM |
| 6 | yes | 4/25/2022 12:30 PM |
| 7 | yes | 4/25/2022 12:24 PM |
| 8 | urban and proposed extensions | 4/25/2022 12:17 PM |
| 9 | all | 4/25/2022 11:34 AM |
| 10 | to eastern limit of housing | 4/25/2022 11:00 AM |
| 11 | yes | 4/25/2022 10:45 AM |
| 12 | all areas within Grantham | 4/25/2022 9:24 AM |

Community Governance Review Survey March 2022

| | | |
|----|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------|
| 13 | Alma park and sunningdale estate | 4/24/2022 10:16 AM |
| 14 | All south of Belton Park and all of Alma Park | 4/23/2022 8:23 AM |
| 15 | GR3-H4, GR3-H1 | 4/22/2022 9:15 PM |
| 16 | GR3-H4, GR3-H1 | 4/22/2022 7:26 PM |
| 17 | yes | 4/22/2022 4:03 PM |
| 18 | Areas of GR3-H1 and GR3-H4 on Map B | 4/22/2022 1:55 PM |
| 19 | Whole area | 4/22/2022 1:08 PM |
| 20 | Whole area | 4/22/2022 1:01 PM |
| 21 | Don't see why the built area out to Alma Park wouldn't be included. Area GR3-H1 would appear to be a continuation of Grantham too and area H4 will be a continuation of that. | 4/22/2022 11:46 AM |
| 22 | The urban areas of Harrowby which immediately adjoin the urban town area | 4/22/2022 10:08 AM |
| 23 | all the area | 4/21/2022 6:49 PM |
| 24 | All of it, except for Londonthorpe and top of Harrowby Lane area | 4/21/2022 6:08 PM |
| 25 | Yes | 4/21/2022 5:45 PM |
| 26 | All | 4/21/2022 4:47 PM |
| 27 | yes to include all dwellings in the area | 4/21/2022 4:45 PM |
| 28 | yes | 4/21/2022 4:40 PM |
| 29 | up to high dyke | 4/21/2022 1:04 PM |
| 30 | All | 4/21/2022 1:01 PM |
| 31 | Including sunningdale estate and everything south of it or whole of parish | 4/21/2022 10:22 AM |
| 32 | Yes | 4/20/2022 9:27 PM |
| 33 | All of it | 4/20/2022 4:27 PM |
| 34 | new housing site and existing around NE of Grantham | 4/20/2022 8:58 AM |
| 35 | yes | 4/20/2022 8:45 AM |
| 36 | Alma Park & Sunningdale | 4/19/2022 11:55 PM |
| 37 | Should be revised so all housing of Somerby Hill is included in Grantham Town Centre. The town should encompass the Southern Quadrant development t and associated s106 funding | 4/19/2022 5:02 PM |
| 38 | From Map A it appears that much of the housing on that estate is not included in the Grantham boundary. | 4/19/2022 3:45 PM |
| 39 | yes | 4/19/2022 3:05 PM |
| 40 | all | 4/19/2022 2:49 PM |
| 41 | all | 4/19/2022 2:36 PM |
| 42 | yes | 4/19/2022 12:57 PM |
| 43 | yes | 4/19/2022 12:52 PM |
| 44 | Lose new housing to the south | 4/19/2022 12:46 PM |
| 45 | Yes, all urban contiguous with Grantham Harrowby ward and that covered by housing allocations: GR3-H1 and GR3-H4 | 4/18/2022 1:12 PM |
| 46 | Yes - Area south of Turnor Road and urban and industrial zones south of Londonthorpe Lane.. | 4/18/2022 12:10 PM |
| 47 | Include all Areas of Alma Park and Sunningdale into the current unparished Grantham area. | 4/18/2022 10:15 AM |
| 48 | GR3-H1; GR3-H4 | 4/17/2022 3:23 PM |

Community Governance Review Survey March 2022

| | | |
|----|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------|
| 49 | All | 4/17/2022 12:44 PM |
| 50 | Sunningdale estate | 4/17/2022 11:46 AM |
| 51 | Sunningdale estate, Alma Park estate,both sides of Harrowby Lane, David Eatch estate both sides of Somerby Hill | 4/16/2022 6:42 PM |
| 52 | Yes | 4/15/2022 4:48 PM |
| 53 | Align south-west boundary with railway line | 4/15/2022 1:05 PM |
| 54 | All area | 4/14/2022 6:50 PM |
| 55 | All | 4/12/2022 6:23 PM |
| 56 | GR3-H4,GR3-H1 | 4/12/2022 3:55 PM |
| 57 | all | 4/12/2022 12:56 PM |
| 58 | whole of village | 4/12/2022 12:51 PM |
| 59 | villages and surrounding aress | 4/12/2022 8:18 AM |
| 60 | parts of the new developments next to somerby hill | 4/11/2022 11:05 PM |
| 61 | This is a very large parish, with a considerable amount of proposed new properties. | 4/11/2022 4:44 PM |
| 62 | Urban areas only | 4/11/2022 12:57 PM |
| 63 | All of Londonthorpe & Harrowby | 4/11/2022 10:24 AM |
| 64 | sunningdale and alma park | 4/11/2022 8:56 AM |
| 65 | Harrowby only | 4/10/2022 11:32 AM |
| 66 | All of the parish | 4/9/2022 12:56 PM |
| 67 | All | 4/9/2022 12:04 PM |
| 68 | Alma Park and Harrowby | 4/9/2022 8:20 AM |
| 69 | All | 4/8/2022 2:48 PM |
| 70 | As per Map A outline | 4/8/2022 12:10 PM |
| 71 | Yes | 4/7/2022 5:29 PM |
| 72 | Urban areas | 4/7/2022 4:25 PM |
| 73 | All | 4/6/2022 1:48 PM |
| 74 | All | 4/6/2022 1:42 PM |
| 75 | GR3 H1 | 4/4/2022 4:41 PM |
| 76 | yes | 4/4/2022 3:22 PM |
| 77 | yes | 4/4/2022 2:30 PM |
| 78 | The area on Bridge End Road , Alma Park, Sunningdale | 4/4/2022 1:52 PM |
| 79 | Area bounded by the A52 | 4/4/2022 11:04 AM |
| 80 | alma park | 4/4/2022 10:06 AM |
| 81 | Whole area subject to local views but shouldn't jeopardise the overall strategy. | 4/3/2022 5:07 PM |
| 82 | All of | 4/3/2022 4:44 PM |
| 83 | Sunningdale & Alma Park, and proposed developments GR3-H4 & GR3-H1 | 4/3/2022 4:13 PM |
| 84 | Subject to local views but should not jeopardise overall strategy. | 4/3/2022 2:09 PM |
| 85 | Somerby Hill, Grantham | 4/3/2022 10:42 AM |
| 86 | Urban area | 4/1/2022 12:17 PM |

Community Governance Review Survey March 2022

| | | |
|-----|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------|
| 87 | Londonthorpe and Harrowby Parish | 4/1/2022 11:42 AM |
| 88 | Yes all | 3/31/2022 12:14 PM |
| 89 | Areas covered by GR3-H1/GR3-H4 | 3/30/2022 4:28 PM |
| 90 | Yes | 3/30/2022 11:28 AM |
| 91 | yes | 3/30/2022 11:24 AM |
| 92 | yes all of this area | 3/30/2022 11:17 AM |
| 93 | all parts | 3/30/2022 11:15 AM |
| 94 | yes | 3/30/2022 10:57 AM |
| 95 | yes | 3/30/2022 10:30 AM |
| 96 | yes | 3/30/2022 10:24 AM |
| 97 | yes | 3/30/2022 10:21 AM |
| 98 | yes | 3/30/2022 9:29 AM |
| 99 | yes | 3/30/2022 9:27 AM |
| 100 | Sunningdale estate | 3/30/2022 8:59 AM |
| 101 | All | 3/29/2022 8:31 PM |
| 102 | Londonthorpe and Harrowby without | 3/29/2022 1:42 PM |
| 103 | whole parish area | 3/28/2022 11:57 AM |
| 104 | Belton & Manthorpe & Londonthorpe & Harrowby | 3/27/2022 12:17 PM |
| 105 | Yes | 3/26/2022 12:36 PM |
| 106 | Urban part | 3/25/2022 10:32 AM |
| 107 | yes | 3/24/2022 11:20 AM |
| 108 | yes | 3/23/2022 4:46 PM |
| 109 | yes | 3/23/2022 3:45 PM |
| 110 | yes | 3/23/2022 3:39 PM |
| 111 | All of Sunningdale Estate and Alma Park Estate, both sides of Harrowby Lane but not the large settlement at the top of Harrowby Lane. both sides of Bridge End Road but not PWOG barracks | 3/23/2022 3:34 PM |
| 112 | yes | 3/23/2022 3:11 PM |
| 113 | this should be included | 3/23/2022 11:03 AM |
| 114 | yes | 3/22/2022 4:57 PM |
| 115 | all | 3/22/2022 12:49 PM |
| 116 | yes | 3/22/2022 12:04 PM |
| 117 | yes | 3/22/2022 11:46 AM |
| 118 | yes | 3/22/2022 11:41 AM |
| 119 | yes | 3/22/2022 10:53 AM |
| 120 | yes sorry dont know area well | 3/22/2022 10:43 AM |
| 121 | must include all sunningdale estate | 3/21/2022 4:22 PM |
| 122 | The urban area bounded by Londonthorpe Road, the Alma Park industrial estate and Alma Park (Fifth Avenue, Kenilworth Road). The planned developments at GR3-H1 (Spitalgate | 3/21/2022 3:49 PM |

Community Governance Review Survey March 2022

Village) and GR3-H4 (Barracks) should be given their own new Grantham ward, 'Grantham Spittlegate Ward' perhaps.


| | | |
|-----|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------|
| 123 | whole | 3/21/2022 12:29 PM |
| 124 | all | 3/21/2022 11:54 AM |
| 125 | all | 3/21/2022 10:53 AM |
| 126 | yes | 3/21/2022 9:26 AM |
| 127 | Alma Park | 3/21/2022 8:47 AM |
| 128 | yes - details of areas need to be discussed | 3/20/2022 5:38 PM |
| 129 | estates of Sunningdale/Alma Park/Harrowby Lane | 3/20/2022 3:46 PM |
| 130 | Yes | 3/20/2022 3:03 PM |
| 131 | Harrowby without . | 3/19/2022 10:11 PM |
| 132 | yes | 3/19/2022 6:37 PM |
| 133 | London Thorpe & Harrowby Without | 3/19/2022 6:20 PM |
| 134 | To include GR3 H1/ H4 | 3/19/2022 2:30 PM |
| 135 | All | 3/19/2022 10:01 AM |
| 136 | Yes | 3/19/2022 7:29 AM |
| 137 | Londonthorpe and Harrowby | 3/18/2022 5:17 PM |
| 138 | This area only | 3/18/2022 4:41 PM |
| 139 | All | 3/18/2022 6:42 AM |
| 140 | Sunningdale estate | 3/17/2022 5:31 PM |
| 141 | yes | 3/17/2022 5:04 PM |
| 142 | Y | 3/17/2022 4:43 PM |
| 143 | Yes | 3/17/2022 2:12 PM |
| 144 | All | 3/17/2022 12:55 PM |
| 145 | Whole of | 3/17/2022 11:22 AM |
| 146 | the new housing areas and existing Alma Park and Sunningdale | 3/17/2022 1:57 AM |
| 147 | All | 3/16/2022 5:51 PM |
| 148 | Londonthorpe & Harrowby | 3/16/2022 5:47 PM |
| 149 | All | 3/16/2022 5:37 PM |
| 150 | Yes | 3/16/2022 5:09 PM |
| 151 | yes | 3/16/2022 4:37 PM |
| 152 | I struggle to see why this entire parish except for Londonthorpe Village itself should not be included in the Grantham town council area. | 3/16/2022 4:11 PM |
| 153 | All possible | 3/16/2022 3:56 PM |
| 154 | All | 3/16/2022 2:37 PM |
| 155 | GR3 H1 | 3/16/2022 1:40 PM |
| 156 | Spitalfields heath | 3/16/2022 1:28 PM |
| 157 | Area currently within the Grantham town area | 3/16/2022 12:10 PM |
| 158 | All South of Londonthorpe Lane, excluding Londonthorpe | 3/16/2022 11:22 AM |

Community Governance Review Survey March 2022

| | | |
|-----|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------|
| 159 | Add GR3-H4,H1 to Town Council. Thought could also be given to including just Londonthorpe and Harrowby Hall in this Parish Council. | 3/16/2022 11:13 AM |
| 160 | All | 3/16/2022 9:58 AM |
| 161 | All | 3/16/2022 8:46 AM |
| 162 | London&Harrowby without | 3/15/2022 9:50 PM |
| 163 | Sunningdale and all areas of Alma Park Road | 3/15/2022 9:19 PM |
| 164 | The two hatched areas on the map should be included in Grantham as they are already included in St Vincents.I am not sure how this would be affected when GR3-H1 is built.The area bordering Harrowby Ward Could be included in Harrowby so also in Grantham or form a ward of their own. | 3/15/2022 8:18 PM |
| 165 | Allocated areas bordering A52 (GR3-H1) and High Dyke (GR3-H4) | 3/15/2022 6:52 PM |
| 166 | areas bordering town | 3/15/2022 6:51 PM |
| 167 | All of it | 3/15/2022 5:32 PM |
| 168 | Areas within Grantham | 3/15/2022 4:17 PM |
| 169 | Spittlegate area | 3/15/2022 2:09 PM |
| 170 | Yes | 3/15/2022 1:26 PM |
| 171 | New Garden Village will be seen as part of the town | 3/14/2022 8:31 PM |
| 172 | include | 3/14/2022 5:34 PM |
| 173 | all | 3/14/2022 11:01 AM |
| 174 | Land covered by GR3-H4 & GR3-H1 | 3/13/2022 4:24 PM |
| 175 | Yes | 3/12/2022 9:19 PM |
| 176 | The area on Bridge End Road | 3/11/2022 7:13 PM |
| 177 | Londonthorpe and Barrowby without | 3/11/2022 1:33 PM |
| 178 | Lononthorpr&harrowby | 3/11/2022 1:33 PM |
| 179 | All | 3/11/2022 12:53 PM |
| 180 | / | 3/11/2022 10:56 AM |
| 181 | Sunningdale | 3/11/2022 10:13 AM |
| 182 | Alma park areas | 3/11/2022 10:08 AM |
| 183 | Sunningdale estate. Alma Park. | 3/11/2022 9:50 AM |
| 184 | Whole | 3/11/2022 9:45 AM |

Q6 In the event that a new parish or town council is established which includes the currently unparished area of Grantham and urban parts of one or more surrounding parishes, what arrangements should be made for the remaining (rural) parts of those parishes?

Answered: 1,263 Skipped: 220



| ANSWER CHOICES | RESPONSES | |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------|--------------|
| If viable, they should remain as individual, smaller, parishes each with their own council | 44.50% | 562 |
| They should also be absorbed into the new town council serving Grantham | 20.27% | 256 |
| Consideration should be given to merging or grouping them into a smaller number of areas | 11.24% | 142 |
| Don't know | 23.99% | 303 |
| TOTAL | | 1,263 |

| # | PLEASE USE THE SPACE BELOW TO COMMENT: | DATE |
|---|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------|
| 1 | Community governance means community at its heart. the electors of the parishes would have had a preference to live in the parish. Town is not always seen as reflecting community | 4/25/2022 3:59 PM |
| 2 | Pointless! | 4/25/2022 2:48 PM |
| 3 | If viable implies it will be ascertained they wont be! Bigger is not usually better | 4/25/2022 2:43 PM |
| 4 | I think they should be merged with their nearest parish | 4/25/2022 2:05 PM |
| 5 | consultation with those parishes would be important in this decision to build public support | 4/25/2022 12:17 PM |
| 6 | The existing parishes should be left alone ie not reduced in any way | 4/25/2022 10:11 AM |
| 7 | Do not reduce the existing parishes | 4/25/2022 10:09 AM |
| 8 | Changes should be given time to evolve. New housing estates often take many years to be | 4/25/2022 10:04 AM |

Community Governance Review Survey March 2022

built. if everyone in these areas are happy with the way they are represneted , nothing should change.

| | | |
|----|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------|
| 9 | unified, not fractioned, a town council would represent all areas | 4/25/2022 9:24 AM |
| 10 | For those local people to say | 4/25/2022 9:16 AM |
| 11 | The non-urban parts of surrounding parishes should definitely not be included in the proposed town council area. They should be considered viable by default. | 4/22/2022 10:08 PM |
| 12 | Same comments as for Question 2 | 4/22/2022 6:03 PM |
| 13 | With there own parishes always connected with south Kesteven | 4/22/2022 3:20 PM |
| 14 | Barrowby runs very well with the boundary that it has now and is definitely viable to remain as an individual parish. | 4/22/2022 2:38 PM |
| 15 | It might be reasonable if all the proposed changes in my responses were accepted to merge the remaining parts of Londonthorpe parish with Belton parish, but to my mind this should really be a decision for the residents of the rural parts of those parishes. | 4/22/2022 1:55 PM |
| 16 | Need to consult with people living in these areas. | 4/22/2022 1:08 PM |
| 17 | As villages, they have their own distinct character, so why not separate bodies representing their interests? | 4/22/2022 11:46 AM |
| 18 | Whilst I oppose the creation of a new Town Coucil, I wish to express my support for the general concept of merger and rationalization (as per my response to Q1). | 4/22/2022 10:41 AM |
| 19 | They should allowed to carry on and determine their own needs and the facilities they want in the community. They live in and have first hand knowledge of the needs of their immediate community. | 4/21/2022 9:25 PM |
| 20 | Should be up to them to decide. | 4/21/2022 7:37 PM |
| 21 | Grantham needs on Town Council to speak for Grantham. Setting up a new Town Council and then breaking it up again is the last thing we need. | 4/21/2022 6:08 PM |
| 22 | Map B is not specifically addressed in this questionnaire but would appear to have been prepared to illustrate the proposed wards and parishes | 4/21/2022 5:07 PM |
| 23 | Leave well alone and save money | 4/21/2022 4:51 PM |
| 24 | As stated before, this should be considered on an individual basis depending on the needs of the surrounding areas and the impact of decisions which are made in Grantham. All efforts should be made to ensure those affected have a say / are represented in the decisions being made. | 4/21/2022 3:35 PM |
| 25 | This should be considered on a case by case basis, taking into consideration the needs, interests and priorities of those living in that area. If they were to be absorbed into the town council, suitable representation from these areas should be ensured so their voices can be heard. | 4/21/2022 3:21 PM |
| 26 | Local people should determine local priorities and spending. After all isn't this your argument for wanting a town council for Grantham? There is no need to change parish boundaries. There is no mandate to take village properties into Grantham. If this is to be proposed the villages (parishes) should be subject to a referendum where electors of the parish can answer a "yes" or "no" question on the issue. | 4/21/2022 2:05 PM |
| 27 | Again a lot of twaddle. More expense more red tape. Leave alone what works well already | 4/21/2022 1:12 PM |
| 28 | Local accountability and choice. | 4/21/2022 11:08 AM |
| 29 | In a small Grantham scenario the remains of the three northerly parishes should be merged into one new parish or they should all be absorbed in to Grantham | 4/21/2022 10:22 AM |
| 30 | Leave the existing boundaries alone. Current Parish Councils such as Barrowby are already doing an excellent job with their precepts for the good of their parish community. | 4/21/2022 9:27 AM |
| 31 | Making Grantham a new town council could be done by including both urban and rural areas | 4/20/2022 8:26 PM |
| 32 | Any other decision smacks of being a takeover. | 4/20/2022 8:11 PM |

Community Governance Review Survey March 2022

| | | |
|----|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------|
| 33 | All the options should remain on the table until it is decided what is in and out of Grantham then all three options could be sensible outcomes for the different parishes as they could all have different outcomes and the residents of what's left could have different wishes. | 4/20/2022 4:27 PM |
| 34 | I do not believe that the new town council should impinge on the areas already covered by parish councils. | 4/20/2022 1:57 PM |
| 35 | If these Parishes are thriving leave them as they are but if they are struggling they should be consulted about joining the new Town Council. | 4/20/2022 12:33 PM |
| 36 | should remain as individual councils, non should be put into an unviable position | 4/20/2022 9:44 AM |
| 37 | No Parish should be reduced so as to make them unmanagable. i.e insufficient residents to be able to function | 4/20/2022 9:28 AM |
| 38 | For those living in Grantham it makes sense to absorb them all. However those communities should only be combined providing the majority of those communities agree. | 4/20/2022 8:45 AM |
| 39 | See previous comments | 4/19/2022 5:02 PM |
| 40 | They should reflect the seven Grantham ward's represented on SKDC | 4/19/2022 3:07 PM |
| 41 | We should be united | 4/19/2022 2:58 PM |
| 42 | Remain individual | 4/19/2022 2:30 PM |
| 43 | What? | 4/19/2022 1:00 PM |
| 44 | I have commented on the Parliamentary Boundary review. there seems to be a lack of understanding about boundaries and how they affect local democracy. Boundaries are not about numbers of voters, they are about how voters can access the decision makers. isolate decision makers and you destroy democracy. Bring LCC powers back to local towns | 4/19/2022 12:46 PM |
| 45 | And if not viable, consideration should be given to merging them into a smaller number of areas. But non of this should be done to pay for a town council unless parishioners in already parished areas are not asked to contribute via their council tax | 4/19/2022 12:22 PM |
| 46 | I FIND THIS QUESTION OBSCURE I do not want any changes to the current situation and would be grateful that you take my opinion seriously and honestly. | 4/19/2022 11:46 AM |
| 47 | See comment above | 4/19/2022 9:33 AM |
| 48 | Most parish councils do a good job and function well. There is no mandate for town councils or district councils absorbing neighbouring parishes. Why have residents of parishes not been consulted before this question was asked? If residents within a particular parish want to have their parish councils disbanded and become part of a Greater Grantham, let them say so and campaign for it. It is wrong to ask this question of residents of Grantham, who greatly outnumber the residents of the combined parishes, but who have little or no understanding of what parish councils do for their communities. | 4/18/2022 10:32 PM |
| 49 | Parish councils do a good job so why change | 4/18/2022 9:28 AM |
| 50 | Changes to the Grantham area should not drive change to other Parish Councils. These council areas should have the ability to drive their own futures, and in particular should not be subjected to a "takeover" by Grantham. | 4/17/2022 3:23 PM |
| 51 | It is ridiculous that some areas have their own Parish Councils (we have all seen the Vicar of Dibley and that infamous YouTube video that went viral during the lockdown) | 4/17/2022 8:51 AM |
| 52 | They have their own unique needs and arrangements and they should be respected. | 4/16/2022 1:20 PM |
| 53 | If parts of these existing parishes are changing then a complete review would be justified | 4/16/2022 11:16 AM |
| 54 | Barrowby don't need to be part of Grantham town | 4/15/2022 1:23 PM |
| 55 | Each rural parish has its particular needs, so they need a specific body. | 4/15/2022 1:05 PM |
| 56 | If it becomes necessary to infringe any existing parish boundaries with the creation of a new town council. As far as possible a Town Council should be created quickly without moving existing boundaries. The best aim in the long term is for all of Grantham to be one Grantham. | 4/15/2022 10:27 AM |

Community Governance Review Survey March 2022

| | | |
|----|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------|
| 57 | If all parishes came under the town council surely this would be easier to administer | 4/14/2022 6:50 PM |
| 58 | (see previous comments) | 4/14/2022 5:16 PM |
| 59 | No, leave current system alone. No additional cost! | 4/14/2022 12:05 PM |
| 60 | I don't believe any changes should be made to the Parish boundaries, if I wished to be ruled by a new Town Council then I would live within the existing boundaries of Grantham. | 4/13/2022 7:01 PM |
| 61 | It is feared any land grab from present surrounding parishes would mean more development on the land and loss of identity for local villages. The end result would be these villages becoming part of greater Grantham and losing their identities as independent villages. | 4/13/2022 6:02 PM |
| 62 | NO.....WE WANT OUR VILLAGE to remain under our Parish Council - it has worked well thus far and we believe we should have our say and not be overruled by TOWN/DISTRICT councillors who will have no interest in Barrowby. Surely viability does not apply at present to unparished or rural areas CONCLUSION UNPARISHED AREAS SHOULD REMAIN AS THEY ARE | 4/13/2022 4:22 PM |
| 63 | This again should be voted on by the parishes concerned not by people who know nothing of the workings of these separate parishes and what do you call viable, people need to know what you are talking about | 4/13/2022 2:14 PM |
| 64 | All Grantham postcodes under one council | 4/12/2022 6:23 PM |
| 65 | Keep Grantham unparished | 4/12/2022 6:02 PM |
| 66 | Some may be viable on their own, while others could be grouped together or absorbed into Grantham. Again the opinions of those living in those areas are the most important to be considered. | 4/12/2022 3:55 PM |
| 67 | There should be no change | 4/12/2022 1:26 PM |
| 68 | With the option to regroup or join Grantham | 4/12/2022 1:01 PM |
| 69 | The rump parish councils would be best absorbed as they would have representation | 4/12/2022 12:56 PM |
| 70 | This is for discussion and decision by the people of the parishes who, in the same manner as the people of Grantham are being asked for their opinion as to their future, are entitled to express their ambitions in light of the situation following the outcome of this review of Grantham | 4/12/2022 12:07 PM |
| 71 | They should not be having parts of the parish removed in the first place. Only unparished areas should be affected | 4/12/2022 10:16 AM |
| 72 | I refer you to the answer I gave at Question1. | 4/11/2022 3:48 PM |
| 73 | Leave established parishes alone | 4/11/2022 3:19 PM |
| 74 | Barrowby runs very well with the boundary that it now has and is definitely viable to remain as a individual parish. | 4/11/2022 11:54 AM |
| 75 | Only if it benefits the residents of these areas | 4/11/2022 10:19 AM |
| 76 | A further review at a later date can be undertaken | 4/11/2022 10:09 AM |
| 77 | We dont need any more bureuacracy. Leave things as they are. Utilise existing resources to help everyone. We have a good system. But more help from central government CASH for Lincolnshire should be a priority. ASK THE TORIES FOR MORE MONEY | 4/11/2022 9:10 AM |
| 78 | If Londonthorpe loses Alma Park and or Sunningdale it will then become the rural parish it was years ago | 4/11/2022 8:56 AM |
| 79 | The parishes should be consulted and allowed to decide what they want to happen. | 4/10/2022 12:29 PM |
| 80 | Should be absorbed if viable to do so. | 4/8/2022 5:13 PM |
| 81 | UNACCEPTABLE ANSWER OPTIONS PRESENTED ALL HAVE THE PREMISE OF SUBSUMING THE PARISHES TO SOME DEGREE. THE OPTION SELECTED IS THE LEAST WORSE OF THE OPTIONS BUT REMAINS UNACCEPTABLE. Parishes must remain autonomous, governing themselves and accountable to their parishioners. There must be no | 4/8/2022 3:27 PM |

Community Governance Review Survey March 2022

threat of being subsumed into a new parish or town council. Viability is not an issue as Barrowby does not need to justify its autonomous existence.

| | | |
|----|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------|
| 82 | UNACCEPTABLE ANSWER OPTIONS PRESENTED ALL HAVE THE PREMISE OF SUBSUMING THE PARISHES TO SOME DEGREE. THE OPTION SELECTED IS THE LEAST WORSE OF THE OPTIONS BUT REMAINS UNACCEPTABLE. Parishes must remain autonomous, governing themselves and accountable to their parishioners. There must be no threat of being subsumed into a new parish or town council. Viability is not an issue as Barrowby does not need to justify its autonomous existence. | 4/8/2022 3:25 PM |
| 83 | UNACCEPTABLE ANSWER OPTIONS PRESENTED ALL HAVE THE PREMISE OF SUBSUMING THE PARISHES TO SOME DEGREE. THE OPTION SELECTED IS THE LEAST WORSE OF THE OPTIONS BUT REMAINS UNACCEPTABLE. Parishes must remain autonomous, governing themselves and accountable to their parishioners. There must be no threat of being subsumed into a new parish or town council. Viability is not an issue as Barrowby does not need to justify its autonomous existence. | 4/8/2022 3:22 PM |
| 84 | UNACCEPTABLE ANSWER OPTIONS PRESENTED ALL HAVE THE PREMISE OF SUBSUMING THE PARISHES TO SOME DEGREE. THE OPTION SELECTED IS THE LEAST WORSE OF THE OPTIONS BUT REMAINS UNACCEPTABLE. Parishes must remain autonomous, governing themselves and accountable to their parishioners. There must be no threat of being subsumed into a new parish or town council. Viability is not an issue as Barrowby does not need to justify its autonomous existence. | 4/8/2022 3:20 PM |
| 85 | UNACCEPTABLE ANSWER OPTIONS PRESENTED ALL HAVE THE PREMISE OF SUBSUMING THE PARISHES TO SOME DEGREE. THE OPTION SELECTED IS THE LEAST WORSE OF THE OPTIONS BUT REMAINS UNACCEPTABLE. Parishes must remain autonomous, governing themselves and accountable to their parishioners. There must be no threat of being subsumed into a new parish or town council. Viability is not an issue as Barrowby does not need to justify its autonomous existence. | 4/8/2022 3:17 PM |
| 86 | UNACCEPTABLE ANSWER OPTIONS PRESENTED ALL HAVE THE PREMISE OF SUBSUMING THE PARISHES TO SOME DEGREE. THE OPTION SELECTED IS THE LEAST WORSE OF THE OPTIONS BUT REMAINS UNACCEPTABLE. Parishes must remain autonomous, governing themselves and accountable to their parishioners. There must be no threat of being subsumed into a new parish or town council. Viability is not an issue as Barrowby does not need to justify its autonomous existence. | 4/8/2022 3:14 PM |
| 87 | Leave they alone... | 4/8/2022 3:05 PM |
| 88 | UNACCEPTABLE ANSWER OPTIONS PRESENTED ALL HAVE THE PREMISE OF SUBSUMING THE PARISHES TO SOME DEGREE. THE OPTION SELECTED IS THE LEAST WORSE OF THE OPTIONS BUT REMAINS UNACCEPTABLE. Parishes must remain autonomous, governing themselves and accountable to their parishioners. There must be no threat of being subsumed into a new parish or town council. Viability is not an issue as Barrowby does not need to justify its autonomous existence. | 4/8/2022 12:54 PM |
| 89 | UNACCEPTABLE ANSWER OPTIONS PRESENTED ALL HAVE THE PREMISE OF SUBSUMING THE PARISHES TO SOME DEGREE. THE OPTION SELECTED IS THE LEAST WORSE OF THE OPTIONS BUT REMAINS UNACCEPTABLE. Parishes must remain autonomous, governing themselves and accountable to their parishioners. There must be no threat of being subsumed into a new parish or town council. Viability is not an issue as Barrowby does not need to justify its autonomous existence. | 4/8/2022 12:51 PM |
| 90 | UNACCEPTABLE ANSWER OPTIONS PRESENTED ALL HAVE THE PREMISE OF SUBSUMING THE PARISHES TO SOME DEGREE. THE OPTION SELECTED IS THE LEAST WORSE OF THE OPTIONS BUT REMAINS UNACCEPTABLE. Parishes must remain autonomous, governing themselves and accountable to their parishioners. There must be no threat of being subsumed into a new parish or town council. Viability is not an issue as Barrowby does not need to justify its autonomous existence. | 4/8/2022 12:49 PM |
| 91 | UNACCEPTABLE ANSWER OPTIONS PRESENTED ALL HAVE THE PREMISE OF SUBSUMING THE PARISHES TO SOME DEGREE. THE OPTION SELECTED IS THE LEAST WORSE OF THE OPTIONS BUT REMAINS UNACCEPTABLE. Parishes must remain autonomous, governing themselves and accountable to their parishioners. There must be no threat of being subsumed into a new parish or town council. Viability is not an issue as Barrowby does not need to justify its autonomous existence. | 4/8/2022 12:45 PM |

Community Governance Review Survey March 2022

| | | |
|-----|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------|
| 92 | UNACCEPTABLE ANSWER OPTIONS PRESENTED ALL HAVE THE PREMISE OF SUBSUMING THE PARISHES TO SOME DEGREE. THE OPTION SELECTED IS THE LEAST WORSE OF THE OPTIONS BUT REMAINS UNACCEPTABLE. Parishes must remain autonomous, governing themselves and accountable to their parishioners. There must be no threat of being subsumed into a new parish or town council. Viability is not an issue as Barrowby does not need to justify its autonomous existence. | 4/8/2022 12:32 PM |
| 93 | UNACCEPTABLE ANSWER OPTIONS PRESENTED ALL HAVE THE PREMISE OF SUBSUMING THE PARISHES TO SOME DEGREE. THE OPTION SELECTED IS THE LEAST WORSE OF THE OPTIONS BUT REMAINS UNACCEPTABLE. Parishes must remain autonomous, governing themselves and accountable to their parishioners. There must be no threat of being subsumed into a new parish or town council. Viability is not an issue as Barrowby does not need to justify its autonomous existence. | 4/8/2022 12:25 PM |
| 94 | If they remain viable units with legitimate democratic governance then these arrangements should continue. If this is not the case a further consultation specifically focusing on that issue should be conducted. | 4/8/2022 12:10 PM |
| 95 | UNACCEPTABLE ANSWER OPTIONS PRESENTED ALL HAVE THE PREMISE OF SUBSUMING THE PARISHES TO SOME DEGREE. THE OPTION SELECTED IS THE LEAST WORSE OF THE OPTIONS BUT REMAINS UNACCEPTABLE. Parishes must remain autonomous, governing themselves and accountable to their parishioners. There must be no threat of being subsumed into a new parish or town council. Viability is not an issue as Barrowby does not need to justify its autonomous existence. | 4/8/2022 12:02 PM |
| 96 | UNACCEPTABLE ANSWER OPTIONS PRESENTED ALL HAVE THE PREMISE OF SUBSUMING THE PARISHES TO SOME DEGREE. THE OPTION SELECTED IS THE LEAST WORSE OF THE OPTIONS BUT REMAINS UNACCEPTABLE. Parishes must remain autonomous, governing themselves and accountable to their parishioners. There must be no threat of being subsumed into a new parish or town council. Viability is not an issue as Barrowby does not need to justify its autonomous existence. | 4/8/2022 11:58 AM |
| 97 | This question has two “no” options and only one “yes” option, which feels a deceptive tactic to split the vote between those that do not want Grantham to absorb as much as possible. | 4/8/2022 10:08 AM |
| 98 | UNACCEPTABLE ANSWER OPTIONS PRESENTED ALL HAVE THE PREMISE OF SUBSUMING THE PARISHES TO SOME DEGREE. THE OPTION SELECTED IS THE LEAST WORSE OF THE OPTIONS BUT REMAINS UNACCEPTABLE. Parishes must remain autonomous, governing themselves and accountable to their parishioners. There must be no threat of being subsumed into a new parish or town council. Viability is not an issue as Barrowby does not need to justify its autonomous existence. | 4/8/2022 5:39 AM |
| 99 | UNACCEPTABLE ANSWER OPTIONS PRESENTED ALL HAVE THE PREMISE OF SUBSUMING THE PARISHES TO SOME DEGREE. THE OPTION SELECTED IS THE LEAST WORSE OF THE OPTIONS BUT REMAINS UNACCEPTABLE. Parishes must remain autonomous, governing themselves and accountable to their parishioners. There must be no threat of being subsumed into a new parish or town council. Viability is not an issue as Barrowby does not need to justify its autonomous existence. | 4/7/2022 7:42 PM |
| 100 | UNACCEPTABLE ANSWER OPTIONS PRESENTED ALL HAVE THE PREMISE OF SUBSUMING THE PARISHES TO SOME DEGREE. THE OPTION SELECTED IS THE LEAST WORSE OF THE OPTIONS BUT REMAINS UNACCEPTABLE. Parishes must remain autonomous, governing themselves and accountable to their parishioners. There must be no threat of being subsumed into a new parish or town council. Viability is not an issue as Barrowby does not need to justify its autonomous existence. | 4/7/2022 7:39 PM |
| 101 | UNACCEPTABLE ANSWER OPTIONS PRESENTED ALL HAVE THE PREMISE OF SUBSUMING THE PARISHES TO SOME DEGREE. THE OPTION SELECTED IS THE LEAST WORSE OF THE OPTIONS BUT REMAINS UNACCEPTABLE. Parishes must remain autonomous, governing themselves and accountable to their parishioners. There must be no threat of being subsumed into a new parish or town council. Viability is not an issue as Barrowby does not need to justify its autonomous existence. | 4/7/2022 7:33 PM |
| 102 | UNACCEPTABLE ANSWER OPTIONS PRESENTED ALL HAVE THE PREMISE OF SUBSUMING THE PARISHES TO SOME DEGREE. THE OPTION SELECTED IS THE LEAST WORSE OF THE OPTIONS BUT REMAINS UNACCEPTABLE. Parishes must remain autonomous, governing themselves and accountable to their parishioners. There must be no | 4/7/2022 7:28 PM |

Community Governance Review Survey March 2022

threat of being subsumed into a new parish or town council. Viability is not an issue as Barrowby does not need to justify its autonomous existence.

| | | |
|-----|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------|
| 103 | UNACCEPTABLE ANSWER OPTIONS PRESENTED ALL HAVE THE PREMISE OF SUBSUMING THE PARISHES TO SOME DEGREE. THE OPTION SELECTED IS THE LEAST WORSE OF THE OPTIONS BUT REMAINS UNACCEPTABLE. Parishes must remain autonomous, governing themselves and accountable to their parishioners. There must be no threat of being subsumed into a new parish or town council. Viability is not an issue as Barrowby does not need to justify its autonomous existence. | 4/7/2022 7:23 PM |
| 104 | UNACCEPTABLE ANSWER OPTIONS PRESENTED ALL HAVE THE PREMISE OF SUBSUMING THE PARISHES TO SOME DEGREE. THE OPTION SELECTED IS THE LEAST WORSE OF THE OPTIONS BUT REMAINS UNACCEPTABLE. Parishes must remain autonomous, governing themselves and accountable to their parishioners. There must be no threat of being subsumed into a new parish or town council. Viability is not an issue as Barrowby does not need to justify its autonomous existence. | 4/7/2022 7:14 PM |
| 105 | Belton & Londonthorpe | 4/7/2022 4:25 PM |
| 106 | UNACCEPTABLE ANSWER OPTIONS PRESENTED ALL HAVE THE PEMISE OF SUBSUMING THE PARISHES TO SOME DEGREE. THE OPTION SELECTED IS THE LEAST WORSE OF THE OPTIONS BUT REMAINS UNACCEPTABLE. Parishes must remain autonomous, governing themselves and accountable to their parishioners. There must be no threat of being subsumed into a new parish or town council. Viability is not an issue as Barrowby does not need to justify its autonomous existence. | 4/7/2022 3:38 PM |
| 107 | UNACCEPTABLE ANSWER OPTIONS PRESENTED ALL HAVE THE PEMISE OF SUBSUMING THE PARISHES TO SOME DEGREE. THE OPTION SELECTED IS THE LEAST WORSE OF THE OPTIONS BUT REMAINS UNACCEPTABLE. Parishes must remain autonomous, governing themselves and accountable to their parishioners. There must be no threat of being subsumed into a new parish or town council. Viability is not an issue as Barrowby does not need to justify its autonomous existence. | 4/7/2022 3:22 PM |
| 108 | UNACCEPTABLE ANSWER OPTIONS PRESENTED ALL HAVE THE PEMISE OF SUBSUMING THE PARISHES TO SOME DEGREE. THE OPTION SELECTED IS THE LEAST WORSE OF THE OPTIONS BUT REMAINS UNACCEPTABLE. Parishes must remain autonomous, governing themselves and accountable to their parishioners. There must be no threat of being subsumed into a new parish or town council. Viability is not an issue as Barrowby does not need to justify its autonomous existence. | 4/7/2022 12:50 PM |
| 109 | Existing rural parishes should be retained and enhanced - and (if Grantham residents use the services of the rural parishes), those parishes should have part of the precept allocated to those parishes. | 4/7/2022 11:50 AM |
| 110 | No "If viable". They should remain as they are, full stop. | 4/6/2022 4:02 PM |
| 111 | By remaining as they are, one probably achieves greater uniformity and continuity. Absorbing them into greater or different areas would lose the autonomy and sense of control that these residents have. | 4/6/2022 2:11 PM |
| 112 | Do not agree with having a town or parish council | 4/6/2022 9:46 AM |
| 113 | It would be up to individual parishes if they wish to be absorbed into Grantham or merge with other surrounding parishes. I can only answer as a Grantham resident | 4/5/2022 7:28 PM |
| 114 | This is a question for the current residents and not something that residents of the unparished area should decide for them. | 4/5/2022 6:55 PM |
| 115 | Unnecessary costs | 4/5/2022 10:41 AM |
| 116 | Councils or quangos are a job creating public financed ineffectual waste | 4/5/2022 10:28 AM |
| 117 | Most of the outlying parishes issues are on bigger scales such as public transport social services and policing which is a county matter. We should have no say about their village green, or events, those issues should remain local to their own parish. | 4/5/2022 10:27 AM |
| 118 | See Q1 | 4/5/2022 10:24 AM |
| 119 | This is a non starter! | 4/5/2022 10:19 AM |

Community Governance Review Survey March 2022

| | | |
|-----|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------|
| 120 | Parish councils should continue in their current form | 4/4/2022 3:58 PM |
| 121 | The current parish councils should not be touched | 4/4/2022 3:19 PM |
| 122 | I think one area united in sharing out area is better than divided groups. | 4/4/2022 2:27 PM |
| 123 | Not applicable as said. No need for change in boudaries | 4/4/2022 2:20 PM |
| 124 | Leave as is | 4/4/2022 2:08 PM |
| 125 | Again, this is a matter for the electorate of the existing parishes | 4/4/2022 11:43 AM |
| 126 | It is important that small rural areas maintain a voice of their own. | 4/4/2022 11:04 AM |
| 127 | Subject to local views but shouldn't jeopardise the overall strategy. | 4/3/2022 5:07 PM |
| 128 | I think there needs to be an opportunity for all to voice their concerns whether individually or a group eg a particular village or parish along with the different parishes within Grantham | 4/3/2022 4:44 PM |
| 129 | Large villages such as Gonerby or Harlaxton could be self sufficient. Merge tiny villages such as Londonthorpe, Harrowby & Belton into a viable area e.g. rural north east | 4/3/2022 4:13 PM |
| 130 | Subject to local views but should not jeopardise overall "Town Council" strategy. | 4/3/2022 2:09 PM |
| 131 | Villages that are not joined onto Grantham should keep their own Parish Council. The people who live in the villages do not want Councillors of Grantham to tell them what to do with their village. | 4/3/2022 10:42 AM |
| 132 | Works well without megalomaniacs messing it up. | 4/3/2022 10:36 AM |
| 133 | A cohesive strategy for all of the town should be set up. | 4/3/2022 9:41 AM |
| 134 | n/a | 4/1/2022 4:02 PM |
| 135 | The current local parish councils work very effectively in the communities in which they live. Why change something that works for a more remote organisation? | 4/1/2022 1:13 PM |
| 136 | More cost and red tape | 4/1/2022 9:29 AM |
| 137 | Parish Councils need to reflect their community - it is their sole purpose. Size is only a reflection of the community. Nothing in this consultation suggests that Great Gonerby Parish Council should cease to exist. Quite the opposite - a new Grantham Town Council will not properly reflect the smaller communities around the town, who wuld get swamped by the sizeof the Town Council | 3/31/2022 11:17 PM |
| 138 | Efforts should be made to combine and make the most cost effective and sustainable single council | 3/31/2022 5:19 PM |
| 139 | In an effort to preserve some history as much as possible should be left alone. | 3/31/2022 5:04 PM |
| 140 | If they remain they keep more representation. | 3/31/2022 3:13 PM |
| 141 | Representation for each and every area should be provided on the town council so that individual parish interests can also be served. | 3/31/2022 1:35 PM |
| 142 | It's clear that smaller parishes can provide more local focus and better services | 3/30/2022 8:23 PM |
| 143 | There is no indication that any of the Parish Councils surrounding the Grantham town area are unviable and therefore NO REQUIREMENT for a change | 3/30/2022 1:33 PM |
| 144 | As already stated | 3/30/2022 1:02 PM |
| 145 | Again this needs to be carefully considered but it would make sense to merge into one town council as new build homes are created to fill the gaps | 3/30/2022 11:45 AM |
| 146 | If no costly keep them in separate parishes, however, if it is more cost effective to absorb all of them, then do that. But where does the line stop, how far outside the immediate area of Grantham do you go? | 3/30/2022 11:28 AM |
| 147 | should be all one | 3/30/2022 11:15 AM |
| 148 | I have answered the first part but now they must get their act together and do some of these really bad repairs which need doing badly ie the pot holes on our roads= Victoria St and | 3/30/2022 11:11 AM |

Community Governance Review Survey March 2022

| | Stamford St etc | |
|-----|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------|
| 149 | Of course | 3/30/2022 11:06 AM |
| 150 | again answered in Q2! | 3/30/2022 11:01 AM |
| 151 | See answer to Q3 | 3/30/2022 10:40 AM |
| 152 | I dont believe there are any unparished areas for example Barrowby parish goes all the way to the Grantham sign on the A52 officially, even though it is not within the Barrowby sign | 3/30/2022 10:35 AM |
| 153 | This depends on distance from the other included areas as they may want to be part of another council. Maybe after this council has been embedded, this could be looked at with surveys sent to those homes etc | 3/30/2022 10:30 AM |
| 154 | absorbing too many rural areas will make the town council unwieldy | 3/30/2022 9:21 AM |
| 155 | Either part of town, reminder formed into bigger parish | 3/29/2022 5:49 PM |
| 156 | Those rural areas should be grouped with nearby, comparable, rural areas. | 3/29/2022 4:37 PM |
| 157 | To retain Barrowby's ethos and separate from Grantham, not the latters suburb | 3/29/2022 3:52 PM |
| 158 | Would the wider areas form part of other neighbouring parishes to give more powers to use services appropriately? | 3/29/2022 3:34 PM |
| 159 | For local accountability | 3/29/2022 10:46 AM |
| 160 | Local knowledge of the residents in every area is essential. Only the local person knows the history and workings of their particular area. It should be all inclusive encouraging parish councils to work together in areas where this is beneficial. | 3/28/2022 5:11 PM |
| 161 | Stay as they are within the smaller parish/village councils | 3/28/2022 1:52 PM |
| 162 | The system needs a complete overhaul not just a sticking plaster with some councils having very low precepts and corresponding levels of service to others with a higher precept and larger costs | 3/28/2022 1:17 PM |
| 163 | A few of the surrounding villages are quite attractive but funding for extra shribs and floweres etc wouldnt be amiss | 3/28/2022 12:14 PM |
| 164 | No, there is enough bureaucracy already. | 3/28/2022 11:55 AM |
| 165 | Each parish should have representation on any new council. | 3/27/2022 3:44 PM |
| 166 | Again I am not qualified to make a comment | 3/27/2022 12:50 PM |
| 167 | This should depend on what the people who live in the remaining parts of those parishes would prefer | 3/24/2022 7:01 PM |
| 168 | This is because the areas ive mentioned previously form the biggest part of Londonthorpe & Harrowby Without parish. Without these areas there really is no Londonthorpe & Harrowby Without parish | 3/23/2022 3:34 PM |
| 169 | the best way would to ask the people of these parishes. | 3/23/2022 11:03 AM |
| 170 | Pointless exercise as waste of money | 3/22/2022 5:33 PM |
| 171 | People living in these areas wish to stay where they live, not Grantham. Grantham is a town not a city | 3/22/2022 4:49 PM |
| 172 | I only say dont know because i dont know. It sounds good for those invoved but will it be? | 3/22/2022 4:37 PM |
| 173 | Local democracy at it`s best within our parish council. | 3/22/2022 2:45 PM |
| 174 | Any changes should be made by people who have knowledge of how it would work | 3/22/2022 1:56 PM |
| 175 | I hope no parished areas will be rearranged as part of this project | 3/22/2022 1:42 PM |
| 176 | stop change for changes sake | 3/22/2022 12:10 PM |
| 177 | They use all our amenities | 3/22/2022 12:04 PM |
| 178 | As Grantham has expanded some parishes have lost the distinctive identity. Others (such as | 3/22/2022 11:52 AM |

Community Governance Review Survey March 2022

Gt Gonerby and Barrowby) still have a distinct identity although housing reaches them from Grantham.


| | | |
|-----|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------|
| 179 | Not applicable. See comment to q2. | 3/22/2022 11:08 AM |
| 180 | include areas if needed | 3/22/2022 10:43 AM |
| 181 | I think it is only a matter of time until the villages of Great Gonerby and Barrowby become part of the Grantham conurbation. Perhaps this could be recognised now by expanding Barrowby Gate Ward west of the A1 to Barrowby and Arnoldfield Ward out to Great Gonnerby. The much enlarged Arnoldfield Ward would then need to be split in two, one ward south of the railway line (Poplar?) and the other ward north of it (Arnoldfield). | 3/21/2022 3:49 PM |
| 182 | Financial proof must be obtained of the costs and benefits but the cost of doing so in the present financially constrained times would be a further unwelcome burden on council tax-payers. | 3/21/2022 11:54 AM |
| 183 | Any future growth needs to have these areas to develop into | 3/20/2022 5:38 PM |
| 184 | The existing layers of councils should work better - yet more cost and waste really should not be necessary to suit political ego's | 3/20/2022 1:07 PM |
| 185 | We have enough and I firmly believe they should be dissolved like skdc | 3/19/2022 10:02 PM |
| 186 | The town council should not take areas that already has representation. This 'what if' question appears to presume that the decision has already been made. | 3/19/2022 1:18 PM |
| 187 | This whole idea tracks as a means of adding another layer of bureaucracy at the tax payers expense. The town is on its knees and I don't see how adding in this extra layer will help. The plan for Grantham appears to be to chuck in a load more houses and hope for the best. I'd love to know what employment opportunities are being created to support all the new people moving into the area. There aren't exactly many opportunities currently. | 3/19/2022 8:25 AM |
| 188 | Don't do it!! | 3/19/2022 8:17 AM |
| 189 | If there are enough interested people to run them, great otherwise merger with the next local viable group | 3/18/2022 3:56 PM |
| 190 | As before | 3/18/2022 1:30 PM |
| 191 | If viable why change? " If it a'int broke, don't try to fix it" | 3/18/2022 7:11 AM |
| 192 | See earlier comment. | 3/17/2022 6:19 PM |
| 193 | Grantham should be treated as one large parish, this offers cost savings and will ensure that different vested interests are overcome for the good of the whole wider town area. | 3/17/2022 3:24 PM |
| 194 | It is important to have local parish councils as well as a Town Council to allow for more open discussion | 3/17/2022 12:55 PM |
| 195 | NO COMMENT I DISAGREE WITH THE PROPOSAL | 3/17/2022 12:26 PM |
| 196 | To save bureaucracy and cost. | 3/17/2022 11:41 AM |
| 197 | Not in favour of any changes | 3/17/2022 10:44 AM |
| 198 | I believe further research should be made into this to identify the best possibility for each one of them to be put in place. | 3/17/2022 8:13 AM |
| 199 | Should use proportional representation which Grantham doesnt have at the moment | 3/16/2022 4:19 PM |
| 200 | Smaller parish councils are more aware of problems in there area. | 3/16/2022 3:31 PM |
| 201 | Leave them as they are | 3/16/2022 3:11 PM |
| 202 | There is no need to have different types of councils scattered around such a small area like Grantham. | 3/16/2022 2:37 PM |
| 203 | Don't care | 3/16/2022 2:29 PM |
| 204 | Outer areas are of different demographics and need tailored councils | 3/16/2022 12:48 PM |
| 205 | Leave parish Councils alone, they serve locals much much better than SKDC | 3/16/2022 12:45 PM |

Community Governance Review Survey March 2022

| | | |
|-----|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------|
| 206 | I am unable to advise as to how you should sort out the mess that would be created should you go ahead with this project. Leave things alone - if it ain't broke..... | 3/16/2022 12:05 PM |
| 207 | They seem to have been managing very well without Grantham overview. | 3/16/2022 11:22 AM |
| 208 | Put all your eggs in one basket. | 3/16/2022 10:23 AM |
| 209 | None | 3/16/2022 9:30 AM |
| 210 | Absorb. Simplify. | 3/16/2022 8:46 AM |
| 211 | This question sounds a lot like empire building subsuming existing parishes is all about gaining their budgets | 3/15/2022 8:20 PM |
| 212 | Villagers may want to maintain their rural identity but the decision should be based on viability of the ward based on the number of people living in the area (or planned to be built in the future) | 3/15/2022 6:51 PM |
| 213 | Every district should have their own | 3/15/2022 4:34 PM |
| 214 | This needs to include an element of self-determination for residents in existing Parishes covered by Councils. | 3/15/2022 4:27 PM |
| 215 | What are the pros and cons of each? | 3/15/2022 3:14 PM |
| 216 | They should remain as smaller parishes to ensure the integrity of the villages they serve. | 3/15/2022 2:09 PM |
| 217 | These scenarios do not include an option to remain under the current arrangements. | 3/13/2022 11:36 PM |
| 218 | Simply not a viable proposition. Stop wasting money. | 3/12/2022 9:37 AM |
| 219 | Urban parts of surrounding parishes should only be included in a Grantham Borough if they are within walking distance (I suggest one mile from the town centre). | 3/11/2022 9:52 PM |
| 220 | Honestly don't see the point of them. It would be interesting to know how many people attend the current parish Council meetings, as I bet it isn't many! | 3/11/2022 6:23 PM |
| 221 | Not need for small stand alone | 3/11/2022 10:38 AM |

Q7 If a new parish or town council is established for Grantham, how should it be divided into wards for the purposes of elections?

Answered: 1,239 Skipped: 244



| ANSWER CHOICES | RESPONSES | |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------|--------------|
| Seven wards based on the Grantham district ward boundaries as far as possible | 64.08% | 794 |
| Other warding arrangements – please provide details below | 4.84% | 60 |
| Don't know | 31.07% | 385 |
| TOTAL | | 1,239 |

| # | IF YOU THINK THERE SHOULD BE DIFFERENT WARDING ARRANGEMENTS, PLEASE USE THE SPACE BELOW TO TELL US WHAT THESE SHOULD BE | DATE |
|---|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------|
| 1 | a new beginnign whihc provides opportunity for community involvement. Wards which are open for individuals to share cocenrs with regard to issues planning, environment, safety. working to rpresent the needs of now and future occupants and electors of town council members. | 4/25/2022 4:03 PM |
| 2 | I dont have sufficient knowledge of the warding arrangements and workings to comment on this question | 4/25/2022 2:59 PM |
| 3 | Pointless! | 4/25/2022 2:48 PM |
| 4 | I think things should stay as they are. | 4/25/2022 2:44 PM |
| 5 | create additional wards because the new areas have individual identities | 4/25/2022 2:21 PM |
| 6 | A system seems to be in place. Leave it alone unless/until it would be advantageous to them to change in the future. | 4/25/2022 10:06 AM |
| 7 | Needs to be smaller - not with shared councillor arrangements. I need to know who my local councillor is! | 4/25/2022 9:20 AM |
| 8 | Consider new ward to cover Londonthorpe and Harrowby areas within the new Town Council boundary | 4/23/2022 8:25 AM |
| 9 | Keep 7 district wards, but amend as follows:- S14/3260 to be included in St Wulfram's ward; S08/1231, S14/3571 & S14/2935 to be included in Barrowby Gate ward, along with GR3-H2 & GR3-H3 when developed; pink area to west of railway line to be included in Springfield ward; | 4/22/2022 9:31 PM |

Community Governance Review Survey March 2022

GR3-H1 & GR3-H4 to be included in St Vincent's ward when developed; housing to north & east of Harrowby ward to be included in it.

| | | |
|----|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------|
| 10 | Again this is a question that should be answered by Grantham residents. | 4/22/2022 2:41 PM |
| 11 | I would choose to retain the majority of the existing wards, but: - Create a new ward for the residences in the new developments presently in Arnoldfield and Barrowby Gate wards that are North of Barrowby Road and West of the railway line, and possibly include the adjacent existing housing in Barrowby Gate the north side of Barrowby Road - Create new ward/s for the areas of planned developments GR3-H1 and GR3-H4 as those developments are completed and become populated - Absorb other adjacent-parish urban areas into their adjacent existing wards | 4/22/2022 2:02 PM |
| 12 | I think it would be better if wards represented areas with common character and interests. For example, all of the new development North of Barrowby Road should be represented by one ward, rather than being covered by multiple wards that are also representing the interests of people living in quite different housing and landscapes. | 4/22/2022 11:56 AM |
| 13 | Not Applicable - as per Q2. | 4/22/2022 10:44 AM |
| 14 | Don't see the point of confusing matters by redrawing lines. | 4/21/2022 9:48 PM |
| 15 | The ward boundaries are already there, and are not due to be reviewed until the 2030s. Different boundaries is extra bureaucracy. Keeping it the same means that it is easier to conduct the elections, and more people will know the ward where they live. | 4/21/2022 6:11 PM |
| 16 | am against whole idea. its just job creation to be paid for by residents | 4/21/2022 4:52 PM |
| 17 | I would think that separate wards should serve the new areas/ parishes delineated on the map so Belton & Manthorpe etc | 4/21/2022 4:48 PM |
| 18 | This should also include wards for the surrounding areas where applicable | 4/21/2022 3:37 PM |
| 19 | Include the sevens wards within Grantham with the addition of wards which represent those from surrounding areas where parts of their parish are included in Grantham. | 4/21/2022 3:25 PM |
| 20 | It is not for us to determine the issues of Grantham for Grantham residents. Ask Grantham residents. | 4/21/2022 2:08 PM |
| 21 | Again waste of money | 4/21/2022 1:14 PM |
| 22 | Different areas of the town with particular needs and demographic should be represented in the same way as they are in the SKDC elections. | 4/20/2022 2:01 PM |
| 23 | Do parish councils have by-elections? I dont know. but if they do its unnecessary. The less elections the better. so keep the status of the current wards as is if possible to do so | 4/20/2022 9:21 AM |
| 24 | When filling in electoral arrangements and opting for non disclosure then please make it so. Information should not be passed on!!! | 4/20/2022 9:08 AM |
| 25 | Make each ward in Grantham to have approx equal number of properties - including those proposed in the Local Plan | 4/20/2022 9:00 AM |
| 26 | I've only lived in Grantham a short time so i can only answer by saying retain the current status. | 4/20/2022 8:50 AM |
| 27 | New wards should be created. There is too much stigma attached to the existing wards - posh Barrowby Gate, struggling Earlsfield etc. Springfield seems to small to exist as a separate ward. | 4/19/2022 4:30 PM |
| 28 | With councillors being elected for each ward, there is the ability for the community of each ward to target their specific councillor for any problems rather than dealing with any of the councillors who may not know the history. | 4/19/2022 3:46 PM |
| 29 | As fewer wards as possible. Unite the area. | 4/19/2022 2:58 PM |
| 30 | What a waste of time and money. leave things as they are. | 4/19/2022 2:27 PM |
| 31 | Eighth wards are required, the existing 7 places the areas numbered GR3 H1 and H4. Areas GR3 -H2 and H3 should be in the Arnoldfield ward. Areas s14 should be in the Barrowby Gate ward with the A1 acting as a boundary line | 4/19/2022 12:50 PM |

Community Governance Review Survey March 2022

| | | |
|----|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------|
| 32 | To keep it simple and in line with current arrangements | 4/19/2022 12:29 PM |
| 33 | This is most sensible, although if not viable (ie unless council tax rises) then thought and consideration should be made to look at other warding arrangements better reflected in low costs vs what is required. | 4/19/2022 12:26 PM |
| 34 | This question has been answered already in this survey I WANT BARROWBY TO REMAIN AS IT CURRENTLY IS. This is a blatant attempt at destroying the village. | 4/19/2022 11:56 AM |
| 35 | See comment above | 4/19/2022 9:40 AM |
| 36 | I don't feel qualified to express a view on how to organise Grantham elections. I am not aware of any problems caused by the current arrangements. "If it ain't broke, don't try to fix it." | 4/18/2022 10:37 PM |
| 37 | Existing outlying parishes to be allocated their own wards. | 4/18/2022 1:17 PM |
| 38 | The information pack is silent on the complexity of changing existing district ward boundaries, if that became necessary. But the Town Council should have a ward structure which better reflects the new extent and patterns of existing and planned urban, social and economic activity in Grantham. At the same time, levels of representation should respect comparative levels of population and activity across the area. Either way, all of the ward boundaries would need to be reviewed to bring together adjacent areas currently divided under SKDC. {I note that Bourne and Stamford Town Councils have roughly 1 councillor per 1,000 of population (Market Deeping has nearer 1 per 500). The equivalent in Grantham would produce a council of more than 40 members, which would be excessive - half that number should be more than enough.} | 4/18/2022 12:35 PM |
| 39 | Wards should be formed to provide an equitable distribution of councillors within Grantham, based on the shape and size of Grantham. The basis should be local identity at the micro-community level, subdividing existing wards rather than trying to establish multi-member wards to make the numbers work. | 4/17/2022 3:31 PM |
| 40 | If voting per ward then new wards sep votes. | 4/16/2022 3:06 PM |
| 41 | This keeps things as simple as possible, in line with the current warding arrangements. | 4/16/2022 1:22 PM |
| 42 | (see previous comments) | 4/14/2022 5:18 PM |
| 43 | Why should I think that if a new Town Council is established the area should be divided into wards, surely these are already in existence but they are called PARISHES. | 4/13/2022 7:09 PM |
| 44 | I am not aware that there are currently any problems and feel that as we have expressed a wish to remain with our Parish Council, that answers the question. Why would we wish to change something that works? | 4/13/2022 4:25 PM |
| 45 | I do not know anything about the workings of the different wards of Grantham as I don't live there , so do not feel I am not in any position to vote on this | 4/13/2022 2:16 PM |
| 46 | Don't want to be in a parish | 4/12/2022 6:03 PM |
| 47 | The existing 7 wards should be used but the new developments in Londonthorpe and Harrowby Without parish might need an additional ward, as also may the new developments in Great Gonerby Parish and Grantham Arnoldfield Ward | 4/12/2022 4:00 PM |
| 48 | There should be no new additional council. | 4/12/2022 1:29 PM |
| 49 | The seven wards are a basis but the actual population of each ward needs considering so that each ward has a similar head count | 4/12/2022 12:39 PM |
| 50 | To keep it simple and in line with current arrangements | 4/12/2022 12:32 PM |
| 51 | What do other towns do? On the one hand the requirements of the town centre itself are unique and could have its own ward. On the other hand wards based on current district wards is more comprehensible | 4/12/2022 12:14 PM |
| 52 | Has it been made so complicated that people find it difficult to understand so won't vote ? | 4/11/2022 9:19 PM |
| 53 | There should be more smaller wards to make it as democratic as possible. Or there should be one multi member ward using proportional representation or a hybrid system. | 4/11/2022 5:40 PM |
| 54 | Warding arrangements would be dependent on the wider areas that are or aren't absorbed. To state 7 ward boundaries based on the Grantham district ward boundaries presupposes the | 4/11/2022 4:24 PM |

Community Governance Review Survey March 2022

| | | |
|----|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------|
| | outcome of the consultation in terms of considering the wider area. | |
| 55 | I refer you to the answer I gave at Question1. | 4/11/2022 3:48 PM |
| 56 | Number of Wards should increase to acknowledge the enlargement of the Grantham urban area. | 4/11/2022 3:09 PM |
| 57 | Keep the SKDC. No more councillors are needed. | 4/11/2022 9:15 AM |
| 58 | Seven wards but incorporating any new areas attached to the proposals | 4/11/2022 8:58 AM |
| 59 | The present existing parishes that would be included in the new town council should have their own ward. | 4/9/2022 1:01 PM |
| 60 | 10-12 wards, so that there is a better representation of voices to form a balanced council with reduced individual workload. | 4/9/2022 8:21 AM |
| 61 | Why reinvent the already working map? | 4/8/2022 5:15 PM |
| 62 | They don't need to change... | 4/8/2022 3:08 PM |
| 63 | Divide in to wards of approximately the same size in terms of residents living in each area, allow a provision to adjust in the future to accommodate the planned development areas. | 4/8/2022 2:51 PM |
| 64 | The new wards should take account of any extension of the area of the new town council outwith the existing boundaries to ensure as far as possible each vote cast carries equal weight in the election of councillors. | 4/8/2022 12:18 PM |
| 65 | Do not create a new parish or town council. If one is created it must be restricted / limited to the existing unparished area boundary, with no further expansionist attempts. | 4/8/2022 5:42 AM |
| 66 | Stay out of existing parishes | 4/7/2022 7:24 PM |
| 67 | Stay out of the existing parishes | 4/7/2022 7:18 PM |
| 68 | As with Grantham's current ward arrangements each new engulfed parish should consist of a number of wards. | 4/7/2022 5:32 PM |
| 69 | Stay out of the existing parishes | 4/7/2022 3:42 PM |
| 70 | Stay out of the existing parishes. | 4/7/2022 3:25 PM |
| 71 | Do not create a new parish or town council. If one is created it must be restricted / limited to the existing unparished area boundary, with no further expansionist attempts. | 4/7/2022 1:00 PM |
| 72 | There should not be a new parish or town council for Grantham. | 4/6/2022 4:04 PM |
| 73 | Providing the existing ward system works, (and I have no idea whether it works well or not at all), it is wise not to change it. | 4/6/2022 2:16 PM |
| 74 | Do not agree that there should be a new parish or Town Council | 4/6/2022 9:47 AM |
| 75 | Unnecessary costs | 4/5/2022 10:43 AM |
| 76 | The status quo will always suit a minority. | 4/5/2022 10:30 AM |
| 77 | See Q1 | 4/5/2022 10:25 AM |
| 78 | Ditto above! | 4/5/2022 10:20 AM |
| 79 | There would be no need to create different ward boundaries if the only additions are the relatively small numbers of electors noted in Q2. This would make an insignificant difference to the ward electorate. | 4/4/2022 6:04 PM |
| 80 | No extra wards too many councillors already if expanding areas | 4/4/2022 4:42 PM |
| 81 | why re-invent the wheel? | 4/4/2022 4:00 PM |
| 82 | To maintain same as what they are now | 4/4/2022 2:22 PM |
| 83 | None of the above. leave as is. | 4/4/2022 2:09 PM |
| 84 | Subject to local views but shouldn't jeopardise the overall strategy. | 4/3/2022 5:22 PM |

Community Governance Review Survey March 2022

| | | |
|-----|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------|
| 85 | The council should consider not having wards but elect all to work for all. Proportional representation could be used to elect the councillors and then a draw to determine which parish has which councillors drawn up. | 4/3/2022 4:49 PM |
| 86 | In the main they could stay as they , but extend to reach out & incorporate the urban parts/developments from the edges. But the 2 massive developments GR3-H1 & H4 could form a new ward - Spittlegate Ward possibly? | 4/3/2022 4:16 PM |
| 87 | Subject to local views but should not jeopardise overall "Town Council" strategy. | 4/3/2022 2:11 PM |
| 88 | One ward, we have enough councillors already | 4/3/2022 10:38 AM |
| 89 | n/a | 4/1/2022 4:03 PM |
| 90 | Leave alone to avoid more cost and red tape | 4/1/2022 9:30 AM |
| 91 | If wards are required to provide adequate representation then It is suggested that only 4 Town Council wards are required | 3/31/2022 11:20 PM |
| 92 | No need to change for the sake of change. No extra cost incurred | 3/31/2022 5:17 PM |
| 93 | Keep it straightforward. | 3/31/2022 3:14 PM |
| 94 | Stay with the existing 7 wards we don't want you in Barrowby | 3/30/2022 8:25 PM |
| 95 | Don't make it too fragmented. | 3/30/2022 3:29 PM |
| 96 | There is NO REQUIREMENT for a Grantham Town/Parish Council - this is not reflected in the choices available at Q5 | 3/30/2022 1:37 PM |
| 97 | North, South, East, West seems to be the most logical. | 3/30/2022 11:31 AM |
| 98 | in to wards | 3/30/2022 10:56 AM |
| 99 | An odd number of wards (possibly still 7) with each having an equal number of electors | 3/30/2022 10:42 AM |
| 100 | It should be in one electoral ward | 3/30/2022 10:35 AM |
| 101 | Thats up to residents living in Grantham | 3/29/2022 3:53 PM |
| 102 | Perhaps the unparished areas (currently unparished) eg Gonerby hill Foot should become wards in their own right, thus increasing the number of wards. No doubt an exercise in calculating the populations of these areas could lead to producing new wards, including latering existing wards, to produce a new ward structure of roughly equal populations per ward. | 3/29/2022 3:30 PM |
| 103 | I think this would be best | 3/28/2022 5:13 PM |
| 104 | All new areas work together as a coalition to work for best interests of all giving a balance service to all residents. Take turns to gradually expand best practise etc to other wards | 3/28/2022 2:05 PM |
| 105 | council to consist of members residing within the boundaries of the new area (within 2 mile radius of town hall) | 3/28/2022 1:56 PM |
| 106 | we dont need a town council | 3/28/2022 1:21 PM |
| 107 | No, there is enough bureaucracy already. | 3/28/2022 12:01 PM |
| 108 | retain current ward boundaries | 3/28/2022 11:59 AM |
| 109 | Sounds sensible but no strong views | 3/28/2022 11:23 AM |
| 110 | We don't want anything setting up that will cost money | 3/28/2022 10:33 AM |
| 111 | I am unable to answer this | 3/27/2022 1:03 PM |
| 112 | We already have 15 Councillors, 2 for each area of Grantham. I am not sure why we need 2 for each area or why we need so many for Grantham. I do not think we need anymore Councillors. I have never received any correspondence or have seen any widely distributed through media as to what the Councillor in my area is actually doing for my area. I would like to see information as to how the Councillor is currently governing my area. | 3/25/2022 4:38 PM |
| 113 | This would be the right time to bring Sunningdale Estate, Alma Park Estate, Manthorpe Estate and Village, bridge End Road into the town of Grantham. they are already called part of | 3/23/2022 3:37 PM |

Community Governance Review Survey March 2022

| | | |
|-----|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------|
| | Grantham so new wards could be by how many people live there. | |
| 114 | Cannot decide until you define the boundary lines correctly | 3/22/2022 4:51 PM |
| 115 | Again I dont know the benefits or the pitfalls. But if Grantham Town Council can do a better job, it should | 3/22/2022 4:38 PM |
| 116 | Ward boundaries seem to work. | 3/22/2022 2:45 PM |
| 117 | As Grantham is growing changes will be made to wards (It will not suit everyone) | 3/22/2022 1:57 PM |
| 118 | and a representative of the parish council as well | 3/22/2022 1:50 PM |
| 119 | I've seen that wards based on clearly defined neighbourhoods can achieve good things for those localities | 3/22/2022 1:44 PM |
| 120 | Distinct area of Grantham should have their own representative. | 3/22/2022 11:53 AM |
| 121 | One ward | 3/22/2022 11:49 AM |
| 122 | Not applicable. See comment to Q2. | 3/22/2022 11:10 AM |
| 123 | Leave well alone, a Conservative trick to keep control over Grantham, from the conservative Distict coucil down to SKDC | 3/22/2022 9:25 AM |
| 124 | extended areas are that much bigger population or geographically so existing wards should remain | 3/21/2022 4:30 PM |
| 125 | As noted in previous answers you could expand the existing seven wards and create at least one (Spittlegate) or possibly two new wards (splitting of Arnoldfield in two if Great Gonerby is included). | 3/21/2022 3:50 PM |
| 126 | Only people living in these wards should be put forward for election | 3/21/2022 2:00 PM |
| 127 | See below. | 3/21/2022 12:02 PM |
| 128 | Can't look this far ahead right now | 3/20/2022 5:39 PM |
| 129 | The existing layers of councils should work better - yet more cost and waste really should not be necessary to suit political ego's | 3/20/2022 1:08 PM |
| 130 | No more wards,let's cut them instead | 3/19/2022 10:03 PM |
| 131 | The current Grantham District wards with boundaries adjusted to account for substantive building proposals and a new ward to cover the vast area planned in GR-H1 / H2 which alone would be bigger than any current ward. | 3/19/2022 2:34 PM |
| 132 | It is logical | 3/19/2022 1:19 PM |
| 133 | Should be local people for elections ,for a minimum of six months then if they are deemed trustworthy and not corrupt then to be elected again,should be local people who care about grantham and not just interested in money and using their powers for the bad like some of local governments do | 3/19/2022 11:04 AM |
| 134 | Don't do it - Local Elected Members have a dire reputation already, spectacularly poor decisions such as the Thatcher statue will make the town a laughing stock when it is damaged, why can't we have fewer layers, not more?! | 3/19/2022 8:21 AM |
| 135 | Won't ever vote again, as councillors and politicians cannot be trusted. | 3/18/2022 2:34 PM |
| 136 | But open to change this answer depending on further debate | 3/18/2022 1:32 PM |
| 137 | One ward.. why split it...it drives cost splitting it | 3/18/2022 11:33 AM |
| 138 | NO COMMENT I DISAGREE WITH THE PROPOSAL | 3/17/2022 12:27 PM |
| 139 | As before | 3/17/2022 10:45 AM |
| 140 | Absolutely necessary and be taken into consideration for making the right decisions to related matters. | 3/17/2022 8:22 AM |
| 141 | Divide existing District wards in two. Plus one extra councillor for each area next to the large mass housing developments with a remit to assist the development areas until 2030. | 3/17/2022 1:59 AM |

Community Governance Review Survey March 2022

| | | |
|-----|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------|
| 142 | Other warding based on forecast population per ward | 3/16/2022 5:14 PM |
| 143 | I believe it is absolutely essential that the council should be formed with 20 councillors representing a mix of one, two and three member wards (with a preference for smaller wards of one member where possible) and these wards must be reviewed every 8 years to ensure the number of electors per councillor is roughly the same across the board given the rapid growth of the town over the last 40 years. Current district wards and county divisions should not be taken into account and there is far too great a risk that wards following current boundaries will be dominated by double and even treble hatters and this will put people off standing and undermine confidence in the town council as distinct from the district. | 3/16/2022 4:17 PM |
| 144 | None- leave it as it is | 3/16/2022 3:15 PM |
| 145 | Wards should be created such that they all have around the same number of people living in them. | 3/16/2022 2:43 PM |
| 146 | Why change? Plow the money into road repairs. | 3/16/2022 2:35 PM |
| 147 | Given the increased size of the electorate and to ensure reasonable representation, increase the Ward councillors to 4 per ward. | 3/16/2022 1:42 PM |
| 148 | There is no sense in complicating voting by the introduction of new wards | 3/16/2022 12:37 PM |
| 149 | Barrowby Gate to include all land East of A1 and South of the Nottingham rail line. Arnoldfield to incorporate Great Gonerby. St Wulfrum to include Belton. Harrowby to include adjacent Londonthorpe properties. A new (8th) ward to incorporate GR3-H1 and GR3-H4 as far West as the East Coast Rail Line. Springfiled extended EAst to East Coast Ral Line. | 3/16/2022 11:34 AM |
| 150 | Continue with 7 wards if possible but if numbers too great a new ward could be made for GR3-H1,H4. | 3/16/2022 11:16 AM |
| 151 | Whatever seems appropriate depending on what has happened to local parish councils. Ideally each of those areas should have a representative | 3/16/2022 10:01 AM |
| 152 | None | 3/16/2022 9:31 AM |
| 153 | Ward format is very outdated and totally pointless. If there are 30 people on an election form and you only know 2 that's your choice, a simple document sent out with 1 page per candidate would tell electors everything they need to know. | 3/16/2022 8:50 AM |
| 154 | Massive over complexity when it is not needed | 3/15/2022 8:23 PM |
| 155 | GR3-H1 and GR3-H4 to form a new ward Grantham & Barrowby Gate Ward to include GR3-H2 and GR3-H2 | 3/15/2022 7:02 PM |
| 156 | using the current wards should assist in a smooth transition, however the wards need review if parts of other wards are merged into the existing wards. | 3/15/2022 6:55 PM |
| 157 | Voting within the town council | 3/15/2022 6:38 PM |
| 158 | Wards are perfectly acceptable for the allocation of councillors, but councillors should be elected via a proportional representation system. | 3/15/2022 5:26 PM |
| 159 | Present system works | 3/15/2022 5:15 PM |
| 160 | No more wards | 3/15/2022 4:35 PM |
| 161 | The 7 wards are a good starting point and guide, but this is dependent on the future status of the existing Parishes and the area covered. It may be that additional wards are required for any or all of Barrowby, Harlaxton, Great Gonerby, Little Ponton and Londonthorpe | 3/15/2022 4:30 PM |
| 162 | Based on current wards seem reasonable? | 3/15/2022 3:20 PM |
| 163 | Allow more discrete areas to act as communities with a focus rather than having meaningless wards! | 3/15/2022 1:32 PM |
| 164 | These scenarios do not include a 'remain unchanged' option. | 3/13/2022 11:48 PM |
| 165 | This whole scheme is just a wast of time and money that could be better spent on delivering the services SKDC currently delivers, which seem to decrease in quality in proportion to the increases in council taxes | 3/12/2022 9:39 AM |

Community Governance Review Survey March 2022

| | | |
|-----|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------|
| 166 | Warding arrangements should take account of socioeconomic and geographical factors. Earlesfield estate is a socioeconomic and geographical unit. So is Sunningdale. So is the Norton St /Commercial Rd area. Some areas will be more challenging, especially around the centre, but the basic principle should be to give proper expression to the different voices within the town. | 3/11/2022 10:02 PM |
| 167 | Most Grantham residents are happy with current arrangements. | 3/11/2022 6:24 PM |
| 168 | Split into 4 wards | 3/11/2022 12:22 PM |

Q8 If you have any questions or would like to comment on anything included in this survey, please use the space below:

Answered: 232 Skipped: 1,251

| # | RESPONSES | DATE |
|----|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------|
| 1 | I have found the maps on page 7 unhelpful owing to their printing and size and colour provided in this paper survey | 4/25/2022 4:03 PM |
| 2 | This is all a timewasting exercise. Get the necessary things seen to! | 4/25/2022 2:48 PM |
| 3 | Sometimes things dont need to change | 4/25/2022 2:44 PM |
| 4 | The boundary areas should be considered by those who know more about the broader impact of them ,not just residents and businesses | 4/25/2022 2:36 PM |
| 5 | I am particularly concerned about how the redevelopment of the PWOGB will impact on the town. It cant be hived off because folks who live here who work in the town and do shopping here. Also the redevelopment of the area near the eastern by pass cant be ignored and will have a massive impact on the town | 4/25/2022 2:21 PM |
| 6 | Its important that local residents and businesses should have a voice on issues affecting their town | 4/25/2022 2:10 PM |
| 7 | I am deeply unhappy with the lack of adequate representation from my local SKDC council members. It is far too political to be effective at actually representing local needs and priorities. establishing a proper parish council is LONG overdue and will help to correct this democratic deficit | 4/25/2022 2:08 PM |
| 8 | A grantham town council should resist any moves to a Lincolnshire Unitary Authority. grantham town should stand on its own. The malign influence of Lincoln can be readily seen in lincolns treatment of Grantham Hospital. Grantham town when established needs to stand on its own. the new developments planned for Grantham should enable it to stand up to Lincolnshire and Lincoln pressure We have the A1 and the main line railway. Grantham Hospitalis a top class facility due to its staff!! | 4/25/2022 12:49 PM |
| 9 | If a parish or town council is introduced for Grantham, this should not lead to an increase in costs or council tax increases | 4/25/2022 11:39 AM |
| 10 | Grantham is sited in a unique position nationally- in terms of communications- and really by now ought to have a self governing body rather than a spread out area of different needs and responsibilities | 4/25/2022 11:02 AM |
| 11 | At present Grantham residents wishes are made by either SKDC or LCC and its their decisions which always appear to favour those towns which have their own town councils | 4/25/2022 10:47 AM |
| 12 | The green riverside walk linking the two parks is alright until you reach Bridge End Road but it needs a green paved path to show people to the zebra crossing on Bridge End Road. Locals will know this but not strangers. The market place paving was very costly but is completely souless and without character. the trees in boxes were an attempt to redress this but trees in boxes is not a good idea- evetually the roots will run out of space. they need to be in the ground or they will die! At nearby Newark market place the old ankle twisting cobbles were replaced with a good form of paving whihc embodies and reflects the character of the old cobbles and gives character. | 4/25/2022 10:24 AM |
| 13 | Electoral boundaries are frequently chnage and moved - mainly to even out the numbers of households in the area. They must not of course be changed to favour any politial party! | 4/25/2022 10:06 AM |
| 14 | I wonder whether a set of special efforts needs to be made to engage with local people on this issue- people who are not normally inclined to vote in local elections/ engage in politics etc | 4/25/2022 9:20 AM |
| 15 | Boundaries? Running costs? staff/administration. Bourne are £30 per year each household! Fair! Could have some volunteers!?! Staff from other town councils to be consulted! Positives | 4/25/2022 8:59 AM |

Community Governance Review Survey March 2022

| | | |
|----|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------|
| | and negatives! | |
| 16 | There is no gain for the people of Grantham, this yet another waste of money and time. | 4/22/2022 6:53 PM |
| 17 | I don't think our traffic calming measures should be controlled by someone in an ivory tower in Lincoln with no idea what's happening at the coal face of local businesses. | 4/22/2022 6:35 PM |
| 18 | As I said in Question 1, this household is not located within the unparished area of Grantham. But you haven't made that clear. You've fudged the issue! Deliberately so? And if so, why? It would be interesting to know the REAL reason why this review is being undertaken! Because, you have NOT explained why in the Information leaflet you sent out under "Why is SKDC undertaking this review?" Is it something to do with jealous rivalries within the four towns - and who gets how much out of the central coffers or the number of votes required to get onto some Board or other? | 4/22/2022 6:12 PM |
| 19 | Barrowby is such a lovely village to live in and we would like to keep it as a village with it's own identity. | 4/22/2022 2:41 PM |
| 20 | The survey page online is hard to read with a distracting image behind it and any future consultations would probably benefit from increased participation should the survey be easier to read and complete! | 4/22/2022 2:02 PM |
| 21 | The consultee function in planning and neighbourhood planning, responsibility for play areas and play equipment and events are most important to me and my family. | 4/22/2022 11:56 AM |
| 22 | I believe that the scope of the questions in this survey give too much emphasis on "how" a new council should be implemented rather than a deeper probe into "whether" a new council should be implemented at all. | 4/22/2022 10:44 AM |
| 23 | Is this just another tier of politicians/ councillors or will it be instead of some others? Will Lincolnshire CC actually listen to this council and have a responsibility to act. I feel we are losing all our identity and town eg. hospital etc. and no one can stop it. | 4/21/2022 11:05 PM |
| 24 | There are examples of the Precepts charged in the other towns in SKDC. The responsibility for some services would pass from SKDC to a Grantham Town Council but these functions are already financed through the Council Tax and shouldn't be included in the Precept as requiring additional financing. Unfortunately I believe that many people will look at this as further expense and not look at the benefits. Especially in the current doom and gloom climate. It's not the thing to say when today everybody's opinions are supposed to be valued but I would be tempted just to push it through. You get what you pay for. Today people feel they are owed so much but are not prepared to pay for it. | 4/21/2022 9:48 PM |
| 25 | Sooner is better than later. | 4/21/2022 6:11 PM |
| 26 | What a complete useless and expensive exercise. keeping left wing pen pushers in worthless well paid jobs at residents expense | 4/21/2022 4:52 PM |
| 27 | Ideally, Grantham should be a unitary authority based on its travel-to-work area. Adding an extra layer of bureaucracy is far from ideal, but if that is the only option on the table, it is better than the current state. | 4/21/2022 4:52 PM |
| 28 | I believe very strongly that residents should have as much say as possible in how their local council operates. i hope that if these plans come to fruition this will be facilitated | 4/21/2022 4:48 PM |
| 29 | It is hoped that with a new town council, services can be designed to more closely meet the needs of the residents in the area. | 4/21/2022 3:25 PM |
| 30 | If any proposals arise from this survey, there should be questions asked of specific localities i.e. town for town issues and villages for parish issues. Why have so many residents of Barrowby been denied a questionnaire? Many households did not have one delivered. | 4/21/2022 2:08 PM |
| 31 | Waste of money More red tape More wages Waste of tax payers money More jumped up officials | 4/21/2022 1:14 PM |
| 32 | How much have I paid, as a Council Tax payer in Grantham for this consultation? | 4/21/2022 11:10 AM |
| 33 | I do not want the parish boundaries to be altered. Barrowby should remain a parish with its own parish council. | 4/21/2022 9:29 AM |
| 34 | Grantham has suffered greatly from not having a town council for nearly fifty years it will be a | 4/20/2022 4:29 PM |

Community Governance Review Survey March 2022

| | | |
|----|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------|
| | travesty if it does not get one now. | |
| 35 | Would not want existing villages swallowed up by Grantham town, would prefer arrangements to stay as status quo if this were the consequences of a town council. | 4/20/2022 3:25 PM |
| 36 | Clarification is required over the additional cost of a town council to residents. Presumably additional money would not be required if the town council took over some of the responsibility currently taken on by SKDC. | 4/20/2022 2:01 PM |
| 37 | Why is this happening? Why are we wasting money on this? What is the cost to me? Will my council tax go up? | 4/20/2022 9:55 AM |
| 38 | Parish Council of Lonthorpe & Harrowby totally against. If it went ahead what sort of reimbursement would be paid for their/our assets? Land Etc!!! | 4/20/2022 9:44 AM |
| 39 | totally against the idea i do not as a grantham resident wish to pay more just to have another layer of Bureaucracy! | 4/20/2022 9:31 AM |
| 40 | If there are town councillors elected sincerely hope they can exist alongside SKDC harmoniously but still fighting for the good of Grantham. one can only reflect that a newly formed council could cease the dreadful ruination of some of the old superb buildings in Grantham town. Stamford has kept their wonderful buildings - why didnt Grantham? We need to restore pride in our town | 4/20/2022 9:21 AM |
| 41 | Keep fighting for our hospital. We need it. Can never see a doctor or a policeman unless they are racing round in their cars. can't anyone walk | 4/20/2022 9:08 AM |
| 42 | I'm sure many people will be wondering what the changes are going to cost. I hope people will realise any cost will benefit them. the current situation isnt beneficial to Grantham and its surrounding area. For the future i foresee Grantham will need to upgrade its railway station, possbly moving it to a new modern one. The stranglehold of bridges can be removed to enable Grantham to grow into a more modern town. the railway is a huge asset to Grantham but at the moment its also a millstone round its neck | 4/20/2022 8:50 AM |
| 43 | These comments are my own opinion. It is important costs per household are minimised and I will respect the wishes of our people and keep an open mind when considering all responses in my capacity as a District Councillor who currently represents a Grantham District Ward. | 4/19/2022 5:10 PM |
| 44 | Funding? Nobody has mentioned what this will do to local council bills - but its clear that other places with councils cost more to run. Why is this, what do they do more for the money? | 4/19/2022 4:30 PM |
| 45 | With 20 years of being a aprish councillor (now retired) i know there were limitations to our power. SKDC always had the final say. | 4/19/2022 2:42 PM |
| 46 | A town council would be better suited to finding an appropriate use for the original cottage hospital. its present condition is a disgrace and negates the undoubted efforts of the towns people who financed and built it originally | 4/19/2022 2:38 PM |
| 47 | Grantham to take control of Grantham | 4/19/2022 2:30 PM |
| 48 | You have tried to introduce a town council before. however there was very little support in the past and the position is still the same. There has been no attempt to cost this proposal. I think that frankly that is dishonest! I certainly do not wish to be stuck with hundreds of pounds of additional personal costs per annum for no good reason! | 4/19/2022 2:27 PM |
| 49 | Far too political- sorry | 4/19/2022 1:01 PM |
| 50 | Local Government must be local as it was until the mid 1970's Lincoln is not local to Grantham | 4/19/2022 12:50 PM |
| 51 | I feel very strongly indeed that Grantham should have our onw town council!! | 4/19/2022 12:29 PM |
| 52 | I reiterate, I would welcome a new town council but not at the expense of council tax payers who cant afford an increase. i'd recommend reviewing the situation in a couple of years to see if the costs of livingvs wages has staibilised | 4/19/2022 12:26 PM |
| 53 | Leave us to deal with our own Parish | 4/19/2022 11:56 AM |
| 54 | You need to ensure that any council tax payment on new houses being built is for the parishes in which they are situated and NOT used for Grantham. This does not appear to be happening. Why should the villages have extra people & not have their share of the cost of facilities? | 4/19/2022 11:51 AM |

Community Governance Review Survey March 2022

| | | |
|----|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------|
| 55 | I feel this is a paper exercise so that you can tick the 'we have consulted' box. I do NOT feel informed. There should be practical examples of how a town council would make a difference with an opportunity for Q and A's at an open meeting. | 4/19/2022 9:40 AM |
| 56 | Why are you asking the residents of Grantham town about matters that directly affect people living in surrounding villages. Let village people decide what happens in their village. | 4/18/2022 10:37 PM |
| 57 | The review is long overdue. Without a proper town council the residents of Grantham are being disenfranchised. We strongly urge that this historical anomaly is rectified as soon as possible. | 4/18/2022 1:17 PM |
| 58 | I would have like the information pack to have included more information about the revenue raised for SKDC and for themselves from the three Town Councils compared to unparished Grantham. It would also have been helpful to have information about the administrative arrangements of the existing Town Councils - premises for meetings, staffing, etc. - as a guide to what might be needed if Grantham follows their example. | 4/18/2022 12:35 PM |
| 59 | This is a positive development, and is to be welcomed. I have connections with Barnstaple, where the town council survived the 1974 reforms and is an important lead for local identity that can't be mirrored by the current Charter Trustee structure. | 4/17/2022 3:31 PM |
| 60 | I strongly oppose this proposal and believe it will attract the usual nimby types. If we object can we refuse to pay the precept? | 4/17/2022 8:53 AM |
| 61 | Why change at all? How can you grow without a Hospital with A&E and adequate tpt. | 4/16/2022 3:06 PM |
| 62 | I am delighted that it looks like over 1000 responses will be received by the deadline. | 4/16/2022 1:22 PM |
| 63 | Barrowby should be within it's own parish and separate to Grantham | 4/15/2022 2:01 PM |
| 64 | I would like to leave Barrowby as a separate parish and not to be included into Grantham town | 4/15/2022 1:22 PM |
| 65 | Survey or no survey results are ignored and things will be done anyway regardless of majority view. | 4/14/2022 8:33 PM |
| 66 | Stop. New council not required | 4/14/2022 12:06 PM |
| 67 | I think that if a new Grantham Town Council goes ahead most of the additional money taken from Parish Precepts will go towards employing more councillors who will be more interested in improving parks and facilities within the existing town of Grantham than caring for the existing parishes and urban and rural areas that are very well cared for by the local parish councillors. | 4/13/2022 7:09 PM |
| 68 | It would be interesting to know why a new town council would need to encroach in to current surrounding parish boundaries. Currently there is no explanation as to why you would want to do this. I think a bit more transparency about the real future plans for surrounding parishes is required. The big fear in Barrowby is that a new town council would look to take rural land from current local parish council areas so as to develop the land with less opportunity and power for local villages to object. | 4/13/2022 6:11 PM |
| 69 | This all seems like a bit of EMPIRE BUILDING. In the current situation when we find it difficult to access council staff (rather the lack of human beings) it seems ironic that the excessive bureaucracy /money spending is EVEN BEING CONTEMPLATED. | 4/13/2022 4:25 PM |
| 70 | In no way should the outer village Parish Councils be taken from them | 4/13/2022 2:35 PM |
| 71 | Within a democracy is it legal for people outside an area knowing nothing about the different wards and parish councils to vote on these matters. I feel this survey is completely lacking in thought or information and is been done in a very short time frame | 4/13/2022 2:16 PM |
| 72 | Any future parish or town council for Grantham must be as democratic as possible. The council should follow the principle of Subsidiarity, which holds that social and political issues should be dealt with at the most immediate level that is consistent with their resolution. | 4/13/2022 10:17 AM |
| 73 | The current format of Trustees does nothing for Grantham it appears. | 4/12/2022 7:40 PM |
| 74 | There should be no changes which impose further financial burdens on those who pay local taxes and receive no subsidy. | 4/12/2022 1:29 PM |
| 75 | Presume that if Grantham has a town council there will not be any cost to the town | 4/12/2022 1:11 PM |
| 76 | Ideally i would like to see the town council's responsibilities extended to planning permissions for buildings and alterations to properties. the preservation of the few old and historic buildings | 4/12/2022 12:48 PM |

Community Governance Review Survey March 2022

we have left in the town. i would also like to see the budget for road and pavement taken away from LCC and made the responsibility of the town council

| | | |
|----|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------|
| 77 | I feel very strongly that Grantham should have our own town council | 4/12/2022 12:32 PM |
| 78 | You could have saved some tax payers money by sending this survey out with the council tax demand which arrived the next day | 4/12/2022 12:20 PM |
| 79 | Key considerations for this much needed change to the current situation in Grantham are to ensure that the powers transferred are both meaningful and effective and that the costs presently incurred by county and district authorities are reduced to reflect the decline in their activities; a new council should, therefore, be cost neutral over the precepts raised. I hope this discussion will be open and not frustrated by the vested interests of the current local government bodies. | 4/12/2022 12:14 PM |
| 80 | as an ex councillor with experience at borough, district and county level I believe any new town council would be a total waste of public funds and would not improve services or local democracy. | 4/11/2022 11:12 PM |
| 81 | I refer you to the answer I gave at Question1. | 4/11/2022 3:48 PM |
| 82 | I would have liked the facility to download and keep a copy of my completed survey. | 4/11/2022 3:09 PM |
| 83 | Would these changes mean less money having to be paid to the police commissioners coffers? The money we pay to this keeps going up each year but we never seem to see any benefits or even himself | 4/11/2022 10:21 AM |
| 84 | I think a town council could be good for the town. This is a move forward and can allow the views of the people its supposed to work on behalf of, which in turn allows the rate payers more consideration. The present council/councillors have none or no idea the needs for this town its become stagnant | 4/11/2022 10:12 AM |
| 85 | reduced cost by having only one area for Grantham and district | 4/11/2022 9:31 AM |
| 86 | We should lobby the government for more money for Lincolnshire as a whole. we need more manufacturing. Buying chinese goods only puts english people out of work. Help for young people to get work. more apprenticeships and training. better roads the A1 is a deathtrap. We need three lanes, town is not safe- not at 60 and 80 MPH. More council houses for people to rent. Cheaper parking would also help. £10 a day is too much. No one would use it if they didnt have to | 4/11/2022 9:15 AM |
| 87 | Time to become a town council and represent local people. SKDC and charter trustees have made a real mess. TIME TO CHANGE | 4/11/2022 8:58 AM |
| 88 | Good to be surveyed for this. Good luck whatever the outcome! | 4/8/2022 5:15 PM |
| 89 | This survey is presented with a misleading heading. It is headed with the question 'SHOULD GRANTHAM HAVE A TOWN COUNCIL?' This title belies the content and importance to surrounding parishioners who may have believed that his document / survey were not of concern to them. As a parishioner outside of the unparished Grantham area I initially thought that this survey was none of my concern. There are greater implications included in this survey which I discovered. 1. There is an attempt to shift / redefine existing parish boundaries to the detriment of those parishes. 2. Existing boundaries of Barrowby parish have already been subsumed into the unparished Grantham area, this action must be reversed. 3. There is an attempt to subsume existing parish boundaries into the new parish or town council (Q3 refers). 4. Many parishioners outside of Grantham may have erroneously believed that this survey was not of concern to them. | 4/8/2022 12:27 PM |
| 90 | The introduction of a Grantham Town Council would be a great opportunity to introduce a form of proportional representation for elections. If legally possible this should be a serious consideration. The "First Past The Post" method currently used in elections is seriously flawed method of representing the democratic wishes of the electorate enabling as it does the election of representatives with a minority of the entire vote leaving the majority unrepresented. | 4/8/2022 12:18 PM |
| 91 | This survey is presented with a misleading heading. It is headed with the question 'SHOULD GRANTHAM HAVE A TOWN COUNCIL?' This title belies the content and importance to surrounding parishioners who may have believed that his document / survey were not of concern to them. As a parishioner outside of the unparished Grantham area I initially thought that this survey was none of my concern. There are greater implications included in this survey | 4/8/2022 5:42 AM |

Community Governance Review Survey March 2022

which I discovered. 1. There is an attempt to shift / redefine existing parish boundaries to the detriment of those parishes. 2. Existing boundaries of Barrowby parish have already been subsumed into the unparished Grantham area, this action must be reversed. 3. There is an attempt to subsume existing parish boundaries into the new parish or town council (Q3 refers). 4. Many parishioners outside of Grantham may have erroneously believed that this survey was not of concern to them.

| | | |
|-----|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------|
| 92 | Not good | 4/7/2022 7:24 PM |
| 93 | Their is an unsavoury ulterior motive hidden within this document. | 4/7/2022 7:18 PM |
| 94 | To increase voter engagement with a new council, a system of proportional representation, such as the single transferable vote, should be introduced. | 4/7/2022 4:33 PM |
| 95 | Very disappointing attempt to steam roller over existing parish interests. | 4/7/2022 3:42 PM |
| 96 | A very unpleasant read. | 4/7/2022 3:25 PM |
| 97 | would elected councilers be barred from sitting on SKDC andLCC? | 4/7/2022 2:18 PM |
| 98 | This survey is presented with a misleading heading. It is headed with the question 'SHOULD GRANTHAM HAVE A TOWN COUNCIL?' This title belies the content and importance to surrounding parishioners who may have believed that his document / survey were not of concern to them. As a parishioner outside of the unparished Grantham area I initially thought that this survey was none of my concern. There are greater implications included in this survey which I discovered. 1. There is an attempt to shift / redefine existing parish boundaries to the detriment of those parishes. 2. Existing boundaries of Barrowby parish have already been subsumed into the unparished Grantham area, this action must be reversed. 3. There is an attempt to subsume existing parish boundaries into the new parish or town council (Q3 refers). 4. Many parishioners outside of Grantham may have erroneously believed that this survey was not of concern to them. | 4/7/2022 1:00 PM |
| 99 | would town councilers be allowed to sit on SKDC or LINCS COUNTY COUNCILS ? | 4/7/2022 12:30 PM |
| 100 | Separate rural parishes are very important. Grantham is an urban conurbation and MUST NOT obliterate the distinctive character of those rural parishes | 4/7/2022 11:53 AM |
| 101 | Does it really matter what anyone says? The result has been pre-determined. Just like the Grantham Hospital A&E. | 4/6/2022 4:04 PM |
| 102 | Despite the best intentions of the Council, this survey seems very technical, requiring an understanding of local government and its functioning beyond what most residents of Grantham are likely to possess. Added to which, the expense of the survey (all the published material) seems unwarranted. With the best will in the world, I would imagine it will be difficult to draw meaningful conclusions from this survey. | 4/6/2022 2:16 PM |
| 103 | I think there should be more say in Grantham by Grantham residents about local issues - and important decisions affecting the future of Grantham should not be taken by Lincoln | 4/5/2022 7:32 PM |
| 104 | It would be good to have more information regarding this proposal. In particular what expected council tax increases might look like (given the current financial situation, increasing taxes will be very difficult at the moment), what increased service delivery would we gain from the current setup etc. | 4/5/2022 6:59 PM |
| 105 | What cost is this going to be per household? | 4/5/2022 5:48 PM |
| 106 | Yes put the money you save into doing stuff that matters to residents such as cutting grass verges more regularly, removing graffiti and investing in the high street | 4/5/2022 10:43 AM |
| 107 | I'm sorry to be so negative but re-arranging the deckchairs will be a mere diversion. Grantham needs committed leadership who actually have power over all local decisions; economic, transport, health, planning etc . Some hopes | 4/5/2022 10:30 AM |
| 108 | There needs to be a stronger democratic voice for the town free from influence of remote and absent landowners and developers, otherwise we are just a revenue source for a dormitory town. | 4/5/2022 10:30 AM |
| 109 | See Q1 Why no sae for responses? | 4/5/2022 10:25 AM |
| 110 | We note that the maps do not include the bypass that will go through part of the development | 4/5/2022 10:20 AM |

Community Governance Review Survey March 2022

sites near Prince William of Gloucester Barracks area

| | | |
|-----|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------|
| 111 | Will a new town council have any power to implement improvements to the healthcare of ordinary Grantham residents? The answer is NO. NHS trusts have supreme undeveloped power. Will a new town council have any power to implement a review of the county's parking enforcement policy as requested by local councillors concerned about granthams parking chaos. The answer is NO.of course. These road and traffic decisions will stay in Lincoln for their residents priorities. | 4/5/2022 9:34 AM |
| 112 | All parks and open spaces should be managed by the town council and not skdc so that better local control can take place. In addition the town council should not be ran by the councillors from skdc or managers. These make a mess of things as it is, the town council would be a chance for a decent quality service. Not one ran by the existing Muppets that run skdc. | 4/4/2022 8:19 PM |
| 113 | About time we made our own decisions instead of Lincoln deciding everything for us and not always in our best interests | 4/4/2022 4:44 PM |
| 114 | I consider that this information on town councils might be too complicated for a layperson to understand. | 4/4/2022 4:19 PM |
| 115 | How much will this cost and will it mean an increase in council tax? How much would the budget be for GTC compared to Stamford and Bourne. What powers will it hold? | 4/4/2022 4:00 PM |
| 116 | Please see my comments under q1. I am against a parish council | 4/4/2022 3:15 PM |
| 117 | A parish council for Grantham would be a complete waste of taxpayers money | 4/4/2022 2:09 PM |
| 118 | It beggars belief how badly Grantham has been served by successive Councillors since the badly thought out local government reorganisation in 1974. Over the years several Councillors have had the intelligence, vision and wit to realise that a Town Council would bring benefits for their area, as have many benefitted in the rest of England. Please don't rely on that maxim that is trotted out when new proposals are made for a..."Grantham people wouldn't like it!"...whatever "it" may be. If the Councillors got out and about they would realise that the populous on the whole think Grantham is a dump! Amenities have been lost eg: 2 Lidos, (we would rather have an overheated indoor pool.), oh really! Observe the dozens of children of all ages playing in the Wtham flowing through Wyndham Park on hot sunny days AND while we are on the subject of the indoor pool many of us remember fund raising for the pool to be build in Union Street and the land was given to Asda with no "By your leave "to us who had raised money towards the building. | 4/3/2022 5:22 PM |
| 119 | It is difficult to understand why so many of Grantham's Councillors seem unable or unwilling to recognise how badly Grantham has fared since the truly awful Local Government re-organisation of the 1970's relative to those areas now represented by Councillors with vision and intelligence enough to recognise the benefits of adopting the superior concept of Town Councils (demonstrated elsewhere throughout England). The present opportunity is somewhat reminiscent of the opportunity afforded Kesteven County Council in the 1960's when Grantham Councillors voted against the offer of New Town status then offered to the area by Government (an opportunity that would undoubtedly have secured and enhanced Grantham's economic and social advantages. Coupled with huge Government grants and special local government status this same proposal was enthusiastically grabbed by "sleepy" Peterborough City Council. Compare the outcomes!!! | 4/3/2022 2:11 PM |
| 120 | Other than what I have commented on, I believe the council were elected to act on our behalf and should do so other than question one, which should reflect the wishes of the residents. | 4/3/2022 1:22 PM |
| 121 | I would like a town council that serves Grantham people to make Grantham good again! | 4/3/2022 10:44 AM |
| 122 | would be god to understand the budget that the town council would be responsible for and confirmation that 95% of spend would go to local businesses (not linked to elected councillors at any level of local government) | 4/3/2022 9:44 AM |
| 123 | I am sick of being the "last in the queue" the poor relation" by comparison with Lincoln, Boston etc. Grantham has grown a lot and needs locals to make decisions on local matters We have been left behind and need to catch up and have our say. | 4/2/2022 5:21 PM |
| 124 | Importantly any new level of governance must not come at additional administrative costs. | 4/2/2022 10:11 AM |
| 125 | We don't need a town council. Leave current parish council areas alone as they are a local voice for their communities and provide many local assets; they have existed for generations | 4/1/2022 1:16 PM |

Community Governance Review Survey March 2022

| | | |
|-----|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------|
| | and are part of the community. | |
| 126 | Local tax is too high already | 4/1/2022 9:30 AM |
| 127 | How much extra council tax will this cost ? | 3/31/2022 8:44 PM |
| 128 | 1. Why requested this survey and why? 2. What is the cost to the local people of the survey? 3. How much more will the town council cost being that the same facilities as now will be required? Just a different administration of those facilities. 4.What percentage of those questioned responded? | 3/31/2022 5:17 PM |
| 129 | This is a blatant attempt to find funding that is lacking and adding a ridiculous layer of unnecessary bureaucracy to try and hide it, who do you think you are kidding? | 3/30/2022 8:25 PM |
| 130 | This is the worst time to be considering this - households are facing the worst cost of living rises and pay stagnation in decades. Please consider the economic context! | 3/30/2022 7:28 PM |
| 131 | - Questionnaire is worded such that it appears that only Yes responses are valid - The survey introduction gives no indication of how this additional bureaucracy and cost will benefit Grantham | 3/30/2022 1:37 PM |
| 132 | Be aware Manthorpe Village is a conservation area. Please protect it and acknowledge its environment | 3/30/2022 11:33 AM |
| 133 | Will this help to retain our A&E? Will it give us more chance of getting improvements to pot holes and traffic light management? | 3/30/2022 11:31 AM |
| 134 | We have only lived here since November 2019 and came from a big city- Medway Kent. We never had a parish as lived in a built up area. cannot answer as would like if knew more about the communities around. i would understand parish councils but not in Grantham main area. Medway has parish councils but outside of Gillingham, Rochester, Strood, Chatham | 3/30/2022 11:29 AM |
| 135 | i think it is important for sense of identity and local community. for Grantham to have a Town council and meet the needs of local population by local people . Its growth and potential need to be fully grasped | 3/30/2022 11:21 AM |
| 136 | Question. How long do we have to wait for our road repairs? The top of Victoria St and all of Stamford St are disgusting. Please cna you look into this before there is an accident here. ■■■ | 3/30/2022 11:13 AM |
| 137 | I am concerned that in view of the current financial situation should we be getting involved in any change that is going to be costly as this moment in time!! | 3/30/2022 11:03 AM |
| 138 | This should not impact on what we have to pay | 3/30/2022 9:58 AM |
| 139 | I am concerned about any additional costs this proposal would impose if implemented, and potential loss of quality of services provided. | 3/29/2022 4:38 PM |
| 140 | I also feel that the mayor is a waste of time, the money better spent on services. Doesnt add anything to Grantham and doesnt fight for services and people to enhance peoples safety eg a proper crossing at McDonalds crossroads | 3/29/2022 3:37 PM |
| 141 | Having a town council would be better for people living in the town | 3/28/2022 2:14 PM |
| 142 | Whilst I agree decision making on behalf of the 30,000 plus residents of Grantham should be made by representatives tasked solely with this responsibility, I am against significant increases in local governance costs | 3/28/2022 2:10 PM |
| 143 | Grantham Town Council to be made up of: Town mayor, elected from new area of town (must reside in parish) and new councillors elected from new parish (must reside within parish) to give Grantham people a voice in what happens in the town. A town mayor would be accountable to the public as in London, Manchester etc. Mayor to control the spending budget. | 3/28/2022 1:56 PM |
| 144 | It is overdue time that the Charter Trustees should be finished with. What do they do? Very little. Why do we need a mayor, their vehicle and entourage? Money spent on ego trips as far as I can see. They dont seem to have any legal powers either. get rid of them. | 3/28/2022 1:44 PM |
| 145 | This already feels like a fait accomplis as there are not sufficient options in the questions. The options regarding the town council wards etc. gives two yes options and a don't know all you want to do is charge residents more and provide even less | 3/28/2022 1:21 PM |

Community Governance Review Survey March 2022

| | | |
|-----|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------|
| 146 | Grantham town is very run down. It has a lot of old buildings that have been left to decay. Money should be spent on the empty buildings eg windows covered with patterns. Peterborough Council put attractive covers in the windows of the empty shops. The town itself needs looking after | 3/28/2022 12:17 PM |
| 147 | Why has it taken so long to come to the conclusion that these steps are necessary. | 3/28/2022 12:07 PM |
| 148 | No, there is enough bureaucracy already. I would like to see the Councillors we already have getting things like POTHOLES filled, Public conveniences, reopened, LCC seem incapable at doing these two things and many more. | 3/28/2022 12:01 PM |
| 149 | I would like to be kept closely informed of progress on this. | 3/28/2022 11:44 AM |
| 150 | Zero cost now and in the future whatever the decision | 3/28/2022 10:33 AM |
| 151 | Can the cost of the precept for the body be offset by a corresponding reduction in SKDC Council Tax as SKDC will have less to do? | 3/28/2022 9:35 AM |
| 152 | We don't need another level of bureaucracy | 3/27/2022 6:23 PM |
| 153 | I would expect that a new council would be able to have specific groups to regenerate the town centre and reduce the out of town moves of late. Also, a group to concentrate on the highways department to bring the town to an acceptable standard for roads and pavements. | 3/27/2022 3:48 PM |
| 154 | This survey and accompanying leaflet are not an easy read! sadly, I have heard many comment from Grantham residents that they have opened the envelope, glanced through the contents and put it on one side as too complicated! These were not stupid people. If you hope to have a good response it could have been made more easy. | 3/27/2022 1:03 PM |
| 155 | I am very pleased that this is being addressed, as I have felt ever since I moved here that Grantham is the poor relation in SKDC, even though it is the biggest and will bear the most burden of all expansion. It is time the town was able to "fight" according to its weight, especially as regards access to funds and heritage management, but it needs civic confidence to do this. This will take time but a Town Council will help people to get closer to the decision making and increase transparency of this as well. | 3/26/2022 7:36 PM |
| 156 | Why did the Council meeting cost so much during the pandemic, when held at the Meres Leisure Centre, isn't the building owned by the Council? When are all roads going to be fixed in Grantham to enable smooth driving with damage to cars, in particular Dysart Road but all roads. What are we doing about our high street, lots of closed shops in the Town? How are we creating community spirit and engagement? I commend the Big Clean tidying up our grass verges and paths and would like this to stay. Seems a financially beneficial benefit. | 3/25/2022 4:38 PM |
| 157 | Why should existing parishes be swallowed up by one big parish?? Our Parish should remain as it is. | 3/24/2022 4:13 PM |
| 158 | Feel this would create an additional level of bureaucracy that would cost extra money. | 3/24/2022 1:43 PM |
| 159 | no comment | 3/24/2022 11:21 AM |
| 160 | These things are only run by people with enough time on their hands and with enough money to do it for free, which hardly leads to a diverse set of opinions. Coming from and [REDACTED], no. Another set of [REDACTED] deciding things for everyone is not what I would agree with. | 3/23/2022 9:01 PM |
| 161 | In these times of austerity the last thing we need is another draw on our income. We have never had one before so obviously we dont need one | 3/23/2022 4:00 PM |
| 162 | if you decide not to include my ideas i would like to know your reasons behind your decision | 3/23/2022 3:37 PM |
| 163 | When is the rail bridge going to be built linking Pennine Way with Barrowby Gate? | 3/23/2022 3:18 PM |
| 164 | We don't need another bureaucratic layer of ineffective local government that just adds to our council tax burden at a time of recession. | 3/23/2022 11:20 AM |
| 165 | This whole exercise is a waste of money. Appears every household has received a hardcopy of the survey form but encourage to complete on line. Return address isn't post free or an envelope provided for returning. The whole system is bad enough now don't want more barriers to achieving projects. | 3/22/2022 5:37 PM |
| 166 | We moved here nearly four years ago. we still do not know where we live. We have had three | 3/22/2022 4:51 PM |

Community Governance Review Survey March 2022

| | | |
|-----|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------|
| | different names given to us | |
| 167 | I'm hoping that with a town council in place, pride would take first place in the town. Potholes in roads and footpaths are a disgrace and the shop fronts, both occupied and empty are dirty and unkempt. Old post inside empty shops and weeds at the edges of the pavements lend a forlorn and uncared for appearance | 3/22/2022 4:45 PM |
| 168 | I have no questions because i dont know enough about how a town council works or a district council | 3/22/2022 4:38 PM |
| 169 | no, thank you. | 3/22/2022 2:45 PM |
| 170 | Grantham needs to change. It is big enough to make its own decisions best for the town and area around | 3/22/2022 1:57 PM |
| 171 | Do not encroach on existing parish council areas. Not everybody is online. You should have included a prepaid envelope. This is a barrier to consultation. | 3/22/2022 12:01 PM |
| 172 | This survey is biased, unprofessional, insincere, patronizing [REDACTED] | 3/22/2022 11:10 AM |
| 173 | Keep it as it is but lets get back to having a road sweeper which we dont get now in Mallard Court | 3/22/2022 10:50 AM |
| 174 | As far as I am concerned, this whole review has come at an extremely inappropriate time, with serious cost implications. It has to be asked how much is this review costing bearing in mind that the initial formulation of the review and printing and distribution of it do not come without cost? Maybe council employees were so busy searching for work to keep themselves occupied with projects like this that they were unable to answer simple enquiries like those I made recently which were either unanswered because they became lost in the system or were taken right up to the line with a last minute response following a formal complaint by me?..... | 3/21/2022 12:02 PM |
| 175 | Why is the funding for this not being taken from the current council tax? If there is a new council formed then will there be savings found on the current council tax? It seems that we will then not need the people within SKDC working on these issues and so money could be saved. If so why would there be a £50+ hike per household? | 3/21/2022 7:15 AM |
| 176 | No | 3/20/2022 5:39 PM |
| 177 | The existing layers of councils should work better - yet more cost and waste really should not be necessary to suit political ego's | 3/20/2022 1:08 PM |
| 178 | Overall skdc is an empire run by people who don't live in the town and over time have ruined Grantham for the benefit of their associates. | 3/19/2022 10:03 PM |
| 179 | Town Council will cost tax payers money and people already struggling so it would be an extra cost for us. | 3/19/2022 4:30 PM |
| 180 | Thank you for the opportunity to comment | 3/19/2022 3:01 PM |
| 181 | No, seems fairly straight forward apart from maybe enquire on levels of Council Tax implications. | 3/19/2022 2:34 PM |
| 182 | This whole survey reads as though the decision has already been taken to establish a town council. I fundamentally object to paying for something that won't add any value to the town. | 3/19/2022 8:27 AM |
| 183 | I despair - If local elected members saw outside of their own bizarre bubble, they'd see a Town on its knees that doesn't need another layer of bureaucracy to hold it down. | 3/19/2022 8:21 AM |
| 184 | Great to be consulted, thank you | 3/18/2022 3:57 PM |
| 185 | Leave Grantham as is! | 3/18/2022 12:56 PM |
| 186 | Concerned that the precept would not be subject to referendum limitations and may therefore prove much more expensive for residents | 3/18/2022 9:00 AM |
| 187 | Waste of time and money. | 3/17/2022 3:04 PM |
| 188 | N/A | 3/17/2022 12:27 PM |
| 189 | Very bad idea to increase the cost on local tax-payers. | 3/17/2022 12:05 PM |
| 190 | Strongly object to any new authority | 3/17/2022 10:45 AM |

Community Governance Review Survey March 2022

| | | |
|-----|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------|
| 191 | I do not think this should even be considered in the current financial climate. I can see no benefit outlined in this report. | 3/17/2022 9:50 AM |
| 192 | I am very positive this idea will become reality as it's necessity has been obvious for a long time now. | 3/17/2022 8:22 AM |
| 193 | Many times the municipality makes many announcements. I am thinking here of the big projects. However, an implementation may begin years or decades later. If it starts at all! One hopes but is disappointed many times over. | 3/17/2022 7:07 AM |
| 194 | YOU MUST include A&E provision for the town. YOU MUST include development of a bypass. YOU MUST encourage and grow the towns high street. YOU MUST look at social housing construction. YOU MUST encourage additional dental and health care centres. YOU MUST REVIEW, AMEND AND RE-THINK the traffic flow around the town, stop blue badge holders from parking on the high street causing traffic flow and obstruction issues. YOU MUST provide more facilities for teenagers. YOU MUST hold the Woodland and National trust to account for their lack of support to the community and misleading the public regarding their local development in Londonthorpe, carving up the area for cattle stock pens and trying justify the massive health and safety issues they created. | 3/16/2022 6:01 PM |
| 195 | If the scheme goes ahead, cost savings and efficiencies should be considered to cover the cost | 3/16/2022 5:14 PM |
| 196 | I think Grantham is at the bottom of the pile as it stands at the moment and becoming a Parish Council would give the people of Grantham more say in what happens in their area. | 3/16/2022 4:20 PM |
| 197 | I assume this is going to follow the format of all "Consultations"? I suspect decisions have already been made and this is just an exercise in gauging how unpopular this will be when if forced onto the taxpayers. You could always use the trick of employing consultants and then blame them and tell the put upon taxpayer that you are only doing what they advised | 3/16/2022 3:15 PM |
| 198 | Thoughts should be given on what kind of impact this change will have on peoples council tax, bearing in mind the increased cost of living. | 3/16/2022 2:43 PM |
| 199 | This will cost a fortune and achieve nothing. Jobs for the boys. You're not interested in my opinion, you've already mind your decision. More money down the drain . Like that stupid peg. | 3/16/2022 2:35 PM |
| 200 | The survey should have been set up so that if a person answers No to Question 1 they should have been directed to Section 9 as the other questions have no interest to them. | 3/16/2022 2:19 PM |
| 201 | Housing development must Stop, we absolutely must look after our local nature and countryside for the happiness of all outer areas of Grantham residents, make Grantham a place to visit not a dumping ground for rubbish looking ill serving housing development which is destroying our town and countryside. | 3/16/2022 12:51 PM |
| 202 | Leave our parish councils alone! | 3/16/2022 12:30 PM |
| 203 | I see no possible requirement for a County Council, District Council and a Town Council. It will create additional costs and result in more buck-passing. | 3/16/2022 12:11 PM |
| 204 | Whilst not the subject of this survey, Grantham needs a much better (dueled) road link to the M1/A50 Junction to improve growth of industry in the area. | 3/16/2022 11:34 AM |
| 205 | Better to change the boundaries before housing built so existing parish councils (if kept) are not dependent on revenue. | 3/16/2022 11:16 AM |
| 206 | Some elected Councillors will look on this as another 'Gravy Train'. there are too many Councillors wearing two hats, ie, District and County. They get an allowance from BOTH Councils, will this mean some will receive THREE allowances? | 3/16/2022 10:27 AM |
| 207 | Will a list of potential Grantham Town assets I, currently under SKDC control be published. These would potentially form part of any town income. | 3/16/2022 10:01 AM |
| 208 | None | 3/16/2022 9:31 AM |
| 209 | Please use this chance to simplify not to add another layer of paid people that do nothing.... see Pot Holes if you want a really simple example. Don't try to hike tax prices people do not have the option to pay more we are trying to eat!!! | 3/16/2022 8:50 AM |
| 210 | Proportional representation hasn't been mentioned. Important . | 3/16/2022 8:02 AM |

Community Governance Review Survey March 2022

| | | |
|-----|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------|
| 211 | In such uncertain economic times and increased living costs. Any increase to council tax charges ie. the precept, must be a reallocation of funds already raised not an additional cost to householders. | 3/16/2022 4:18 AM |
| 212 | I think the idea of a town council sounds nice, but in reality it simply isn't necessary adding extra beurocracy and cost. Instead South Kesteven should aim to become a unitary authority and move away from the current two-tier system of local councils. | 3/15/2022 9:39 PM |
| 213 | There is nothing in the explanatory booklet that shows any real benefits to the residents, all it does is try to validate an estimated £50 per year but is careful to say this might not accurate and may be more. There is no way it will remain at or near £50. This is nothing more than an exercise in extracting more money from the town residents when they are already feeling the squeeze. | 3/15/2022 8:23 PM |
| 214 | Perhaps we don't need so many councillors. More workers to fill potholes, more grass cutters, more repairs.. | 3/15/2022 7:30 PM |
| 215 | Belton and Manthorpe Parish should give up the little bit of the Manthorpe estate so becomes part of Grantham & Wulfram's Ward. Grantham Barrowby Gate should include S14/3571 and S14/2935. Grantham Springfiels Ward should include the remainder of the allocated housing up to the ECML. Consider whether the urban housing in Harrowby Without should for a new Harrowby Without Ward or be joined to Grantham Harrowby Ward. | 3/15/2022 7:02 PM |
| 216 | The system for electing these new councillors should be via a proportional representation system. | 3/15/2022 5:26 PM |
| 217 | Just have one unitary council for the whole of Lincolnshire and abolish South Kesteven District Council and replace with service hub in Grantham, Sleaford, Stamford etc for Lincolnshire County Council. Use cost saving to help most vulnerable in Lincolnshire. | 3/15/2022 5:15 PM |
| 218 | The information provided didn't really put forward the pros and cons of these options and this would have been really helpful in order to provide meaningful feedback. | 3/15/2022 3:20 PM |
| 219 | I am disappointed that a clear cost indication has not be provided in the documents | 3/15/2022 2:16 PM |
| 220 | How much has this survey cost? changes would not alter things for the better enough to justify the costs. | 3/15/2022 10:58 AM |
| 221 | This just needs to happen. Current arrangements not fit for purpose and democratic. | 3/14/2022 10:03 PM |
| 222 | With regard to my previous comments, pensions are not known for their elastic properties ! | 3/14/2022 11:19 AM |
| 223 | in Newark they are considering abolishing the Town (and district) councils - why not consider a unitary authority for Lincolnshire? | 3/14/2022 9:08 AM |
| 224 | The survey, apart from question 1, does not provide the option to continue under current arrangements in terms of boundaries, diversity of service provision, parish arrangements and future developments, i.e. under District Council administration. I find this survey to be incomplete and divisive. | 3/13/2022 11:48 PM |
| 225 | Terrible survey. Really difficult to read with faint font hidden behind the wallpaper. Could hardly read it. Font needs to be black on white background. Think others may just give up on it as I nearly did | 3/12/2022 9:32 AM |
| 226 | Something certainly needs to change. Any local government system that results in the southern half of town being bisected by what is, essentially, a dual carriageway racetrack is clearly not working in the interests of the people who live there - e.g. moi. | 3/11/2022 10:02 PM |
| 227 | Consideration should be given to ensure that none of the Cllrs or Mayor receive any payments. No allowances except expenses for a Mayor. | 3/11/2022 7:15 PM |
| 228 | Seems a waste of money and just creating more pointless jobs. | 3/11/2022 6:24 PM |
| 229 | Please don't waste resources on devolution down. Put the money into devolution "up". There is no need for localism to be tribal, European municipalities cover large areas if land and more diverse communities with more powers. Lincolnshire should be a unitary with satellite offices in key community settlements. | 3/11/2022 4:53 PM |
| 230 | Grantham desperately needs a voice to fight for its survival, a Parish or town council could provide this | 3/11/2022 11:29 AM |

Community Governance Review Survey March 2022

| | | |
|-----|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------|
| 231 | This is a dreadful survey, the background infringes on the questions making reading very difficult | 3/11/2022 10:40 AM |
| 232 | Grantham desperately needs people who focus solely on the area itself, who know and live IN Grantham | 3/11/2022 9:53 AM |

Q9 If you would like to be informed of the outcome of the first stage of the Community Governance Review please give us your contact details. They will only be used to contact you in relation to this review and will not be used for any other purpose or passed onto anyone else.

Answered: 745 Skipped: 738

| ANSWER CHOICES | RESPONSES | |
|----------------|-----------|-----|
| Name | 97.45% | 726 |
| Company | 0.00% | 0 |
| Address | 94.77% | 706 |
| Address 2 | 41.88% | 312 |
| Village/Town | 91.28% | 680 |
| State/Province | 0.00% | 0 |
| Postcode | 96.11% | 716 |
| Country | 0.00% | 0 |
| Email Address | 93.42% | 696 |
| Phone Number | 0.00% | 0 |

| # | NAME | DATE |
|----|------------|--------------------|
| 1 | [REDACTED] | 4/25/2022 4:03 PM |
| 2 | [REDACTED] | 4/25/2022 2:56 PM |
| 3 | [REDACTED] | 4/25/2022 2:40 PM |
| 4 | [REDACTED] | 4/25/2022 2:29 PM |
| 5 | [REDACTED] | 4/25/2022 2:27 PM |
| 6 | [REDACTED] | 4/25/2022 2:21 PM |
| 7 | [REDACTED] | 4/25/2022 2:08 PM |
| 8 | [REDACTED] | 4/25/2022 2:04 PM |
| 9 | [REDACTED] | 4/25/2022 1:00 PM |
| 10 | [REDACTED] | 4/25/2022 12:40 PM |
| 11 | [REDACTED] | 4/25/2022 12:28 PM |
| 12 | [REDACTED] | 4/25/2022 12:18 PM |
| 13 | [REDACTED] | 4/25/2022 12:08 PM |
| 14 | [REDACTED] | 4/25/2022 12:04 PM |
| 15 | [REDACTED] | 4/25/2022 11:56 AM |
| 16 | [REDACTED] | 4/25/2022 10:47 AM |
| 17 | [REDACTED] | 4/25/2022 10:35 AM |

Community Governance Review Survey March 2022

| | | |
|----|------------|--------------------|
| 18 | [REDACTED] | 4/25/2022 10:24 AM |
| 19 | [REDACTED] | 4/25/2022 10:06 AM |
| 20 | [REDACTED] | 4/25/2022 9:31 AM |
| 21 | [REDACTED] | 4/25/2022 9:20 AM |
| 22 | [REDACTED] | 4/25/2022 9:05 AM |
| 23 | [REDACTED] | 4/25/2022 8:59 AM |
| 24 | [REDACTED] | 4/23/2022 8:25 AM |
| 25 | [REDACTED] | 4/22/2022 10:44 PM |
| 26 | [REDACTED] | 4/22/2022 10:34 PM |
| 27 | [REDACTED] | 4/22/2022 10:10 PM |
| 28 | [REDACTED] | 4/22/2022 9:31 PM |
| 29 | [REDACTED] | 4/22/2022 9:00 PM |
| 30 | [REDACTED] | 4/22/2022 7:47 PM |
| 31 | [REDACTED] | 4/22/2022 7:27 PM |
| 32 | [REDACTED] | 4/22/2022 6:43 PM |
| 33 | [REDACTED] | 4/22/2022 6:35 PM |
| 34 | [REDACTED] | 4/22/2022 2:52 PM |
| 35 | [REDACTED] | 4/22/2022 2:46 PM |
| 36 | [REDACTED] | 4/22/2022 2:41 PM |
| 37 | [REDACTED] | 4/22/2022 2:02 PM |
| 38 | [REDACTED] | 4/22/2022 1:10 PM |
| 39 | [REDACTED] | 4/22/2022 12:58 PM |
| 40 | [REDACTED] | 4/22/2022 11:56 AM |
| 41 | [REDACTED] | 4/22/2022 10:44 AM |
| 42 | [REDACTED] | 4/22/2022 9:43 AM |
| 43 | [REDACTED] | 4/22/2022 9:41 AM |
| 44 | [REDACTED] | 4/22/2022 9:33 AM |
| 45 | [REDACTED] | 4/22/2022 1:18 AM |
| 46 | [REDACTED] | 4/21/2022 10:30 PM |
| 47 | [REDACTED] | 4/21/2022 10:30 PM |
| 48 | [REDACTED] | 4/21/2022 9:48 PM |
| 49 | [REDACTED] | 4/21/2022 7:39 PM |
| 50 | [REDACTED] | 4/21/2022 7:38 PM |
| 51 | [REDACTED] | 4/21/2022 7:37 PM |
| 52 | [REDACTED] | 4/21/2022 7:15 PM |
| 53 | [REDACTED] | 4/21/2022 6:58 PM |
| 54 | [REDACTED] | 4/21/2022 6:56 PM |
| 55 | [REDACTED] | 4/21/2022 6:51 PM |

Community Governance Review Survey March 2022

| | | |
|----|------------|--------------------|
| 56 | [REDACTED] | 4/21/2022 6:11 PM |
| 57 | [REDACTED] | 4/21/2022 6:10 PM |
| 58 | [REDACTED] | 4/21/2022 5:46 PM |
| 59 | [REDACTED] | 4/21/2022 5:17 PM |
| 60 | [REDACTED] | 4/21/2022 5:03 PM |
| 61 | [REDACTED] | 4/21/2022 4:58 PM |
| 62 | [REDACTED] | 4/21/2022 4:55 PM |
| 63 | [REDACTED] | 4/21/2022 4:52 PM |
| 64 | [REDACTED] | 4/21/2022 4:48 PM |
| 65 | [REDACTED] | 4/21/2022 4:41 PM |
| 66 | [REDACTED] | 4/21/2022 3:48 PM |
| 67 | [REDACTED] | 4/21/2022 3:37 PM |
| 68 | [REDACTED] | 4/21/2022 3:25 PM |
| 69 | [REDACTED] | 4/21/2022 3:15 PM |
| 70 | [REDACTED] | 4/21/2022 2:51 PM |
| 71 | [REDACTED] | 4/21/2022 2:48 PM |
| 72 | [REDACTED] | 4/21/2022 2:08 PM |
| 73 | [REDACTED] | 4/21/2022 1:14 PM |
| 74 | [REDACTED] | 4/21/2022 1:04 PM |
| 75 | [REDACTED] | 4/21/2022 1:03 PM |
| 76 | [REDACTED] | 4/21/2022 12:40 PM |
| 77 | [REDACTED] | 4/21/2022 12:18 PM |
| 78 | [REDACTED] | 4/21/2022 11:10 AM |
| 79 | [REDACTED] | 4/21/2022 10:23 AM |
| 80 | [REDACTED] | 4/21/2022 9:47 AM |
| 81 | [REDACTED] | 4/21/2022 9:29 AM |
| 82 | [REDACTED] | 4/21/2022 8:32 AM |
| 83 | [REDACTED] | 4/20/2022 11:13 PM |
| 84 | [REDACTED] | 4/20/2022 9:28 PM |
| 85 | [REDACTED] | 4/20/2022 8:27 PM |
| 86 | [REDACTED] | 4/20/2022 8:13 PM |
| 87 | [REDACTED] | 4/20/2022 4:29 PM |
| 88 | [REDACTED] | 4/20/2022 3:25 PM |
| 89 | [REDACTED] | 4/20/2022 2:08 PM |
| 90 | [REDACTED] | 4/20/2022 2:01 PM |
| 91 | [REDACTED] | 4/20/2022 1:07 PM |
| 92 | [REDACTED] | 4/20/2022 12:41 PM |
| 93 | [REDACTED] | 4/20/2022 12:35 PM |

Community Governance Review Survey March 2022

| | | |
|-----|------------|--------------------|
| 94 | [REDACTED] | 4/20/2022 9:59 AM |
| 95 | [REDACTED] | 4/20/2022 9:55 AM |
| 96 | [REDACTED] | 4/20/2022 9:44 AM |
| 97 | [REDACTED] | 4/20/2022 9:31 AM |
| 98 | [REDACTED] | 4/20/2022 9:31 AM |
| 99 | [REDACTED] | 4/20/2022 9:28 AM |
| 100 | [REDACTED] | 4/20/2022 9:24 AM |
| 101 | [REDACTED] | 4/20/2022 9:00 AM |
| 102 | [REDACTED] | 4/20/2022 8:53 AM |
| 103 | [REDACTED] | 4/20/2022 8:50 AM |
| 104 | [REDACTED] | 4/20/2022 8:38 AM |
| 105 | [REDACTED] | 4/20/2022 8:34 AM |
| 106 | [REDACTED] | 4/19/2022 11:56 PM |
| 107 | [REDACTED] | 4/19/2022 5:10 PM |
| 108 | [REDACTED] | 4/19/2022 4:30 PM |
| 109 | [REDACTED] | 4/19/2022 3:46 PM |
| 110 | [REDACTED] | 4/19/2022 3:03 PM |
| 111 | [REDACTED] | 4/19/2022 2:55 PM |
| 112 | [REDACTED] | 4/19/2022 2:51 PM |
| 113 | [REDACTED] | 4/19/2022 2:30 PM |
| 114 | [REDACTED] | 4/19/2022 12:53 PM |
| 115 | [REDACTED] | 4/19/2022 12:50 PM |
| 116 | [REDACTED] | 4/19/2022 12:35 PM |
| 117 | [REDACTED] | 4/19/2022 12:26 PM |
| 118 | [REDACTED] | 4/19/2022 11:56 AM |
| 119 | [REDACTED] | 4/19/2022 11:51 AM |
| 120 | [REDACTED] | 4/19/2022 10:00 AM |
| 121 | [REDACTED] | 4/19/2022 9:40 AM |
| 122 | [REDACTED] | 4/19/2022 9:25 AM |
| 123 | [REDACTED] | 4/18/2022 3:58 PM |
| 124 | [REDACTED] | 4/18/2022 1:17 PM |
| 125 | [REDACTED] | 4/18/2022 1:00 PM |
| 126 | [REDACTED] | 4/18/2022 12:35 PM |
| 127 | [REDACTED] | 4/18/2022 12:12 PM |
| 128 | [REDACTED] | 4/18/2022 11:37 AM |
| 129 | [REDACTED] | 4/18/2022 11:33 AM |
| 130 | [REDACTED] | 4/18/2022 11:10 AM |
| 131 | [REDACTED] | 4/18/2022 10:15 AM |

Community Governance Review Survey March 2022

| | | |
|-----|----------------|--------------------|
| 132 | ██████████ | 4/18/2022 10:13 AM |
| 133 | ██████████ | 4/18/2022 9:29 AM |
| 134 | ██████████ | 4/18/2022 8:26 AM |
| 135 | ██████████ | 4/18/2022 7:30 AM |
| 136 | ██████ | 4/17/2022 9:52 PM |
| 137 | ██████████ | 4/17/2022 3:31 PM |
| 138 | N/A | 4/17/2022 3:16 PM |
| 139 | N/A | 4/17/2022 3:15 PM |
| 140 | N/A | 4/17/2022 3:14 PM |
| 141 | N/A | 4/17/2022 3:12 PM |
| 142 | N/A | 4/17/2022 3:11 PM |
| 143 | N/A | 4/17/2022 3:09 PM |
| 144 | N/A | 4/17/2022 3:08 PM |
| 145 | N/A | 4/17/2022 3:06 PM |
| 146 | N/A | 4/17/2022 3:05 PM |
| 147 | N/A | 4/17/2022 3:04 PM |
| 148 | N/A | 4/17/2022 3:02 PM |
| 149 | N/A | 4/17/2022 3:01 PM |
| 150 | N/A | 4/17/2022 3:00 PM |
| 151 | N/A | 4/17/2022 2:58 PM |
| 152 | N/A | 4/17/2022 2:57 PM |
| 153 | N/A | 4/17/2022 2:55 PM |
| 154 | N/A | 4/17/2022 2:54 PM |
| 155 | N/A | 4/17/2022 2:53 PM |
| 156 | N/A | 4/17/2022 2:51 PM |
| 157 | N/A | 4/17/2022 2:50 PM |
| 158 | ██████████████ | 4/17/2022 12:44 PM |
| 159 | ██████████████ | 4/17/2022 11:47 AM |
| 160 | ██████████ | 4/17/2022 8:53 AM |
| 161 | N/A | 4/16/2022 3:27 PM |
| 162 | N/A | 4/16/2022 3:25 PM |
| 163 | N/A | 4/16/2022 3:24 PM |
| 164 | N/A | 4/16/2022 3:23 PM |
| 165 | N/A | 4/16/2022 3:21 PM |
| 166 | N/A | 4/16/2022 3:19 PM |
| 167 | N/A | 4/16/2022 3:18 PM |
| 168 | N/A | 4/16/2022 3:16 PM |
| 169 | N/A | 4/16/2022 3:14 PM |

Community Governance Review Survey March 2022

| | | |
|-----|------------|--------------------|
| 170 | N/A | 4/16/2022 3:13 PM |
| 171 | N/A | 4/16/2022 2:55 PM |
| 172 | N/A | 4/16/2022 2:54 PM |
| 173 | N/A | 4/16/2022 2:52 PM |
| 174 | N/A | 4/16/2022 2:51 PM |
| 175 | N/A | 4/16/2022 2:49 PM |
| 176 | [REDACTED] | 4/16/2022 1:22 PM |
| 177 | [REDACTED] | 4/16/2022 12:57 PM |
| 178 | [REDACTED] | 4/16/2022 11:17 AM |
| 179 | [REDACTED] | 4/15/2022 8:12 PM |
| 180 | [REDACTED] | 4/15/2022 4:51 PM |
| 181 | [REDACTED] | 4/15/2022 3:06 PM |
| 182 | [REDACTED] | 4/15/2022 3:05 PM |
| 183 | [REDACTED] | 4/15/2022 3:01 PM |
| 184 | [REDACTED] | 4/15/2022 2:01 PM |
| 185 | [REDACTED] | 4/15/2022 1:22 PM |
| 186 | [REDACTED] | 4/15/2022 1:19 PM |
| 187 | [REDACTED] | 4/15/2022 1:06 PM |
| 188 | [REDACTED] | 4/15/2022 10:29 AM |
| 189 | [REDACTED] | 4/15/2022 3:29 AM |
| 190 | [REDACTED] | 4/14/2022 6:51 PM |
| 191 | [REDACTED] | 4/14/2022 6:38 PM |
| 192 | [REDACTED] | 4/14/2022 5:18 PM |
| 193 | N/A | 4/14/2022 3:42 PM |
| 194 | N/A | 4/14/2022 3:41 PM |
| 195 | N/A | 4/14/2022 3:39 PM |
| 196 | N/A | 4/14/2022 3:38 PM |
| 197 | N/A | 4/14/2022 3:36 PM |
| 198 | N/A | 4/14/2022 3:34 PM |
| 199 | N/A | 4/14/2022 3:32 PM |
| 200 | N/A | 4/14/2022 3:30 PM |
| 201 | N/A | 4/14/2022 3:29 PM |
| 202 | N/A | 4/14/2022 3:27 PM |
| 203 | N/A | 4/14/2022 3:26 PM |
| 204 | N/A | 4/14/2022 3:24 PM |
| 205 | N/A | 4/14/2022 3:23 PM |
| 206 | N/A | 4/14/2022 3:21 PM |
| 207 | N/A | 4/14/2022 3:19 PM |

Community Governance Review Survey March 2022

| | | |
|-----|------------|--------------------|
| 208 | [REDACTED] | 4/14/2022 12:06 PM |
| 209 | [REDACTED] | 4/14/2022 11:14 AM |
| 210 | [REDACTED] | 4/13/2022 7:09 PM |
| 211 | [REDACTED] | 4/13/2022 6:11 PM |
| 212 | [REDACTED] | 4/13/2022 5:46 PM |
| 213 | [REDACTED] | 4/13/2022 4:25 PM |
| 214 | [REDACTED] | 4/13/2022 2:35 PM |
| 215 | [REDACTED] | 4/13/2022 2:16 PM |
| 216 | [REDACTED] | 4/13/2022 1:15 PM |
| 217 | [REDACTED] | 4/13/2022 11:32 AM |
| 218 | [REDACTED] | 4/13/2022 10:46 AM |
| 219 | [REDACTED] | 4/13/2022 10:17 AM |
| 220 | N/A | 4/12/2022 8:31 PM |
| 221 | N/A | 4/12/2022 8:29 PM |
| 222 | N/A | 4/12/2022 8:28 PM |
| 223 | N/A | 4/12/2022 8:27 PM |
| 224 | N/A | 4/12/2022 8:25 PM |
| 225 | N/A | 4/12/2022 8:24 PM |
| 226 | N/A | 4/12/2022 8:23 PM |
| 227 | N/A | 4/12/2022 8:21 PM |
| 228 | N/A | 4/12/2022 8:20 PM |
| 229 | N/A | 4/12/2022 8:18 PM |
| 230 | N/A | 4/12/2022 8:17 PM |
| 231 | N/A | 4/12/2022 8:15 PM |
| 232 | N/A | 4/12/2022 8:14 PM |
| 233 | N/A | 4/12/2022 8:13 PM |
| 234 | N/A | 4/12/2022 8:11 PM |
| 235 | [REDACTED] | 4/12/2022 7:26 PM |
| 236 | [REDACTED] | 4/12/2022 6:25 PM |
| 237 | [REDACTED] | 4/12/2022 4:56 PM |
| 238 | [REDACTED] | 4/12/2022 4:00 PM |
| 239 | [REDACTED] | 4/12/2022 1:29 PM |
| 240 | [REDACTED] | 4/12/2022 1:23 PM |
| 241 | [REDACTED] | 4/12/2022 1:16 PM |
| 242 | [REDACTED] | 4/12/2022 1:11 PM |
| 243 | [REDACTED] | 4/12/2022 1:02 PM |
| 244 | [REDACTED] | 4/12/2022 12:57 PM |
| 245 | [REDACTED] | 4/12/2022 12:52 PM |

Community Governance Review Survey March 2022

| | | |
|-----|------------|--------------------|
| 246 | ██████████ | 4/12/2022 12:48 PM |
| 247 | ██████████ | 4/12/2022 12:39 PM |
| 248 | ██████████ | 4/12/2022 12:24 PM |
| 249 | ██████████ | 4/12/2022 12:14 PM |
| 250 | ██████████ | 4/12/2022 8:20 AM |
| 251 | ██████████ | 4/11/2022 11:12 PM |
| 252 | ██████████ | 4/11/2022 9:19 PM |
| 253 | ██████████ | 4/11/2022 9:02 PM |
| 254 | ██████████ | 4/11/2022 6:47 PM |
| 255 | ██████████ | 4/11/2022 4:32 PM |
| 256 | N/A | 4/11/2022 3:51 PM |
| 257 | N/A | 4/11/2022 3:50 PM |
| 258 | N/A | 4/11/2022 3:48 PM |
| 259 | N/A | 4/11/2022 3:47 PM |
| 260 | N/A | 4/11/2022 3:45 PM |
| 261 | N/A | 4/11/2022 3:44 PM |
| 262 | N/A | 4/11/2022 3:43 PM |
| 263 | N/A | 4/11/2022 3:42 PM |
| 264 | N/A | 4/11/2022 3:40 PM |
| 265 | N/A | 4/11/2022 3:39 PM |
| 266 | N/A | 4/11/2022 3:38 PM |
| 267 | N/A | 4/11/2022 3:37 PM |
| 268 | N/A | 4/11/2022 3:35 PM |
| 269 | N/A | 4/11/2022 3:33 PM |
| 270 | N/A | 4/11/2022 3:31 PM |
| 271 | N/A | 4/11/2022 3:29 PM |
| 272 | N/A | 4/11/2022 3:27 PM |
| 273 | N/A | 4/11/2022 3:26 PM |
| 274 | N/A | 4/11/2022 3:24 PM |
| 275 | N/A | 4/11/2022 3:22 PM |
| 276 | N/A | 4/11/2022 3:19 PM |
| 277 | N/A | 4/11/2022 3:16 PM |
| 278 | N/A | 4/11/2022 3:14 PM |
| 279 | N/A | 4/11/2022 3:11 PM |
| 280 | N/A | 4/11/2022 3:09 PM |
| 281 | ██████████ | 4/11/2022 3:09 PM |
| 282 | ██████████ | 4/11/2022 12:58 PM |
| 283 | ██████████ | 4/11/2022 11:05 AM |

Community Governance Review Survey March 2022

| | | |
|-----|------------|--------------------|
| 284 | [REDACTED] | 4/11/2022 10:26 AM |
| 285 | [REDACTED] | 4/11/2022 10:21 AM |
| 286 | [REDACTED] | 4/11/2022 10:12 AM |
| 287 | [REDACTED] | 4/11/2022 9:31 AM |
| 288 | [REDACTED] | 4/11/2022 9:23 AM |
| 289 | [REDACTED] | 4/11/2022 9:20 AM |
| 290 | [REDACTED] | 4/11/2022 9:15 AM |
| 291 | [REDACTED] | 4/10/2022 12:30 PM |
| 292 | [REDACTED] | 4/10/2022 11:42 AM |
| 293 | N/A | 4/10/2022 11:40 AM |
| 294 | N/A | 4/10/2022 11:38 AM |
| 295 | N/A | 4/10/2022 11:37 AM |
| 296 | N/A | 4/10/2022 11:35 AM |
| 297 | N/A | 4/10/2022 11:34 AM |
| 298 | N/A | 4/10/2022 11:32 AM |
| 299 | N/A | 4/10/2022 11:31 AM |
| 300 | N/A | 4/10/2022 11:29 AM |
| 301 | N/A | 4/10/2022 11:28 AM |
| 302 | N/A | 4/10/2022 11:26 AM |
| 303 | N/A | 4/10/2022 11:25 AM |
| 304 | N/A | 4/10/2022 11:23 AM |
| 305 | N/A | 4/10/2022 11:21 AM |
| 306 | N/A | 4/10/2022 11:20 AM |
| 307 | N/A | 4/10/2022 11:18 AM |
| 308 | N/A | 4/10/2022 11:16 AM |
| 309 | N/A | 4/10/2022 11:15 AM |
| 310 | N/A | 4/10/2022 11:13 AM |
| 311 | N/A | 4/10/2022 11:12 AM |
| 312 | N/A | 4/10/2022 11:10 AM |
| 313 | N/A | 4/10/2022 11:08 AM |
| 314 | N/A | 4/10/2022 11:07 AM |
| 315 | N/A | 4/10/2022 11:05 AM |
| 316 | N/A | 4/10/2022 11:03 AM |
| 317 | N/A | 4/10/2022 11:01 AM |
| 318 | N/A | 4/9/2022 9:30 PM |
| 319 | N/A | 4/9/2022 9:28 PM |
| 320 | N/A | 4/9/2022 9:26 PM |
| 321 | N/A | 4/9/2022 9:24 PM |

Community Governance Review Survey March 2022

| | | |
|-----|------------|-------------------|
| 322 | N/A | 4/9/2022 9:21 PM |
| 323 | [REDACTED] | 4/9/2022 1:01 PM |
| 324 | [REDACTED] | 4/9/2022 12:07 PM |
| 325 | [REDACTED] | 4/9/2022 8:21 AM |
| 326 | N/A | 4/8/2022 7:26 PM |
| 327 | N/A | 4/8/2022 7:23 PM |
| 328 | N/A | 4/8/2022 7:15 PM |
| 329 | N/A | 4/8/2022 7:13 PM |
| 330 | N/A | 4/8/2022 7:11 PM |
| 331 | N/A | 4/8/2022 7:09 PM |
| 332 | N/A | 4/8/2022 7:07 PM |
| 333 | [REDACTED] | 4/8/2022 5:15 PM |
| 334 | N/A | 4/8/2022 3:31 PM |
| 335 | N/A | 4/8/2022 3:29 PM |
| 336 | N/A | 4/8/2022 3:27 PM |
| 337 | N/A | 4/8/2022 3:25 PM |
| 338 | N/A | 4/8/2022 3:23 PM |
| 339 | N/A | 4/8/2022 3:20 PM |
| 340 | N/A | 4/8/2022 3:17 PM |
| 341 | N/A | 4/8/2022 3:14 PM |
| 342 | [REDACTED] | 4/8/2022 3:08 PM |
| 343 | [REDACTED] | 4/8/2022 2:51 PM |
| 344 | N/A | 4/8/2022 12:55 PM |
| 345 | N/A | 4/8/2022 12:52 PM |
| 346 | N/A | 4/8/2022 12:49 PM |
| 347 | N/A | 4/8/2022 12:46 PM |
| 348 | N/A | 4/8/2022 12:33 PM |
| 349 | N/A | 4/8/2022 12:27 PM |
| 350 | [REDACTED] | 4/8/2022 12:18 PM |
| 351 | N/A | 4/8/2022 12:02 PM |
| 352 | N/A | 4/8/2022 11:59 AM |
| 353 | [REDACTED] | 4/8/2022 10:10 AM |
| 354 | N/A | 4/8/2022 5:42 AM |
| 355 | [REDACTED] | 4/7/2022 8:24 PM |
| 356 | N/A | 4/7/2022 7:43 PM |
| 357 | N/A | 4/7/2022 7:39 PM |
| 358 | N/A | 4/7/2022 7:33 PM |
| 359 | N/A | 4/7/2022 7:29 PM |

Community Governance Review Survey March 2022

| | | |
|-----|------------|-------------------|
| 360 | N/A | 4/7/2022 7:24 PM |
| 361 | N/A | 4/7/2022 7:18 PM |
| 362 | [REDACTED] | 4/7/2022 5:32 PM |
| 363 | [REDACTED] | 4/7/2022 4:33 PM |
| 364 | N/A | 4/7/2022 3:42 PM |
| 365 | N/A | 4/7/2022 3:25 PM |
| 366 | [REDACTED] | 4/7/2022 3:04 PM |
| 367 | [REDACTED] | 4/7/2022 2:18 PM |
| 368 | N/A | 4/7/2022 1:00 PM |
| 369 | [REDACTED] | 4/7/2022 12:57 PM |
| 370 | [REDACTED] | 4/7/2022 12:30 PM |
| 371 | [REDACTED] | 4/7/2022 12:15 PM |
| 372 | [REDACTED] | 4/7/2022 11:36 AM |
| 373 | [REDACTED] | 4/7/2022 10:30 AM |
| 374 | [REDACTED] | 4/6/2022 7:02 PM |
| 375 | [REDACTED] | 4/6/2022 5:59 PM |
| 376 | [REDACTED] | 4/6/2022 2:16 PM |
| 377 | [REDACTED] | 4/6/2022 1:49 PM |
| 378 | [REDACTED] | 4/5/2022 6:59 PM |
| 379 | [REDACTED] | 4/5/2022 5:48 PM |
| 380 | [REDACTED] | 4/5/2022 3:36 PM |
| 381 | [REDACTED] | 4/5/2022 3:07 PM |
| 382 | [REDACTED] | 4/5/2022 3:03 PM |
| 383 | [REDACTED] | 4/5/2022 1:15 PM |
| 384 | [REDACTED] | 4/5/2022 9:34 AM |
| 385 | [REDACTED] | 4/5/2022 7:51 AM |
| 386 | [REDACTED] | 4/4/2022 6:04 PM |
| 387 | [REDACTED] | 4/4/2022 5:40 PM |
| 388 | [REDACTED] | 4/4/2022 5:36 PM |
| 389 | [REDACTED] | 4/4/2022 4:48 PM |
| 390 | [REDACTED] | 4/4/2022 4:20 PM |
| 391 | [REDACTED] | 4/4/2022 4:19 PM |
| 392 | [REDACTED] | 4/4/2022 4:00 PM |
| 393 | [REDACTED] | 4/4/2022 3:24 PM |
| 394 | [REDACTED] | 4/4/2022 3:15 PM |
| 395 | [REDACTED] | 4/4/2022 3:07 PM |
| 396 | [REDACTED] | 4/4/2022 3:02 PM |
| 397 | [REDACTED] | 4/4/2022 2:59 PM |

Community Governance Review Survey March 2022

| | | |
|-----|------------|--------------------|
| 398 | [REDACTED] | 4/4/2022 2:28 PM |
| 399 | [REDACTED] | 4/4/2022 2:22 PM |
| 400 | [REDACTED] | 4/4/2022 2:04 PM |
| 401 | [REDACTED] | 4/4/2022 12:39 PM |
| 402 | [REDACTED] | 4/4/2022 11:06 AM |
| 403 | [REDACTED] | 4/4/2022 9:48 AM |
| 404 | [REDACTED] | 4/4/2022 7:38 AM |
| 405 | [REDACTED] | 4/3/2022 7:30 PM |
| 406 | [REDACTED] | 4/3/2022 5:22 PM |
| 407 | [REDACTED] | 4/3/2022 4:56 PM |
| 408 | [REDACTED] | 4/3/2022 4:49 PM |
| 409 | [REDACTED] | 4/3/2022 2:11 PM |
| 410 | [REDACTED] | 4/3/2022 1:22 PM |
| 411 | [REDACTED] | 4/3/2022 12:40 PM |
| 412 | [REDACTED] | 4/3/2022 10:44 AM |
| 413 | [REDACTED] | 4/3/2022 10:38 AM |
| 414 | [REDACTED] | 4/2/2022 7:59 PM |
| 415 | [REDACTED] | 4/2/2022 5:21 PM |
| 416 | [REDACTED] | 4/2/2022 4:52 PM |
| 417 | [REDACTED] | 4/2/2022 3:52 PM |
| 418 | [REDACTED] | 4/2/2022 3:45 PM |
| 419 | [REDACTED] | 4/2/2022 10:11 AM |
| 420 | [REDACTED] | 4/2/2022 9:12 AM |
| 421 | [REDACTED] | 4/1/2022 7:27 PM |
| 422 | [REDACTED] | 4/1/2022 7:19 PM |
| 423 | [REDACTED] | 4/1/2022 4:09 PM |
| 424 | [REDACTED] | 4/1/2022 4:03 PM |
| 425 | [REDACTED] | 4/1/2022 2:19 PM |
| 426 | [REDACTED] | 4/1/2022 1:16 PM |
| 427 | [REDACTED] | 4/1/2022 12:19 PM |
| 428 | [REDACTED] | 4/1/2022 8:03 AM |
| 429 | [REDACTED] | 3/31/2022 11:20 PM |
| 430 | [REDACTED] | 3/31/2022 5:19 PM |
| 431 | [REDACTED] | 3/31/2022 5:17 PM |
| 432 | [REDACTED] | 3/31/2022 3:14 PM |
| 433 | [REDACTED] | 3/31/2022 1:37 PM |
| 434 | [REDACTED] | 3/31/2022 12:32 PM |
| 435 | [REDACTED] | 3/31/2022 12:15 PM |

Community Governance Review Survey March 2022

| | | |
|-----|------------|--------------------|
| 436 | [REDACTED] | 3/31/2022 10:22 AM |
| 437 | [REDACTED] | 3/31/2022 8:42 AM |
| 438 | [REDACTED] | 3/30/2022 10:26 PM |
| 439 | [REDACTED] | 3/30/2022 8:25 PM |
| 440 | [REDACTED] | 3/30/2022 4:49 PM |
| 441 | [REDACTED] | 3/30/2022 4:47 PM |
| 442 | [REDACTED] | 3/30/2022 4:28 PM |
| 443 | [REDACTED] | 3/30/2022 3:38 PM |
| 444 | [REDACTED] | 3/30/2022 3:29 PM |
| 445 | [REDACTED] | 3/30/2022 1:37 PM |
| 446 | [REDACTED] | 3/30/2022 1:04 PM |
| 447 | [REDACTED] | 3/30/2022 12:37 PM |
| 448 | [REDACTED] | 3/30/2022 11:48 AM |
| 449 | [REDACTED] | 3/30/2022 11:35 AM |
| 450 | [REDACTED] | 3/30/2022 11:31 AM |
| 451 | [REDACTED] | 3/30/2022 11:25 AM |
| 452 | [REDACTED] | 3/30/2022 11:21 AM |
| 453 | [REDACTED] | 3/30/2022 11:17 AM |
| 454 | [REDACTED] | 3/30/2022 11:07 AM |
| 455 | [REDACTED] | 3/30/2022 11:06 AM |
| 456 | [REDACTED] | 3/30/2022 11:03 AM |
| 457 | [REDACTED] | 3/30/2022 10:51 AM |
| 458 | [REDACTED] | 3/30/2022 10:42 AM |
| 459 | [REDACTED] | 3/30/2022 10:31 AM |
| 460 | [REDACTED] | 3/30/2022 10:25 AM |
| 461 | [REDACTED] | 3/30/2022 10:22 AM |
| 462 | [REDACTED] | 3/30/2022 9:58 AM |
| 463 | [REDACTED] | 3/30/2022 9:30 AM |
| 464 | [REDACTED] | 3/30/2022 9:25 AM |
| 465 | [REDACTED] | 3/30/2022 9:22 AM |
| 466 | [REDACTED] | 3/29/2022 8:31 PM |
| 467 | [REDACTED] | 3/29/2022 5:50 PM |
| 468 | [REDACTED] | 3/29/2022 3:37 PM |
| 469 | [REDACTED] | 3/29/2022 3:30 PM |
| 470 | [REDACTED] | 3/29/2022 3:18 PM |
| 471 | [REDACTED] | 3/29/2022 3:07 PM |
| 472 | [REDACTED] | 3/29/2022 2:23 PM |
| 473 | [REDACTED] | 3/29/2022 2:01 PM |

Community Governance Review Survey March 2022

| | | |
|-----|------------|--------------------|
| 474 | [REDACTED] | 3/29/2022 1:44 PM |
| 475 | [REDACTED] | 3/29/2022 10:47 AM |
| 476 | [REDACTED] | 3/28/2022 5:13 PM |
| 477 | [REDACTED] | 3/28/2022 4:23 PM |
| 478 | [REDACTED] | 3/28/2022 2:14 PM |
| 479 | [REDACTED] | 3/28/2022 2:00 PM |
| 480 | [REDACTED] | 3/28/2022 1:56 PM |
| 481 | [REDACTED] | 3/28/2022 1:44 PM |
| 482 | [REDACTED] | 3/28/2022 1:21 PM |
| 483 | [REDACTED] | 3/28/2022 12:27 PM |
| 484 | [REDACTED] | 3/28/2022 12:20 PM |
| 485 | [REDACTED] | 3/28/2022 12:17 PM |
| 486 | [REDACTED] | 3/28/2022 12:07 PM |
| 487 | [REDACTED] | 3/28/2022 12:01 PM |
| 488 | [REDACTED] | 3/28/2022 11:59 AM |
| 489 | [REDACTED] | 3/28/2022 11:44 AM |
| 490 | [REDACTED] | 3/28/2022 11:42 AM |
| 491 | [REDACTED] | 3/28/2022 11:38 AM |
| 492 | [REDACTED] | 3/28/2022 11:23 AM |
| 493 | [REDACTED] | 3/28/2022 10:33 AM |
| 494 | [REDACTED] | 3/28/2022 9:35 AM |
| 495 | [REDACTED] | 3/27/2022 7:23 PM |
| 496 | [REDACTED] | 3/27/2022 6:23 PM |
| 497 | [REDACTED] | 3/27/2022 3:48 PM |
| 498 | [REDACTED] | 3/27/2022 3:43 PM |
| 499 | [REDACTED] | 3/27/2022 1:03 PM |
| 500 | [REDACTED] | 3/26/2022 7:36 PM |
| 501 | [REDACTED] | 3/26/2022 3:06 PM |
| 502 | [REDACTED] | 3/26/2022 11:42 AM |
| 503 | [REDACTED] | 3/25/2022 12:02 PM |
| 504 | [REDACTED] | 3/24/2022 6:25 PM |
| 505 | [REDACTED] | 3/24/2022 5:24 PM |
| 506 | [REDACTED] | 3/24/2022 4:13 PM |
| 507 | [REDACTED] | 3/24/2022 1:35 PM |
| 508 | [REDACTED] | 3/24/2022 11:21 AM |
| 509 | [REDACTED] | 3/24/2022 10:27 AM |
| 510 | [REDACTED] | 3/24/2022 10:22 AM |
| 511 | [REDACTED] | 3/24/2022 9:38 AM |

Community Governance Review Survey March 2022

| | | |
|-----|------------|--------------------|
| 512 | [REDACTED] | 3/23/2022 9:01 PM |
| 513 | [REDACTED] | 3/23/2022 7:10 PM |
| 514 | [REDACTED] | 3/23/2022 4:56 PM |
| 515 | [REDACTED] | 3/23/2022 4:48 PM |
| 516 | [REDACTED] | 3/23/2022 4:40 PM |
| 517 | [REDACTED] | 3/23/2022 4:37 PM |
| 518 | [REDACTED] | 3/23/2022 3:55 PM |
| 519 | [REDACTED] | 3/23/2022 3:53 PM |
| 520 | [REDACTED] | 3/23/2022 3:37 PM |
| 521 | [REDACTED] | 3/23/2022 2:24 PM |
| 522 | [REDACTED] | 3/23/2022 11:20 AM |
| 523 | [REDACTED] | 3/23/2022 11:04 AM |
| 524 | [REDACTED] | 3/23/2022 8:18 AM |
| 525 | [REDACTED] | 3/22/2022 5:37 PM |
| 526 | [REDACTED] | 3/22/2022 4:55 PM |
| 527 | [REDACTED] | 3/22/2022 4:51 PM |
| 528 | [REDACTED] | 3/22/2022 4:38 PM |
| 529 | [REDACTED] | 3/22/2022 4:26 PM |
| 530 | [REDACTED] | 3/22/2022 1:57 PM |
| 531 | [REDACTED] | 3/22/2022 1:50 PM |
| 532 | [REDACTED] | 3/22/2022 1:44 PM |
| 533 | [REDACTED] | 3/22/2022 1:38 PM |
| 534 | [REDACTED] | 3/22/2022 12:50 PM |
| 535 | [REDACTED] | 3/22/2022 12:17 PM |
| 536 | [REDACTED] | 3/22/2022 12:05 PM |
| 537 | [REDACTED] | 3/22/2022 12:01 PM |
| 538 | [REDACTED] | 3/22/2022 11:53 AM |
| 539 | [REDACTED] | 3/22/2022 11:49 AM |
| 540 | [REDACTED] | 3/22/2022 11:38 AM |
| 541 | [REDACTED] | 3/22/2022 11:05 AM |
| 542 | [REDACTED] | 3/22/2022 11:02 AM |
| 543 | [REDACTED] | 3/22/2022 10:50 AM |
| 544 | [REDACTED] | 3/22/2022 10:48 AM |
| 545 | [REDACTED] | 3/22/2022 10:33 AM |
| 546 | [REDACTED] | 3/21/2022 8:41 PM |
| 547 | [REDACTED] | 3/21/2022 5:17 PM |
| 548 | [REDACTED] | 3/21/2022 3:50 PM |
| 549 | [REDACTED] | 3/21/2022 2:00 PM |

Community Governance Review Survey March 2022

| | | |
|-----|------------|--------------------|
| 550 | [REDACTED] | 3/21/2022 12:25 PM |
| 551 | [REDACTED] | 3/21/2022 12:02 PM |
| 552 | [REDACTED] | 3/21/2022 11:41 AM |
| 553 | [REDACTED] | 3/21/2022 10:26 AM |
| 554 | [REDACTED] | 3/21/2022 10:07 AM |
| 555 | [REDACTED] | 3/21/2022 10:04 AM |
| 556 | [REDACTED] | 3/21/2022 9:46 AM |
| 557 | [REDACTED] | 3/21/2022 9:28 AM |
| 558 | [REDACTED] | 3/21/2022 8:49 AM |
| 559 | [REDACTED] | 3/21/2022 8:39 AM |
| 560 | [REDACTED] | 3/21/2022 7:48 AM |
| 561 | [REDACTED] | 3/20/2022 5:39 PM |
| 562 | [REDACTED] | 3/20/2022 3:13 PM |
| 563 | [REDACTED] | 3/20/2022 3:05 PM |
| 564 | [REDACTED] | 3/20/2022 1:43 PM |
| 565 | [REDACTED] | 3/20/2022 1:35 PM |
| 566 | [REDACTED] | 3/20/2022 1:28 PM |
| 567 | [REDACTED] | 3/20/2022 12:32 PM |
| 568 | [REDACTED] | 3/20/2022 11:52 AM |
| 569 | [REDACTED] | 3/20/2022 11:10 AM |
| 570 | [REDACTED] | 3/20/2022 10:52 AM |
| 571 | [REDACTED] | 3/20/2022 10:49 AM |
| 572 | [REDACTED] | 3/19/2022 10:38 PM |
| 573 | [REDACTED] | 3/19/2022 10:13 PM |
| 574 | [REDACTED] | 3/19/2022 8:13 PM |
| 575 | [REDACTED] | 3/19/2022 8:13 PM |
| 576 | [REDACTED] | 3/19/2022 4:35 PM |
| 577 | [REDACTED] | 3/19/2022 3:01 PM |
| 578 | [REDACTED] | 3/19/2022 2:34 PM |
| 579 | [REDACTED] | 3/19/2022 1:19 PM |
| 580 | [REDACTED] | 3/19/2022 12:07 PM |
| 581 | [REDACTED] | 3/19/2022 11:31 AM |
| 582 | [REDACTED] | 3/19/2022 11:04 AM |
| 583 | [REDACTED] | 3/19/2022 10:44 AM |
| 584 | [REDACTED] | 3/19/2022 10:17 AM |
| 585 | [REDACTED] | 3/19/2022 10:01 AM |
| 586 | [REDACTED] | 3/19/2022 10:00 AM |
| 587 | [REDACTED] | 3/19/2022 9:48 AM |

Community Governance Review Survey March 2022

| | | |
|-----|------------|--------------------|
| 588 | [REDACTED] | 3/19/2022 9:40 AM |
| 589 | [REDACTED] | 3/19/2022 9:18 AM |
| 590 | [REDACTED] | 3/19/2022 8:35 AM |
| 591 | [REDACTED] | 3/19/2022 7:54 AM |
| 592 | [REDACTED] | 3/18/2022 8:12 PM |
| 593 | [REDACTED] | 3/18/2022 4:19 PM |
| 594 | [REDACTED] | 3/18/2022 3:57 PM |
| 595 | [REDACTED] | 3/18/2022 3:20 PM |
| 596 | [REDACTED] | 3/18/2022 2:34 PM |
| 597 | [REDACTED] | 3/18/2022 1:32 PM |
| 598 | [REDACTED] | 3/18/2022 1:29 PM |
| 599 | [REDACTED] | 3/18/2022 12:56 PM |
| 600 | [REDACTED] | 3/18/2022 12:25 PM |
| 601 | [REDACTED] | 3/18/2022 11:33 AM |
| 602 | [REDACTED] | 3/18/2022 11:11 AM |
| 603 | [REDACTED] | 3/18/2022 11:10 AM |
| 604 | [REDACTED] | 3/18/2022 8:02 AM |
| 605 | [REDACTED] | 3/18/2022 7:11 AM |
| 606 | [REDACTED] | 3/18/2022 6:45 AM |
| 607 | [REDACTED] | 3/17/2022 9:03 PM |
| 608 | [REDACTED] | 3/17/2022 5:31 PM |
| 609 | [REDACTED] | 3/17/2022 5:05 PM |
| 610 | [REDACTED] | 3/17/2022 4:46 PM |
| 611 | [REDACTED] | 3/17/2022 4:44 PM |
| 612 | [REDACTED] | 3/17/2022 3:25 PM |
| 613 | [REDACTED] | 3/17/2022 2:33 PM |
| 614 | [REDACTED] | 3/17/2022 2:13 PM |
| 615 | [REDACTED] | 3/17/2022 1:59 PM |
| 616 | [REDACTED] | 3/17/2022 12:56 PM |
| 617 | [REDACTED] | 3/17/2022 12:45 PM |
| 618 | [REDACTED] | 3/17/2022 12:27 PM |
| 619 | [REDACTED] | 3/17/2022 12:16 PM |
| 620 | [REDACTED] | 3/17/2022 11:41 AM |
| 621 | [REDACTED] | 3/17/2022 11:23 AM |
| 622 | [REDACTED] | 3/17/2022 11:12 AM |
| 623 | [REDACTED] | 3/17/2022 10:45 AM |
| 624 | [REDACTED] | 3/17/2022 10:05 AM |
| 625 | [REDACTED] | 3/17/2022 9:50 AM |

Community Governance Review Survey March 2022

| | | |
|-----|------------|--------------------|
| 626 | [REDACTED] | 3/17/2022 9:25 AM |
| 627 | [REDACTED] | 3/17/2022 8:47 AM |
| 628 | [REDACTED] | 3/17/2022 8:22 AM |
| 629 | [REDACTED] | 3/17/2022 7:07 AM |
| 630 | [REDACTED] | 3/17/2022 1:59 AM |
| 631 | [REDACTED] | 3/16/2022 7:39 PM |
| 632 | [REDACTED] | 3/16/2022 6:48 PM |
| 633 | [REDACTED] | 3/16/2022 6:38 PM |
| 634 | [REDACTED] | 3/16/2022 6:18 PM |
| 635 | [REDACTED] | 3/16/2022 6:08 PM |
| 636 | [REDACTED] | 3/16/2022 6:01 PM |
| 637 | [REDACTED] | 3/16/2022 5:57 PM |
| 638 | [REDACTED] | 3/16/2022 5:37 PM |
| 639 | [REDACTED] | 3/16/2022 5:14 PM |
| 640 | [REDACTED] | 3/16/2022 5:11 PM |
| 641 | [REDACTED] | 3/16/2022 4:59 PM |
| 642 | [REDACTED] | 3/16/2022 4:53 PM |
| 643 | [REDACTED] | 3/16/2022 4:20 PM |
| 644 | [REDACTED] | 3/16/2022 4:17 PM |
| 645 | [REDACTED] | 3/16/2022 3:56 PM |
| 646 | [REDACTED] | 3/16/2022 3:15 PM |
| 647 | [REDACTED] | 3/16/2022 2:57 PM |
| 648 | [REDACTED] | 3/16/2022 2:51 PM |
| 649 | [REDACTED] | 3/16/2022 2:43 PM |
| 650 | [REDACTED] | 3/16/2022 2:25 PM |
| 651 | [REDACTED] | 3/16/2022 2:19 PM |
| 652 | [REDACTED] | 3/16/2022 1:44 PM |
| 653 | [REDACTED] | 3/16/2022 1:42 PM |
| 654 | [REDACTED] | 3/16/2022 1:21 PM |
| 655 | [REDACTED] | 3/16/2022 1:20 PM |
| 656 | [REDACTED] | 3/16/2022 12:51 PM |
| 657 | [REDACTED] | 3/16/2022 12:50 PM |
| 658 | [REDACTED] | 3/16/2022 12:37 PM |
| 659 | [REDACTED] | 3/16/2022 12:30 PM |
| 660 | [REDACTED] | 3/16/2022 12:20 PM |
| 661 | [REDACTED] | 3/16/2022 12:11 PM |
| 662 | [REDACTED] | 3/16/2022 12:11 PM |
| 663 | [REDACTED] | 3/16/2022 11:34 AM |

Community Governance Review Survey March 2022

| | | |
|-----|----------------|--------------------|
| 664 | ██████████ | 3/16/2022 11:16 AM |
| 665 | ██████████ | 3/16/2022 10:27 AM |
| 666 | ██████████████ | 3/16/2022 10:01 AM |
| 667 | ████████████ | 3/16/2022 9:49 AM |
| 668 | ██████████ | 3/16/2022 9:31 AM |
| 669 | ██████████████ | 3/16/2022 8:52 AM |
| 670 | ██████████████ | 3/16/2022 8:50 AM |
| 671 | ██████████ | 3/16/2022 8:15 AM |
| 672 | ██████████████ | 3/16/2022 8:06 AM |
| 673 | ██████████ | 3/16/2022 7:51 AM |
| 674 | ██████████████ | 3/16/2022 4:18 AM |
| 675 | ██████████ | 3/15/2022 10:35 PM |
| 676 | ██████████████ | 3/15/2022 9:52 PM |
| 677 | ██████████████ | 3/15/2022 9:39 PM |
| 678 | ██████████████ | 3/15/2022 9:21 PM |
| 679 | ██████████████ | 3/15/2022 7:30 PM |
| 680 | ██████████ | 3/15/2022 7:21 PM |
| 681 | ██████████ | 3/15/2022 7:02 PM |
| 682 | ██████████████ | 3/15/2022 7:01 PM |
| 683 | ██████████ | 3/15/2022 6:55 PM |
| 684 | ██████████████ | 3/15/2022 6:51 PM |
| 685 | ██████████ | 3/15/2022 6:50 PM |
| 686 | ██████████████ | 3/15/2022 6:38 PM |
| 687 | ██████████ | 3/15/2022 5:56 PM |
| 688 | ██████████████ | 3/15/2022 5:26 PM |
| 689 | ██████████ | 3/15/2022 5:15 PM |
| 690 | ██████████████ | 3/15/2022 4:39 PM |
| 691 | ██████████ | 3/15/2022 4:35 PM |
| 692 | ██████████ | 3/15/2022 4:30 PM |
| 693 | ██████████ | 3/15/2022 4:18 PM |
| 694 | ██████████████ | 3/15/2022 4:04 PM |
| 695 | ██████████████ | 3/15/2022 3:20 PM |
| 696 | ██████████ | 3/15/2022 3:02 PM |
| 697 | ██████████████ | 3/15/2022 2:33 PM |
| 698 | ██████████████ | 3/15/2022 2:21 PM |
| 699 | ██████████████ | 3/15/2022 2:10 PM |
| 700 | ██████████ | 3/15/2022 1:41 PM |
| 701 | ██████████ | 3/15/2022 1:32 PM |

Community Governance Review Survey March 2022

| | | |
|-----|------------|--------------------|
| 702 | [REDACTED] | 3/15/2022 1:11 PM |
| 703 | [REDACTED] | 3/15/2022 1:00 PM |
| 704 | [REDACTED] | 3/15/2022 12:51 PM |
| 705 | [REDACTED] | 3/15/2022 11:36 AM |
| 706 | [REDACTED] | 3/15/2022 10:58 AM |
| 707 | [REDACTED] | 3/14/2022 10:03 PM |
| 708 | [REDACTED] | 3/14/2022 12:21 PM |
| 709 | [REDACTED] | 3/14/2022 11:19 AM |
| 710 | [REDACTED] | 3/14/2022 11:02 AM |
| 711 | [REDACTED] | 3/14/2022 9:08 AM |
| 712 | [REDACTED] | 3/14/2022 7:57 AM |
| 713 | [REDACTED] | 3/13/2022 6:25 AM |
| 714 | [REDACTED] | 3/12/2022 4:26 PM |
| 715 | [REDACTED] | 3/12/2022 9:32 AM |
| 716 | [REDACTED] | 3/12/2022 9:00 AM |
| 717 | [REDACTED] | 3/11/2022 10:02 PM |
| 718 | [REDACTED] | 3/11/2022 9:52 PM |
| 719 | [REDACTED] | 3/11/2022 4:21 PM |
| 720 | [REDACTED] | 3/11/2022 4:08 PM |
| 721 | [REDACTED] | 3/11/2022 12:55 PM |
| 722 | [REDACTED] | 3/11/2022 12:38 PM |
| 723 | [REDACTED] | 3/11/2022 10:57 AM |
| 724 | [REDACTED] | 3/11/2022 9:53 AM |
| 725 | [REDACTED] | 3/11/2022 9:50 AM |
| 726 | [REDACTED] | 3/11/2022 9:46 AM |

| # | COMPANY | DATE |
|---|---------|------|
|---|---------|------|

There are no responses.

| # | ADDRESS | DATE |
|----|------------|--------------------|
| 1 | [REDACTED] | 4/25/2022 4:03 PM |
| 2 | [REDACTED] | 4/25/2022 2:56 PM |
| 3 | [REDACTED] | 4/25/2022 2:40 PM |
| 4 | [REDACTED] | 4/25/2022 2:29 PM |
| 5 | [REDACTED] | 4/25/2022 2:27 PM |
| 6 | [REDACTED] | 4/25/2022 2:21 PM |
| 7 | [REDACTED] | 4/25/2022 2:08 PM |
| 8 | [REDACTED] | 4/25/2022 2:04 PM |
| 9 | [REDACTED] | 4/25/2022 1:00 PM |
| 10 | [REDACTED] | 4/25/2022 12:40 PM |

Community Governance Review Survey March 2022

| | | |
|----|------------|--------------------|
| 11 | [REDACTED] | 4/25/2022 12:28 PM |
| 12 | [REDACTED] | 4/25/2022 12:18 PM |
| 13 | [REDACTED] | 4/25/2022 12:08 PM |
| 14 | [REDACTED] | 4/25/2022 12:04 PM |
| 15 | [REDACTED] | 4/25/2022 10:47 AM |
| 16 | [REDACTED] | 4/25/2022 10:35 AM |
| 17 | [REDACTED] | 4/25/2022 10:24 AM |
| 18 | [REDACTED] | 4/25/2022 10:06 AM |
| 19 | [REDACTED] | 4/25/2022 9:31 AM |
| 20 | [REDACTED] | 4/25/2022 9:20 AM |
| 21 | [REDACTED] | 4/25/2022 9:05 AM |
| 22 | [REDACTED] | 4/25/2022 8:59 AM |
| 23 | [REDACTED] | 4/23/2022 8:25 AM |
| 24 | [REDACTED] | 4/22/2022 10:44 PM |
| 25 | [REDACTED] | 4/22/2022 10:34 PM |
| 26 | [REDACTED] | 4/22/2022 10:10 PM |
| 27 | [REDACTED] | 4/22/2022 9:31 PM |
| 28 | [REDACTED] | 4/22/2022 9:00 PM |
| 29 | [REDACTED] | 4/22/2022 7:47 PM |
| 30 | [REDACTED] | 4/22/2022 7:27 PM |
| 31 | [REDACTED] | 4/22/2022 6:43 PM |
| 32 | [REDACTED] | 4/22/2022 6:35 PM |
| 33 | [REDACTED] | 4/22/2022 2:52 PM |
| 34 | [REDACTED] | 4/22/2022 2:46 PM |
| 35 | [REDACTED] | 4/22/2022 2:41 PM |
| 36 | [REDACTED] | 4/22/2022 2:02 PM |
| 37 | [REDACTED] | 4/22/2022 1:10 PM |
| 38 | [REDACTED] | 4/22/2022 12:58 PM |
| 39 | [REDACTED] | 4/22/2022 10:44 AM |
| 40 | [REDACTED] | 4/22/2022 9:43 AM |
| 41 | [REDACTED] | 4/22/2022 9:41 AM |
| 42 | [REDACTED] | 4/22/2022 9:33 AM |
| 43 | [REDACTED] | 4/22/2022 1:18 AM |
| 44 | [REDACTED] | 4/21/2022 10:30 PM |
| 45 | [REDACTED] | 4/21/2022 10:30 PM |
| 46 | [REDACTED] | 4/21/2022 9:48 PM |
| 47 | [REDACTED] | 4/21/2022 7:15 PM |
| 48 | [REDACTED] | 4/21/2022 6:56 PM |

Community Governance Review Survey March 2022

| | | |
|----|------------|--------------------|
| 49 | [REDACTED] | 4/21/2022 6:51 PM |
| 50 | [REDACTED] | 4/21/2022 6:11 PM |
| 51 | [REDACTED] | 4/21/2022 6:10 PM |
| 52 | [REDACTED] | 4/21/2022 5:46 PM |
| 53 | [REDACTED] | 4/21/2022 5:17 PM |
| 54 | [REDACTED] | 4/21/2022 5:03 PM |
| 55 | [REDACTED] | 4/21/2022 4:58 PM |
| 56 | [REDACTED] | 4/21/2022 4:55 PM |
| 57 | [REDACTED] | 4/21/2022 4:52 PM |
| 58 | [REDACTED] | 4/21/2022 4:48 PM |
| 59 | [REDACTED] | 4/21/2022 4:41 PM |
| 60 | [REDACTED] | 4/21/2022 3:48 PM |
| 61 | [REDACTED] | 4/21/2022 3:37 PM |
| 62 | [REDACTED] | 4/21/2022 3:25 PM |
| 63 | [REDACTED] | 4/21/2022 3:15 PM |
| 64 | [REDACTED] | 4/21/2022 2:51 PM |
| 65 | [REDACTED] | 4/21/2022 2:48 PM |
| 66 | [REDACTED] | 4/21/2022 2:08 PM |
| 67 | [REDACTED] | 4/21/2022 1:14 PM |
| 68 | [REDACTED] | 4/21/2022 1:04 PM |
| 69 | [REDACTED] | 4/21/2022 1:03 PM |
| 70 | [REDACTED] | 4/21/2022 12:40 PM |
| 71 | [REDACTED] | 4/21/2022 12:18 PM |
| 72 | [REDACTED] | 4/21/2022 11:10 AM |
| 73 | [REDACTED] | 4/21/2022 10:23 AM |
| 74 | [REDACTED] | 4/21/2022 9:29 AM |
| 75 | [REDACTED] | 4/21/2022 8:32 AM |
| 76 | [REDACTED] | 4/20/2022 11:13 PM |
| 77 | [REDACTED] | 4/20/2022 8:27 PM |
| 78 | [REDACTED] | 4/20/2022 8:13 PM |
| 79 | [REDACTED] | 4/20/2022 4:29 PM |
| 80 | [REDACTED] | 4/20/2022 3:25 PM |
| 81 | [REDACTED] | 4/20/2022 2:08 PM |
| 82 | [REDACTED] | 4/20/2022 2:01 PM |
| 83 | [REDACTED] | 4/20/2022 1:07 PM |
| 84 | [REDACTED] | 4/20/2022 12:41 PM |
| 85 | [REDACTED] | 4/20/2022 12:35 PM |
| 86 | [REDACTED] | 4/20/2022 9:59 AM |

Community Governance Review Survey March 2022

| | | |
|-----|------------|--------------------|
| 87 | [REDACTED] | 4/20/2022 9:55 AM |
| 88 | [REDACTED] | 4/20/2022 9:44 AM |
| 89 | [REDACTED] | 4/20/2022 9:31 AM |
| 90 | [REDACTED] | 4/20/2022 9:31 AM |
| 91 | [REDACTED] | 4/20/2022 9:28 AM |
| 92 | [REDACTED] | 4/20/2022 9:24 AM |
| 93 | [REDACTED] | 4/20/2022 9:00 AM |
| 94 | [REDACTED] | 4/20/2022 8:53 AM |
| 95 | [REDACTED] | 4/20/2022 8:50 AM |
| 96 | [REDACTED] | 4/20/2022 8:38 AM |
| 97 | [REDACTED] | 4/20/2022 8:34 AM |
| 98 | [REDACTED] | 4/19/2022 11:56 PM |
| 99 | [REDACTED] | 4/19/2022 5:10 PM |
| 100 | [REDACTED] | 4/19/2022 4:30 PM |
| 101 | [REDACTED] | 4/19/2022 3:46 PM |
| 102 | [REDACTED] | 4/19/2022 3:03 PM |
| 103 | [REDACTED] | 4/19/2022 2:55 PM |
| 104 | [REDACTED] | 4/19/2022 2:51 PM |
| 105 | [REDACTED] | 4/19/2022 2:30 PM |
| 106 | [REDACTED] | 4/19/2022 12:53 PM |
| 107 | [REDACTED] | 4/19/2022 12:50 PM |
| 108 | [REDACTED] | 4/19/2022 12:35 PM |
| 109 | [REDACTED] | 4/19/2022 12:26 PM |
| 110 | [REDACTED] | 4/19/2022 11:56 AM |
| 111 | [REDACTED] | 4/19/2022 11:51 AM |
| 112 | [REDACTED] | 4/19/2022 10:00 AM |
| 113 | [REDACTED] | 4/19/2022 9:25 AM |
| 114 | [REDACTED] | 4/18/2022 3:58 PM |
| 115 | [REDACTED] | 4/18/2022 1:17 PM |
| 116 | [REDACTED] | 4/18/2022 1:00 PM |
| 117 | [REDACTED] | 4/18/2022 12:35 PM |
| 118 | [REDACTED] | 4/18/2022 12:12 PM |
| 119 | [REDACTED] | 4/18/2022 11:37 AM |
| 120 | [REDACTED] | 4/18/2022 11:33 AM |
| 121 | [REDACTED] | 4/18/2022 11:10 AM |
| 122 | [REDACTED] | 4/18/2022 10:15 AM |
| 123 | [REDACTED] | 4/18/2022 10:13 AM |
| 124 | [REDACTED] | 4/18/2022 8:26 AM |

Community Governance Review Survey March 2022

| | | |
|-----|------------|--------------------|
| 125 | ██████████ | 4/18/2022 7:30 AM |
| 126 | ██████████ | 4/17/2022 9:52 PM |
| 127 | ██████████ | 4/17/2022 3:31 PM |
| 128 | N/A | 4/17/2022 3:16 PM |
| 129 | N/A | 4/17/2022 3:15 PM |
| 130 | N/A | 4/17/2022 3:14 PM |
| 131 | N/A | 4/17/2022 3:12 PM |
| 132 | N/A | 4/17/2022 3:11 PM |
| 133 | N/A | 4/17/2022 3:09 PM |
| 134 | N/A | 4/17/2022 3:08 PM |
| 135 | N/A | 4/17/2022 3:06 PM |
| 136 | N/A | 4/17/2022 3:05 PM |
| 137 | N/A | 4/17/2022 3:04 PM |
| 138 | N/A | 4/17/2022 3:02 PM |
| 139 | N/A | 4/17/2022 3:01 PM |
| 140 | N/A | 4/17/2022 3:00 PM |
| 141 | N/A | 4/17/2022 2:58 PM |
| 142 | N/A | 4/17/2022 2:57 PM |
| 143 | N/A | 4/17/2022 2:55 PM |
| 144 | N/A | 4/17/2022 2:54 PM |
| 145 | N/A | 4/17/2022 2:53 PM |
| 146 | N/A | 4/17/2022 2:51 PM |
| 147 | N/A | 4/17/2022 2:50 PM |
| 148 | ██████████ | 4/17/2022 12:44 PM |
| 149 | ██████████ | 4/17/2022 11:47 AM |
| 150 | ██████████ | 4/17/2022 8:53 AM |
| 151 | N/A | 4/16/2022 3:27 PM |
| 152 | N/A | 4/16/2022 3:25 PM |
| 153 | N/A | 4/16/2022 3:24 PM |
| 154 | N/A | 4/16/2022 3:23 PM |
| 155 | N/A | 4/16/2022 3:21 PM |
| 156 | N/A | 4/16/2022 3:19 PM |
| 157 | N/A | 4/16/2022 3:18 PM |
| 158 | N/A | 4/16/2022 3:16 PM |
| 159 | N/A | 4/16/2022 3:14 PM |
| 160 | N/A | 4/16/2022 3:13 PM |
| 161 | N/A | 4/16/2022 2:55 PM |
| 162 | N/A | 4/16/2022 2:54 PM |

Community Governance Review Survey March 2022

| | | |
|-----|----------------|--------------------|
| 163 | N/A | 4/16/2022 2:52 PM |
| 164 | N/A | 4/16/2022 2:51 PM |
| 165 | N/A | 4/16/2022 2:49 PM |
| 166 | ████ | 4/16/2022 1:22 PM |
| 167 | ██████████████ | 4/16/2022 12:57 PM |
| 168 | ██████████████ | 4/16/2022 11:17 AM |
| 169 | ██████████████ | 4/15/2022 8:12 PM |
| 170 | ██████████████ | 4/15/2022 4:51 PM |
| 171 | ██████████████ | 4/15/2022 3:06 PM |
| 172 | ██████████████ | 4/15/2022 3:05 PM |
| 173 | ██████████████ | 4/15/2022 3:01 PM |
| 174 | ██████████████ | 4/15/2022 2:01 PM |
| 175 | ██████████████ | 4/15/2022 1:22 PM |
| 176 | ██████████████ | 4/15/2022 1:19 PM |
| 177 | ██████████████ | 4/15/2022 1:06 PM |
| 178 | ██████████████ | 4/15/2022 10:29 AM |
| 179 | ██████████████ | 4/15/2022 3:29 AM |
| 180 | ██████████████ | 4/14/2022 6:51 PM |
| 181 | ██████████████ | 4/14/2022 6:38 PM |
| 182 | ██████████████ | 4/14/2022 5:18 PM |
| 183 | N/A | 4/14/2022 3:42 PM |
| 184 | N/A | 4/14/2022 3:41 PM |
| 185 | N/A | 4/14/2022 3:39 PM |
| 186 | N/A | 4/14/2022 3:38 PM |
| 187 | N/A | 4/14/2022 3:36 PM |
| 188 | N/A | 4/14/2022 3:34 PM |
| 189 | N/A | 4/14/2022 3:32 PM |
| 190 | N/A | 4/14/2022 3:30 PM |
| 191 | N/A | 4/14/2022 3:29 PM |
| 192 | N/A | 4/14/2022 3:27 PM |
| 193 | N/A | 4/14/2022 3:26 PM |
| 194 | N/A | 4/14/2022 3:24 PM |
| 195 | N/A | 4/14/2022 3:23 PM |
| 196 | N/A | 4/14/2022 3:21 PM |
| 197 | N/A | 4/14/2022 3:19 PM |
| 198 | ██████████████ | 4/14/2022 12:06 PM |
| 199 | ██████████████ | 4/14/2022 11:14 AM |
| 200 | ██████████████ | 4/13/2022 6:11 PM |

Community Governance Review Survey March 2022

| | | |
|-----|------------|--------------------|
| 201 | [REDACTED] | 4/13/2022 5:46 PM |
| 202 | [REDACTED] | 4/13/2022 2:35 PM |
| 203 | [REDACTED] | 4/13/2022 2:16 PM |
| 204 | [REDACTED] | 4/13/2022 1:15 PM |
| 205 | [REDACTED] | 4/13/2022 11:32 AM |
| 206 | [REDACTED] | 4/13/2022 10:46 AM |
| 207 | [REDACTED] | 4/13/2022 10:17 AM |
| 208 | N/A | 4/12/2022 8:31 PM |
| 209 | N/A | 4/12/2022 8:29 PM |
| 210 | N/A | 4/12/2022 8:28 PM |
| 211 | N/A | 4/12/2022 8:27 PM |
| 212 | N/A | 4/12/2022 8:25 PM |
| 213 | N/A | 4/12/2022 8:24 PM |
| 214 | N/A | 4/12/2022 8:23 PM |
| 215 | N/A | 4/12/2022 8:21 PM |
| 216 | N/A | 4/12/2022 8:20 PM |
| 217 | N/A | 4/12/2022 8:18 PM |
| 218 | N/A | 4/12/2022 8:17 PM |
| 219 | N/A | 4/12/2022 8:15 PM |
| 220 | N/A | 4/12/2022 8:14 PM |
| 221 | N/A | 4/12/2022 8:13 PM |
| 222 | N/A | 4/12/2022 8:11 PM |
| 223 | [REDACTED] | 4/12/2022 7:40 PM |
| 224 | [REDACTED] | 4/12/2022 7:26 PM |
| 225 | [REDACTED] | 4/12/2022 6:25 PM |
| 226 | [REDACTED] | 4/12/2022 4:56 PM |
| 227 | [REDACTED] | 4/12/2022 4:00 PM |
| 228 | [REDACTED] | 4/12/2022 1:29 PM |
| 229 | [REDACTED] | 4/12/2022 1:23 PM |
| 230 | [REDACTED] | 4/12/2022 1:16 PM |
| 231 | [REDACTED] | 4/12/2022 1:11 PM |
| 232 | [REDACTED] | 4/12/2022 1:02 PM |
| 233 | [REDACTED] | 4/12/2022 12:57 PM |
| 234 | [REDACTED] | 4/12/2022 12:52 PM |
| 235 | [REDACTED] | 4/12/2022 12:48 PM |
| 236 | [REDACTED] | 4/12/2022 12:39 PM |
| 237 | [REDACTED] | 4/12/2022 12:24 PM |
| 238 | [REDACTED] | 4/12/2022 12:14 PM |

Community Governance Review Survey March 2022

| | | |
|-----|------------|--------------------|
| 239 | ██████████ | 4/12/2022 12:06 PM |
| 240 | ██████████ | 4/12/2022 8:20 AM |
| 241 | ██████████ | 4/11/2022 11:12 PM |
| 242 | ██████████ | 4/11/2022 9:19 PM |
| 243 | ██████████ | 4/11/2022 9:02 PM |
| 244 | ██████████ | 4/11/2022 6:47 PM |
| 245 | ██████████ | 4/11/2022 4:32 PM |
| 246 | N/A | 4/11/2022 3:51 PM |
| 247 | N/A | 4/11/2022 3:50 PM |
| 248 | N/A | 4/11/2022 3:48 PM |
| 249 | N/A | 4/11/2022 3:47 PM |
| 250 | N/A | 4/11/2022 3:45 PM |
| 251 | N/A | 4/11/2022 3:44 PM |
| 252 | N/A | 4/11/2022 3:43 PM |
| 253 | N/A | 4/11/2022 3:42 PM |
| 254 | N/A | 4/11/2022 3:40 PM |
| 255 | N/A | 4/11/2022 3:39 PM |
| 256 | N/A | 4/11/2022 3:38 PM |
| 257 | N/A | 4/11/2022 3:37 PM |
| 258 | N/A | 4/11/2022 3:35 PM |
| 259 | N/A | 4/11/2022 3:33 PM |
| 260 | N/A | 4/11/2022 3:31 PM |
| 261 | N/A | 4/11/2022 3:29 PM |
| 262 | N/A | 4/11/2022 3:27 PM |
| 263 | N/A | 4/11/2022 3:26 PM |
| 264 | N/A | 4/11/2022 3:24 PM |
| 265 | N/A | 4/11/2022 3:22 PM |
| 266 | N/A | 4/11/2022 3:19 PM |
| 267 | N/A | 4/11/2022 3:16 PM |
| 268 | N/A | 4/11/2022 3:14 PM |
| 269 | N/A | 4/11/2022 3:11 PM |
| 270 | N/A | 4/11/2022 3:09 PM |
| 271 | ██████████ | 4/11/2022 3:09 PM |
| 272 | ██████████ | 4/11/2022 12:58 PM |
| 273 | ██████████ | 4/11/2022 11:05 AM |
| 274 | ██████████ | 4/11/2022 10:26 AM |
| 275 | ██████████ | 4/11/2022 10:21 AM |
| 276 | ██████████ | 4/11/2022 10:12 AM |

Community Governance Review Survey March 2022

| | | |
|-----|------------|--------------------|
| 277 | [REDACTED] | 4/11/2022 9:31 AM |
| 278 | [REDACTED] | 4/11/2022 9:23 AM |
| 279 | [REDACTED] | 4/11/2022 9:20 AM |
| 280 | [REDACTED] | 4/11/2022 9:15 AM |
| 281 | [REDACTED] | 4/10/2022 11:42 AM |
| 282 | N/A | 4/10/2022 11:40 AM |
| 283 | N/A | 4/10/2022 11:38 AM |
| 284 | N/A | 4/10/2022 11:37 AM |
| 285 | N/A | 4/10/2022 11:35 AM |
| 286 | N/A | 4/10/2022 11:34 AM |
| 287 | N/A | 4/10/2022 11:32 AM |
| 288 | N/A | 4/10/2022 11:31 AM |
| 289 | N/A | 4/10/2022 11:29 AM |
| 290 | N/A | 4/10/2022 11:28 AM |
| 291 | N/A | 4/10/2022 11:26 AM |
| 292 | N/A | 4/10/2022 11:25 AM |
| 293 | N/A | 4/10/2022 11:23 AM |
| 294 | N/A | 4/10/2022 11:21 AM |
| 295 | N/A | 4/10/2022 11:20 AM |
| 296 | N/A | 4/10/2022 11:18 AM |
| 297 | N/A | 4/10/2022 11:16 AM |
| 298 | N/A | 4/10/2022 11:15 AM |
| 299 | N/A | 4/10/2022 11:13 AM |
| 300 | N/A | 4/10/2022 11:12 AM |
| 301 | N/A | 4/10/2022 11:10 AM |
| 302 | N/A | 4/10/2022 11:08 AM |
| 303 | N/A | 4/10/2022 11:07 AM |
| 304 | N/A | 4/10/2022 11:05 AM |
| 305 | N/A | 4/10/2022 11:03 AM |
| 306 | N/A | 4/10/2022 11:01 AM |
| 307 | N/A | 4/9/2022 9:30 PM |
| 308 | N/A | 4/9/2022 9:28 PM |
| 309 | N/A | 4/9/2022 9:26 PM |
| 310 | N/A | 4/9/2022 9:24 PM |
| 311 | N/A | 4/9/2022 9:21 PM |
| 312 | [REDACTED] | 4/9/2022 1:01 PM |
| 313 | [REDACTED] | 4/9/2022 12:07 PM |
| 314 | [REDACTED] | 4/9/2022 8:21 AM |

Community Governance Review Survey March 2022

| | | |
|-----|------------|-------------------|
| 315 | N/A | 4/8/2022 7:26 PM |
| 316 | N/A | 4/8/2022 7:23 PM |
| 317 | N/A | 4/8/2022 7:15 PM |
| 318 | N/A | 4/8/2022 7:13 PM |
| 319 | N/A | 4/8/2022 7:11 PM |
| 320 | N/A | 4/8/2022 7:09 PM |
| 321 | N/A | 4/8/2022 7:07 PM |
| 322 | [REDACTED] | 4/8/2022 5:15 PM |
| 323 | N/A | 4/8/2022 3:31 PM |
| 324 | N/A | 4/8/2022 3:29 PM |
| 325 | N/A | 4/8/2022 3:27 PM |
| 326 | N/A | 4/8/2022 3:25 PM |
| 327 | N/A | 4/8/2022 3:23 PM |
| 328 | N/A | 4/8/2022 3:20 PM |
| 329 | N/A | 4/8/2022 3:17 PM |
| 330 | N/A | 4/8/2022 3:14 PM |
| 331 | [REDACTED] | 4/8/2022 3:08 PM |
| 332 | [REDACTED] | 4/8/2022 2:51 PM |
| 333 | N/A | 4/8/2022 12:55 PM |
| 334 | N/A | 4/8/2022 12:52 PM |
| 335 | N/A | 4/8/2022 12:49 PM |
| 336 | N/A | 4/8/2022 12:46 PM |
| 337 | N/A | 4/8/2022 12:33 PM |
| 338 | N/A | 4/8/2022 12:27 PM |
| 339 | [REDACTED] | 4/8/2022 12:18 PM |
| 340 | N/A | 4/8/2022 12:02 PM |
| 341 | N/A | 4/8/2022 11:59 AM |
| 342 | [REDACTED] | 4/8/2022 10:10 AM |
| 343 | N/A | 4/8/2022 5:42 AM |
| 344 | [REDACTED] | 4/7/2022 8:24 PM |
| 345 | N/A | 4/7/2022 7:43 PM |
| 346 | N/A | 4/7/2022 7:39 PM |
| 347 | N/A | 4/7/2022 7:33 PM |
| 348 | N/A | 4/7/2022 7:29 PM |
| 349 | N/A | 4/7/2022 7:24 PM |
| 350 | N/A | 4/7/2022 7:18 PM |
| 351 | [REDACTED] | 4/7/2022 5:32 PM |
| 352 | [REDACTED] | 4/7/2022 4:33 PM |

Community Governance Review Survey March 2022

| | | |
|-----|------------|-------------------|
| 353 | N/A | 4/7/2022 3:42 PM |
| 354 | N/A | 4/7/2022 3:25 PM |
| 355 | [REDACTED] | 4/7/2022 3:04 PM |
| 356 | [REDACTED] | 4/7/2022 2:18 PM |
| 357 | N/A | 4/7/2022 1:00 PM |
| 358 | [REDACTED] | 4/7/2022 12:57 PM |
| 359 | [REDACTED] | 4/7/2022 12:30 PM |
| 360 | [REDACTED] | 4/7/2022 12:15 PM |
| 361 | [REDACTED] | 4/7/2022 11:36 AM |
| 362 | [REDACTED] | 4/7/2022 10:30 AM |
| 363 | [REDACTED] | 4/6/2022 5:59 PM |
| 364 | [REDACTED] | 4/6/2022 2:16 PM |
| 365 | [REDACTED] | 4/6/2022 1:49 PM |
| 366 | [REDACTED] | 4/5/2022 6:59 PM |
| 367 | [REDACTED] | 4/5/2022 5:48 PM |
| 368 | [REDACTED] | 4/5/2022 3:36 PM |
| 369 | [REDACTED] | 4/5/2022 3:07 PM |
| 370 | [REDACTED] | 4/5/2022 3:03 PM |
| 371 | [REDACTED] | 4/5/2022 1:15 PM |
| 372 | [REDACTED] | 4/5/2022 9:34 AM |
| 373 | [REDACTED] | 4/5/2022 7:51 AM |
| 374 | [REDACTED] | 4/4/2022 6:04 PM |
| 375 | [REDACTED] | 4/4/2022 5:40 PM |
| 376 | [REDACTED] | 4/4/2022 5:36 PM |
| 377 | [REDACTED] | 4/4/2022 4:48 PM |
| 378 | [REDACTED] | 4/4/2022 4:20 PM |
| 379 | [REDACTED] | 4/4/2022 4:19 PM |
| 380 | [REDACTED] | 4/4/2022 4:00 PM |
| 381 | [REDACTED] | 4/4/2022 3:24 PM |
| 382 | [REDACTED] | 4/4/2022 3:15 PM |
| 383 | [REDACTED] | 4/4/2022 3:07 PM |
| 384 | [REDACTED] | 4/4/2022 3:02 PM |
| 385 | [REDACTED] | 4/4/2022 2:59 PM |
| 386 | [REDACTED] | 4/4/2022 2:28 PM |
| 387 | [REDACTED] | 4/4/2022 2:22 PM |
| 388 | [REDACTED] | 4/4/2022 2:04 PM |
| 389 | [REDACTED] | 4/4/2022 12:39 PM |
| 390 | [REDACTED] | 4/4/2022 11:06 AM |

Community Governance Review Survey March 2022

| | | |
|-----|------------|--------------------|
| 391 | [REDACTED] | 4/4/2022 9:48 AM |
| 392 | [REDACTED] | 4/4/2022 7:38 AM |
| 393 | [REDACTED] | 4/3/2022 7:30 PM |
| 394 | [REDACTED] | 4/3/2022 5:22 PM |
| 395 | [REDACTED] | 4/3/2022 4:56 PM |
| 396 | [REDACTED] | 4/3/2022 4:49 PM |
| 397 | [REDACTED] | 4/3/2022 1:22 PM |
| 398 | [REDACTED] | 4/3/2022 12:40 PM |
| 399 | [REDACTED] | 4/3/2022 10:44 AM |
| 400 | [REDACTED] | 4/3/2022 10:38 AM |
| 401 | [REDACTED] | 4/2/2022 7:59 PM |
| 402 | [REDACTED] | 4/2/2022 5:21 PM |
| 403 | [REDACTED] | 4/2/2022 4:52 PM |
| 404 | [REDACTED] | 4/2/2022 3:52 PM |
| 405 | [REDACTED] | 4/2/2022 3:45 PM |
| 406 | [REDACTED] | 4/2/2022 10:11 AM |
| 407 | [REDACTED] | 4/2/2022 9:12 AM |
| 408 | [REDACTED] | 4/1/2022 7:27 PM |
| 409 | [REDACTED] | 4/1/2022 7:19 PM |
| 410 | [REDACTED] | 4/1/2022 4:09 PM |
| 411 | [REDACTED] | 4/1/2022 4:03 PM |
| 412 | [REDACTED] | 4/1/2022 2:19 PM |
| 413 | [REDACTED] | 4/1/2022 1:16 PM |
| 414 | [REDACTED] | 4/1/2022 12:19 PM |
| 415 | [REDACTED] | 4/1/2022 8:03 AM |
| 416 | [REDACTED] | 3/31/2022 11:20 PM |
| 417 | [REDACTED] | 3/31/2022 5:19 PM |
| 418 | [REDACTED] | 3/31/2022 5:17 PM |
| 419 | [REDACTED] | 3/31/2022 3:14 PM |
| 420 | [REDACTED] | 3/31/2022 1:37 PM |
| 421 | [REDACTED] | 3/31/2022 12:32 PM |
| 422 | [REDACTED] | 3/31/2022 12:15 PM |
| 423 | [REDACTED] | 3/31/2022 10:22 AM |
| 424 | [REDACTED] | 3/31/2022 8:42 AM |
| 425 | [REDACTED] | 3/30/2022 10:26 PM |
| 426 | [REDACTED] | 3/30/2022 8:25 PM |
| 427 | [REDACTED] | 3/30/2022 4:49 PM |
| 428 | [REDACTED] | 3/30/2022 4:47 PM |

Community Governance Review Survey March 2022

| | | |
|-----|------------|--------------------|
| 429 | [REDACTED] | 3/30/2022 4:28 PM |
| 430 | [REDACTED] | 3/30/2022 3:29 PM |
| 431 | [REDACTED] | 3/30/2022 1:37 PM |
| 432 | [REDACTED] | 3/30/2022 1:04 PM |
| 433 | [REDACTED] | 3/30/2022 12:37 PM |
| 434 | [REDACTED] | 3/30/2022 11:48 AM |
| 435 | [REDACTED] | 3/30/2022 11:31 AM |
| 436 | [REDACTED] | 3/30/2022 11:25 AM |
| 437 | [REDACTED] | 3/30/2022 11:21 AM |
| 438 | [REDACTED] | 3/30/2022 11:17 AM |
| 439 | [REDACTED] | 3/30/2022 11:07 AM |
| 440 | [REDACTED] | 3/30/2022 11:06 AM |
| 441 | [REDACTED] | 3/30/2022 11:03 AM |
| 442 | [REDACTED] | 3/30/2022 10:51 AM |
| 443 | [REDACTED] | 3/30/2022 10:42 AM |
| 444 | [REDACTED] | 3/30/2022 10:31 AM |
| 445 | [REDACTED] | 3/30/2022 10:25 AM |
| 446 | [REDACTED] | 3/30/2022 10:22 AM |
| 447 | [REDACTED] | 3/30/2022 9:58 AM |
| 448 | [REDACTED] | 3/30/2022 9:30 AM |
| 449 | [REDACTED] | 3/30/2022 9:25 AM |
| 450 | [REDACTED] | 3/30/2022 9:22 AM |
| 451 | [REDACTED] | 3/29/2022 8:31 PM |
| 452 | [REDACTED] | 3/29/2022 5:50 PM |
| 453 | [REDACTED] | 3/29/2022 3:37 PM |
| 454 | [REDACTED] | 3/29/2022 3:30 PM |
| 455 | [REDACTED] | 3/29/2022 3:18 PM |
| 456 | [REDACTED] | 3/29/2022 3:07 PM |
| 457 | [REDACTED] | 3/29/2022 2:23 PM |
| 458 | [REDACTED] | 3/29/2022 2:01 PM |
| 459 | [REDACTED] | 3/29/2022 1:44 PM |
| 460 | [REDACTED] | 3/29/2022 10:47 AM |
| 461 | [REDACTED] | 3/28/2022 5:13 PM |
| 462 | [REDACTED] | 3/28/2022 4:23 PM |
| 463 | [REDACTED] | 3/28/2022 2:14 PM |
| 464 | [REDACTED] | 3/28/2022 2:00 PM |
| 465 | [REDACTED] | 3/28/2022 1:56 PM |
| 466 | [REDACTED] | 3/28/2022 1:44 PM |

Community Governance Review Survey March 2022

| | | |
|-----|------------|--------------------|
| 467 | [REDACTED] | 3/28/2022 1:21 PM |
| 468 | [REDACTED] | 3/28/2022 12:27 PM |
| 469 | [REDACTED] | 3/28/2022 12:20 PM |
| 470 | [REDACTED] | 3/28/2022 12:17 PM |
| 471 | [REDACTED] | 3/28/2022 12:07 PM |
| 472 | [REDACTED] | 3/28/2022 12:01 PM |
| 473 | [REDACTED] | 3/28/2022 11:59 AM |
| 474 | [REDACTED] | 3/28/2022 11:44 AM |
| 475 | [REDACTED] | 3/28/2022 11:42 AM |
| 476 | [REDACTED] | 3/28/2022 11:38 AM |
| 477 | [REDACTED] | 3/28/2022 10:33 AM |
| 478 | [REDACTED] | 3/28/2022 9:35 AM |
| 479 | [REDACTED] | 3/27/2022 7:23 PM |
| 480 | [REDACTED] | 3/27/2022 6:23 PM |
| 481 | [REDACTED] | 3/27/2022 3:48 PM |
| 482 | [REDACTED] | 3/27/2022 3:43 PM |
| 483 | [REDACTED] | 3/27/2022 1:03 PM |
| 484 | [REDACTED] | 3/26/2022 7:36 PM |
| 485 | [REDACTED] | 3/26/2022 3:06 PM |
| 486 | [REDACTED] | 3/26/2022 11:42 AM |
| 487 | [REDACTED] | 3/25/2022 12:02 PM |
| 488 | [REDACTED] | 3/24/2022 6:25 PM |
| 489 | [REDACTED] | 3/24/2022 5:24 PM |
| 490 | [REDACTED] | 3/24/2022 4:13 PM |
| 491 | [REDACTED] | 3/24/2022 1:35 PM |
| 492 | [REDACTED] | 3/24/2022 11:21 AM |
| 493 | [REDACTED] | 3/24/2022 10:27 AM |
| 494 | [REDACTED] | 3/24/2022 10:22 AM |
| 495 | [REDACTED] | 3/24/2022 9:38 AM |
| 496 | [REDACTED] | 3/23/2022 7:10 PM |
| 497 | [REDACTED] | 3/23/2022 4:56 PM |
| 498 | [REDACTED] | 3/23/2022 4:48 PM |
| 499 | [REDACTED] | 3/23/2022 4:40 PM |
| 500 | [REDACTED] | 3/23/2022 4:37 PM |
| 501 | [REDACTED] | 3/23/2022 3:55 PM |
| 502 | [REDACTED] | 3/23/2022 3:53 PM |
| 503 | [REDACTED] | 3/23/2022 3:37 PM |
| 504 | [REDACTED] | 3/23/2022 2:24 PM |

Community Governance Review Survey March 2022

| | | |
|-----|------------|--------------------|
| 505 | [REDACTED] | 3/23/2022 11:20 AM |
| 506 | [REDACTED] | 3/23/2022 11:04 AM |
| 507 | [REDACTED] | 3/23/2022 8:18 AM |
| 508 | [REDACTED] | 3/22/2022 5:37 PM |
| 509 | [REDACTED] | 3/22/2022 4:55 PM |
| 510 | [REDACTED] | 3/22/2022 4:51 PM |
| 511 | [REDACTED] | 3/22/2022 4:38 PM |
| 512 | [REDACTED] | 3/22/2022 4:26 PM |
| 513 | [REDACTED] | 3/22/2022 1:57 PM |
| 514 | [REDACTED] | 3/22/2022 1:50 PM |
| 515 | [REDACTED] | 3/22/2022 1:44 PM |
| 516 | [REDACTED] | 3/22/2022 1:38 PM |
| 517 | [REDACTED] | 3/22/2022 12:50 PM |
| 518 | [REDACTED] | 3/22/2022 12:17 PM |
| 519 | [REDACTED] | 3/22/2022 12:05 PM |
| 520 | [REDACTED] | 3/22/2022 12:01 PM |
| 521 | [REDACTED] | 3/22/2022 11:53 AM |
| 522 | [REDACTED] | 3/22/2022 11:49 AM |
| 523 | [REDACTED] | 3/22/2022 11:38 AM |
| 524 | [REDACTED] | 3/22/2022 11:05 AM |
| 525 | [REDACTED] | 3/22/2022 11:02 AM |
| 526 | [REDACTED] | 3/22/2022 10:50 AM |
| 527 | [REDACTED] | 3/22/2022 10:48 AM |
| 528 | [REDACTED] | 3/22/2022 10:33 AM |
| 529 | [REDACTED] | 3/21/2022 8:41 PM |
| 530 | [REDACTED] | 3/21/2022 5:17 PM |
| 531 | [REDACTED] | 3/21/2022 3:50 PM |
| 532 | [REDACTED] | 3/21/2022 2:00 PM |
| 533 | [REDACTED] | 3/21/2022 12:25 PM |
| 534 | [REDACTED] | 3/21/2022 12:02 PM |
| 535 | [REDACTED] | 3/21/2022 11:41 AM |
| 536 | [REDACTED] | 3/21/2022 10:26 AM |
| 537 | [REDACTED] | 3/21/2022 10:07 AM |
| 538 | [REDACTED] | 3/21/2022 10:04 AM |
| 539 | [REDACTED] | 3/21/2022 9:46 AM |
| 540 | [REDACTED] | 3/21/2022 9:28 AM |
| 541 | [REDACTED] | 3/21/2022 8:49 AM |
| 542 | [REDACTED] | 3/21/2022 8:39 AM |

Community Governance Review Survey March 2022

| | | |
|-----|------------|--------------------|
| 543 | [REDACTED] | 3/21/2022 7:48 AM |
| 544 | [REDACTED] | 3/20/2022 5:39 PM |
| 545 | [REDACTED] | 3/20/2022 3:13 PM |
| 546 | [REDACTED] | 3/20/2022 3:05 PM |
| 547 | [REDACTED] | 3/20/2022 1:43 PM |
| 548 | [REDACTED] | 3/20/2022 1:35 PM |
| 549 | [REDACTED] | 3/20/2022 1:28 PM |
| 550 | [REDACTED] | 3/20/2022 12:32 PM |
| 551 | [REDACTED] | 3/20/2022 11:52 AM |
| 552 | [REDACTED] | 3/20/2022 11:10 AM |
| 553 | [REDACTED] | 3/20/2022 10:52 AM |
| 554 | [REDACTED] | 3/20/2022 10:49 AM |
| 555 | [REDACTED] | 3/19/2022 10:38 PM |
| 556 | [REDACTED] | 3/19/2022 10:13 PM |
| 557 | [REDACTED] | 3/19/2022 8:13 PM |
| 558 | [REDACTED] | 3/19/2022 8:13 PM |
| 559 | [REDACTED] | 3/19/2022 4:35 PM |
| 560 | [REDACTED] | 3/19/2022 3:01 PM |
| 561 | [REDACTED] | 3/19/2022 2:34 PM |
| 562 | [REDACTED] | 3/19/2022 1:19 PM |
| 563 | [REDACTED] | 3/19/2022 12:07 PM |
| 564 | [REDACTED] | 3/19/2022 11:31 AM |
| 565 | [REDACTED] | 3/19/2022 11:04 AM |
| 566 | [REDACTED] | 3/19/2022 10:44 AM |
| 567 | [REDACTED] | 3/19/2022 10:17 AM |
| 568 | [REDACTED] | 3/19/2022 10:01 AM |
| 569 | [REDACTED] | 3/19/2022 10:00 AM |
| 570 | [REDACTED] | 3/19/2022 9:48 AM |
| 571 | [REDACTED] | 3/19/2022 9:40 AM |
| 572 | [REDACTED] | 3/19/2022 9:18 AM |
| 573 | [REDACTED] | 3/19/2022 8:35 AM |
| 574 | [REDACTED] | 3/19/2022 7:54 AM |
| 575 | [REDACTED] | 3/18/2022 8:12 PM |
| 576 | [REDACTED] | 3/18/2022 4:19 PM |
| 577 | [REDACTED] | 3/18/2022 3:57 PM |
| 578 | [REDACTED] | 3/18/2022 3:20 PM |
| 579 | [REDACTED] | 3/18/2022 2:34 PM |
| 580 | [REDACTED] | 3/18/2022 1:32 PM |

Community Governance Review Survey March 2022

| | | |
|-----|------------|--------------------|
| 581 | █ | 3/18/2022 1:29 PM |
| 582 | ██████████ | 3/18/2022 11:33 AM |
| 583 | ██████████ | 3/18/2022 11:11 AM |
| 584 | ██████████ | 3/18/2022 11:10 AM |
| 585 | ██████████ | 3/18/2022 8:02 AM |
| 586 | ██████████ | 3/18/2022 7:11 AM |
| 587 | ██████████ | 3/18/2022 6:45 AM |
| 588 | █ | 3/17/2022 9:03 PM |
| 589 | ██████████ | 3/17/2022 5:31 PM |
| 590 | ██████████ | 3/17/2022 5:05 PM |
| 591 | ██████████ | 3/17/2022 4:46 PM |
| 592 | ██████ | 3/17/2022 4:44 PM |
| 593 | ██████████ | 3/17/2022 3:25 PM |
| 594 | ██████████ | 3/17/2022 2:33 PM |
| 595 | ██████████ | 3/17/2022 2:13 PM |
| 596 | ██████████ | 3/17/2022 1:59 PM |
| 597 | ██████████ | 3/17/2022 12:56 PM |
| 598 | ██████████ | 3/17/2022 12:45 PM |
| 599 | ██████████ | 3/17/2022 12:27 PM |
| 600 | ██████ | 3/17/2022 12:16 PM |
| 601 | ██████ | 3/17/2022 11:41 AM |
| 602 | ██████████ | 3/17/2022 11:23 AM |
| 603 | ██████████ | 3/17/2022 11:12 AM |
| 604 | ██████████ | 3/17/2022 10:45 AM |
| 605 | ██████████ | 3/17/2022 10:05 AM |
| 606 | ██████████ | 3/17/2022 9:50 AM |
| 607 | ██████████ | 3/17/2022 9:25 AM |
| 608 | ██████████ | 3/17/2022 8:47 AM |
| 609 | ██████████ | 3/17/2022 8:22 AM |
| 610 | ██████████ | 3/17/2022 7:07 AM |
| 611 | ██████████ | 3/16/2022 7:39 PM |
| 612 | ██████████ | 3/16/2022 6:48 PM |
| 613 | ██████████ | 3/16/2022 6:38 PM |
| 614 | ██████████ | 3/16/2022 6:18 PM |
| 615 | ██████████ | 3/16/2022 6:08 PM |
| 616 | ██████████ | 3/16/2022 6:01 PM |
| 617 | ██████████ | 3/16/2022 5:57 PM |
| 618 | ██████████ | 3/16/2022 5:37 PM |

Community Governance Review Survey March 2022

| | | |
|-----|------------|--------------------|
| 619 | [REDACTED] | 3/16/2022 5:14 PM |
| 620 | [REDACTED] | 3/16/2022 5:11 PM |
| 621 | [REDACTED] | 3/16/2022 4:59 PM |
| 622 | [REDACTED] | 3/16/2022 4:53 PM |
| 623 | [REDACTED] | 3/16/2022 4:20 PM |
| 624 | [REDACTED] | 3/16/2022 4:17 PM |
| 625 | [REDACTED] | 3/16/2022 3:56 PM |
| 626 | [REDACTED] | 3/16/2022 3:15 PM |
| 627 | [REDACTED] | 3/16/2022 2:57 PM |
| 628 | [REDACTED] | 3/16/2022 2:51 PM |
| 629 | [REDACTED] | 3/16/2022 2:43 PM |
| 630 | [REDACTED] | 3/16/2022 2:25 PM |
| 631 | [REDACTED] | 3/16/2022 2:19 PM |
| 632 | [REDACTED] | 3/16/2022 1:44 PM |
| 633 | [REDACTED] | 3/16/2022 1:42 PM |
| 634 | [REDACTED] | 3/16/2022 1:21 PM |
| 635 | [REDACTED] | 3/16/2022 1:20 PM |
| 636 | [REDACTED] | 3/16/2022 12:51 PM |
| 637 | [REDACTED] | 3/16/2022 12:50 PM |
| 638 | [REDACTED] | 3/16/2022 12:37 PM |
| 639 | [REDACTED] | 3/16/2022 12:30 PM |
| 640 | [REDACTED] | 3/16/2022 12:20 PM |
| 641 | [REDACTED] | 3/16/2022 12:11 PM |
| 642 | [REDACTED] | 3/16/2022 12:11 PM |
| 643 | [REDACTED] | 3/16/2022 11:34 AM |
| 644 | [REDACTED] | 3/16/2022 11:16 AM |
| 645 | [REDACTED] | 3/16/2022 10:27 AM |
| 646 | [REDACTED] | 3/16/2022 10:01 AM |
| 647 | [REDACTED] | 3/16/2022 9:49 AM |
| 648 | [REDACTED] | 3/16/2022 9:31 AM |
| 649 | [REDACTED] | 3/16/2022 8:52 AM |
| 650 | [REDACTED] | 3/16/2022 8:50 AM |
| 651 | [REDACTED] | 3/16/2022 8:15 AM |
| 652 | [REDACTED] | 3/16/2022 8:06 AM |
| 653 | [REDACTED] | 3/16/2022 7:51 AM |
| 654 | [REDACTED] | 3/16/2022 4:18 AM |
| 655 | [REDACTED] | 3/15/2022 10:35 PM |
| 656 | [REDACTED] | 3/15/2022 9:52 PM |

Community Governance Review Survey March 2022

| | | |
|-----|------------|--------------------|
| 657 | [REDACTED] | 3/15/2022 9:39 PM |
| 658 | [REDACTED] | 3/15/2022 9:21 PM |
| 659 | [REDACTED] | 3/15/2022 7:30 PM |
| 660 | [REDACTED] | 3/15/2022 7:21 PM |
| 661 | [REDACTED] | 3/15/2022 7:02 PM |
| 662 | [REDACTED] | 3/15/2022 7:01 PM |
| 663 | [REDACTED] | 3/15/2022 6:55 PM |
| 664 | [REDACTED] | 3/15/2022 6:51 PM |
| 665 | [REDACTED] | 3/15/2022 6:50 PM |
| 666 | [REDACTED] | 3/15/2022 6:38 PM |
| 667 | [REDACTED] | 3/15/2022 5:56 PM |
| 668 | [REDACTED] | 3/15/2022 5:26 PM |
| 669 | [REDACTED] | 3/15/2022 5:15 PM |
| 670 | [REDACTED] | 3/15/2022 4:39 PM |
| 671 | [REDACTED] | 3/15/2022 4:35 PM |
| 672 | [REDACTED] | 3/15/2022 4:30 PM |
| 673 | [REDACTED] | 3/15/2022 4:18 PM |
| 674 | [REDACTED] | 3/15/2022 4:04 PM |
| 675 | [REDACTED] | 3/15/2022 3:20 PM |
| 676 | [REDACTED] | 3/15/2022 3:02 PM |
| 677 | [REDACTED] | 3/15/2022 2:33 PM |
| 678 | [REDACTED] | 3/15/2022 2:21 PM |
| 679 | [REDACTED] | 3/15/2022 2:10 PM |
| 680 | [REDACTED] | 3/15/2022 1:41 PM |
| 681 | [REDACTED] | 3/15/2022 1:32 PM |
| 682 | [REDACTED] | 3/15/2022 1:11 PM |
| 683 | [REDACTED] | 3/15/2022 1:00 PM |
| 684 | [REDACTED] | 3/15/2022 12:51 PM |
| 685 | [REDACTED] | 3/15/2022 11:36 AM |
| 686 | [REDACTED] | 3/15/2022 10:58 AM |
| 687 | [REDACTED] | 3/14/2022 10:03 PM |
| 688 | [REDACTED] | 3/14/2022 12:21 PM |
| 689 | [REDACTED] | 3/14/2022 11:19 AM |
| 690 | [REDACTED] | 3/14/2022 11:02 AM |
| 691 | [REDACTED] | 3/14/2022 9:08 AM |
| 692 | [REDACTED] | 3/14/2022 7:57 AM |
| 693 | [REDACTED] | 3/13/2022 6:25 AM |
| 694 | [REDACTED] | 3/12/2022 4:26 PM |

Community Governance Review Survey March 2022

| 695 | [REDACTED] | 3/12/2022 9:32 AM |
|-----|-------------------|--------------------|
| 696 | [REDACTED] | 3/12/2022 9:00 AM |
| 697 | [REDACTED] | 3/11/2022 10:02 PM |
| 698 | [REDACTED] | 3/11/2022 9:52 PM |
| 699 | [REDACTED] | 3/11/2022 4:21 PM |
| 700 | [REDACTED] | 3/11/2022 4:08 PM |
| 701 | [REDACTED] | 3/11/2022 12:55 PM |
| 702 | [REDACTED] | 3/11/2022 12:38 PM |
| 703 | [REDACTED] | 3/11/2022 10:57 AM |
| 704 | [REDACTED] | 3/11/2022 9:53 AM |
| 705 | [REDACTED] | 3/11/2022 9:50 AM |
| 706 | [REDACTED] | 3/11/2022 9:46 AM |
| # | ADDRESS 2 | DATE |
| 1 | Gonerby Hill Foot | 4/25/2022 2:04 PM |
| 2 | [REDACTED] | 4/25/2022 1:00 PM |
| 3 | [REDACTED] | 4/25/2022 12:40 PM |
| 4 | [REDACTED] | 4/25/2022 12:18 PM |
| 5 | Gonerby Hill Foot | 4/25/2022 8:59 AM |
| 6 | Grantham | 4/23/2022 8:25 AM |
| 7 | Londonthorpe | 4/22/2022 10:10 PM |
| 8 | Great Gonerby | 4/22/2022 6:43 PM |
| 9 | [REDACTED] | 4/22/2022 6:35 PM |
| 10 | [REDACTED] | 4/22/2022 2:41 PM |
| 11 | Gonerby Hill Foot | 4/22/2022 2:02 PM |
| 12 | Barrowby | 4/22/2022 9:41 AM |
| 13 | [REDACTED] | 4/22/2022 9:33 AM |
| 14 | [REDACTED] | 4/21/2022 6:56 PM |
| 15 | Gonerby Hill Foot | 4/21/2022 6:51 PM |
| 16 | Grantham | 4/21/2022 5:46 PM |
| 17 | [REDACTED] | 4/21/2022 5:03 PM |
| 18 | [REDACTED] | 4/21/2022 3:15 PM |
| 19 | Grantham | 4/21/2022 2:48 PM |
| 20 | Barrowby | 4/21/2022 2:08 PM |
| 21 | [REDACTED] | 4/21/2022 1:14 PM |
| 22 | Barrowby | 4/21/2022 1:03 PM |
| 23 | Grantham | 4/21/2022 12:18 PM |
| 24 | Grantham | 4/20/2022 8:27 PM |
| 25 | Barrowby | 4/20/2022 3:25 PM |

Community Governance Review Survey March 2022

| | | |
|----|-------------------|--------------------|
| 26 | Gonerby Hill Foot | 4/19/2022 3:46 PM |
| 27 | [REDACTED] | 4/19/2022 12:53 PM |
| 28 | Barrowby | 4/19/2022 11:56 AM |
| 29 | Manthorpe | 4/19/2022 11:51 AM |
| 30 | Gonerby Hill Foot | 4/18/2022 1:17 PM |
| 31 | Barrowby | 4/18/2022 12:12 PM |
| 32 | Grantham | 4/18/2022 10:13 AM |
| 33 | [REDACTED] | 4/18/2022 8:26 AM |
| 34 | Harlaxton | 4/18/2022 7:30 AM |
| 35 | N/A | 4/17/2022 3:16 PM |
| 36 | N/A | 4/17/2022 3:15 PM |
| 37 | N/A | 4/17/2022 3:14 PM |
| 38 | N/A | 4/17/2022 3:12 PM |
| 39 | N/A | 4/17/2022 3:11 PM |
| 40 | N/A | 4/17/2022 3:09 PM |
| 41 | N/A | 4/17/2022 3:08 PM |
| 42 | N/A | 4/17/2022 3:06 PM |
| 43 | N/A | 4/17/2022 3:05 PM |
| 44 | N/A | 4/17/2022 3:04 PM |
| 45 | N/A | 4/17/2022 3:02 PM |
| 46 | N/A | 4/17/2022 3:01 PM |
| 47 | N/A | 4/17/2022 3:00 PM |
| 48 | N/A | 4/17/2022 2:58 PM |
| 49 | N/A | 4/17/2022 2:57 PM |
| 50 | N/A | 4/17/2022 2:55 PM |
| 51 | N/A | 4/17/2022 2:54 PM |
| 52 | N/A | 4/17/2022 2:53 PM |
| 53 | N/A | 4/17/2022 2:51 PM |
| 54 | N/A | 4/17/2022 2:50 PM |
| 55 | N/A | 4/16/2022 3:27 PM |
| 56 | N/A | 4/16/2022 3:25 PM |
| 57 | N/A | 4/16/2022 3:24 PM |
| 58 | N/A | 4/16/2022 3:23 PM |
| 59 | N/A | 4/16/2022 3:21 PM |
| 60 | N/A | 4/16/2022 3:19 PM |
| 61 | N/A | 4/16/2022 3:18 PM |
| 62 | N/A | 4/16/2022 3:16 PM |
| 63 | N/A | 4/16/2022 3:14 PM |

Community Governance Review Survey March 2022

| | | |
|-----|--------------------|--------------------|
| 64 | N/A | 4/16/2022 3:13 PM |
| 65 | N/A | 4/16/2022 2:55 PM |
| 66 | N/A | 4/16/2022 2:54 PM |
| 67 | N/A | 4/16/2022 2:52 PM |
| 68 | N/A | 4/16/2022 2:51 PM |
| 69 | N/A | 4/16/2022 2:49 PM |
| 70 | ██████████ | 4/16/2022 1:22 PM |
| 71 | ██████████████████ | 4/15/2022 4:51 PM |
| 72 | ██████████ | 4/15/2022 3:06 PM |
| 73 | Barrowby | 4/15/2022 2:01 PM |
| 74 | Barrowby | 4/15/2022 1:22 PM |
| 75 | Barrowby | 4/15/2022 1:19 PM |
| 76 | Great Gonerby | 4/15/2022 1:06 PM |
| 77 | ██████████████████ | 4/14/2022 5:18 PM |
| 78 | N/A | 4/14/2022 3:42 PM |
| 79 | N/A | 4/14/2022 3:41 PM |
| 80 | N/A | 4/14/2022 3:39 PM |
| 81 | N/A | 4/14/2022 3:38 PM |
| 82 | N/A | 4/14/2022 3:36 PM |
| 83 | N/A | 4/14/2022 3:34 PM |
| 84 | N/A | 4/14/2022 3:32 PM |
| 85 | N/A | 4/14/2022 3:30 PM |
| 86 | N/A | 4/14/2022 3:29 PM |
| 87 | N/A | 4/14/2022 3:27 PM |
| 88 | N/A | 4/14/2022 3:26 PM |
| 89 | N/A | 4/14/2022 3:24 PM |
| 90 | N/A | 4/14/2022 3:23 PM |
| 91 | N/A | 4/14/2022 3:21 PM |
| 92 | N/A | 4/14/2022 3:19 PM |
| 93 | Barrowby | 4/13/2022 2:16 PM |
| 94 | ██████████████████ | 4/13/2022 10:46 AM |
| 95 | N/A | 4/12/2022 8:31 PM |
| 96 | N/A | 4/12/2022 8:29 PM |
| 97 | N/A | 4/12/2022 8:28 PM |
| 98 | N/A | 4/12/2022 8:27 PM |
| 99 | N/A | 4/12/2022 8:25 PM |
| 100 | N/A | 4/12/2022 8:24 PM |
| 101 | N/A | 4/12/2022 8:23 PM |

Community Governance Review Survey March 2022

| | | |
|-----|-------------------|--------------------|
| 102 | N/A | 4/12/2022 8:21 PM |
| 103 | N/A | 4/12/2022 8:20 PM |
| 104 | N/A | 4/12/2022 8:18 PM |
| 105 | N/A | 4/12/2022 8:17 PM |
| 106 | N/A | 4/12/2022 8:15 PM |
| 107 | N/A | 4/12/2022 8:14 PM |
| 108 | N/A | 4/12/2022 8:13 PM |
| 109 | N/A | 4/12/2022 8:11 PM |
| 110 | [REDACTED] | 4/12/2022 4:00 PM |
| 111 | [REDACTED] | 4/12/2022 1:29 PM |
| 112 | Gonerby Hill Foot | 4/12/2022 1:23 PM |
| 113 | [REDACTED] | 4/12/2022 12:14 PM |
| 114 | Gonerby Hill Foot | 4/12/2022 8:20 AM |
| 115 | [REDACTED] | 4/11/2022 11:12 PM |
| 116 | [REDACTED] | 4/11/2022 9:19 PM |
| 117 | Barrowby | 4/11/2022 9:02 PM |
| 118 | N/A | 4/11/2022 3:51 PM |
| 119 | N/A | 4/11/2022 3:50 PM |
| 120 | N/A | 4/11/2022 3:48 PM |
| 121 | N/A | 4/11/2022 3:47 PM |
| 122 | N/A | 4/11/2022 3:45 PM |
| 123 | N/A | 4/11/2022 3:44 PM |
| 124 | N/A | 4/11/2022 3:43 PM |
| 125 | N/A | 4/11/2022 3:42 PM |
| 126 | N/A | 4/11/2022 3:40 PM |
| 127 | N/A | 4/11/2022 3:39 PM |
| 128 | N/A | 4/11/2022 3:38 PM |
| 129 | N/A | 4/11/2022 3:37 PM |
| 130 | N/A | 4/11/2022 3:35 PM |
| 131 | N/A | 4/11/2022 3:33 PM |
| 132 | N/A | 4/11/2022 3:31 PM |
| 133 | N/A | 4/11/2022 3:29 PM |
| 134 | N/A | 4/11/2022 3:27 PM |
| 135 | N/A | 4/11/2022 3:26 PM |
| 136 | N/A | 4/11/2022 3:24 PM |
| 137 | N/A | 4/11/2022 3:22 PM |
| 138 | N/A | 4/11/2022 3:19 PM |
| 139 | N/A | 4/11/2022 3:16 PM |

Community Governance Review Survey March 2022

| | | |
|-----|-------------------|--------------------|
| 140 | N/A | 4/11/2022 3:14 PM |
| 141 | N/A | 4/11/2022 3:11 PM |
| 142 | N/A | 4/11/2022 3:09 PM |
| 143 | Gonerby Hill Foot | 4/11/2022 11:05 AM |
| 144 | Old Somerby | 4/10/2022 11:42 AM |
| 145 | N/A | 4/10/2022 11:40 AM |
| 146 | N/A | 4/10/2022 11:38 AM |
| 147 | N/A | 4/10/2022 11:37 AM |
| 148 | N/A | 4/10/2022 11:35 AM |
| 149 | N/A | 4/10/2022 11:34 AM |
| 150 | N/A | 4/10/2022 11:32 AM |
| 151 | N/A | 4/10/2022 11:31 AM |
| 152 | N/A | 4/10/2022 11:29 AM |
| 153 | N/A | 4/10/2022 11:28 AM |
| 154 | N/A | 4/10/2022 11:26 AM |
| 155 | N/A | 4/10/2022 11:25 AM |
| 156 | N/A | 4/10/2022 11:23 AM |
| 157 | N/A | 4/10/2022 11:21 AM |
| 158 | N/A | 4/10/2022 11:20 AM |
| 159 | N/A | 4/10/2022 11:18 AM |
| 160 | N/A | 4/10/2022 11:16 AM |
| 161 | N/A | 4/10/2022 11:15 AM |
| 162 | N/A | 4/10/2022 11:13 AM |
| 163 | N/A | 4/10/2022 11:12 AM |
| 164 | N/A | 4/10/2022 11:10 AM |
| 165 | N/A | 4/10/2022 11:08 AM |
| 166 | N/A | 4/10/2022 11:07 AM |
| 167 | N/A | 4/10/2022 11:05 AM |
| 168 | N/A | 4/10/2022 11:03 AM |
| 169 | N/A | 4/10/2022 11:01 AM |
| 170 | N/A | 4/9/2022 9:30 PM |
| 171 | N/A | 4/9/2022 9:28 PM |
| 172 | N/A | 4/9/2022 9:26 PM |
| 173 | N/A | 4/9/2022 9:24 PM |
| 174 | N/A | 4/9/2022 9:21 PM |
| 175 | N/A | 4/8/2022 7:26 PM |
| 176 | N/A | 4/8/2022 7:23 PM |
| 177 | N/A | 4/8/2022 7:15 PM |

Community Governance Review Survey March 2022

| | | |
|-----|----------------------|-------------------|
| 178 | N/A | 4/8/2022 7:13 PM |
| 179 | N/A | 4/8/2022 7:11 PM |
| 180 | N/A | 4/8/2022 7:09 PM |
| 181 | N/A | 4/8/2022 7:07 PM |
| 182 | Grantham | 4/8/2022 5:15 PM |
| 183 | N/A | 4/8/2022 3:31 PM |
| 184 | N/A | 4/8/2022 3:29 PM |
| 185 | N/A | 4/8/2022 3:27 PM |
| 186 | N/A | 4/8/2022 3:25 PM |
| 187 | N/A | 4/8/2022 3:23 PM |
| 188 | N/A | 4/8/2022 3:20 PM |
| 189 | N/A | 4/8/2022 3:17 PM |
| 190 | N/A | 4/8/2022 3:14 PM |
| 191 | ████████████████████ | 4/8/2022 3:08 PM |
| 192 | N/A | 4/8/2022 12:55 PM |
| 193 | N/A | 4/8/2022 12:52 PM |
| 194 | N/A | 4/8/2022 12:49 PM |
| 195 | N/A | 4/8/2022 12:46 PM |
| 196 | N/A | 4/8/2022 12:33 PM |
| 197 | N/A | 4/8/2022 12:27 PM |
| 198 | N/A | 4/8/2022 12:02 PM |
| 199 | N/A | 4/8/2022 11:59 AM |
| 200 | N/A | 4/8/2022 5:42 AM |
| 201 | N/A | 4/7/2022 7:43 PM |
| 202 | N/A | 4/7/2022 7:39 PM |
| 203 | N/A | 4/7/2022 7:33 PM |
| 204 | N/A | 4/7/2022 7:29 PM |
| 205 | N/A | 4/7/2022 7:24 PM |
| 206 | N/A | 4/7/2022 7:18 PM |
| 207 | Gonerby Hill Foot | 4/7/2022 5:32 PM |
| 208 | N/A | 4/7/2022 3:42 PM |
| 209 | N/A | 4/7/2022 3:25 PM |
| 210 | ██████████ | 4/7/2022 3:04 PM |
| 211 | N/A | 4/7/2022 1:00 PM |
| 212 | ██████████ | 4/7/2022 12:57 PM |
| 213 | ████████████████████ | 4/7/2022 12:15 PM |
| 214 | ██████████ | 4/6/2022 5:59 PM |
| 215 | ROPSLEY | 4/4/2022 5:36 PM |

Community Governance Review Survey March 2022

| | | |
|-----|-------------------|--------------------|
| 216 | [REDACTED] | 4/4/2022 4:48 PM |
| 217 | [REDACTED] | 4/4/2022 2:59 PM |
| 218 | Gonerby Hill Foot | 4/4/2022 7:38 AM |
| 219 | [REDACTED] | 4/3/2022 7:30 PM |
| 220 | [REDACTED] | 4/3/2022 5:22 PM |
| 221 | Gonerby Hill Foot | 4/3/2022 4:56 PM |
| 222 | [REDACTED] | 4/3/2022 2:11 PM |
| 223 | [REDACTED] | 4/2/2022 5:21 PM |
| 224 | [REDACTED] | 4/2/2022 9:12 AM |
| 225 | Great Gonerby | 4/1/2022 4:03 PM |
| 226 | GRANTHAM | 3/31/2022 3:14 PM |
| 227 | [REDACTED] | 3/31/2022 12:15 PM |
| 228 | [REDACTED] | 3/31/2022 10:22 AM |
| 229 | [REDACTED] | 3/31/2022 8:42 AM |
| 230 | [REDACTED] | 3/30/2022 4:49 PM |
| 231 | [REDACTED] | 3/30/2022 4:28 PM |
| 232 | [REDACTED] | 3/30/2022 1:37 PM |
| 233 | Gonerby Hill Foot | 3/30/2022 11:07 AM |
| 234 | [REDACTED] | 3/30/2022 11:06 AM |
| 235 | Grantham | 3/30/2022 11:03 AM |
| 236 | Gonerby Hill Foot | 3/30/2022 10:42 AM |
| 237 | Gonerby Hill Foot | 3/29/2022 8:31 PM |
| 238 | [REDACTED] | 3/29/2022 5:50 PM |
| 239 | Gonerby Hill Foot | 3/29/2022 3:30 PM |
| 240 | [REDACTED] | 3/29/2022 2:23 PM |
| 241 | [REDACTED] | 3/28/2022 5:13 PM |
| 242 | [REDACTED] | 3/28/2022 12:17 PM |
| 243 | Gonerby Hill Foot | 3/28/2022 12:01 PM |
| 244 | Gonerby Hill Foot | 3/28/2022 11:59 AM |
| 245 | [REDACTED] | 3/28/2022 11:23 AM |
| 246 | [REDACTED] | 3/27/2022 3:48 PM |
| 247 | [REDACTED] | 3/26/2022 7:36 PM |
| 248 | Gonerby Hill Foot | 3/26/2022 3:06 PM |
| 249 | Harrowby | 3/24/2022 4:13 PM |
| 250 | [REDACTED] | 3/24/2022 11:21 AM |
| 251 | [REDACTED] | 3/23/2022 11:20 AM |
| 252 | Grantham | 3/23/2022 8:18 AM |
| 253 | Gonerby Hill Foot | 3/22/2022 5:37 PM |

Community Governance Review Survey March 2022

| | | |
|-----|-------------------|--------------------|
| 254 | [REDACTED] | 3/22/2022 11:53 AM |
| 255 | [REDACTED] | 3/22/2022 11:05 AM |
| 256 | [REDACTED] | 3/21/2022 12:02 PM |
| 257 | GRANTHAM | 3/21/2022 7:48 AM |
| 258 | [REDACTED] | 3/20/2022 3:05 PM |
| 259 | [REDACTED] | 3/20/2022 11:10 AM |
| 260 | [REDACTED] | 3/20/2022 10:52 AM |
| 261 | [REDACTED] | 3/20/2022 10:49 AM |
| 262 | Gonerby Hill Foot | 3/19/2022 10:38 PM |
| 263 | [REDACTED] | 3/19/2022 8:13 PM |
| 264 | [REDACTED] | 3/19/2022 4:35 PM |
| 265 | [REDACTED] | 3/19/2022 3:01 PM |
| 266 | Barrowby | 3/19/2022 1:19 PM |
| 267 | [REDACTED] | 3/19/2022 11:31 AM |
| 268 | Barrowby | 3/19/2022 10:01 AM |
| 269 | Grantham | 3/19/2022 9:48 AM |
| 270 | [REDACTED] | 3/19/2022 9:40 AM |
| 271 | Gonerby Hill Foot | 3/18/2022 4:19 PM |
| 272 | [REDACTED] | 3/18/2022 3:20 PM |
| 273 | [REDACTED] | 3/18/2022 1:29 PM |
| 274 | grantham | 3/18/2022 11:33 AM |
| 275 | Barrowby | 3/18/2022 7:11 AM |
| 276 | [REDACTED] | 3/18/2022 6:45 AM |
| 277 | [REDACTED] | 3/17/2022 9:03 PM |
| 278 | Grantham | 3/17/2022 5:05 PM |
| 279 | [REDACTED] | 3/17/2022 4:44 PM |
| 280 | Grantham | 3/17/2022 3:25 PM |
| 281 | GREAT GONERBY | 3/17/2022 1:59 PM |
| 282 | Grantham | 3/17/2022 12:56 PM |
| 283 | [REDACTED] | 3/17/2022 12:16 PM |
| 284 | [REDACTED] | 3/17/2022 11:41 AM |
| 285 | Gonerby hill foot | 3/17/2022 10:45 AM |
| 286 | [REDACTED] | 3/17/2022 10:05 AM |
| 287 | Grantham | 3/17/2022 9:50 AM |
| 288 | Gonerby Hill Foot | 3/17/2022 9:25 AM |
| 289 | [REDACTED] | 3/16/2022 5:37 PM |
| 290 | [REDACTED] | 3/16/2022 4:53 PM |
| 291 | [REDACTED] | 3/16/2022 4:20 PM |

Community Governance Review Survey March 2022

| | | |
|----------|---------------------|--------------------|
| 292 | Grantham | 3/16/2022 1:42 PM |
| 293 | Gonerby Hill foot | 3/16/2022 12:51 PM |
| 294 | Great Gonerby | 3/16/2022 12:30 PM |
| 295 | Gonerby Rd., | 3/16/2022 9:31 AM |
| 296 | ██████ | 3/16/2022 8:15 AM |
| 297 | ██████████ | 3/15/2022 9:21 PM |
| 298 | ██████████ | 3/15/2022 7:02 PM |
| 299 | ██████████ | 3/15/2022 7:01 PM |
| 300 | ██████████ | 3/15/2022 6:50 PM |
| 301 | Gonerby Hill Foot | 3/15/2022 5:56 PM |
| 302 | ██████████ | 3/15/2022 4:18 PM |
| 303 | ██████████ | 3/15/2022 3:20 PM |
| 304 | Gonerby Hill Foot | 3/15/2022 2:10 PM |
| 305 | Grantham | 3/15/2022 1:32 PM |
| 306 | Grantham | 3/14/2022 11:02 AM |
| 307 | Barrowby | 3/14/2022 7:57 AM |
| 308 | ██████████ | 3/12/2022 4:26 PM |
| 309 | ██████████ | 3/11/2022 9:52 PM |
| 310 | Sedgebrook | 3/11/2022 12:38 PM |
| 311 | ██████████ | 3/11/2022 10:57 AM |
| 312 | ██████████ | 3/11/2022 9:50 AM |
| # | VILLAGE/TOWN | DATE |
| 1 | Grantham | 4/25/2022 4:03 PM |
| 2 | Grantham | 4/25/2022 2:56 PM |
| 3 | Grantham | 4/25/2022 2:40 PM |
| 4 | Ancaster | 4/25/2022 2:29 PM |
| 5 | Grantham | 4/25/2022 2:27 PM |
| 6 | Grantham | 4/25/2022 2:21 PM |
| 7 | Grantham | 4/25/2022 2:08 PM |
| 8 | Grantham | 4/25/2022 2:04 PM |
| 9 | Grantham | 4/25/2022 1:00 PM |
| 10 | Grantham | 4/25/2022 12:40 PM |
| 11 | Grantham | 4/25/2022 12:28 PM |
| 12 | Grantham | 4/25/2022 12:18 PM |
| 13 | Grantham | 4/25/2022 12:08 PM |
| 14 | Grantham | 4/25/2022 12:04 PM |
| 15 | Grantham | 4/25/2022 11:02 AM |
| 16 | Grantham | 4/25/2022 10:47 AM |

Community Governance Review Survey March 2022

| | | |
|----|---------------|--------------------|
| 17 | Grantham | 4/25/2022 10:35 AM |
| 18 | Great Gonerby | 4/25/2022 10:24 AM |
| 19 | Grantham | 4/25/2022 10:06 AM |
| 20 | Grantham | 4/25/2022 9:31 AM |
| 21 | Grantham | 4/25/2022 9:20 AM |
| 22 | Grantham | 4/25/2022 9:05 AM |
| 23 | Grantham | 4/25/2022 8:59 AM |
| 24 | Grantham | 4/23/2022 8:25 AM |
| 25 | Grantham | 4/22/2022 10:44 PM |
| 26 | Grantham | 4/22/2022 10:34 PM |
| 27 | Grantham | 4/22/2022 10:10 PM |
| 28 | Grantham | 4/22/2022 9:31 PM |
| 29 | Harlaxton | 4/22/2022 7:47 PM |
| 30 | Grantham | 4/22/2022 7:27 PM |
| 31 | Grantham | 4/22/2022 6:43 PM |
| 32 | Grantham | 4/22/2022 6:35 PM |
| 33 | Grantham | 4/22/2022 2:52 PM |
| 34 | Grantham | 4/22/2022 2:46 PM |
| 35 | Grantham | 4/22/2022 2:41 PM |
| 36 | Grantham | 4/22/2022 2:02 PM |
| 37 | Grantham | 4/22/2022 1:10 PM |
| 38 | Grantham | 4/22/2022 12:58 PM |
| 39 | Grantham | 4/22/2022 10:44 AM |
| 40 | Grantham | 4/22/2022 9:43 AM |
| 41 | Grantham | 4/22/2022 9:33 AM |
| 42 | Grantham | 4/22/2022 1:18 AM |
| 43 | Grantham | 4/21/2022 10:30 PM |
| 44 | Grantham | 4/21/2022 10:30 PM |
| 45 | Grantham | 4/21/2022 9:48 PM |
| 46 | Grantham | 4/21/2022 7:15 PM |
| 47 | Grantham | 4/21/2022 6:56 PM |
| 48 | Grantham | 4/21/2022 6:51 PM |
| 49 | Grantham | 4/21/2022 6:11 PM |
| 50 | Grantham | 4/21/2022 6:10 PM |
| 51 | Lincs | 4/21/2022 5:46 PM |
| 52 | Grantham | 4/21/2022 5:17 PM |
| 53 | Great Gonerby | 4/21/2022 5:03 PM |
| 54 | Denton | 4/21/2022 4:58 PM |

Community Governance Review Survey March 2022

| | | |
|----|----------|--------------------|
| 55 | Denton | 4/21/2022 4:55 PM |
| 56 | Grantham | 4/21/2022 4:52 PM |
| 57 | Grantham | 4/21/2022 4:48 PM |
| 58 | Grantham | 4/21/2022 4:41 PM |
| 59 | Grantham | 4/21/2022 3:48 PM |
| 60 | Grantham | 4/21/2022 3:37 PM |
| 61 | Grantham | 4/21/2022 3:25 PM |
| 62 | Grantham | 4/21/2022 3:15 PM |
| 63 | Barrowby | 4/21/2022 2:51 PM |
| 64 | Grantham | 4/21/2022 2:48 PM |
| 65 | Grantham | 4/21/2022 2:08 PM |
| 66 | Grantham | 4/21/2022 1:14 PM |
| 67 | Grantham | 4/21/2022 1:04 PM |
| 68 | GRANTHAM | 4/21/2022 1:03 PM |
| 69 | Grantham | 4/21/2022 12:40 PM |
| 70 | GRANTHAM | 4/21/2022 12:18 PM |
| 71 | Grantham | 4/21/2022 11:10 AM |
| 72 | Grantham | 4/21/2022 10:23 AM |
| 73 | Grantham | 4/21/2022 9:47 AM |
| 74 | Barrowby | 4/21/2022 9:29 AM |
| 75 | Grantham | 4/21/2022 8:32 AM |
| 76 | Grantham | 4/20/2022 11:13 PM |
| 77 | Grantham | 4/20/2022 9:28 PM |
| 78 | Grantham | 4/20/2022 8:27 PM |
| 79 | Grantham | 4/20/2022 8:13 PM |
| 80 | Grantham | 4/20/2022 4:29 PM |
| 81 | Grantham | 4/20/2022 3:25 PM |
| 82 | Grantham | 4/20/2022 2:08 PM |
| 83 | Grantham | 4/20/2022 2:01 PM |
| 84 | Grantham | 4/20/2022 1:07 PM |
| 85 | Grantham | 4/20/2022 12:41 PM |
| 86 | Grantham | 4/20/2022 12:35 PM |
| 87 | Grantham | 4/20/2022 9:59 AM |
| 88 | Grantham | 4/20/2022 9:55 AM |
| 89 | Grantham | 4/20/2022 9:31 AM |
| 90 | Grantham | 4/20/2022 9:31 AM |
| 91 | Grantham | 4/20/2022 9:28 AM |
| 92 | Grantham | 4/20/2022 9:24 AM |

Community Governance Review Survey March 2022

| | | |
|-----|---------------|--------------------|
| 93 | Grantham | 4/20/2022 9:00 AM |
| 94 | Grantham | 4/20/2022 8:53 AM |
| 95 | Grantham | 4/20/2022 8:50 AM |
| 96 | Grantham | 4/20/2022 8:38 AM |
| 97 | Great Gonerby | 4/20/2022 8:34 AM |
| 98 | Grantham | 4/19/2022 11:56 PM |
| 99 | Grantham | 4/19/2022 5:10 PM |
| 100 | Newark | 4/19/2022 4:30 PM |
| 101 | Grantham | 4/19/2022 3:46 PM |
| 102 | Grantham | 4/19/2022 3:03 PM |
| 103 | Grantham | 4/19/2022 2:55 PM |
| 104 | Grantham | 4/19/2022 2:51 PM |
| 105 | Grantham | 4/19/2022 2:30 PM |
| 106 | Grantham | 4/19/2022 12:53 PM |
| 107 | Grantham | 4/19/2022 12:50 PM |
| 108 | Grantham | 4/19/2022 12:35 PM |
| 109 | Grantham | 4/19/2022 12:26 PM |
| 110 | GRANTHAM | 4/19/2022 11:51 AM |
| 111 | Barrowby | 4/19/2022 10:00 AM |
| 112 | Grantham | 4/19/2022 9:25 AM |
| 113 | Grantham | 4/18/2022 3:58 PM |
| 114 | Grantham | 4/18/2022 1:17 PM |
| 115 | Grantham | 4/18/2022 1:00 PM |
| 116 | Grantham | 4/18/2022 12:35 PM |
| 117 | Grantham | 4/18/2022 12:12 PM |
| 118 | Grantham | 4/18/2022 11:37 AM |
| 119 | barrowby | 4/18/2022 11:33 AM |
| 120 | barrowby | 4/18/2022 11:10 AM |
| 121 | GRANTHAM | 4/18/2022 10:15 AM |
| 122 | GRANTHAM | 4/18/2022 10:13 AM |
| 123 | Grantham | 4/18/2022 8:26 AM |
| 124 | Grantham | 4/18/2022 7:30 AM |
| 125 | Grantham | 4/17/2022 9:52 PM |
| 126 | Grantham | 4/17/2022 3:31 PM |
| 127 | N/A | 4/17/2022 3:16 PM |
| 128 | N/A | 4/17/2022 3:15 PM |
| 129 | N/A | 4/17/2022 3:14 PM |
| 130 | N/A | 4/17/2022 3:12 PM |

Community Governance Review Survey March 2022

| | | |
|-----|----------|--------------------|
| 131 | N/A | 4/17/2022 3:11 PM |
| 132 | N/A | 4/17/2022 3:09 PM |
| 133 | N/A | 4/17/2022 3:08 PM |
| 134 | N/A | 4/17/2022 3:06 PM |
| 135 | N/A | 4/17/2022 3:05 PM |
| 136 | N/A | 4/17/2022 3:04 PM |
| 137 | N/A | 4/17/2022 3:02 PM |
| 138 | N/A | 4/17/2022 3:01 PM |
| 139 | N/A | 4/17/2022 3:00 PM |
| 140 | N/A | 4/17/2022 2:58 PM |
| 141 | N/A | 4/17/2022 2:57 PM |
| 142 | N/A | 4/17/2022 2:55 PM |
| 143 | N/A | 4/17/2022 2:54 PM |
| 144 | N/A | 4/17/2022 2:53 PM |
| 145 | N/A | 4/17/2022 2:51 PM |
| 146 | N/A | 4/17/2022 2:50 PM |
| 147 | Grantham | 4/17/2022 12:44 PM |
| 148 | Grantham | 4/17/2022 11:47 AM |
| 149 | GRANTHAM | 4/17/2022 8:53 AM |
| 150 | N/A | 4/16/2022 3:27 PM |
| 151 | N/A | 4/16/2022 3:25 PM |
| 152 | N/A | 4/16/2022 3:24 PM |
| 153 | N/A | 4/16/2022 3:23 PM |
| 154 | N/A | 4/16/2022 3:21 PM |
| 155 | N/A | 4/16/2022 3:19 PM |
| 156 | N/A | 4/16/2022 3:18 PM |
| 157 | N/A | 4/16/2022 3:16 PM |
| 158 | N/A | 4/16/2022 3:14 PM |
| 159 | N/A | 4/16/2022 3:13 PM |
| 160 | N/A | 4/16/2022 2:55 PM |
| 161 | N/A | 4/16/2022 2:54 PM |
| 162 | N/A | 4/16/2022 2:52 PM |
| 163 | N/A | 4/16/2022 2:51 PM |
| 164 | N/A | 4/16/2022 2:49 PM |
| 165 | Grantham | 4/16/2022 1:22 PM |
| 166 | Grantham | 4/16/2022 12:57 PM |
| 167 | Grantham | 4/16/2022 11:17 AM |
| 168 | Grantham | 4/15/2022 8:12 PM |

Community Governance Review Survey March 2022

| | | |
|-----|----------|--------------------|
| 169 | Grantham | 4/15/2022 4:51 PM |
| 170 | Barrowby | 4/15/2022 3:06 PM |
| 171 | Barrowby | 4/15/2022 3:05 PM |
| 172 | Barrowby | 4/15/2022 3:01 PM |
| 173 | Grantham | 4/15/2022 1:22 PM |
| 174 | Grantham | 4/15/2022 1:19 PM |
| 175 | Grantham | 4/15/2022 1:06 PM |
| 176 | Grantham | 4/15/2022 3:29 AM |
| 177 | Grantham | 4/14/2022 6:51 PM |
| 178 | Grantham | 4/14/2022 6:38 PM |
| 179 | Lincoln | 4/14/2022 5:18 PM |
| 180 | N/A | 4/14/2022 3:42 PM |
| 181 | N/A | 4/14/2022 3:41 PM |
| 182 | N/A | 4/14/2022 3:39 PM |
| 183 | N/A | 4/14/2022 3:38 PM |
| 184 | N/A | 4/14/2022 3:36 PM |
| 185 | N/A | 4/14/2022 3:34 PM |
| 186 | N/A | 4/14/2022 3:32 PM |
| 187 | N/A | 4/14/2022 3:30 PM |
| 188 | N/A | 4/14/2022 3:29 PM |
| 189 | N/A | 4/14/2022 3:27 PM |
| 190 | N/A | 4/14/2022 3:26 PM |
| 191 | N/A | 4/14/2022 3:24 PM |
| 192 | N/A | 4/14/2022 3:23 PM |
| 193 | N/A | 4/14/2022 3:21 PM |
| 194 | N/A | 4/14/2022 3:19 PM |
| 195 | Grantham | 4/14/2022 12:06 PM |
| 196 | Grantham | 4/14/2022 11:14 AM |
| 197 | Barrowby | 4/13/2022 6:11 PM |
| 198 | Grantham | 4/13/2022 2:35 PM |
| 199 | Grantham | 4/13/2022 2:16 PM |
| 200 | Grantham | 4/13/2022 1:15 PM |
| 201 | Grantham | 4/13/2022 11:32 AM |
| 202 | Grantham | 4/13/2022 10:46 AM |
| 203 | Grantham | 4/13/2022 10:17 AM |
| 204 | N/A | 4/12/2022 8:31 PM |
| 205 | N/A | 4/12/2022 8:29 PM |
| 206 | N/A | 4/12/2022 8:28 PM |

Community Governance Review Survey March 2022

| | | |
|-----|---------------|--------------------|
| 207 | N/A | 4/12/2022 8:27 PM |
| 208 | N/A | 4/12/2022 8:25 PM |
| 209 | N/A | 4/12/2022 8:24 PM |
| 210 | N/A | 4/12/2022 8:23 PM |
| 211 | N/A | 4/12/2022 8:21 PM |
| 212 | N/A | 4/12/2022 8:20 PM |
| 213 | N/A | 4/12/2022 8:18 PM |
| 214 | N/A | 4/12/2022 8:17 PM |
| 215 | N/A | 4/12/2022 8:15 PM |
| 216 | N/A | 4/12/2022 8:14 PM |
| 217 | N/A | 4/12/2022 8:13 PM |
| 218 | N/A | 4/12/2022 8:11 PM |
| 219 | Grantham | 4/12/2022 7:40 PM |
| 220 | GRANTHAM | 4/12/2022 7:26 PM |
| 221 | Grantham | 4/12/2022 6:25 PM |
| 222 | Great Gonerby | 4/12/2022 4:56 PM |
| 223 | GRANTHAM | 4/12/2022 4:00 PM |
| 224 | GRANTHAM | 4/12/2022 1:29 PM |
| 225 | Grantham | 4/12/2022 1:23 PM |
| 226 | Grantham | 4/12/2022 1:16 PM |
| 227 | Grantham | 4/12/2022 1:11 PM |
| 228 | Grantham | 4/12/2022 1:02 PM |
| 229 | Grantham | 4/12/2022 12:57 PM |
| 230 | Harlaxton | 4/12/2022 12:52 PM |
| 231 | Grantham | 4/12/2022 12:48 PM |
| 232 | Grantham | 4/12/2022 12:39 PM |
| 233 | Grantham | 4/12/2022 12:24 PM |
| 234 | Grantham | 4/12/2022 12:14 PM |
| 235 | Grantham | 4/12/2022 8:20 AM |
| 236 | grantham | 4/11/2022 11:12 PM |
| 237 | Grantham | 4/11/2022 9:19 PM |
| 238 | Grantham | 4/11/2022 9:02 PM |
| 239 | Grantham | 4/11/2022 6:47 PM |
| 240 | Grantham | 4/11/2022 4:32 PM |
| 241 | N/A | 4/11/2022 3:51 PM |
| 242 | N/A | 4/11/2022 3:50 PM |
| 243 | N/A | 4/11/2022 3:48 PM |
| 244 | N/A | 4/11/2022 3:47 PM |

Community Governance Review Survey March 2022

| | | |
|-----|----------|--------------------|
| 245 | N/A | 4/11/2022 3:45 PM |
| 246 | N/A | 4/11/2022 3:44 PM |
| 247 | N/A | 4/11/2022 3:43 PM |
| 248 | N/A | 4/11/2022 3:42 PM |
| 249 | N/A | 4/11/2022 3:40 PM |
| 250 | N/A | 4/11/2022 3:39 PM |
| 251 | N/A | 4/11/2022 3:38 PM |
| 252 | N/A | 4/11/2022 3:37 PM |
| 253 | N/A | 4/11/2022 3:35 PM |
| 254 | N/A | 4/11/2022 3:33 PM |
| 255 | N/A | 4/11/2022 3:31 PM |
| 256 | N/A | 4/11/2022 3:29 PM |
| 257 | N/A | 4/11/2022 3:27 PM |
| 258 | N/A | 4/11/2022 3:26 PM |
| 259 | N/A | 4/11/2022 3:24 PM |
| 260 | N/A | 4/11/2022 3:22 PM |
| 261 | N/A | 4/11/2022 3:19 PM |
| 262 | N/A | 4/11/2022 3:16 PM |
| 263 | N/A | 4/11/2022 3:14 PM |
| 264 | N/A | 4/11/2022 3:11 PM |
| 265 | N/A | 4/11/2022 3:09 PM |
| 266 | Grantham | 4/11/2022 3:09 PM |
| 267 | Grantham | 4/11/2022 12:58 PM |
| 268 | Grantham | 4/11/2022 11:05 AM |
| 269 | Grantham | 4/11/2022 10:26 AM |
| 270 | Grantham | 4/11/2022 10:21 AM |
| 271 | Grantham | 4/11/2022 10:12 AM |
| 272 | Grantham | 4/11/2022 9:31 AM |
| 273 | Barrowby | 4/11/2022 9:23 AM |
| 274 | Grantham | 4/11/2022 9:20 AM |
| 275 | Grantham | 4/11/2022 9:15 AM |
| 276 | Grantham | 4/10/2022 11:42 AM |
| 277 | N/A | 4/10/2022 11:40 AM |
| 278 | N/A | 4/10/2022 11:38 AM |
| 279 | N/A | 4/10/2022 11:37 AM |
| 280 | N/A | 4/10/2022 11:35 AM |
| 281 | N/A | 4/10/2022 11:34 AM |
| 282 | N/A | 4/10/2022 11:32 AM |

Community Governance Review Survey March 2022

| | | |
|-----|----------|--------------------|
| 283 | N/A | 4/10/2022 11:31 AM |
| 284 | N/A | 4/10/2022 11:29 AM |
| 285 | N/A | 4/10/2022 11:28 AM |
| 286 | N/A | 4/10/2022 11:26 AM |
| 287 | N/A | 4/10/2022 11:25 AM |
| 288 | N/A | 4/10/2022 11:23 AM |
| 289 | N/A | 4/10/2022 11:21 AM |
| 290 | N/A | 4/10/2022 11:20 AM |
| 291 | N/A | 4/10/2022 11:18 AM |
| 292 | N/A | 4/10/2022 11:16 AM |
| 293 | N/A | 4/10/2022 11:15 AM |
| 294 | N/A | 4/10/2022 11:13 AM |
| 295 | N/A | 4/10/2022 11:12 AM |
| 296 | N/A | 4/10/2022 11:10 AM |
| 297 | N/A | 4/10/2022 11:08 AM |
| 298 | N/A | 4/10/2022 11:07 AM |
| 299 | N/A | 4/10/2022 11:05 AM |
| 300 | N/A | 4/10/2022 11:03 AM |
| 301 | N/A | 4/10/2022 11:01 AM |
| 302 | N/A | 4/9/2022 9:30 PM |
| 303 | N/A | 4/9/2022 9:28 PM |
| 304 | N/A | 4/9/2022 9:26 PM |
| 305 | N/A | 4/9/2022 9:24 PM |
| 306 | N/A | 4/9/2022 9:21 PM |
| 307 | Grantham | 4/9/2022 1:01 PM |
| 308 | Grantham | 4/9/2022 12:07 PM |
| 309 | Grantham | 4/9/2022 8:21 AM |
| 310 | N/A | 4/8/2022 7:26 PM |
| 311 | N/A | 4/8/2022 7:23 PM |
| 312 | N/A | 4/8/2022 7:15 PM |
| 313 | N/A | 4/8/2022 7:13 PM |
| 314 | N/A | 4/8/2022 7:11 PM |
| 315 | N/A | 4/8/2022 7:09 PM |
| 316 | N/A | 4/8/2022 7:07 PM |
| 317 | N/A | 4/8/2022 3:31 PM |
| 318 | N/A | 4/8/2022 3:29 PM |
| 319 | N/A | 4/8/2022 3:27 PM |
| 320 | N/A | 4/8/2022 3:25 PM |

Community Governance Review Survey March 2022

| | | |
|-----|----------|-------------------|
| 321 | N/A | 4/8/2022 3:23 PM |
| 322 | N/A | 4/8/2022 3:20 PM |
| 323 | N/A | 4/8/2022 3:17 PM |
| 324 | N/A | 4/8/2022 3:14 PM |
| 325 | GRANTHAM | 4/8/2022 3:08 PM |
| 326 | Grantham | 4/8/2022 2:51 PM |
| 327 | N/A | 4/8/2022 12:55 PM |
| 328 | N/A | 4/8/2022 12:52 PM |
| 329 | N/A | 4/8/2022 12:49 PM |
| 330 | N/A | 4/8/2022 12:46 PM |
| 331 | N/A | 4/8/2022 12:33 PM |
| 332 | N/A | 4/8/2022 12:27 PM |
| 333 | Grantham | 4/8/2022 12:18 PM |
| 334 | N/A | 4/8/2022 12:02 PM |
| 335 | N/A | 4/8/2022 11:59 AM |
| 336 | Barrowby | 4/8/2022 10:10 AM |
| 337 | N/A | 4/8/2022 5:42 AM |
| 338 | GRANTHAM | 4/7/2022 8:24 PM |
| 339 | N/A | 4/7/2022 7:43 PM |
| 340 | N/A | 4/7/2022 7:39 PM |
| 341 | N/A | 4/7/2022 7:33 PM |
| 342 | N/A | 4/7/2022 7:29 PM |
| 343 | N/A | 4/7/2022 7:24 PM |
| 344 | N/A | 4/7/2022 7:18 PM |
| 345 | Grantham | 4/7/2022 5:32 PM |
| 346 | Grantham | 4/7/2022 4:33 PM |
| 347 | N/A | 4/7/2022 3:42 PM |
| 348 | N/A | 4/7/2022 3:25 PM |
| 349 | Grantham | 4/7/2022 3:04 PM |
| 350 | grantham | 4/7/2022 2:18 PM |
| 351 | N/A | 4/7/2022 1:00 PM |
| 352 | Grantham | 4/7/2022 12:57 PM |
| 353 | grantham | 4/7/2022 12:30 PM |
| 354 | Grantham | 4/7/2022 12:15 PM |
| 355 | Grantham | 4/7/2022 11:36 AM |
| 356 | Grantham | 4/7/2022 10:30 AM |
| 357 | Foston | 4/6/2022 5:59 PM |
| 358 | Grantham | 4/6/2022 2:16 PM |

Community Governance Review Survey March 2022

| | | |
|-----|-------------------|-------------------|
| 359 | Grantham | 4/6/2022 1:49 PM |
| 360 | Grantham | 4/5/2022 6:59 PM |
| 361 | Grantham | 4/5/2022 5:48 PM |
| 362 | Grantham | 4/5/2022 3:36 PM |
| 363 | Grantham | 4/5/2022 3:07 PM |
| 364 | Grantham | 4/5/2022 3:03 PM |
| 365 | Barrowby | 4/5/2022 1:15 PM |
| 366 | Grantham | 4/5/2022 9:34 AM |
| 367 | GRANTHAM | 4/5/2022 7:51 AM |
| 368 | Grantham | 4/4/2022 6:04 PM |
| 369 | Grantham | 4/4/2022 5:40 PM |
| 370 | Ropsley, Grantham | 4/4/2022 5:36 PM |
| 371 | Grantham | 4/4/2022 4:48 PM |
| 372 | Grantham. | 4/4/2022 4:20 PM |
| 373 | Grantham | 4/4/2022 4:19 PM |
| 374 | Grantham | 4/4/2022 4:00 PM |
| 375 | Grantham | 4/4/2022 3:24 PM |
| 376 | Grantham | 4/4/2022 3:15 PM |
| 377 | Grantham | 4/4/2022 3:07 PM |
| 378 | Grantham | 4/4/2022 3:02 PM |
| 379 | Grantham | 4/4/2022 2:59 PM |
| 380 | Grantham | 4/4/2022 2:28 PM |
| 381 | Grantham | 4/4/2022 2:22 PM |
| 382 | Grantham | 4/4/2022 2:04 PM |
| 383 | Grantham | 4/4/2022 12:39 PM |
| 384 | Grantham | 4/4/2022 11:06 AM |
| 385 | grantham | 4/4/2022 9:48 AM |
| 386 | Grantham | 4/4/2022 7:38 AM |
| 387 | Grantham | 4/3/2022 5:22 PM |
| 388 | Grantham | 4/3/2022 4:56 PM |
| 389 | Grantham | 4/3/2022 4:49 PM |
| 390 | Grantham | 4/3/2022 2:11 PM |
| 391 | Grantham | 4/3/2022 1:22 PM |
| 392 | Grantham | 4/3/2022 12:40 PM |
| 393 | Grantham | 4/3/2022 10:44 AM |
| 394 | Grantham | 4/2/2022 7:59 PM |
| 395 | Grantham | 4/2/2022 5:21 PM |
| 396 | grantham | 4/2/2022 4:52 PM |

Community Governance Review Survey March 2022

| | | |
|-----|---------------------|--------------------|
| 397 | Grantham | 4/2/2022 3:52 PM |
| 398 | Grantham | 4/2/2022 3:45 PM |
| 399 | Stroxtton | 4/2/2022 10:11 AM |
| 400 | Barrowby | 4/2/2022 9:12 AM |
| 401 | Grantham | 4/1/2022 7:27 PM |
| 402 | Grantham | 4/1/2022 7:19 PM |
| 403 | Grantham | 4/1/2022 4:09 PM |
| 404 | Grantham | 4/1/2022 4:03 PM |
| 405 | fulbeck | 4/1/2022 2:19 PM |
| 406 | Grantham | 4/1/2022 1:16 PM |
| 407 | Grantham | 4/1/2022 12:19 PM |
| 408 | Harlaxton | 4/1/2022 8:03 AM |
| 409 | Great Gonerby | 3/31/2022 11:20 PM |
| 410 | Grantham | 3/31/2022 5:19 PM |
| 411 | Grantham | 3/31/2022 5:17 PM |
| 412 | LINCS | 3/31/2022 3:14 PM |
| 413 | Grantham | 3/31/2022 1:37 PM |
| 414 | Grantham | 3/31/2022 12:32 PM |
| 415 | Barrowby | 3/31/2022 10:22 AM |
| 416 | Grantham | 3/31/2022 8:42 AM |
| 417 | Grantham | 3/30/2022 10:26 PM |
| 418 | Barrowby | 3/30/2022 8:25 PM |
| 419 | Grantham | 3/30/2022 4:47 PM |
| 420 | Grantham | 3/30/2022 4:28 PM |
| 421 | Grantham | 3/30/2022 3:29 PM |
| 422 | Harlaxton, GRANTHAM | 3/30/2022 1:37 PM |
| 423 | Grantham | 3/30/2022 1:04 PM |
| 424 | Grantham | 3/30/2022 11:48 AM |
| 425 | Grantham | 3/30/2022 11:31 AM |
| 426 | Grantham | 3/30/2022 11:25 AM |
| 427 | Grantham | 3/30/2022 11:21 AM |
| 428 | Grantham | 3/30/2022 11:17 AM |
| 429 | Grantham | 3/30/2022 11:07 AM |
| 430 | Grantham | 3/30/2022 11:06 AM |
| 431 | Grantham | 3/30/2022 11:03 AM |
| 432 | Grantham | 3/30/2022 10:51 AM |
| 433 | Grantham | 3/30/2022 10:42 AM |
| 434 | Grantham | 3/30/2022 10:31 AM |

Community Governance Review Survey March 2022

| | | |
|-----|----------|--------------------|
| 435 | Grantham | 3/30/2022 10:25 AM |
| 436 | Grantham | 3/30/2022 10:22 AM |
| 437 | Grantham | 3/30/2022 9:58 AM |
| 438 | Grantham | 3/30/2022 9:30 AM |
| 439 | Grantham | 3/30/2022 9:25 AM |
| 440 | Grantham | 3/30/2022 9:22 AM |
| 441 | GRANTHAM | 3/29/2022 8:31 PM |
| 442 | GRANTHAM | 3/29/2022 5:50 PM |
| 443 | Grantham | 3/29/2022 3:37 PM |
| 444 | Grantham | 3/29/2022 3:30 PM |
| 445 | Grantham | 3/29/2022 3:18 PM |
| 446 | Grantham | 3/29/2022 3:07 PM |
| 447 | Grantham | 3/29/2022 2:23 PM |
| 448 | Grantham | 3/29/2022 2:01 PM |
| 449 | Grantham | 3/29/2022 1:44 PM |
| 450 | Grantham | 3/28/2022 5:13 PM |
| 451 | Grantham | 3/28/2022 4:23 PM |
| 452 | Grantham | 3/28/2022 2:14 PM |
| 453 | Grantham | 3/28/2022 2:00 PM |
| 454 | Grantham | 3/28/2022 1:56 PM |
| 455 | Grantham | 3/28/2022 1:44 PM |
| 456 | Grantham | 3/28/2022 1:21 PM |
| 457 | Grantham | 3/28/2022 12:27 PM |
| 458 | Grantham | 3/28/2022 12:20 PM |
| 459 | Grantham | 3/28/2022 12:17 PM |
| 460 | Barrowby | 3/28/2022 12:07 PM |
| 461 | Grantham | 3/28/2022 12:01 PM |
| 462 | Grantham | 3/28/2022 11:59 AM |
| 463 | Grantham | 3/28/2022 11:44 AM |
| 464 | GRANTHAM | 3/28/2022 11:42 AM |
| 465 | Grantham | 3/28/2022 11:38 AM |
| 466 | Grantham | 3/28/2022 11:23 AM |
| 467 | Grantham | 3/28/2022 10:33 AM |
| 468 | Grantham | 3/28/2022 9:35 AM |
| 469 | grantham | 3/27/2022 7:23 PM |
| 470 | Grantham | 3/27/2022 6:23 PM |
| 471 | GRANTHAM | 3/27/2022 3:48 PM |
| 472 | Grantham | 3/27/2022 3:43 PM |

Community Governance Review Survey March 2022

| | | |
|-----|---------------|--------------------|
| 473 | Grantham | 3/27/2022 1:03 PM |
| 474 | Grantham | 3/26/2022 7:36 PM |
| 475 | Grantham | 3/26/2022 3:06 PM |
| 476 | Grantham | 3/26/2022 11:42 AM |
| 477 | Grantham | 3/25/2022 12:02 PM |
| 478 | Gratham | 3/24/2022 6:25 PM |
| 479 | Grantham | 3/24/2022 5:24 PM |
| 480 | Grantham | 3/24/2022 4:13 PM |
| 481 | Grantham | 3/24/2022 1:35 PM |
| 482 | GRANTHAM | 3/24/2022 11:21 AM |
| 483 | Grantham | 3/24/2022 10:27 AM |
| 484 | GRANTHAM | 3/24/2022 10:22 AM |
| 485 | Grantham | 3/24/2022 9:38 AM |
| 486 | Grantham | 3/23/2022 4:56 PM |
| 487 | Grantham | 3/23/2022 4:48 PM |
| 488 | Grantham | 3/23/2022 4:40 PM |
| 489 | Grantham | 3/23/2022 4:37 PM |
| 490 | Grantham | 3/23/2022 3:55 PM |
| 491 | Grantham | 3/23/2022 3:53 PM |
| 492 | Grantham | 3/23/2022 3:37 PM |
| 493 | Grantham | 3/23/2022 2:24 PM |
| 494 | Grantham | 3/23/2022 11:20 AM |
| 495 | Grantham | 3/23/2022 11:04 AM |
| 496 | Grantham | 3/23/2022 8:18 AM |
| 497 | GRANTHAM | 3/22/2022 5:37 PM |
| 498 | Great Gonerby | 3/22/2022 4:55 PM |
| 499 | Grantham | 3/22/2022 4:51 PM |
| 500 | Grantham | 3/22/2022 4:38 PM |
| 501 | Grantham | 3/22/2022 4:26 PM |
| 502 | Grantham | 3/22/2022 1:57 PM |
| 503 | Grantham | 3/22/2022 1:50 PM |
| 504 | Grantham | 3/22/2022 1:44 PM |
| 505 | Grantham | 3/22/2022 1:38 PM |
| 506 | Grantham | 3/22/2022 12:50 PM |
| 507 | Grantham | 3/22/2022 12:17 PM |
| 508 | Grantham | 3/22/2022 12:05 PM |
| 509 | Grantham | 3/22/2022 12:01 PM |
| 510 | GRANTHAM | 3/22/2022 11:53 AM |

Community Governance Review Survey March 2022

| | | |
|-----|---------------|--------------------|
| 511 | Grantham | 3/22/2022 11:49 AM |
| 512 | Grantham | 3/22/2022 11:38 AM |
| 513 | Grantham | 3/22/2022 11:05 AM |
| 514 | Grantham | 3/22/2022 11:02 AM |
| 515 | Grantham | 3/22/2022 10:50 AM |
| 516 | Grantham | 3/22/2022 10:48 AM |
| 517 | Grantham | 3/22/2022 10:33 AM |
| 518 | Grantham | 3/21/2022 8:41 PM |
| 519 | Grantham | 3/21/2022 5:17 PM |
| 520 | Grantham | 3/21/2022 3:50 PM |
| 521 | Grantham | 3/21/2022 2:00 PM |
| 522 | Harlaxton | 3/21/2022 12:25 PM |
| 523 | GRANTHAM | 3/21/2022 12:02 PM |
| 524 | GRANTHAM | 3/21/2022 11:41 AM |
| 525 | Grantham | 3/21/2022 10:26 AM |
| 526 | GRANTHAM | 3/21/2022 10:07 AM |
| 527 | Grantham | 3/21/2022 10:04 AM |
| 528 | Grantham | 3/21/2022 9:46 AM |
| 529 | GRANTHAM | 3/21/2022 9:28 AM |
| 530 | Grantham | 3/21/2022 8:49 AM |
| 531 | grantham | 3/21/2022 8:39 AM |
| 532 | GRANTHAM | 3/21/2022 7:48 AM |
| 533 | Grantham | 3/20/2022 3:13 PM |
| 534 | Grantham | 3/20/2022 3:05 PM |
| 535 | Grantham | 3/20/2022 1:43 PM |
| 536 | Great Gonerby | 3/20/2022 1:35 PM |
| 537 | Grantham | 3/20/2022 1:28 PM |
| 538 | Grantham | 3/20/2022 12:32 PM |
| 539 | Grantham | 3/20/2022 11:10 AM |
| 540 | Grantham | 3/20/2022 10:52 AM |
| 541 | Grantham | 3/20/2022 10:49 AM |
| 542 | Grantham | 3/19/2022 10:38 PM |
| 543 | GRANTHAM. | 3/19/2022 10:13 PM |
| 544 | Grantham | 3/19/2022 8:13 PM |
| 545 | Grantham | 3/19/2022 8:13 PM |
| 546 | Grantham | 3/19/2022 4:35 PM |
| 547 | GRANTHAM | 3/19/2022 3:01 PM |
| 548 | Grantham | 3/19/2022 2:34 PM |

Community Governance Review Survey March 2022

| | | |
|-----|---------------|--------------------|
| 549 | GRANTHAM | 3/19/2022 1:19 PM |
| 550 | Grantham | 3/19/2022 12:07 PM |
| 551 | Grantham | 3/19/2022 11:31 AM |
| 552 | GRANTHAM | 3/19/2022 11:04 AM |
| 553 | Grantham | 3/19/2022 10:44 AM |
| 554 | Grantham | 3/19/2022 10:17 AM |
| 555 | Grantham | 3/19/2022 10:01 AM |
| 556 | GRANTHAM | 3/19/2022 10:00 AM |
| 557 | Grantham | 3/19/2022 9:40 AM |
| 558 | Grantham | 3/19/2022 8:35 AM |
| 559 | Harlaxton | 3/19/2022 7:54 AM |
| 560 | Grantham | 3/18/2022 8:12 PM |
| 561 | Grantham | 3/18/2022 4:19 PM |
| 562 | Grantham | 3/18/2022 3:57 PM |
| 563 | Barrowby | 3/18/2022 3:20 PM |
| 564 | Grantham | 3/18/2022 2:34 PM |
| 565 | Grantham | 3/18/2022 1:32 PM |
| 566 | grantham | 3/18/2022 1:29 PM |
| 567 | grantham | 3/18/2022 11:33 AM |
| 568 | Grantham | 3/18/2022 11:11 AM |
| 569 | Grantham | 3/18/2022 11:10 AM |
| 570 | Grantham | 3/18/2022 8:02 AM |
| 571 | Grantham | 3/18/2022 7:11 AM |
| 572 | Stroxtton | 3/18/2022 6:45 AM |
| 573 | Grantham | 3/17/2022 9:03 PM |
| 574 | GRANTHAM | 3/17/2022 5:05 PM |
| 575 | Grantham | 3/17/2022 4:46 PM |
| 576 | Grantham | 3/17/2022 4:44 PM |
| 577 | Great Gonerby | 3/17/2022 3:25 PM |
| 578 | GRANTHAM | 3/17/2022 2:33 PM |
| 579 | Grantham | 3/17/2022 2:13 PM |
| 580 | GRANTHAM | 3/17/2022 1:59 PM |
| 581 | Lincs | 3/17/2022 12:56 PM |
| 582 | Grantham | 3/17/2022 12:45 PM |
| 583 | Grantham | 3/17/2022 12:27 PM |
| 584 | Grantham | 3/17/2022 12:16 PM |
| 585 | GRANTHAM | 3/17/2022 11:41 AM |
| 586 | Grantham | 3/17/2022 11:23 AM |

Community Governance Review Survey March 2022

| | | |
|-----|------------------------|--------------------|
| 587 | Grantham | 3/17/2022 10:45 AM |
| 588 | Harlaxton | 3/17/2022 10:05 AM |
| 589 | Grantham | 3/17/2022 9:25 AM |
| 590 | Grantham | 3/17/2022 8:47 AM |
| 591 | Grantham | 3/17/2022 8:22 AM |
| 592 | Grantham | 3/17/2022 7:07 AM |
| 593 | Grantham | 3/16/2022 7:39 PM |
| 594 | Grantham | 3/16/2022 6:48 PM |
| 595 | Grantham | 3/16/2022 6:38 PM |
| 596 | GRANTHAM | 3/16/2022 6:18 PM |
| 597 | Grantham | 3/16/2022 6:08 PM |
| 598 | Grantham | 3/16/2022 6:01 PM |
| 599 | GRANTHAM | 3/16/2022 5:57 PM |
| 600 | Grantham | 3/16/2022 5:37 PM |
| 601 | Grantham | 3/16/2022 5:14 PM |
| 602 | Grantham | 3/16/2022 5:11 PM |
| 603 | Grantham | 3/16/2022 4:59 PM |
| 604 | Grantham | 3/16/2022 4:53 PM |
| 605 | GRANTHAM | 3/16/2022 4:20 PM |
| 606 | GRANTHAM | 3/16/2022 4:17 PM |
| 607 | Grantham | 3/16/2022 3:56 PM |
| 608 | Grantham | 3/16/2022 3:15 PM |
| 609 | Grantham, Lincolnshire | 3/16/2022 2:57 PM |
| 610 | Grantham | 3/16/2022 2:51 PM |
| 611 | Great Gonerby | 3/16/2022 2:43 PM |
| 612 | Grantham | 3/16/2022 2:25 PM |
| 613 | Grantham | 3/16/2022 2:19 PM |
| 614 | Grantham | 3/16/2022 1:42 PM |
| 615 | Grantham | 3/16/2022 1:21 PM |
| 616 | Grantham | 3/16/2022 1:20 PM |
| 617 | Grantham | 3/16/2022 12:50 PM |
| 618 | Grantham | 3/16/2022 12:37 PM |
| 619 | Grantham | 3/16/2022 12:30 PM |
| 620 | GRANTHAM | 3/16/2022 12:20 PM |
| 621 | Grantham | 3/16/2022 12:11 PM |
| 622 | Grantham | 3/16/2022 12:11 PM |
| 623 | Grantham | 3/16/2022 11:34 AM |
| 624 | Grantham | 3/16/2022 11:16 AM |

Community Governance Review Survey March 2022

| | | |
|-----|--------------|--------------------|
| 625 | Grantham | 3/16/2022 10:27 AM |
| 626 | Grantham | 3/16/2022 9:49 AM |
| 627 | Grantham | 3/16/2022 9:31 AM |
| 628 | Grantham | 3/16/2022 8:52 AM |
| 629 | Grantham | 3/16/2022 8:50 AM |
| 630 | Grantham | 3/16/2022 8:15 AM |
| 631 | Grantham | 3/16/2022 8:06 AM |
| 632 | GRANTHAM | 3/16/2022 7:51 AM |
| 633 | Grantham | 3/16/2022 4:18 AM |
| 634 | Grantham | 3/15/2022 10:35 PM |
| 635 | Grantham | 3/15/2022 9:52 PM |
| 636 | Grantham | 3/15/2022 9:39 PM |
| 637 | Grantham | 3/15/2022 9:21 PM |
| 638 | Grantham | 3/15/2022 7:30 PM |
| 639 | GRANTHAM | 3/15/2022 7:02 PM |
| 640 | GRANTHAM | 3/15/2022 7:01 PM |
| 641 | grantham | 3/15/2022 6:55 PM |
| 642 | Grantham | 3/15/2022 6:51 PM |
| 643 | Grantham | 3/15/2022 6:50 PM |
| 644 | Grantham | 3/15/2022 6:38 PM |
| 645 | Grantham | 3/15/2022 5:56 PM |
| 646 | Grantham | 3/15/2022 5:15 PM |
| 647 | Londonthorpe | 3/15/2022 4:39 PM |
| 648 | Grantham | 3/15/2022 4:35 PM |
| 649 | GRANTHAM | 3/15/2022 4:30 PM |
| 650 | Grantham | 3/15/2022 4:18 PM |
| 651 | GRANTHAM | 3/15/2022 4:04 PM |
| 652 | Ely | 3/15/2022 3:20 PM |
| 653 | Barrowby | 3/15/2022 3:02 PM |
| 654 | Grantham | 3/15/2022 2:33 PM |
| 655 | Grantham | 3/15/2022 2:21 PM |
| 656 | Grantham | 3/15/2022 2:10 PM |
| 657 | Grantham | 3/15/2022 1:41 PM |
| 658 | Grantham | 3/15/2022 1:11 PM |
| 659 | Grantham | 3/15/2022 1:00 PM |
| 660 | Grantham | 3/15/2022 12:51 PM |
| 661 | Grantham | 3/15/2022 11:36 AM |
| 662 | Grantham | 3/15/2022 10:58 AM |

Community Governance Review Survey March 2022

| | | |
|-----|-----------|--------------------|
| 663 | Grantham | 3/14/2022 10:03 PM |
| 664 | GRANTHAM | 3/14/2022 11:19 AM |
| 665 | Caythorpe | 3/14/2022 9:08 AM |
| 666 | GRANTHAM | 3/14/2022 7:57 AM |
| 667 | Grantham | 3/13/2022 6:25 AM |
| 668 | Grantham | 3/12/2022 4:26 PM |
| 669 | Grantham | 3/12/2022 9:32 AM |
| 670 | Grantham | 3/12/2022 9:00 AM |
| 671 | Grantham | 3/11/2022 10:02 PM |
| 672 | Grantham | 3/11/2022 9:52 PM |
| 673 | Grantham | 3/11/2022 4:21 PM |
| 674 | Grantham | 3/11/2022 4:08 PM |
| 675 | Grantham | 3/11/2022 12:55 PM |
| 676 | Grantham | 3/11/2022 12:38 PM |
| 677 | Grantham | 3/11/2022 10:57 AM |
| 678 | Grantham | 3/11/2022 9:53 AM |
| 679 | Grantham | 3/11/2022 9:50 AM |
| 680 | Grantham | 3/11/2022 9:46 AM |

| # | STATE/PROVINCE | DATE |
|---|----------------|------|
|---|----------------|------|

There are no responses.

| # | POSTCODE | DATE |
|----|----------|--------------------|
| 1 | NG31 6PA | 4/25/2022 4:03 PM |
| 2 | NG31 8EA | 4/25/2022 2:56 PM |
| 3 | NG31 8ET | 4/25/2022 2:40 PM |
| 4 | NG32 3LH | 4/25/2022 2:29 PM |
| 5 | NG31 8PY | 4/25/2022 2:27 PM |
| 6 | NG31 6JB | 4/25/2022 2:21 PM |
| 7 | NG31 8BW | 4/25/2022 2:08 PM |
| 8 | NG31 8GY | 4/25/2022 2:04 PM |
| 9 | NG31 7BT | 4/25/2022 1:00 PM |
| 10 | NG31 9EJ | 4/25/2022 12:40 PM |
| 11 | NG31 9DU | 4/25/2022 12:28 PM |
| 12 | NG31 9EJ | 4/25/2022 12:18 PM |
| 13 | NG31 7EN | 4/25/2022 12:08 PM |
| 14 | NG31 7EN | 4/25/2022 12:04 PM |
| 15 | NG31 8GL | 4/25/2022 11:56 AM |
| 16 | NG31 8RX | 4/25/2022 11:02 AM |
| 17 | NG31 7ES | 4/25/2022 10:47 AM |

Community Governance Review Survey March 2022

| | | |
|----|----------|--------------------|
| 18 | NG31 9AA | 4/25/2022 10:35 AM |
| 19 | NG31 8LS | 4/25/2022 10:24 AM |
| 20 | NG31 9AA | 4/25/2022 10:06 AM |
| 21 | NG31 9LS | 4/25/2022 9:31 AM |
| 22 | NG31 7HT | 4/25/2022 9:20 AM |
| 23 | NG31 8RX | 4/25/2022 9:05 AM |
| 24 | NG31 8QJ | 4/25/2022 8:59 AM |
| 25 | NG31 9PJ | 4/23/2022 8:25 AM |
| 26 | NG31 7AJ | 4/22/2022 10:44 PM |
| 27 | NG31 7AJ | 4/22/2022 10:34 PM |
| 28 | NG31 9RU | 4/22/2022 10:10 PM |
| 29 | NG31 9DJ | 4/22/2022 9:31 PM |
| 30 | NG32 1JG | 4/22/2022 7:47 PM |
| 31 | NG31 9DJ | 4/22/2022 7:27 PM |
| 32 | NG31 8PP | 4/22/2022 6:43 PM |
| 33 | NG31 6NN | 4/22/2022 6:35 PM |
| 34 | NG31 6SN | 4/22/2022 2:52 PM |
| 35 | NG31 6SN | 4/22/2022 2:46 PM |
| 36 | NG32 1BX | 4/22/2022 2:41 PM |
| 37 | NG31 8QB | 4/22/2022 2:02 PM |
| 38 | NG31 8ET | 4/22/2022 1:10 PM |
| 39 | NG31 6RG | 4/22/2022 12:58 PM |
| 40 | NG31 8UE | 4/22/2022 10:44 AM |
| 41 | NG31 9EA | 4/22/2022 9:43 AM |
| 42 | NG32 1AU | 4/22/2022 9:41 AM |
| 43 | Ng31 9ea | 4/22/2022 9:33 AM |
| 44 | NG31 6HF | 4/22/2022 1:18 AM |
| 45 | NG31 9AA | 4/21/2022 10:30 PM |
| 46 | NG31 7XF | 4/21/2022 10:30 PM |
| 47 | NG31 8BW | 4/21/2022 9:48 PM |
| 48 | NG31 7WJ | 4/21/2022 7:15 PM |
| 49 | NG31 9DX | 4/21/2022 6:58 PM |
| 50 | NG31 9DX | 4/21/2022 6:56 PM |
| 51 | NG31 8GZ | 4/21/2022 6:51 PM |
| 52 | NG31 9ED | 4/21/2022 6:11 PM |
| 53 | NG31 8GZ | 4/21/2022 6:10 PM |
| 54 | Ng31 9ta | 4/21/2022 5:46 PM |
| 55 | NG31 8GL | 4/21/2022 5:17 PM |

Community Governance Review Survey March 2022

| | | |
|----|----------|--------------------|
| 56 | NG31 8LD | 4/21/2022 5:03 PM |
| 57 | NG32 1JZ | 4/21/2022 4:58 PM |
| 58 | NG32 1JZ | 4/21/2022 4:55 PM |
| 59 | NG317FP | 4/21/2022 4:52 PM |
| 60 | NG31 8GZ | 4/21/2022 4:48 PM |
| 61 | NG31 8GZ | 4/21/2022 4:41 PM |
| 62 | NG31 7BS | 4/21/2022 3:48 PM |
| 63 | NG31 8AZ | 4/21/2022 3:37 PM |
| 64 | NG318SB | 4/21/2022 3:25 PM |
| 65 | NG31 8BW | 4/21/2022 3:15 PM |
| 66 | NG321AX | 4/21/2022 2:51 PM |
| 67 | NG31 9AA | 4/21/2022 2:48 PM |
| 68 | NG32 1BB | 4/21/2022 2:08 PM |
| 69 | Ng31 9hb | 4/21/2022 1:14 PM |
| 70 | NG31 7HD | 4/21/2022 1:04 PM |
| 71 | NG32 1TE | 4/21/2022 1:03 PM |
| 72 | Ng319lh | 4/21/2022 12:40 PM |
| 73 | NG31 9DX | 4/21/2022 12:18 PM |
| 74 | NG31 7JX | 4/21/2022 11:10 AM |
| 75 | Ng31 7fr | 4/21/2022 10:23 AM |
| 76 | NG317GX | 4/21/2022 9:47 AM |
| 77 | NG32 1BB | 4/21/2022 9:29 AM |
| 78 | NG31 9ED | 4/21/2022 8:32 AM |
| 79 | NG31 9LQ | 4/20/2022 11:13 PM |
| 80 | NG37EN | 4/20/2022 8:27 PM |
| 81 | NG31 7HT | 4/20/2022 8:13 PM |
| 82 | NG31 7FR | 4/20/2022 4:29 PM |
| 83 | NG32 1TQ | 4/20/2022 3:25 PM |
| 84 | NG318BN | 4/20/2022 2:08 PM |
| 85 | NG31 8BW | 4/20/2022 2:01 PM |
| 86 | NG31 8DX | 4/20/2022 1:07 PM |
| 87 | NG31 7FE | 4/20/2022 12:41 PM |
| 88 | NG31 7LX | 4/20/2022 12:35 PM |
| 89 | NG31 8DJ | 4/20/2022 9:59 AM |
| 90 | NG31 9NU | 4/20/2022 9:55 AM |
| 91 | NG31 9JE | 4/20/2022 9:44 AM |
| 92 | NG31 7PZ | 4/20/2022 9:31 AM |
| 93 | NG31 9JE | 4/20/2022 9:31 AM |

Community Governance Review Survey March 2022

| | | |
|-----|----------|--------------------|
| 94 | NG31 8DJ | 4/20/2022 9:28 AM |
| 95 | NG31 8BD | 4/20/2022 9:24 AM |
| 96 | NG31 9FP | 4/20/2022 9:00 AM |
| 97 | NG31 9HZ | 4/20/2022 8:53 AM |
| 98 | NG31 7ez | 4/20/2022 8:50 AM |
| 99 | NG31 6JX | 4/20/2022 8:38 AM |
| 100 | NG31 8JY | 4/20/2022 8:34 AM |
| 101 | NG31 7AJ | 4/19/2022 11:56 PM |
| 102 | NG31 9ED | 4/19/2022 5:10 PM |
| 103 | NG23 5HJ | 4/19/2022 4:30 PM |
| 104 | NG31 8HU | 4/19/2022 3:46 PM |
| 105 | NG31 7HJ | 4/19/2022 3:03 PM |
| 106 | NG31 7BS | 4/19/2022 2:55 PM |
| 107 | NG31 9JJ | 4/19/2022 2:51 PM |
| 108 | NG31 8BA | 4/19/2022 2:30 PM |
| 109 | NG31 9EW | 4/19/2022 12:53 PM |
| 110 | NG31 8EG | 4/19/2022 12:50 PM |
| 111 | NG31 8DS | 4/19/2022 12:35 PM |
| 112 | NG31 9TA | 4/19/2022 12:26 PM |
| 113 | NG32 1BL | 4/19/2022 11:56 AM |
| 114 | NG31 8NG | 4/19/2022 11:51 AM |
| 115 | NG32 1AX | 4/19/2022 10:00 AM |
| 116 | NG31 7EN | 4/19/2022 9:40 AM |
| 117 | NG31 7EZ | 4/19/2022 9:25 AM |
| 118 | NG317DZ | 4/18/2022 3:58 PM |
| 119 | NG31 8TY | 4/18/2022 1:17 PM |
| 120 | NG31 8SY | 4/18/2022 1:00 PM |
| 121 | NG31 9EL | 4/18/2022 12:35 PM |
| 122 | NG32 1DJ | 4/18/2022 12:12 PM |
| 123 | NG31 7LL | 4/18/2022 11:37 AM |
| 124 | ng32 1bb | 4/18/2022 11:33 AM |
| 125 | ng32 1bb | 4/18/2022 11:10 AM |
| 126 | NG31 6HZ | 4/18/2022 10:15 AM |
| 127 | NG31 8RL | 4/18/2022 10:13 AM |
| 128 | NG31 9JF | 4/18/2022 8:26 AM |
| 129 | NG32 1JG | 4/18/2022 7:30 AM |
| 130 | Ng318HG | 4/17/2022 9:52 PM |
| 131 | NG31 8GP | 4/17/2022 3:31 PM |

Community Governance Review Survey March 2022

| | | |
|-----|----------|--------------------|
| 132 | N/A | 4/17/2022 3:16 PM |
| 133 | N/A | 4/17/2022 3:15 PM |
| 134 | N/A | 4/17/2022 3:14 PM |
| 135 | N/A | 4/17/2022 3:12 PM |
| 136 | N/A | 4/17/2022 3:11 PM |
| 137 | N/A | 4/17/2022 3:09 PM |
| 138 | N/A | 4/17/2022 3:08 PM |
| 139 | N/A | 4/17/2022 3:06 PM |
| 140 | N/A | 4/17/2022 3:05 PM |
| 141 | N/A | 4/17/2022 3:04 PM |
| 142 | N/A | 4/17/2022 3:02 PM |
| 143 | N/A | 4/17/2022 3:01 PM |
| 144 | N/A | 4/17/2022 3:00 PM |
| 145 | N/A | 4/17/2022 2:58 PM |
| 146 | N/A | 4/17/2022 2:57 PM |
| 147 | N/A | 4/17/2022 2:55 PM |
| 148 | N/A | 4/17/2022 2:54 PM |
| 149 | N/A | 4/17/2022 2:53 PM |
| 150 | N/A | 4/17/2022 2:51 PM |
| 151 | N/A | 4/17/2022 2:50 PM |
| 152 | NG31 9LF | 4/17/2022 12:44 PM |
| 153 | NG31 9GL | 4/17/2022 11:47 AM |
| 154 | NG31 8EE | 4/17/2022 8:53 AM |
| 155 | N/A | 4/16/2022 3:27 PM |
| 156 | N/A | 4/16/2022 3:25 PM |
| 157 | N/A | 4/16/2022 3:24 PM |
| 158 | N/A | 4/16/2022 3:23 PM |
| 159 | N/A | 4/16/2022 3:21 PM |
| 160 | N/A | 4/16/2022 3:19 PM |
| 161 | N/A | 4/16/2022 3:18 PM |
| 162 | N/A | 4/16/2022 3:16 PM |
| 163 | N/A | 4/16/2022 3:14 PM |
| 164 | N/A | 4/16/2022 3:13 PM |
| 165 | N/A | 4/16/2022 2:55 PM |
| 166 | N/A | 4/16/2022 2:54 PM |
| 167 | N/A | 4/16/2022 2:52 PM |
| 168 | N/A | 4/16/2022 2:51 PM |
| 169 | N/A | 4/16/2022 2:49 PM |

Community Governance Review Survey March 2022

| | | |
|-----|----------|--------------------|
| 170 | NG31 7EN | 4/16/2022 1:22 PM |
| 171 | NG31 9EG | 4/16/2022 12:57 PM |
| 172 | NG31 8AZ | 4/16/2022 11:17 AM |
| 173 | Ng31 7jd | 4/15/2022 8:12 PM |
| 174 | NG31 6HX | 4/15/2022 4:51 PM |
| 175 | NG32 1DL | 4/15/2022 3:06 PM |
| 176 | NG32 1DB | 4/15/2022 3:05 PM |
| 177 | NG32 1DN | 4/15/2022 3:01 PM |
| 178 | NG32 1DB | 4/15/2022 2:01 PM |
| 179 | NG32 1DB | 4/15/2022 1:22 PM |
| 180 | NG32 1DB | 4/15/2022 1:19 PM |
| 181 | NG318JU | 4/15/2022 1:06 PM |
| 182 | NG31 9AD | 4/15/2022 10:29 AM |
| 183 | NG31 8DD | 4/15/2022 3:29 AM |
| 184 | NG31 8WN | 4/14/2022 6:51 PM |
| 185 | NG316JD | 4/14/2022 6:38 PM |
| 186 | LN11YL | 4/14/2022 5:18 PM |
| 187 | N/A | 4/14/2022 3:42 PM |
| 188 | N/A | 4/14/2022 3:41 PM |
| 189 | N/A | 4/14/2022 3:39 PM |
| 190 | N/A | 4/14/2022 3:38 PM |
| 191 | N/A | 4/14/2022 3:36 PM |
| 192 | N/A | 4/14/2022 3:34 PM |
| 193 | N/A | 4/14/2022 3:32 PM |
| 194 | N/A | 4/14/2022 3:30 PM |
| 195 | N/A | 4/14/2022 3:29 PM |
| 196 | N/A | 4/14/2022 3:27 PM |
| 197 | N/A | 4/14/2022 3:26 PM |
| 198 | N/A | 4/14/2022 3:24 PM |
| 199 | N/A | 4/14/2022 3:23 PM |
| 200 | N/A | 4/14/2022 3:21 PM |
| 201 | N/A | 4/14/2022 3:19 PM |
| 202 | NG31 9PN | 4/14/2022 12:06 PM |
| 203 | NG31 7BE | 4/14/2022 11:14 AM |
| 204 | NG32 1DG | 4/13/2022 6:11 PM |
| 205 | Ng31 7GD | 4/13/2022 5:46 PM |
| 206 | NG31 6HG | 4/13/2022 2:35 PM |
| 207 | NG32 1DW | 4/13/2022 2:16 PM |

Community Governance Review Survey March 2022

| | | |
|-----|----------|--------------------|
| 208 | NG31 6SW | 4/13/2022 1:15 PM |
| 209 | Ng31 9uh | 4/13/2022 11:32 AM |
| 210 | NG31 9HX | 4/13/2022 10:46 AM |
| 211 | NG31 7NU | 4/13/2022 10:17 AM |
| 212 | N/A | 4/12/2022 8:31 PM |
| 213 | N/A | 4/12/2022 8:29 PM |
| 214 | N/A | 4/12/2022 8:28 PM |
| 215 | N/A | 4/12/2022 8:27 PM |
| 216 | N/A | 4/12/2022 8:25 PM |
| 217 | N/A | 4/12/2022 8:24 PM |
| 218 | N/A | 4/12/2022 8:23 PM |
| 219 | N/A | 4/12/2022 8:21 PM |
| 220 | N/A | 4/12/2022 8:20 PM |
| 221 | N/A | 4/12/2022 8:18 PM |
| 222 | N/A | 4/12/2022 8:17 PM |
| 223 | N/A | 4/12/2022 8:15 PM |
| 224 | N/A | 4/12/2022 8:14 PM |
| 225 | N/A | 4/12/2022 8:13 PM |
| 226 | N/A | 4/12/2022 8:11 PM |
| 227 | NG31 6QT | 4/12/2022 7:40 PM |
| 228 | NG31 8SF | 4/12/2022 7:26 PM |
| 229 | Ng317qz | 4/12/2022 6:25 PM |
| 230 | NG31 8PP | 4/12/2022 4:56 PM |
| 231 | NG31 8RJ | 4/12/2022 4:00 PM |
| 232 | NG31 7SJ | 4/12/2022 1:29 PM |
| 233 | NG31 8JE | 4/12/2022 1:23 PM |
| 234 | NG316RL | 4/12/2022 1:16 PM |
| 235 | NG31 9EJ | 4/12/2022 1:11 PM |
| 236 | NG31 7UJ | 4/12/2022 1:02 PM |
| 237 | NG31 6JN | 4/12/2022 12:57 PM |
| 238 | NG32 1JQ | 4/12/2022 12:52 PM |
| 239 | NG31 6HQ | 4/12/2022 12:48 PM |
| 240 | NG31 7JT | 4/12/2022 12:39 PM |
| 241 | NG31 7LX | 4/12/2022 12:24 PM |
| 242 | NG31 8EX | 4/12/2022 12:22 PM |
| 243 | NG318DH | 4/12/2022 12:14 PM |
| 244 | NG31 8 | 4/12/2022 12:06 PM |
| 245 | NG31 8GH | 4/12/2022 8:20 AM |

Community Governance Review Survey March 2022

| | | |
|-----|----------|--------------------|
| 246 | ng335ad | 4/11/2022 11:12 PM |
| 247 | Ng318gl | 4/11/2022 9:19 PM |
| 248 | NG32 1DJ | 4/11/2022 9:02 PM |
| 249 | NG31 9FY | 4/11/2022 6:47 PM |
| 250 | NG31 9AB | 4/11/2022 4:32 PM |
| 251 | N/A | 4/11/2022 3:51 PM |
| 252 | N/A | 4/11/2022 3:50 PM |
| 253 | N/A | 4/11/2022 3:48 PM |
| 254 | N/A | 4/11/2022 3:47 PM |
| 255 | N/A | 4/11/2022 3:45 PM |
| 256 | N/A | 4/11/2022 3:44 PM |
| 257 | N/A | 4/11/2022 3:43 PM |
| 258 | N/A | 4/11/2022 3:42 PM |
| 259 | N/A | 4/11/2022 3:40 PM |
| 260 | N/A | 4/11/2022 3:39 PM |
| 261 | N/A | 4/11/2022 3:38 PM |
| 262 | N/A | 4/11/2022 3:37 PM |
| 263 | N/A | 4/11/2022 3:35 PM |
| 264 | N/A | 4/11/2022 3:33 PM |
| 265 | N/A | 4/11/2022 3:31 PM |
| 266 | N/A | 4/11/2022 3:29 PM |
| 267 | N/A | 4/11/2022 3:27 PM |
| 268 | N/A | 4/11/2022 3:26 PM |
| 269 | N/A | 4/11/2022 3:24 PM |
| 270 | N/A | 4/11/2022 3:22 PM |
| 271 | N/A | 4/11/2022 3:19 PM |
| 272 | N/A | 4/11/2022 3:16 PM |
| 273 | N/A | 4/11/2022 3:14 PM |
| 274 | N/A | 4/11/2022 3:11 PM |
| 275 | N/A | 4/11/2022 3:09 PM |
| 276 | NG318JW | 4/11/2022 3:09 PM |
| 277 | GN31 8GZ | 4/11/2022 12:58 PM |
| 278 | NG318GS | 4/11/2022 11:05 AM |
| 279 | NG31 7EF | 4/11/2022 10:26 AM |
| 280 | NG31 8EA | 4/11/2022 10:21 AM |
| 281 | NG31 7PW | 4/11/2022 10:12 AM |
| 282 | NG31 8FJ | 4/11/2022 9:31 AM |
| 283 | NG32 1BL | 4/11/2022 9:23 AM |

Community Governance Review Survey March 2022

| | | |
|-----|----------|--------------------|
| 284 | NG31 8ED | 4/11/2022 9:20 AM |
| 285 | NG31 9LH | 4/11/2022 9:15 AM |
| 286 | NG31 8RH | 4/10/2022 12:30 PM |
| 287 | NG334AG | 4/10/2022 11:42 AM |
| 288 | N/A | 4/10/2022 11:40 AM |
| 289 | N/A | 4/10/2022 11:38 AM |
| 290 | N/A | 4/10/2022 11:37 AM |
| 291 | N/A | 4/10/2022 11:35 AM |
| 292 | N/A | 4/10/2022 11:34 AM |
| 293 | N/A | 4/10/2022 11:32 AM |
| 294 | N/A | 4/10/2022 11:31 AM |
| 295 | N/A | 4/10/2022 11:29 AM |
| 296 | N/A | 4/10/2022 11:28 AM |
| 297 | N/A | 4/10/2022 11:26 AM |
| 298 | N/A | 4/10/2022 11:25 AM |
| 299 | N/A | 4/10/2022 11:23 AM |
| 300 | N/A | 4/10/2022 11:21 AM |
| 301 | N/A | 4/10/2022 11:20 AM |
| 302 | N/A | 4/10/2022 11:18 AM |
| 303 | N/A | 4/10/2022 11:16 AM |
| 304 | N/A | 4/10/2022 11:15 AM |
| 305 | N/A | 4/10/2022 11:13 AM |
| 306 | N/A | 4/10/2022 11:12 AM |
| 307 | N/A | 4/10/2022 11:10 AM |
| 308 | N/A | 4/10/2022 11:08 AM |
| 309 | N/A | 4/10/2022 11:07 AM |
| 310 | N/A | 4/10/2022 11:05 AM |
| 311 | N/A | 4/10/2022 11:03 AM |
| 312 | N/A | 4/10/2022 11:01 AM |
| 313 | N/A | 4/9/2022 9:30 PM |
| 314 | N/A | 4/9/2022 9:28 PM |
| 315 | N/A | 4/9/2022 9:26 PM |
| 316 | N/A | 4/9/2022 9:24 PM |
| 317 | N/A | 4/9/2022 9:21 PM |
| 318 | NG31 8BS | 4/9/2022 1:01 PM |
| 319 | NG31 8AD | 4/9/2022 12:07 PM |
| 320 | NG31 9BD | 4/9/2022 8:21 AM |
| 321 | N/A | 4/8/2022 7:26 PM |

Community Governance Review Survey March 2022

| | | |
|-----|----------|-------------------|
| 322 | N/A | 4/8/2022 7:23 PM |
| 323 | N/A | 4/8/2022 7:15 PM |
| 324 | N/A | 4/8/2022 7:13 PM |
| 325 | N/A | 4/8/2022 7:11 PM |
| 326 | N/A | 4/8/2022 7:09 PM |
| 327 | N/A | 4/8/2022 7:07 PM |
| 328 | NG31 7AZ | 4/8/2022 5:15 PM |
| 329 | N/A | 4/8/2022 3:31 PM |
| 330 | N/A | 4/8/2022 3:29 PM |
| 331 | N/A | 4/8/2022 3:27 PM |
| 332 | N/A | 4/8/2022 3:25 PM |
| 333 | N/A | 4/8/2022 3:23 PM |
| 334 | N/A | 4/8/2022 3:20 PM |
| 335 | N/A | 4/8/2022 3:17 PM |
| 336 | N/A | 4/8/2022 3:14 PM |
| 337 | NG31 8PT | 4/8/2022 3:08 PM |
| 338 | NG31 8DW | 4/8/2022 2:51 PM |
| 339 | N/A | 4/8/2022 12:55 PM |
| 340 | N/A | 4/8/2022 12:52 PM |
| 341 | N/A | 4/8/2022 12:49 PM |
| 342 | N/A | 4/8/2022 12:46 PM |
| 343 | N/A | 4/8/2022 12:33 PM |
| 344 | N/A | 4/8/2022 12:27 PM |
| 345 | NG31 8BS | 4/8/2022 12:18 PM |
| 346 | N/A | 4/8/2022 12:02 PM |
| 347 | N/A | 4/8/2022 11:59 AM |
| 348 | NG321DW | 4/8/2022 10:10 AM |
| 349 | N/A | 4/8/2022 5:42 AM |
| 350 | NG31 7JL | 4/7/2022 8:24 PM |
| 351 | N/A | 4/7/2022 7:43 PM |
| 352 | N/A | 4/7/2022 7:39 PM |
| 353 | N/A | 4/7/2022 7:33 PM |
| 354 | N/A | 4/7/2022 7:29 PM |
| 355 | N/A | 4/7/2022 7:24 PM |
| 356 | N/A | 4/7/2022 7:18 PM |
| 357 | NG31 8HP | 4/7/2022 5:32 PM |
| 358 | NG31 9HH | 4/7/2022 4:33 PM |
| 359 | N/A | 4/7/2022 3:42 PM |

Community Governance Review Survey March 2022

| | | |
|-----|------------|-------------------|
| 360 | N/A | 4/7/2022 3:25 PM |
| 361 | NG31 8HU | 4/7/2022 3:04 PM |
| 362 | ng317pw | 4/7/2022 2:18 PM |
| 363 | N/A | 4/7/2022 1:00 PM |
| 364 | NG31 9HA | 4/7/2022 12:57 PM |
| 365 | ng317pw | 4/7/2022 12:30 PM |
| 366 | NG31 8NF | 4/7/2022 12:15 PM |
| 367 | NG317PP | 4/7/2022 11:36 AM |
| 368 | NG31 9QB | 4/7/2022 10:30 AM |
| 369 | NG32 2JP | 4/6/2022 5:59 PM |
| 370 | NG31 8EY | 4/6/2022 2:16 PM |
| 371 | NG31 7UJ | 4/6/2022 1:49 PM |
| 372 | NG31 7GL | 4/5/2022 6:59 PM |
| 373 | NG31 9DH | 4/5/2022 5:48 PM |
| 374 | NG31 8AQ | 4/5/2022 3:36 PM |
| 375 | NG31 7EL | 4/5/2022 3:07 PM |
| 376 | NG31 7EL | 4/5/2022 3:03 PM |
| 377 | NG32 1TA | 4/5/2022 1:15 PM |
| 378 | NG31 8DD | 4/5/2022 9:34 AM |
| 379 | NG31 8BX | 4/5/2022 7:51 AM |
| 380 | NG31 9LQ | 4/4/2022 6:04 PM |
| 381 | ██████████ | 4/4/2022 5:40 PM |
| 382 | NG33 4BS | 4/4/2022 5:36 PM |
| 383 | NG31 9DF | 4/4/2022 4:48 PM |
| 384 | NG31 9AD | 4/4/2022 4:20 PM |
| 385 | NG31 8FD | 4/4/2022 4:19 PM |
| 386 | NG31 6RY | 4/4/2022 4:00 PM |
| 387 | NG31 7QT | 4/4/2022 3:24 PM |
| 388 | NG31 7BH | 4/4/2022 3:15 PM |
| 389 | NG31 8PS | 4/4/2022 3:07 PM |
| 390 | NG31 8EF | 4/4/2022 3:02 PM |
| 391 | NG31 8ED | 4/4/2022 2:59 PM |
| 392 | NG31 7RS | 4/4/2022 2:28 PM |
| 393 | NG31 8SE | 4/4/2022 2:22 PM |
| 394 | NG31 8HS | 4/4/2022 2:04 PM |
| 395 | NG31 9TH | 4/4/2022 1:53 PM |
| 396 | NG31 7EY | 4/4/2022 12:39 PM |
| 397 | NG31 7EY | 4/4/2022 11:06 AM |

Community Governance Review Survey March 2022

| | | |
|-----|-----------|--------------------|
| 398 | ng317hw | 4/4/2022 9:48 AM |
| 399 | NG31 8GE | 4/4/2022 7:38 AM |
| 400 | NG31 9AA | 4/3/2022 7:30 PM |
| 401 | NG31 9RR | 4/3/2022 5:22 PM |
| 402 | NG318GY | 4/3/2022 4:56 PM |
| 403 | NG31 8RB | 4/3/2022 4:49 PM |
| 404 | NG319RR | 4/3/2022 2:11 PM |
| 405 | NG31 8EJ | 4/3/2022 1:22 PM |
| 406 | NG31 9QN | 4/3/2022 12:40 PM |
| 407 | NG31 7HW | 4/3/2022 10:44 AM |
| 408 | ng31 9fj | 4/3/2022 10:38 AM |
| 409 | NG31 9TU | 4/2/2022 7:59 PM |
| 410 | NG32 2BY | 4/2/2022 5:21 PM |
| 411 | NG31 8QZ | 4/2/2022 4:52 PM |
| 412 | NG31 7PH | 4/2/2022 3:52 PM |
| 413 | NG31 7PH | 4/2/2022 3:45 PM |
| 414 | NG31 9SA | 4/2/2022 12:26 PM |
| 415 | NG33 5DA | 4/2/2022 10:11 AM |
| 416 | Ng321dl | 4/2/2022 9:12 AM |
| 417 | NG31 8PF | 4/1/2022 7:27 PM |
| 418 | NG31 8PF | 4/1/2022 7:19 PM |
| 419 | NG319JL | 4/1/2022 4:09 PM |
| 420 | NG31 8LQ | 4/1/2022 4:03 PM |
| 421 | Ng32 3ju | 4/1/2022 2:19 PM |
| 422 | NG31 9HG | 4/1/2022 1:16 PM |
| 423 | NG31 7HH | 4/1/2022 12:19 PM |
| 424 | NG32 1HP | 4/1/2022 8:03 AM |
| 425 | NG31 8LR | 3/31/2022 11:20 PM |
| 426 | NG318PJ | 3/31/2022 5:19 PM |
| 427 | NG31 9TP | 3/31/2022 5:17 PM |
| 428 | NG31 8SL | 3/31/2022 3:14 PM |
| 429 | NG31 6PD | 3/31/2022 1:37 PM |
| 430 | NG31 8DF | 3/31/2022 12:32 PM |
| 431 | NG31. 8JU | 3/31/2022 12:15 PM |
| 432 | NG32 1DP | 3/31/2022 10:22 AM |
| 433 | NG31 9PE | 3/31/2022 8:42 AM |
| 434 | NG31 6QW | 3/30/2022 10:26 PM |
| 435 | NG32 1AX | 3/30/2022 8:25 PM |

Community Governance Review Survey March 2022

| | | |
|-----|-----------|--------------------|
| 436 | NG33 4AA | 3/30/2022 4:49 PM |
| 437 | NG318EE | 3/30/2022 4:47 PM |
| 438 | NG31 7FJ | 3/30/2022 4:28 PM |
| 439 | NG31 7JH | 3/30/2022 3:29 PM |
| 440 | NG32 1HF | 3/30/2022 1:37 PM |
| 441 | NG31 8 RP | 3/30/2022 1:04 PM |
| 442 | NG31 8SY | 3/30/2022 12:37 PM |
| 443 | NG31 9JZ | 3/30/2022 11:48 AM |
| 444 | NG31 6HT | 3/30/2022 11:31 AM |
| 445 | NG31 8AD | 3/30/2022 11:25 AM |
| 446 | NG31 8WR | 3/30/2022 11:21 AM |
| 447 | NG31 9LS | 3/30/2022 11:17 AM |
| 448 | NG31 8QA | 3/30/2022 11:07 AM |
| 449 | NG31 8QA | 3/30/2022 11:06 AM |
| 450 | NG318QA | 3/30/2022 11:03 AM |
| 451 | NG31 9PG | 3/30/2022 10:51 AM |
| 452 | NG31 8GX | 3/30/2022 10:42 AM |
| 453 | NG31 7HS | 3/30/2022 10:31 AM |
| 454 | NG31 8DH | 3/30/2022 10:25 AM |
| 455 | NG31 8GL | 3/30/2022 10:22 AM |
| 456 | NG31 9LE | 3/30/2022 9:58 AM |
| 457 | NG31 8FD | 3/30/2022 9:30 AM |
| 458 | NG31 9RE | 3/30/2022 9:25 AM |
| 459 | NG31 8RP | 3/30/2022 9:22 AM |
| 460 | NG31 8GH | 3/29/2022 8:31 PM |
| 461 | NG31 7HH | 3/29/2022 5:50 PM |
| 462 | NG31 7WN | 3/29/2022 3:37 PM |
| 463 | NG31 8HT | 3/29/2022 3:30 PM |
| 464 | NG31 8GT | 3/29/2022 3:07 PM |
| 465 | ng31 9pf | 3/29/2022 2:23 PM |
| 466 | NG31 8AD | 3/29/2022 2:01 PM |
| 467 | NG31 8TG | 3/29/2022 1:44 PM |
| 468 | NG31 8EJ | 3/29/2022 10:47 AM |
| 469 | NG31 9DL | 3/28/2022 5:13 PM |
| 470 | NG31 7XF | 3/28/2022 4:23 PM |
| 471 | NG31 6QP | 3/28/2022 2:14 PM |
| 472 | NG31 9TN | 3/28/2022 2:00 PM |
| 473 | NG31 9JE | 3/28/2022 1:56 PM |

Community Governance Review Survey March 2022

| | | |
|-----|----------|--------------------|
| 474 | NG31 9LE | 3/28/2022 1:44 PM |
| 475 | NG318RR | 3/28/2022 1:21 PM |
| 476 | NG31 6TA | 3/28/2022 12:27 PM |
| 477 | NG31 9HZ | 3/28/2022 12:20 PM |
| 478 | NG31 6RJ | 3/28/2022 12:17 PM |
| 479 | NG32 1BA | 3/28/2022 12:07 PM |
| 480 | NG31 8JW | 3/28/2022 12:01 PM |
| 481 | NG31 8GA | 3/28/2022 11:59 AM |
| 482 | NG31 9PL | 3/28/2022 11:44 AM |
| 483 | NG31 9EN | 3/28/2022 11:42 AM |
| 484 | NG31 9LG | 3/28/2022 11:38 AM |
| 485 | NG31 8EP | 3/28/2022 11:23 AM |
| 486 | NG31 8FD | 3/28/2022 10:33 AM |
| 487 | NG31 7BZ | 3/28/2022 9:35 AM |
| 488 | ng31 9uh | 3/27/2022 7:23 PM |
| 489 | NG31 9EB | 3/27/2022 6:23 PM |
| 490 | NG31 7GD | 3/27/2022 3:48 PM |
| 491 | NG31 8ED | 3/27/2022 3:43 PM |
| 492 | NG31 9DJ | 3/27/2022 1:03 PM |
| 493 | NG31 7ES | 3/26/2022 7:36 PM |
| 494 | NG31 8GZ | 3/26/2022 3:06 PM |
| 495 | NG31 8DT | 3/26/2022 11:42 AM |
| 496 | NG31 6EZ | 3/25/2022 12:02 PM |
| 497 | Ng319rh | 3/24/2022 6:25 PM |
| 498 | Ng31 7aj | 3/24/2022 5:24 PM |
| 499 | NG31 9ET | 3/24/2022 4:13 PM |
| 500 | NG31 8UN | 3/24/2022 1:35 PM |
| 501 | NG31 9RB | 3/24/2022 11:21 AM |
| 502 | NG31 8SE | 3/24/2022 10:27 AM |
| 503 | NG31 8SE | 3/24/2022 10:22 AM |
| 504 | NG31 7ER | 3/24/2022 9:38 AM |
| 505 | NG31 9NY | 3/23/2022 7:10 PM |
| 506 | NG31 9JL | 3/23/2022 4:56 PM |
| 507 | NG31 7FS | 3/23/2022 4:48 PM |
| 508 | NG31 8PL | 3/23/2022 4:40 PM |
| 509 | NG31 9PB | 3/23/2022 4:37 PM |
| 510 | NG31 6DB | 3/23/2022 3:53 PM |
| 511 | NG31 9NN | 3/23/2022 3:37 PM |

Community Governance Review Survey March 2022

| | | |
|-----|----------|--------------------|
| 512 | NG31 8RU | 3/23/2022 2:24 PM |
| 513 | NG31 8NR | 3/23/2022 11:20 AM |
| 514 | NG31 7BY | 3/23/2022 11:04 AM |
| 515 | Ng318rx | 3/23/2022 8:18 AM |
| 516 | NG31 8QW | 3/22/2022 5:37 PM |
| 517 | NG31 8LR | 3/22/2022 4:55 PM |
| 518 | NG31 8WJ | 3/22/2022 4:51 PM |
| 519 | NG31 6JX | 3/22/2022 4:38 PM |
| 520 | NG31 9JP | 3/22/2022 4:26 PM |
| 521 | NG31 7JJ | 3/22/2022 1:57 PM |
| 522 | NG31 7LL | 3/22/2022 1:50 PM |
| 523 | NG31 7EG | 3/22/2022 1:44 PM |
| 524 | NG31 6HT | 3/22/2022 1:38 PM |
| 525 | NG31 8BN | 3/22/2022 12:50 PM |
| 526 | NG31 9JD | 3/22/2022 12:17 PM |
| 527 | NG31 7JH | 3/22/2022 12:05 PM |
| 528 | NG31 8FF | 3/22/2022 12:01 PM |
| 529 | NG31 7HD | 3/22/2022 11:53 AM |
| 530 | NG31 8DQ | 3/22/2022 11:49 AM |
| 531 | NG31 7UU | 3/22/2022 11:38 AM |
| 532 | NG31 9RS | 3/22/2022 11:05 AM |
| 533 | NG31 8ET | 3/22/2022 11:02 AM |
| 534 | NG31 7RW | 3/22/2022 10:50 AM |
| 535 | NG31 9DU | 3/22/2022 10:48 AM |
| 536 | NG31 9HS | 3/22/2022 10:33 AM |
| 537 | NG31 9AA | 3/21/2022 8:41 PM |
| 538 | NG31 7EG | 3/21/2022 5:17 PM |
| 539 | NG31 7EN | 3/21/2022 3:50 PM |
| 540 | NG319HH | 3/21/2022 2:00 PM |
| 541 | NG32 1HB | 3/21/2022 12:25 PM |
| 542 | NG31 8AN | 3/21/2022 12:02 PM |
| 543 | NG31 8BL | 3/21/2022 11:41 AM |
| 544 | NG31 6RG | 3/21/2022 10:26 AM |
| 545 | NG31 8RE | 3/21/2022 10:07 AM |
| 546 | NG31 9AZ | 3/21/2022 10:04 AM |
| 547 | NG31 9TR | 3/21/2022 9:46 AM |
| 548 | NG319BD | 3/21/2022 9:28 AM |
| 549 | NG31 8AF | 3/21/2022 8:49 AM |

Community Governance Review Survey March 2022

| | | |
|-----|------------|--------------------|
| 550 | NG31 9HX | 3/21/2022 8:39 AM |
| 551 | NG31 8EA | 3/21/2022 7:48 AM |
| 552 | NG31 9FX | 3/20/2022 5:39 PM |
| 553 | NG31 9RD | 3/20/2022 3:13 PM |
| 554 | NG31 9DA | 3/20/2022 3:05 PM |
| 555 | NG317HD | 3/20/2022 1:43 PM |
| 556 | NG31 8LY | 3/20/2022 1:35 PM |
| 557 | NG31 9LG | 3/20/2022 1:28 PM |
| 558 | NG31 8DF | 3/20/2022 12:32 PM |
| 559 | NG31 8UR | 3/20/2022 11:52 AM |
| 560 | NG319QL | 3/20/2022 11:10 AM |
| 561 | NG31 7GE | 3/20/2022 10:52 AM |
| 562 | NG31 7GE | 3/20/2022 10:49 AM |
| 563 | NG31 8GA | 3/19/2022 10:38 PM |
| 564 | NG31 9TX | 3/19/2022 10:13 PM |
| 565 | NG318UA | 3/19/2022 8:13 PM |
| 566 | NG31 9PE | 3/19/2022 8:13 PM |
| 567 | NG31 9BS 2 | 3/19/2022 4:35 PM |
| 568 | NG31 6QQ | 3/19/2022 3:01 PM |
| 569 | NG31 8TG | 3/19/2022 2:34 PM |
| 570 | ng321bh | 3/19/2022 1:19 PM |
| 571 | NG319BH | 3/19/2022 12:07 PM |
| 572 | NG31 8RJ | 3/19/2022 11:31 AM |
| 573 | NG319EF | 3/19/2022 11:04 AM |
| 574 | NG319GG | 3/19/2022 10:44 AM |
| 575 | NG31 8 EJ | 3/19/2022 10:17 AM |
| 576 | NG32 1EH | 3/19/2022 10:01 AM |
| 577 | NG31 9QS | 3/19/2022 10:00 AM |
| 578 | NG319ab | 3/19/2022 9:48 AM |
| 579 | NG31 9PE | 3/19/2022 9:40 AM |
| 580 | NG31 9HR | 3/19/2022 9:18 AM |
| 581 | NG31 8EB | 3/19/2022 8:35 AM |
| 582 | NG32 1HY | 3/19/2022 7:54 AM |
| 583 | NG31 8QX | 3/18/2022 8:12 PM |
| 584 | NG31 8HP | 3/18/2022 4:19 PM |
| 585 | NG31 8FH | 3/18/2022 3:57 PM |
| 586 | NG32 1DL | 3/18/2022 3:20 PM |
| 587 | NG31 8RX | 3/18/2022 2:34 PM |

Community Governance Review Survey March 2022

| | | |
|-----|----------|--------------------|
| 588 | Ng318wp | 3/18/2022 1:32 PM |
| 589 | ng318es | 3/18/2022 1:29 PM |
| 590 | NG31 8PT | 3/18/2022 12:56 PM |
| 591 | NG31 8PT | 3/18/2022 12:25 PM |
| 592 | NG31 9TY | 3/18/2022 11:33 AM |
| 593 | NG31 9HH | 3/18/2022 11:11 AM |
| 594 | NG31 9PU | 3/18/2022 11:10 AM |
| 595 | NG31 9HH | 3/18/2022 8:02 AM |
| 596 | NG32 1BA | 3/18/2022 7:11 AM |
| 597 | NG33 5DA | 3/18/2022 6:45 AM |
| 598 | NG31 6NB | 3/17/2022 9:03 PM |
| 599 | NG319FR | 3/17/2022 5:31 PM |
| 600 | NG31 9HQ | 3/17/2022 5:05 PM |
| 601 | NG318QX | 3/17/2022 4:46 PM |
| 602 | Ng317hw | 3/17/2022 4:44 PM |
| 603 | NG31 8FX | 3/17/2022 3:25 PM |
| 604 | NG31 9QT | 3/17/2022 2:33 PM |
| 605 | NG31 8BQ | 3/17/2022 2:13 PM |
| 606 | NG31 8NB | 3/17/2022 1:59 PM |
| 607 | NG31 9UH | 3/17/2022 12:56 PM |
| 608 | NG318AT | 3/17/2022 12:45 PM |
| 609 | NG31 9FT | 3/17/2022 12:27 PM |
| 610 | NG31 8PF | 3/17/2022 12:16 PM |
| 611 | NG31 8SD | 3/17/2022 11:41 AM |
| 612 | NG31 8AL | 3/17/2022 11:23 AM |
| 613 | NG31 8AF | 3/17/2022 11:12 AM |
| 614 | Ng318ty | 3/17/2022 10:45 AM |
| 615 | NG32 1HB | 3/17/2022 10:05 AM |
| 616 | NG31 9GB | 3/17/2022 9:50 AM |
| 617 | Ng31 8gs | 3/17/2022 9:25 AM |
| 618 | NG318DH | 3/17/2022 8:47 AM |
| 619 | NG31 9GJ | 3/17/2022 8:22 AM |
| 620 | NG31 6HY | 3/17/2022 7:07 AM |
| 621 | Ng31 9gd | 3/16/2022 7:39 PM |
| 622 | NG31 7LX | 3/16/2022 6:48 PM |
| 623 | NG31 9TF | 3/16/2022 6:38 PM |
| 624 | NG31 9RN | 3/16/2022 6:18 PM |
| 625 | NG318UT | 3/16/2022 6:08 PM |

Community Governance Review Survey March 2022

| | | |
|-----|----------|--------------------|
| 626 | NG31 9RN | 3/16/2022 6:01 PM |
| 627 | NG31 6HY | 3/16/2022 5:57 PM |
| 628 | NG31 7LX | 3/16/2022 5:37 PM |
| 629 | NG319PF | 3/16/2022 5:14 PM |
| 630 | NG319LG | 3/16/2022 5:11 PM |
| 631 | NG31 7AZ | 3/16/2022 4:59 PM |
| 632 | NG31 9QB | 3/16/2022 4:53 PM |
| 633 | NG31 8RJ | 3/16/2022 4:20 PM |
| 634 | NG31 8UF | 3/16/2022 4:17 PM |
| 635 | NG31 8AZ | 3/16/2022 3:56 PM |
| 636 | NG31 9BZ | 3/16/2022 3:15 PM |
| 637 | NG32 1HD | 3/16/2022 2:57 PM |
| 638 | NG31 8WS | 3/16/2022 2:51 PM |
| 639 | NG31 8LL | 3/16/2022 2:43 PM |
| 640 | NG31 9FN | 3/16/2022 2:25 PM |
| 641 | NG31 7JP | 3/16/2022 2:19 PM |
| 642 | Ng31 9qd | 3/16/2022 1:44 PM |
| 643 | NG31 8SF | 3/16/2022 1:42 PM |
| 644 | NG31 9TU | 3/16/2022 1:21 PM |
| 645 | NG31 9AF | 3/16/2022 1:20 PM |
| 646 | Ng31 8jw | 3/16/2022 12:51 PM |
| 647 | NG318SN | 3/16/2022 12:50 PM |
| 648 | NG31 8ES | 3/16/2022 12:37 PM |
| 649 | NG31 8LL | 3/16/2022 12:30 PM |
| 650 | NG31 8DP | 3/16/2022 12:20 PM |
| 651 | NG31 8UP | 3/16/2022 12:11 PM |
| 652 | NG31 8DW | 3/16/2022 12:11 PM |
| 653 | NG31 8AD | 3/16/2022 11:34 AM |
| 654 | NG31 8HS | 3/16/2022 11:16 AM |
| 655 | NG31 9AA | 3/16/2022 10:27 AM |
| 656 | NG31 9FU | 3/16/2022 10:01 AM |
| 657 | NG319BG | 3/16/2022 9:49 AM |
| 658 | NG31 8GL | 3/16/2022 9:31 AM |
| 659 | NG31 9HL | 3/16/2022 8:52 AM |
| 660 | NG31 9JD | 3/16/2022 8:50 AM |
| 661 | NG31 7JS | 3/16/2022 8:15 AM |
| 662 | NG31 8UJ | 3/16/2022 8:06 AM |
| 663 | NG31 7JJ | 3/16/2022 7:51 AM |

Community Governance Review Survey March 2022

| | | |
|-----|----------|--------------------|
| 664 | NG31 9EF | 3/16/2022 4:18 AM |
| 665 | NG31 6JX | 3/15/2022 10:35 PM |
| 666 | NG31 9NE | 3/15/2022 9:52 PM |
| 667 | NG31 9HZ | 3/15/2022 9:39 PM |
| 668 | NG31 7JW | 3/15/2022 9:21 PM |
| 669 | NG318RL | 3/15/2022 7:30 PM |
| 670 | NG31 7GD | 3/15/2022 7:21 PM |
| 671 | NG31 9DU | 3/15/2022 7:02 PM |
| 672 | NG31 8WS | 3/15/2022 7:01 PM |
| 673 | ng319uj | 3/15/2022 6:55 PM |
| 674 | NG31 9AD | 3/15/2022 6:51 PM |
| 675 | NG31 8SS | 3/15/2022 6:50 PM |
| 676 | Ng317xa | 3/15/2022 6:38 PM |
| 677 | NG31 8QN | 3/15/2022 5:56 PM |
| 678 | NG31 8AE | 3/15/2022 5:26 PM |
| 679 | NG31 9PN | 3/15/2022 5:15 PM |
| 680 | NG31 9RU | 3/15/2022 4:39 PM |
| 681 | Ng317nh | 3/15/2022 4:35 PM |
| 682 | NG31 8SY | 3/15/2022 4:30 PM |
| 683 | NG31 6QW | 3/15/2022 4:18 PM |
| 684 | NG318AA | 3/15/2022 4:04 PM |
| 685 | CB7 4QJ | 3/15/2022 3:20 PM |
| 686 | NG32 1DB | 3/15/2022 3:02 PM |
| 687 | NG31 7TN | 3/15/2022 2:33 PM |
| 688 | NG31 7HR | 3/15/2022 2:21 PM |
| 689 | NG31 8QL | 3/15/2022 2:10 PM |
| 690 | NG31 9HE | 3/15/2022 1:41 PM |
| 691 | NG31 7JX | 3/15/2022 1:32 PM |
| 692 | NG31 8QJ | 3/15/2022 1:11 PM |
| 693 | NG31 8UF | 3/15/2022 1:00 PM |
| 694 | NG31 9AX | 3/15/2022 12:51 PM |
| 695 | Ng31 7jq | 3/15/2022 11:36 AM |
| 696 | NG31 7UB | 3/15/2022 10:58 AM |
| 697 | NG31 7JX | 3/14/2022 10:03 PM |
| 698 | Pe10 0sh | 3/14/2022 12:21 PM |
| 699 | NG31 7PZ | 3/14/2022 11:19 AM |
| 700 | NG31 7EZ | 3/14/2022 11:02 AM |
| 701 | NG32 3DF | 3/14/2022 9:08 AM |

Community Governance Review Survey March 2022

| | | |
|-----|----------|--------------------|
| 702 | NG32 1DF | 3/14/2022 7:57 AM |
| 703 | Ng319ds | 3/13/2022 6:25 AM |
| 704 | NG32 2BY | 3/12/2022 4:26 PM |
| 705 | NG31 8PL | 3/12/2022 9:32 AM |
| 706 | NG31 9AD | 3/12/2022 9:00 AM |
| 707 | NG31 9BF | 3/11/2022 10:02 PM |
| 708 | NG31 9DZ | 3/11/2022 9:52 PM |
| 709 | NG31 9FP | 3/11/2022 4:21 PM |
| 710 | NG31 6HQ | 3/11/2022 4:08 PM |
| 711 | NG31 9QT | 3/11/2022 12:55 PM |
| 712 | NG32 2EU | 3/11/2022 12:38 PM |
| 713 | NG31 9JS | 3/11/2022 10:57 AM |
| 714 | NG31 9PB | 3/11/2022 9:53 AM |
| 715 | NG31 8UF | 3/11/2022 9:50 AM |
| 716 | NG31 9JD | 3/11/2022 9:46 AM |

| # | COUNTRY | DATE |
|---|---------|------|
|---|---------|------|

There are no responses.

| # | EMAIL ADDRESS | DATE |
|----|---------------|--------------------|
| 1 | [REDACTED] | 4/25/2022 2:56 PM |
| 2 | [REDACTED] | 4/25/2022 2:40 PM |
| 3 | [REDACTED] | 4/25/2022 2:29 PM |
| 4 | [REDACTED] | 4/25/2022 2:27 PM |
| 5 | [REDACTED] | 4/25/2022 2:21 PM |
| 6 | [REDACTED] | 4/25/2022 2:04 PM |
| 7 | [REDACTED] | 4/25/2022 12:40 PM |
| 8 | [REDACTED] | 4/25/2022 12:28 PM |
| 9 | [REDACTED] | 4/25/2022 12:08 PM |
| 10 | [REDACTED] | 4/25/2022 12:04 PM |
| 11 | [REDACTED] | 4/25/2022 11:56 AM |
| 12 | [REDACTED] | 4/25/2022 11:02 AM |
| 13 | [REDACTED] | 4/25/2022 10:47 AM |
| 14 | [REDACTED] | 4/25/2022 10:24 AM |
| 15 | [REDACTED] | 4/25/2022 9:31 AM |
| 16 | [REDACTED] | 4/25/2022 9:20 AM |
| 17 | [REDACTED] | 4/25/2022 9:05 AM |
| 18 | [REDACTED] | 4/25/2022 8:59 AM |
| 19 | [REDACTED] | 4/23/2022 8:25 AM |
| 20 | [REDACTED] | 4/22/2022 10:44 PM |

Community Governance Review Survey March 2022

| | | |
|----|------------|--------------------|
| 21 | [REDACTED] | 4/22/2022 10:34 PM |
| 22 | [REDACTED] | 4/22/2022 10:10 PM |
| 23 | [REDACTED] | 4/22/2022 9:31 PM |
| 24 | [REDACTED] | 4/22/2022 7:47 PM |
| 25 | [REDACTED] | 4/22/2022 7:27 PM |
| 26 | [REDACTED] | 4/22/2022 6:43 PM |
| 27 | [REDACTED] | 4/22/2022 6:35 PM |
| 28 | [REDACTED] | 4/22/2022 6:12 PM |
| 29 | [REDACTED] | 4/22/2022 3:25 PM |
| 30 | [REDACTED] | 4/22/2022 2:52 PM |
| 31 | [REDACTED] | 4/22/2022 2:46 PM |
| 32 | [REDACTED] | 4/22/2022 2:41 PM |
| 33 | [REDACTED] | 4/22/2022 2:02 PM |
| 34 | [REDACTED] | 4/22/2022 1:10 PM |
| 35 | [REDACTED] | 4/22/2022 12:58 PM |
| 36 | [REDACTED] | 4/22/2022 11:56 AM |
| 37 | [REDACTED] | 4/22/2022 10:44 AM |
| 38 | [REDACTED] | 4/22/2022 9:43 AM |
| 39 | [REDACTED] | 4/22/2022 9:41 AM |
| 40 | [REDACTED] | 4/22/2022 9:33 AM |
| 41 | [REDACTED] | 4/22/2022 1:18 AM |
| 42 | [REDACTED] | 4/21/2022 10:30 PM |
| 43 | [REDACTED] | 4/21/2022 10:30 PM |
| 44 | [REDACTED] | 4/21/2022 9:48 PM |
| 45 | [REDACTED] | 4/21/2022 7:39 PM |
| 46 | [REDACTED] | 4/21/2022 7:38 PM |
| 47 | [REDACTED] | 4/21/2022 7:37 PM |
| 48 | [REDACTED] | 4/21/2022 7:15 PM |
| 49 | [REDACTED] | 4/21/2022 6:58 PM |
| 50 | [REDACTED] | 4/21/2022 6:56 PM |
| 51 | [REDACTED] | 4/21/2022 6:51 PM |
| 52 | [REDACTED] | 4/21/2022 6:11 PM |
| 53 | [REDACTED] | 4/21/2022 6:10 PM |
| 54 | [REDACTED] | 4/21/2022 5:03 PM |
| 55 | [REDACTED] | 4/21/2022 4:52 PM |
| 56 | [REDACTED] | 4/21/2022 4:48 PM |
| 57 | [REDACTED] | 4/21/2022 4:41 PM |
| 58 | [REDACTED] | 4/21/2022 3:48 PM |

Community Governance Review Survey March 2022

| | | |
|----|------------|--------------------|
| 59 | [REDACTED] | 4/21/2022 3:37 PM |
| 60 | [REDACTED] | 4/21/2022 3:25 PM |
| 61 | [REDACTED] | 4/21/2022 3:15 PM |
| 62 | [REDACTED] | 4/21/2022 2:51 PM |
| 63 | [REDACTED] | 4/21/2022 2:48 PM |
| 64 | [REDACTED] | 4/21/2022 2:08 PM |
| 65 | [REDACTED] | 4/21/2022 1:14 PM |
| 66 | [REDACTED] | 4/21/2022 1:04 PM |
| 67 | [REDACTED] | 4/21/2022 1:03 PM |
| 68 | [REDACTED] | 4/21/2022 12:40 PM |
| 69 | [REDACTED] | 4/21/2022 12:18 PM |
| 70 | [REDACTED] | 4/21/2022 11:10 AM |
| 71 | [REDACTED] | 4/21/2022 10:23 AM |
| 72 | [REDACTED] | 4/21/2022 9:47 AM |
| 73 | [REDACTED] | 4/21/2022 9:29 AM |
| 74 | [REDACTED] | 4/21/2022 8:32 AM |
| 75 | [REDACTED] | 4/20/2022 11:13 PM |
| 76 | [REDACTED] | 4/20/2022 9:28 PM |
| 77 | [REDACTED] | 4/20/2022 8:27 PM |
| 78 | [REDACTED] | 4/20/2022 8:13 PM |
| 79 | [REDACTED] | 4/20/2022 4:29 PM |
| 80 | [REDACTED] | 4/20/2022 3:25 PM |
| 81 | [REDACTED] | 4/20/2022 2:01 PM |
| 82 | [REDACTED] | 4/20/2022 1:07 PM |
| 83 | [REDACTED] | 4/20/2022 12:41 PM |
| 84 | [REDACTED] | 4/20/2022 12:35 PM |
| 85 | [REDACTED] | 4/20/2022 9:59 AM |
| 86 | [REDACTED] | 4/20/2022 9:55 AM |
| 87 | [REDACTED] | 4/20/2022 9:44 AM |
| 88 | [REDACTED] | 4/20/2022 9:31 AM |
| 89 | [REDACTED] | 4/20/2022 9:31 AM |
| 90 | [REDACTED] | 4/20/2022 9:28 AM |
| 91 | [REDACTED] | 4/20/2022 9:24 AM |
| 92 | [REDACTED] | 4/20/2022 9:00 AM |
| 93 | [REDACTED] | 4/20/2022 8:53 AM |
| 94 | [REDACTED] | 4/20/2022 8:50 AM |
| 95 | [REDACTED] | 4/20/2022 8:34 AM |
| 96 | [REDACTED] | 4/19/2022 11:56 PM |

Community Governance Review Survey March 2022

| | | |
|-----|------------|--------------------|
| 97 | [REDACTED] | 4/19/2022 5:10 PM |
| 98 | [REDACTED] | 4/19/2022 4:30 PM |
| 99 | [REDACTED] | 4/19/2022 3:46 PM |
| 100 | [REDACTED] | 4/19/2022 2:30 PM |
| 101 | [REDACTED] | 4/19/2022 12:53 PM |
| 102 | [REDACTED] | 4/19/2022 12:50 PM |
| 103 | [REDACTED] | 4/19/2022 12:26 PM |
| 104 | [REDACTED] | 4/19/2022 11:51 AM |
| 105 | [REDACTED] | 4/19/2022 9:40 AM |
| 106 | [REDACTED] | 4/19/2022 9:25 AM |
| 107 | [REDACTED] | 4/18/2022 3:58 PM |
| 108 | [REDACTED] | 4/18/2022 1:17 PM |
| 109 | [REDACTED] | 4/18/2022 1:00 PM |
| 110 | [REDACTED] | 4/18/2022 12:35 PM |
| 111 | [REDACTED] | 4/18/2022 12:12 PM |
| 112 | [REDACTED] | 4/18/2022 11:37 AM |
| 113 | [REDACTED] | 4/18/2022 11:33 AM |
| 114 | [REDACTED] | 4/18/2022 11:10 AM |
| 115 | [REDACTED] | 4/18/2022 10:15 AM |
| 116 | [REDACTED] | 4/18/2022 10:13 AM |
| 117 | [REDACTED] | 4/18/2022 9:29 AM |
| 118 | [REDACTED] | 4/18/2022 8:26 AM |
| 119 | [REDACTED] | 4/18/2022 7:30 AM |
| 120 | [REDACTED] | 4/17/2022 9:52 PM |
| 121 | [REDACTED] | 4/17/2022 3:31 PM |
| 122 | N/A | 4/17/2022 3:16 PM |
| 123 | N/A | 4/17/2022 3:15 PM |
| 124 | N/A | 4/17/2022 3:14 PM |
| 125 | N/A | 4/17/2022 3:12 PM |
| 126 | N/A | 4/17/2022 3:11 PM |
| 127 | N/A | 4/17/2022 3:09 PM |
| 128 | N/A | 4/17/2022 3:08 PM |
| 129 | N/A | 4/17/2022 3:06 PM |
| 130 | N/A | 4/17/2022 3:05 PM |
| 131 | N/A | 4/17/2022 3:04 PM |
| 132 | N/A | 4/17/2022 3:02 PM |
| 133 | N/A | 4/17/2022 3:01 PM |
| 134 | N/A | 4/17/2022 3:00 PM |

Community Governance Review Survey March 2022

| | | |
|-----|------------|--------------------|
| 135 | N/A | 4/17/2022 2:58 PM |
| 136 | N/A | 4/17/2022 2:57 PM |
| 137 | N/A | 4/17/2022 2:55 PM |
| 138 | N/A | 4/17/2022 2:54 PM |
| 139 | N/A | 4/17/2022 2:53 PM |
| 140 | N/A | 4/17/2022 2:51 PM |
| 141 | N/A | 4/17/2022 2:50 PM |
| 142 | [REDACTED] | 4/17/2022 12:44 PM |
| 143 | [REDACTED] | 4/17/2022 11:47 AM |
| 144 | [REDACTED] | 4/17/2022 8:53 AM |
| 145 | N/A | 4/16/2022 3:27 PM |
| 146 | N/A | 4/16/2022 3:25 PM |
| 147 | N/A | 4/16/2022 3:24 PM |
| 148 | N/A | 4/16/2022 3:23 PM |
| 149 | N/A | 4/16/2022 3:21 PM |
| 150 | N/A | 4/16/2022 3:19 PM |
| 151 | N/A | 4/16/2022 3:18 PM |
| 152 | N/A | 4/16/2022 3:16 PM |
| 153 | N/A | 4/16/2022 3:14 PM |
| 154 | N/A | 4/16/2022 3:13 PM |
| 155 | N/A | 4/16/2022 2:55 PM |
| 156 | N/A | 4/16/2022 2:54 PM |
| 157 | N/A | 4/16/2022 2:52 PM |
| 158 | N/A | 4/16/2022 2:51 PM |
| 159 | N/A | 4/16/2022 2:49 PM |
| 160 | [REDACTED] | 4/16/2022 1:22 PM |
| 161 | [REDACTED] | 4/16/2022 12:57 PM |
| 162 | [REDACTED] | 4/16/2022 11:17 AM |
| 163 | [REDACTED] | 4/15/2022 8:12 PM |
| 164 | [REDACTED] | 4/15/2022 4:51 PM |
| 165 | [REDACTED] | 4/15/2022 3:06 PM |
| 166 | [REDACTED] | 4/15/2022 3:05 PM |
| 167 | [REDACTED] | 4/15/2022 3:01 PM |
| 168 | [REDACTED] | 4/15/2022 2:01 PM |
| 169 | [REDACTED] | 4/15/2022 1:22 PM |
| 170 | [REDACTED] | 4/15/2022 1:19 PM |
| 171 | [REDACTED] | 4/15/2022 1:06 PM |
| 172 | [REDACTED] | 4/15/2022 10:29 AM |

Community Governance Review Survey March 2022

| | | |
|-----|------------|--------------------|
| 173 | [REDACTED] | 4/15/2022 3:29 AM |
| 174 | [REDACTED] | 4/14/2022 6:51 PM |
| 175 | [REDACTED] | 4/14/2022 6:38 PM |
| 176 | [REDACTED] | 4/14/2022 5:18 PM |
| 177 | N/A | 4/14/2022 3:42 PM |
| 178 | N/A | 4/14/2022 3:41 PM |
| 179 | N/A | 4/14/2022 3:39 PM |
| 180 | N/A | 4/14/2022 3:38 PM |
| 181 | N/A | 4/14/2022 3:36 PM |
| 182 | N/A | 4/14/2022 3:34 PM |
| 183 | N/A | 4/14/2022 3:32 PM |
| 184 | N/A | 4/14/2022 3:30 PM |
| 185 | N/A | 4/14/2022 3:29 PM |
| 186 | N/A | 4/14/2022 3:27 PM |
| 187 | N/A | 4/14/2022 3:26 PM |
| 188 | N/A | 4/14/2022 3:24 PM |
| 189 | N/A | 4/14/2022 3:23 PM |
| 190 | N/A | 4/14/2022 3:21 PM |
| 191 | N/A | 4/14/2022 3:19 PM |
| 192 | [REDACTED] | 4/14/2022 12:06 PM |
| 193 | [REDACTED] | 4/14/2022 11:14 AM |
| 194 | [REDACTED] | 4/13/2022 7:09 PM |
| 195 | [REDACTED] | 4/13/2022 6:11 PM |
| 196 | [REDACTED] | 4/13/2022 5:46 PM |
| 197 | [REDACTED] | 4/13/2022 4:25 PM |
| 198 | [REDACTED] | 4/13/2022 2:35 PM |
| 199 | [REDACTED] | 4/13/2022 2:16 PM |
| 200 | [REDACTED] | 4/13/2022 1:15 PM |
| 201 | [REDACTED] | 4/13/2022 11:32 AM |
| 202 | [REDACTED] | 4/13/2022 10:46 AM |
| 203 | [REDACTED] | 4/13/2022 10:17 AM |
| 204 | N/A | 4/12/2022 8:31 PM |
| 205 | N/A | 4/12/2022 8:29 PM |
| 206 | N/A | 4/12/2022 8:28 PM |
| 207 | N/A | 4/12/2022 8:27 PM |
| 208 | N/A | 4/12/2022 8:25 PM |
| 209 | N/A | 4/12/2022 8:24 PM |
| 210 | N/A | 4/12/2022 8:23 PM |

Community Governance Review Survey March 2022

| | | |
|-----|------------|--------------------|
| 211 | N/A | 4/12/2022 8:21 PM |
| 212 | N/A | 4/12/2022 8:20 PM |
| 213 | N/A | 4/12/2022 8:18 PM |
| 214 | N/A | 4/12/2022 8:17 PM |
| 215 | N/A | 4/12/2022 8:15 PM |
| 216 | N/A | 4/12/2022 8:14 PM |
| 217 | N/A | 4/12/2022 8:13 PM |
| 218 | N/A | 4/12/2022 8:11 PM |
| 219 | [REDACTED] | 4/12/2022 7:26 PM |
| 220 | [REDACTED] | 4/12/2022 6:25 PM |
| 221 | [REDACTED] | 4/12/2022 4:56 PM |
| 222 | [REDACTED] | 4/12/2022 4:00 PM |
| 223 | [REDACTED] | 4/12/2022 1:29 PM |
| 224 | [REDACTED] | 4/12/2022 1:23 PM |
| 225 | [REDACTED] | 4/12/2022 1:16 PM |
| 226 | [REDACTED] | 4/12/2022 1:02 PM |
| 227 | [REDACTED] | 4/12/2022 12:57 PM |
| 228 | [REDACTED] | 4/12/2022 12:52 PM |
| 229 | [REDACTED] | 4/12/2022 12:48 PM |
| 230 | [REDACTED] | 4/12/2022 12:39 PM |
| 231 | [REDACTED] | 4/12/2022 12:28 PM |
| 232 | [REDACTED] | 4/12/2022 12:22 PM |
| 233 | [REDACTED] | 4/12/2022 12:14 PM |
| 234 | [REDACTED] | 4/12/2022 12:14 PM |
| 235 | [REDACTED] | 4/12/2022 8:20 AM |
| 236 | [REDACTED] | 4/11/2022 11:12 PM |
| 237 | [REDACTED] | 4/11/2022 9:19 PM |
| 238 | [REDACTED] | 4/11/2022 9:02 PM |
| 239 | [REDACTED] | 4/11/2022 6:47 PM |
| 240 | [REDACTED] | 4/11/2022 4:32 PM |
| 241 | N/A | 4/11/2022 3:51 PM |
| 242 | N/A | 4/11/2022 3:50 PM |
| 243 | N/A | 4/11/2022 3:48 PM |
| 244 | N/A | 4/11/2022 3:47 PM |
| 245 | N/A | 4/11/2022 3:45 PM |
| 246 | N/A | 4/11/2022 3:44 PM |
| 247 | N/A | 4/11/2022 3:43 PM |
| 248 | N/A | 4/11/2022 3:42 PM |

Community Governance Review Survey March 2022

| | | |
|-----|------------|--------------------|
| 249 | N/A | 4/11/2022 3:40 PM |
| 250 | N/A | 4/11/2022 3:39 PM |
| 251 | N/A | 4/11/2022 3:38 PM |
| 252 | N/A | 4/11/2022 3:37 PM |
| 253 | N/A | 4/11/2022 3:35 PM |
| 254 | N/A | 4/11/2022 3:33 PM |
| 255 | N/A | 4/11/2022 3:31 PM |
| 256 | N/A | 4/11/2022 3:29 PM |
| 257 | N/A | 4/11/2022 3:27 PM |
| 258 | N/A | 4/11/2022 3:26 PM |
| 259 | N/A | 4/11/2022 3:24 PM |
| 260 | N/A | 4/11/2022 3:22 PM |
| 261 | N/A | 4/11/2022 3:19 PM |
| 262 | N/A | 4/11/2022 3:16 PM |
| 263 | N/A | 4/11/2022 3:14 PM |
| 264 | N/A | 4/11/2022 3:11 PM |
| 265 | N/A | 4/11/2022 3:09 PM |
| 266 | [REDACTED] | 4/11/2022 3:09 PM |
| 267 | [REDACTED] | 4/11/2022 12:58 PM |
| 268 | [REDACTED] | 4/11/2022 11:05 AM |
| 269 | [REDACTED] | 4/11/2022 10:26 AM |
| 270 | [REDACTED] | 4/11/2022 10:21 AM |
| 271 | [REDACTED] | 4/11/2022 10:12 AM |
| 272 | [REDACTED] | 4/11/2022 9:31 AM |
| 273 | [REDACTED] | 4/11/2022 9:23 AM |
| 274 | [REDACTED] | 4/11/2022 9:15 AM |
| 275 | [REDACTED] | 4/10/2022 12:30 PM |
| 276 | [REDACTED] | 4/10/2022 11:42 AM |
| 277 | N/A | 4/10/2022 11:40 AM |
| 278 | N/A | 4/10/2022 11:38 AM |
| 279 | N/A | 4/10/2022 11:37 AM |
| 280 | N/A | 4/10/2022 11:35 AM |
| 281 | N/A | 4/10/2022 11:34 AM |
| 282 | N/A | 4/10/2022 11:32 AM |
| 283 | N/A | 4/10/2022 11:31 AM |
| 284 | N/A | 4/10/2022 11:29 AM |
| 285 | N/A | 4/10/2022 11:28 AM |
| 286 | N/A | 4/10/2022 11:26 AM |

Community Governance Review Survey March 2022

| | | |
|-----|------------|--------------------|
| 287 | N/A | 4/10/2022 11:25 AM |
| 288 | N/A | 4/10/2022 11:23 AM |
| 289 | N/A | 4/10/2022 11:21 AM |
| 290 | N/A | 4/10/2022 11:20 AM |
| 291 | N/A | 4/10/2022 11:18 AM |
| 292 | N/A | 4/10/2022 11:16 AM |
| 293 | N/A | 4/10/2022 11:15 AM |
| 294 | N/A | 4/10/2022 11:13 AM |
| 295 | N/A | 4/10/2022 11:12 AM |
| 296 | N/A | 4/10/2022 11:10 AM |
| 297 | N/A | 4/10/2022 11:08 AM |
| 298 | N/A | 4/10/2022 11:07 AM |
| 299 | N/A | 4/10/2022 11:05 AM |
| 300 | N/A | 4/10/2022 11:03 AM |
| 301 | N/A | 4/10/2022 11:01 AM |
| 302 | N/A | 4/9/2022 9:30 PM |
| 303 | N/A | 4/9/2022 9:28 PM |
| 304 | N/A | 4/9/2022 9:26 PM |
| 305 | N/A | 4/9/2022 9:24 PM |
| 306 | N/A | 4/9/2022 9:21 PM |
| 307 | [REDACTED] | 4/9/2022 1:01 PM |
| 308 | [REDACTED] | 4/9/2022 12:15 PM |
| 309 | [REDACTED] | 4/9/2022 12:07 PM |
| 310 | [REDACTED] | 4/9/2022 8:21 AM |
| 311 | N/A | 4/8/2022 7:26 PM |
| 312 | N/A | 4/8/2022 7:23 PM |
| 313 | N/A | 4/8/2022 7:15 PM |
| 314 | N/A | 4/8/2022 7:13 PM |
| 315 | N/A | 4/8/2022 7:11 PM |
| 316 | N/A | 4/8/2022 7:09 PM |
| 317 | N/A | 4/8/2022 7:07 PM |
| 318 | [REDACTED] | 4/8/2022 5:15 PM |
| 319 | N/A | 4/8/2022 3:31 PM |
| 320 | N/A | 4/8/2022 3:29 PM |
| 321 | N/A | 4/8/2022 3:27 PM |
| 322 | N/A | 4/8/2022 3:25 PM |
| 323 | N/A | 4/8/2022 3:23 PM |
| 324 | N/A | 4/8/2022 3:20 PM |

Community Governance Review Survey March 2022

| | | |
|-----|------------|-------------------|
| 325 | N/A | 4/8/2022 3:17 PM |
| 326 | N/A | 4/8/2022 3:14 PM |
| 327 | [REDACTED] | 4/8/2022 3:08 PM |
| 328 | [REDACTED] | 4/8/2022 2:51 PM |
| 329 | N/A | 4/8/2022 12:55 PM |
| 330 | N/A | 4/8/2022 12:52 PM |
| 331 | N/A | 4/8/2022 12:49 PM |
| 332 | N/A | 4/8/2022 12:46 PM |
| 333 | N/A | 4/8/2022 12:33 PM |
| 334 | N/A | 4/8/2022 12:27 PM |
| 335 | [REDACTED] | 4/8/2022 12:18 PM |
| 336 | N/A | 4/8/2022 12:02 PM |
| 337 | N/A | 4/8/2022 11:59 AM |
| 338 | [REDACTED] | 4/8/2022 10:10 AM |
| 339 | N/A | 4/8/2022 5:42 AM |
| 340 | [REDACTED] | 4/7/2022 8:24 PM |
| 341 | N/A | 4/7/2022 7:43 PM |
| 342 | N/A | 4/7/2022 7:39 PM |
| 343 | N/A | 4/7/2022 7:33 PM |
| 344 | N/A | 4/7/2022 7:29 PM |
| 345 | N/A | 4/7/2022 7:24 PM |
| 346 | N/A | 4/7/2022 7:18 PM |
| 347 | [REDACTED] | 4/7/2022 5:32 PM |
| 348 | [REDACTED] | 4/7/2022 4:33 PM |
| 349 | N/A | 4/7/2022 3:42 PM |
| 350 | N/A | 4/7/2022 3:25 PM |
| 351 | [REDACTED] | 4/7/2022 3:04 PM |
| 352 | [REDACTED] | 4/7/2022 2:18 PM |
| 353 | N/A | 4/7/2022 1:00 PM |
| 354 | [REDACTED] | 4/7/2022 12:57 PM |
| 355 | [REDACTED] | 4/7/2022 12:30 PM |
| 356 | [REDACTED] | 4/7/2022 12:15 PM |
| 357 | [REDACTED] | 4/7/2022 11:36 AM |
| 358 | [REDACTED] | 4/7/2022 10:30 AM |
| 359 | [REDACTED] | 4/6/2022 7:02 PM |
| 360 | [REDACTED] | 4/6/2022 5:59 PM |
| 361 | [REDACTED] | 4/6/2022 2:16 PM |
| 362 | [REDACTED] | 4/6/2022 1:49 PM |

Community Governance Review Survey March 2022

| | | |
|-----|------------|-------------------|
| 363 | [REDACTED] | 4/5/2022 6:59 PM |
| 364 | [REDACTED] | 4/5/2022 5:48 PM |
| 365 | [REDACTED] | 4/5/2022 3:36 PM |
| 366 | [REDACTED] | 4/5/2022 3:07 PM |
| 367 | [REDACTED] | 4/5/2022 3:03 PM |
| 368 | [REDACTED] | 4/5/2022 1:15 PM |
| 369 | [REDACTED] | 4/5/2022 10:38 AM |
| 370 | [REDACTED] | 4/5/2022 9:34 AM |
| 371 | [REDACTED] | 4/5/2022 7:51 AM |
| 372 | [REDACTED] | 4/4/2022 6:04 PM |
| 373 | [REDACTED] | 4/4/2022 5:40 PM |
| 374 | [REDACTED] | 4/4/2022 5:36 PM |
| 375 | [REDACTED] | 4/4/2022 4:48 PM |
| 376 | [REDACTED] | 4/4/2022 4:20 PM |
| 377 | [REDACTED] | 4/4/2022 4:19 PM |
| 378 | [REDACTED] | 4/4/2022 4:00 PM |
| 379 | [REDACTED] | 4/4/2022 3:24 PM |
| 380 | [REDACTED] | 4/4/2022 3:15 PM |
| 381 | [REDACTED] | 4/4/2022 3:07 PM |
| 382 | [REDACTED] | 4/4/2022 3:02 PM |
| 383 | [REDACTED] | 4/4/2022 2:59 PM |
| 384 | [REDACTED] | 4/4/2022 2:28 PM |
| 385 | [REDACTED] | 4/4/2022 2:22 PM |
| 386 | [REDACTED] | 4/4/2022 12:39 PM |
| 387 | [REDACTED] | 4/4/2022 11:06 AM |
| 388 | [REDACTED] | 4/4/2022 9:48 AM |
| 389 | [REDACTED] | 4/4/2022 7:38 AM |
| 390 | [REDACTED] | 4/3/2022 7:30 PM |
| 391 | [REDACTED] | 4/3/2022 5:22 PM |
| 392 | [REDACTED] | 4/3/2022 4:56 PM |
| 393 | [REDACTED] | 4/3/2022 4:49 PM |
| 394 | [REDACTED] | 4/3/2022 2:11 PM |
| 395 | [REDACTED] | 4/3/2022 1:22 PM |
| 396 | [REDACTED] | 4/3/2022 12:40 PM |
| 397 | [REDACTED] | 4/3/2022 10:44 AM |
| 398 | [REDACTED] | 4/3/2022 10:38 AM |
| 399 | [REDACTED] | 4/2/2022 7:59 PM |
| 400 | [REDACTED] | 4/2/2022 5:21 PM |

Community Governance Review Survey March 2022

| | | |
|-----|------------|--------------------|
| 401 | [REDACTED] | 4/2/2022 4:52 PM |
| 402 | [REDACTED] | 4/2/2022 3:52 PM |
| 403 | [REDACTED] | 4/2/2022 3:45 PM |
| 404 | [REDACTED] | 4/2/2022 10:11 AM |
| 405 | [REDACTED] | 4/2/2022 9:12 AM |
| 406 | [REDACTED] | 4/2/2022 1:28 AM |
| 407 | [REDACTED] | 4/1/2022 7:27 PM |
| 408 | [REDACTED] | 4/1/2022 7:19 PM |
| 409 | [REDACTED] | 4/1/2022 4:09 PM |
| 410 | [REDACTED] | 4/1/2022 4:03 PM |
| 411 | [REDACTED] | 4/1/2022 2:19 PM |
| 412 | [REDACTED] | 4/1/2022 1:16 PM |
| 413 | [REDACTED] | 4/1/2022 12:19 PM |
| 414 | [REDACTED] | 4/1/2022 8:03 AM |
| 415 | [REDACTED] | 3/31/2022 11:20 PM |
| 416 | [REDACTED] | 3/31/2022 5:17 PM |
| 417 | [REDACTED] | 3/31/2022 3:14 PM |
| 418 | [REDACTED] | 3/31/2022 1:37 PM |
| 419 | [REDACTED] | 3/31/2022 12:32 PM |
| 420 | [REDACTED] | 3/31/2022 12:15 PM |
| 421 | [REDACTED] | 3/31/2022 10:22 AM |
| 422 | [REDACTED] | 3/31/2022 8:42 AM |
| 423 | [REDACTED] | 3/30/2022 10:26 PM |
| 424 | [REDACTED] | 3/30/2022 8:25 PM |
| 425 | [REDACTED] | 3/30/2022 4:49 PM |
| 426 | [REDACTED] | 3/30/2022 4:47 PM |
| 427 | [REDACTED] | 3/30/2022 4:28 PM |
| 428 | [REDACTED] | 3/30/2022 3:38 PM |
| 429 | [REDACTED] | 3/30/2022 3:29 PM |
| 430 | [REDACTED] | 3/30/2022 1:37 PM |
| 431 | [REDACTED] | 3/30/2022 1:04 PM |
| 432 | [REDACTED] | 3/30/2022 12:37 PM |
| 433 | [REDACTED] | 3/30/2022 11:48 AM |
| 434 | [REDACTED] | 3/30/2022 11:35 AM |
| 435 | [REDACTED] | 3/30/2022 11:31 AM |
| 436 | [REDACTED] | 3/30/2022 11:25 AM |
| 437 | [REDACTED] | 3/30/2022 11:21 AM |
| 438 | [REDACTED] | 3/30/2022 11:17 AM |

Community Governance Review Survey March 2022

| | | |
|-----|------------|--------------------|
| 439 | [REDACTED] | 3/30/2022 11:07 AM |
| 440 | [REDACTED] | 3/30/2022 11:06 AM |
| 441 | [REDACTED] | 3/30/2022 11:03 AM |
| 442 | [REDACTED] | 3/30/2022 10:51 AM |
| 443 | [REDACTED] | 3/30/2022 10:25 AM |
| 444 | [REDACTED] | 3/30/2022 9:58 AM |
| 445 | [REDACTED] | 3/30/2022 9:30 AM |
| 446 | [REDACTED] | 3/30/2022 9:22 AM |
| 447 | [REDACTED] | 3/29/2022 8:31 PM |
| 448 | [REDACTED] | 3/29/2022 5:50 PM |
| 449 | [REDACTED] | 3/29/2022 3:37 PM |
| 450 | [REDACTED] | 3/29/2022 3:30 PM |
| 451 | [REDACTED] | 3/29/2022 3:18 PM |
| 452 | [REDACTED] | 3/29/2022 3:07 PM |
| 453 | [REDACTED] | 3/29/2022 2:23 PM |
| 454 | [REDACTED] | 3/29/2022 2:01 PM |
| 455 | [REDACTED] | 3/29/2022 1:44 PM |
| 456 | [REDACTED] | 3/29/2022 10:47 AM |
| 457 | [REDACTED] | 3/28/2022 5:13 PM |
| 458 | [REDACTED] | 3/28/2022 4:23 PM |
| 459 | [REDACTED] | 3/28/2022 1:44 PM |
| 460 | [REDACTED] | 3/28/2022 1:21 PM |
| 461 | [REDACTED] | 3/28/2022 12:27 PM |
| 462 | [REDACTED] | 3/28/2022 12:07 PM |
| 463 | [REDACTED] | 3/28/2022 12:01 PM |
| 464 | [REDACTED] | 3/28/2022 11:59 AM |
| 465 | [REDACTED] | 3/28/2022 11:44 AM |
| 466 | [REDACTED] | 3/28/2022 11:42 AM |
| 467 | [REDACTED] | 3/28/2022 11:23 AM |
| 468 | [REDACTED] | 3/28/2022 10:33 AM |
| 469 | [REDACTED] | 3/28/2022 9:35 AM |
| 470 | [REDACTED] | 3/27/2022 7:23 PM |
| 471 | [REDACTED] | 3/27/2022 6:23 PM |
| 472 | [REDACTED] | 3/27/2022 3:48 PM |
| 473 | [REDACTED] | 3/27/2022 3:43 PM |
| 474 | [REDACTED] | 3/27/2022 1:03 PM |
| 475 | [REDACTED] | 3/27/2022 12:11 PM |
| 476 | [REDACTED] | 3/26/2022 7:36 PM |

Community Governance Review Survey March 2022

| | | |
|-----|------------|--------------------|
| 477 | [REDACTED] | 3/26/2022 3:06 PM |
| 478 | [REDACTED] | 3/26/2022 11:42 AM |
| 479 | [REDACTED] | 3/25/2022 12:02 PM |
| 480 | [REDACTED] | 3/24/2022 6:25 PM |
| 481 | [REDACTED] | 3/24/2022 5:24 PM |
| 482 | [REDACTED] | 3/24/2022 4:13 PM |
| 483 | [REDACTED] | 3/24/2022 1:35 PM |
| 484 | [REDACTED] | 3/24/2022 11:21 AM |
| 485 | [REDACTED] | 3/24/2022 10:27 AM |
| 486 | [REDACTED] | 3/24/2022 10:22 AM |
| 487 | [REDACTED] | 3/24/2022 9:38 AM |
| 488 | [REDACTED] | 3/23/2022 9:01 PM |
| 489 | [REDACTED] | 3/23/2022 7:10 PM |
| 490 | [REDACTED] | 3/23/2022 4:56 PM |
| 491 | [REDACTED] | 3/23/2022 4:40 PM |
| 492 | [REDACTED] | 3/23/2022 4:37 PM |
| 493 | [REDACTED] | 3/23/2022 3:55 PM |
| 494 | [REDACTED] | 3/23/2022 3:53 PM |
| 495 | [REDACTED] | 3/23/2022 3:37 PM |
| 496 | [REDACTED] | 3/23/2022 2:24 PM |
| 497 | [REDACTED] | 3/23/2022 11:20 AM |
| 498 | [REDACTED] | 3/23/2022 11:04 AM |
| 499 | [REDACTED] | 3/23/2022 8:18 AM |
| 500 | [REDACTED] | 3/22/2022 5:37 PM |
| 501 | [REDACTED] | 3/22/2022 4:55 PM |
| 502 | [REDACTED] | 3/22/2022 4:51 PM |
| 503 | [REDACTED] | 3/22/2022 4:26 PM |
| 504 | [REDACTED] | 3/22/2022 1:50 PM |
| 505 | [REDACTED] | 3/22/2022 1:44 PM |
| 506 | [REDACTED] | 3/22/2022 1:38 PM |
| 507 | [REDACTED] | 3/22/2022 12:50 PM |
| 508 | [REDACTED] | 3/22/2022 12:17 PM |
| 509 | [REDACTED] | 3/22/2022 12:05 PM |
| 510 | [REDACTED] | 3/22/2022 12:01 PM |
| 511 | [REDACTED] | 3/22/2022 11:53 AM |
| 512 | [REDACTED] | 3/22/2022 11:49 AM |
| 513 | [REDACTED] | 3/22/2022 11:38 AM |
| 514 | [REDACTED] | 3/22/2022 11:05 AM |

Community Governance Review Survey March 2022

| | | |
|-----|------------|--------------------|
| 515 | [REDACTED] | 3/22/2022 10:48 AM |
| 516 | [REDACTED] | 3/21/2022 8:41 PM |
| 517 | [REDACTED] | 3/21/2022 5:17 PM |
| 518 | [REDACTED] | 3/21/2022 3:50 PM |
| 519 | [REDACTED] | 3/21/2022 2:00 PM |
| 520 | [REDACTED] | 3/21/2022 12:25 PM |
| 521 | [REDACTED] | 3/21/2022 12:02 PM |
| 522 | [REDACTED] | 3/21/2022 11:41 AM |
| 523 | [REDACTED] | 3/21/2022 10:26 AM |
| 524 | [REDACTED] | 3/21/2022 10:07 AM |
| 525 | [REDACTED] | 3/21/2022 10:04 AM |
| 526 | [REDACTED] | 3/21/2022 9:46 AM |
| 527 | [REDACTED] | 3/21/2022 9:28 AM |
| 528 | [REDACTED] | 3/21/2022 8:49 AM |
| 529 | [REDACTED] | 3/21/2022 8:39 AM |
| 530 | [REDACTED] | 3/21/2022 7:48 AM |
| 531 | [REDACTED] | 3/20/2022 5:39 PM |
| 532 | [REDACTED] | 3/20/2022 3:13 PM |
| 533 | [REDACTED] | 3/20/2022 3:05 PM |
| 534 | [REDACTED] | 3/20/2022 1:43 PM |
| 535 | [REDACTED] | 3/20/2022 1:35 PM |
| 536 | [REDACTED] | 3/20/2022 1:28 PM |
| 537 | [REDACTED] | 3/20/2022 12:32 PM |
| 538 | [REDACTED] | 3/20/2022 11:52 AM |
| 539 | [REDACTED] | 3/20/2022 11:10 AM |
| 540 | [REDACTED] | 3/20/2022 10:52 AM |
| 541 | [REDACTED] | 3/20/2022 10:49 AM |
| 542 | [REDACTED] | 3/19/2022 10:38 PM |
| 543 | [REDACTED] | 3/19/2022 10:13 PM |
| 544 | [REDACTED] | 3/19/2022 8:13 PM |
| 545 | [REDACTED] | 3/19/2022 8:13 PM |
| 546 | [REDACTED] | 3/19/2022 4:35 PM |
| 547 | [REDACTED] | 3/19/2022 3:01 PM |
| 548 | [REDACTED] | 3/19/2022 2:34 PM |
| 549 | [REDACTED] | 3/19/2022 1:19 PM |
| 550 | [REDACTED] | 3/19/2022 11:31 AM |
| 551 | [REDACTED] | 3/19/2022 11:04 AM |
| 552 | [REDACTED] | 3/19/2022 10:44 AM |

Community Governance Review Survey March 2022

| | | |
|-----|------------|--------------------|
| 553 | [REDACTED] | 3/19/2022 10:17 AM |
| 554 | [REDACTED] | 3/19/2022 10:01 AM |
| 555 | [REDACTED] | 3/19/2022 10:00 AM |
| 556 | [REDACTED] | 3/19/2022 9:48 AM |
| 557 | [REDACTED] | 3/19/2022 9:40 AM |
| 558 | [REDACTED] | 3/19/2022 9:18 AM |
| 559 | [REDACTED] | 3/19/2022 8:35 AM |
| 560 | [REDACTED] | 3/19/2022 7:54 AM |
| 561 | [REDACTED] | 3/18/2022 8:12 PM |
| 562 | [REDACTED] | 3/18/2022 4:19 PM |
| 563 | [REDACTED] | 3/18/2022 3:57 PM |
| 564 | [REDACTED] | 3/18/2022 3:20 PM |
| 565 | [REDACTED] | 3/18/2022 2:34 PM |
| 566 | [REDACTED] | 3/18/2022 1:32 PM |
| 567 | [REDACTED] | 3/18/2022 1:29 PM |
| 568 | [REDACTED] | 3/18/2022 12:56 PM |
| 569 | [REDACTED] | 3/18/2022 12:25 PM |
| 570 | [REDACTED] | 3/18/2022 11:33 AM |
| 571 | [REDACTED] | 3/18/2022 11:11 AM |
| 572 | [REDACTED] | 3/18/2022 11:10 AM |
| 573 | [REDACTED] | 3/18/2022 8:02 AM |
| 574 | [REDACTED] | 3/18/2022 7:11 AM |
| 575 | [REDACTED] | 3/18/2022 6:45 AM |
| 576 | [REDACTED] | 3/17/2022 9:03 PM |
| 577 | [REDACTED] | 3/17/2022 5:31 PM |
| 578 | [REDACTED] | 3/17/2022 5:05 PM |
| 579 | [REDACTED] | 3/17/2022 4:46 PM |
| 580 | [REDACTED] | 3/17/2022 4:44 PM |
| 581 | [REDACTED] | 3/17/2022 3:25 PM |
| 582 | [REDACTED] | 3/17/2022 2:33 PM |
| 583 | [REDACTED] | 3/17/2022 2:13 PM |
| 584 | [REDACTED] | 3/17/2022 1:59 PM |
| 585 | [REDACTED] | 3/17/2022 12:56 PM |
| 586 | [REDACTED] | 3/17/2022 12:45 PM |
| 587 | [REDACTED] | 3/17/2022 12:27 PM |
| 588 | [REDACTED] | 3/17/2022 12:16 PM |
| 589 | [REDACTED] | 3/17/2022 11:41 AM |
| 590 | [REDACTED] | 3/17/2022 11:23 AM |

Community Governance Review Survey March 2022

| | | |
|-----|------------|--------------------|
| 591 | [REDACTED] | 3/17/2022 11:12 AM |
| 592 | [REDACTED] | 3/17/2022 10:45 AM |
| 593 | [REDACTED] | 3/17/2022 10:05 AM |
| 594 | [REDACTED] | 3/17/2022 9:50 AM |
| 595 | [REDACTED] | 3/17/2022 9:25 AM |
| 596 | [REDACTED] | 3/17/2022 8:22 AM |
| 597 | [REDACTED] | 3/17/2022 7:07 AM |
| 598 | [REDACTED] | 3/17/2022 1:59 AM |
| 599 | [REDACTED] | 3/16/2022 7:39 PM |
| 600 | [REDACTED] | 3/16/2022 6:48 PM |
| 601 | [REDACTED] | 3/16/2022 6:38 PM |
| 602 | [REDACTED] | 3/16/2022 6:18 PM |
| 603 | [REDACTED] | 3/16/2022 6:08 PM |
| 604 | [REDACTED] | 3/16/2022 6:01 PM |
| 605 | [REDACTED] | 3/16/2022 5:57 PM |
| 606 | [REDACTED] | 3/16/2022 5:37 PM |
| 607 | [REDACTED] | 3/16/2022 5:14 PM |
| 608 | [REDACTED] | 3/16/2022 5:11 PM |
| 609 | [REDACTED] | 3/16/2022 4:59 PM |
| 610 | [REDACTED] | 3/16/2022 4:53 PM |
| 611 | [REDACTED] | 3/16/2022 4:20 PM |
| 612 | [REDACTED] | 3/16/2022 4:17 PM |
| 613 | [REDACTED] | 3/16/2022 3:15 PM |
| 614 | [REDACTED] | 3/16/2022 2:57 PM |
| 615 | [REDACTED] | 3/16/2022 2:51 PM |
| 616 | [REDACTED] | 3/16/2022 2:43 PM |
| 617 | [REDACTED] | 3/16/2022 2:25 PM |
| 618 | [REDACTED] | 3/16/2022 2:19 PM |
| 619 | [REDACTED] | 3/16/2022 1:44 PM |
| 620 | [REDACTED] | 3/16/2022 1:42 PM |
| 621 | [REDACTED] | 3/16/2022 1:21 PM |
| 622 | [REDACTED] | 3/16/2022 1:20 PM |
| 623 | [REDACTED] | 3/16/2022 12:51 PM |
| 624 | [REDACTED] | 3/16/2022 12:50 PM |
| 625 | [REDACTED] | 3/16/2022 12:37 PM |
| 626 | [REDACTED] | 3/16/2022 12:30 PM |
| 627 | [REDACTED] | 3/16/2022 12:20 PM |
| 628 | [REDACTED] | 3/16/2022 12:11 PM |

Community Governance Review Survey March 2022

| | | |
|-----|------------|--------------------|
| 629 | [REDACTED] | 3/16/2022 11:34 AM |
| 630 | [REDACTED] | 3/16/2022 11:16 AM |
| 631 | [REDACTED] | 3/16/2022 10:27 AM |
| 632 | [REDACTED] | 3/16/2022 10:01 AM |
| 633 | [REDACTED] | 3/16/2022 9:49 AM |
| 634 | [REDACTED] | 3/16/2022 9:31 AM |
| 635 | [REDACTED] | 3/16/2022 8:52 AM |
| 636 | [REDACTED] | 3/16/2022 8:50 AM |
| 637 | [REDACTED] | 3/16/2022 8:15 AM |
| 638 | [REDACTED] | 3/16/2022 8:06 AM |
| 639 | [REDACTED] | 3/16/2022 7:51 AM |
| 640 | [REDACTED] | 3/16/2022 4:18 AM |
| 641 | [REDACTED] | 3/15/2022 10:35 PM |
| 642 | [REDACTED] | 3/15/2022 9:52 PM |
| 643 | [REDACTED] | 3/15/2022 9:39 PM |
| 644 | [REDACTED] | 3/15/2022 9:21 PM |
| 645 | [REDACTED] | 3/15/2022 8:23 PM |
| 646 | [REDACTED] | 3/15/2022 7:30 PM |
| 647 | [REDACTED] | 3/15/2022 7:21 PM |
| 648 | [REDACTED] | 3/15/2022 7:02 PM |
| 649 | [REDACTED] | 3/15/2022 7:01 PM |
| 650 | [REDACTED] | 3/15/2022 6:55 PM |
| 651 | [REDACTED] | 3/15/2022 6:51 PM |
| 652 | [REDACTED] | 3/15/2022 6:50 PM |
| 653 | [REDACTED] | 3/15/2022 6:38 PM |
| 654 | [REDACTED] | 3/15/2022 5:26 PM |
| 655 | [REDACTED] | 3/15/2022 5:15 PM |
| 656 | [REDACTED] | 3/15/2022 4:39 PM |
| 657 | [REDACTED] | 3/15/2022 4:35 PM |
| 658 | [REDACTED] | 3/15/2022 4:30 PM |
| 659 | [REDACTED] | 3/15/2022 4:18 PM |
| 660 | [REDACTED] | 3/15/2022 4:04 PM |
| 661 | [REDACTED] | 3/15/2022 3:20 PM |
| 662 | [REDACTED] | 3/15/2022 3:06 PM |
| 663 | [REDACTED] | 3/15/2022 3:02 PM |
| 664 | [REDACTED] | 3/15/2022 2:33 PM |
| 665 | [REDACTED] | 3/15/2022 2:21 PM |
| 666 | [REDACTED] | 3/15/2022 2:10 PM |


Community Governance Review Survey March 2022

| | | |
|-----|------------|--------------------|
| 667 | [REDACTED] | 3/15/2022 1:41 PM |
| 668 | [REDACTED] | 3/15/2022 1:32 PM |
| 669 | [REDACTED] | 3/15/2022 1:11 PM |
| 670 | [REDACTED] | 3/15/2022 1:00 PM |
| 671 | [REDACTED] | 3/15/2022 12:51 PM |
| 672 | [REDACTED] | 3/15/2022 11:36 AM |
| 673 | [REDACTED] | 3/15/2022 10:58 AM |
| 674 | [REDACTED] | 3/14/2022 10:03 PM |
| 675 | [REDACTED] | 3/14/2022 5:35 PM |
| 676 | [REDACTED] | 3/14/2022 12:21 PM |
| 677 | [REDACTED] | 3/14/2022 11:19 AM |
| 678 | [REDACTED] | 3/14/2022 11:02 AM |
| 679 | [REDACTED] | 3/14/2022 9:08 AM |
| 680 | [REDACTED] | 3/14/2022 7:57 AM |
| 681 | [REDACTED] | 3/13/2022 7:24 PM |
| 682 | [REDACTED] | 3/13/2022 6:25 AM |
| 683 | [REDACTED] | 3/12/2022 4:26 PM |
| 684 | [REDACTED] | 3/12/2022 9:32 AM |
| 685 | [REDACTED] | 3/12/2022 9:00 AM |
| 686 | [REDACTED] | 3/11/2022 10:02 PM |
| 687 | [REDACTED] | 3/11/2022 9:52 PM |
| 688 | [REDACTED] | 3/11/2022 4:21 PM |
| 689 | [REDACTED] | 3/11/2022 4:08 PM |
| 690 | [REDACTED] | 3/11/2022 12:55 PM |
| 691 | [REDACTED] | 3/11/2022 12:38 PM |
| 692 | [REDACTED] | 3/11/2022 11:29 AM |
| 693 | [REDACTED] | 3/11/2022 10:57 AM |
| 694 | [REDACTED] | 3/11/2022 9:53 AM |
| 695 | [REDACTED] | 3/11/2022 9:50 AM |
| 696 | [REDACTED] | 3/11/2022 9:46 AM |

| # | PHONE NUMBER | DATE |
|---|-------------------------|------|
| | There are no responses. | |

Q10 Please tell us if you are? Please tick all that apply.

Answered: 1,344 Skipped: 139



| ANSWER CHOICES | RESPONSES | |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------|-------|
| A resident of South Kesteven | 98.74% | 1,327 |
| A parish, town, district or county councillor | 2.01% | 27 |
| A parish or town council (please use the space below to tell us which one) | 1.26% | 17 |
| A local business | 2.83% | 38 |
| Representing a group or other organisation (please use the space below to tell us which one) | 0.60% | 8 |
| Total Respondents: 1,344 | | |

| # | OTHER (PLEASE SPECIFY) | DATE |
|---|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------|
| 1 | A resident but not born in Lincolnshire. many years of awareness that parish councils may be represented by active individuals. the town council would hopefully provide opportunities to have sound partnership working. | 4/25/2022 4:04 PM |
| 2 | ng34 8jb | 4/25/2022 2:33 PM |
| 3 | I have a second home at 121 Houghton Road so I'm not on the electoral register there as I live in Boston. I did originally intend to live in Grantham of which I am very fond but went to Boston near my sister. I'm aged 74 retired with mobility problems | 4/25/2022 2:23 PM |
| 4 | I represent the federation of small businesses and in our experience towns with a locally elected town council who can enable and support the high street | 4/25/2022 2:11 PM |
| 5 | An interested Granthamian | 4/25/2022 10:07 AM |
| 6 | a concerned resident | 4/25/2022 9:32 AM |
| 7 | I would be pleased to volunteer if required | 4/25/2022 9:00 AM |
| 8 | Property owner in Londonthorpe. | 4/22/2022 10:11 PM |

Community Governance Review Survey March 2022

| | | |
|----|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------|
| 9 | Retired | 4/21/2022 9:49 PM |
| 10 | Londonthorpe & Harrowby Without PC (The Biggest) | 4/20/2022 9:45 AM |
| 11 | Sos Grantham Hospital, Grantham Remembers and Conserving Grantham | 4/19/2022 5:11 PM |
| 12 | A resident of a village mentioned in the survey. | 4/18/2022 10:38 PM |
| 13 | Barrowby | 4/15/2022 1:25 PM |
| 14 | Barrowby | 4/15/2022 1:22 PM |
| 15 | Lincolnshire County Council | 4/14/2022 5:18 PM |
| 16 | Live in Riverside Grantham | 4/12/2022 7:41 PM |
| 17 | NHS worker | 4/12/2022 8:21 AM |
| 18 | I dont represent anyone i just like to see how and what i'm getting for my money when other areas are thriving and Grantham is at a standstill | 4/11/2022 10:13 AM |
| 19 | Grantham Senior Citizen Community Centre | 4/11/2022 9:31 AM |
| 20 | Retired person who does not drink alcohol or smoke who wants a hospital in Grantham, not Lincoln, Boston, Nottingham or Leicester. Ambulances and helicopters cost thousands. local provision would cut transport costs | 4/11/2022 9:17 AM |
| 21 | Parish Clerk | 4/10/2022 11:42 AM |
| 22 | Parish councillor | 4/8/2022 3:10 PM |
| 23 | Great Gonerby | 4/5/2022 10:36 AM |
| 24 | Ex Lincolnshire police | 4/4/2022 5:37 PM |
| 25 | Pensioner (84 years old) who moved to Grantham 18 months ago and enjoy the town and its facilities. A local council would serve those facilities better because of its proximity | 4/4/2022 4:49 PM |
| 26 | A resident saint Vincent's in the unparished area of Grantham. | 4/4/2022 4:22 PM |
| 27 | Farmer | 4/4/2022 2:13 PM |
| 28 | Grantham Resident | 4/4/2022 1:54 PM |
| 29 | A former LG Chief Financial Officer and Government Advisor | 4/3/2022 2:13 PM |
| 30 | retired | 4/2/2022 3:53 PM |
| 31 | retired | 4/2/2022 3:46 PM |
| 32 | Resident of the unparished area | 3/30/2022 10:42 AM |
| 33 | Retired district nurse, a member of Grantham civic Society and an attendant at Braceby Parish Church | 3/29/2022 3:41 PM |
| 34 | I live in NG31 9DL, my business is in NG31 7FZ | 3/28/2022 5:14 PM |
| 35 | church warden at St Sebastian's Great Gonerby | 3/28/2022 12:00 PM |
| 36 | A parish clerk | 3/28/2022 11:45 AM |
| 37 | Great Gonerby | 3/26/2022 1:11 PM |
| 38 | Great Gonerby Parish Council | 3/22/2022 4:58 PM |
| 39 | I am on benefits because I am poorly but i like writing. I try and give my views to the newspapers. I am honest. | 3/22/2022 4:40 PM |
| 40 | Have lived in [REDACTED] since 13 July 1970 (51 years) | 3/22/2022 10:51 AM |
| 41 | Sole Trader | 3/21/2022 7:15 AM |
| 42 | Retired but intersted in what happens in Grantham | 3/16/2022 4:21 PM |
| 43 | None | 3/16/2022 9:32 AM |

Community Governance Review Survey March 2022

| | | |
|----|-------------------------|--------------------|
| 44 | Residential house owner | 3/15/2022 6:39 PM |
| 45 | Grantham Civic Society | 3/14/2022 11:03 AM |
| 46 | Barrowby | 3/14/2022 8:00 AM |
| 47 | Grantham U3A | 3/12/2022 4:27 PM |
| 48 | Grantham Resident | 3/11/2022 7:16 PM |

Q11 Please tell us your postcode. This will only be used to see if we have received responses from the areas that will be affected by any changes that may be introduced. It will not be used to identify you in any way.

Answered: 1,228 Skipped: 255

| ANSWER CHOICES | RESPONSES | |
|----------------|-----------|-------|
| Name | 0.00% | 0 |
| Company | 0.00% | 0 |
| Address | 0.00% | 0 |
| Address 2 | 0.00% | 0 |
| City/Town | 0.00% | 0 |
| State/Province | 0.00% | 0 |
| Postcode | 100.00% | 1,228 |
| Country | 0.00% | 0 |
| Email Address | 0.00% | 0 |
| Phone Number | 0.00% | 0 |

| # | NAME | DATE |
|---|-------------------------|-------------------|
| | There are no responses. | |
| # | COMPANY | DATE |
| | There are no responses. | |
| # | ADDRESS | DATE |
| | There are no responses. | |
| # | ADDRESS 2 | DATE |
| | There are no responses. | |
| # | CITY/TOWN | DATE |
| | There are no responses. | |
| # | STATE/PROVINCE | DATE |
| | There are no responses. | |
| # | POSTCODE | DATE |
| 1 | NG31 6PA | 4/25/2022 4:04 PM |
| 2 | NG31 9TH | 4/25/2022 2:59 PM |
| 3 | NG31 8EA | 4/25/2022 2:56 PM |
| 4 | NG31 7BW | 4/25/2022 2:51 PM |
| 5 | NG31 8DW | 4/25/2022 2:49 PM |
| 6 | NG31 9BD | 4/25/2022 2:44 PM |

Community Governance Review Survey March 2022

| | | |
|----|----------|--------------------|
| 7 | NG31 8ET | 4/25/2022 2:41 PM |
| 8 | NG31 9RT | 4/25/2022 2:38 PM |
| 9 | NG32 2JU | 4/25/2022 2:37 PM |
| 10 | NG34 8JB | 4/25/2022 2:33 PM |
| 11 | NG34 0HY | 4/25/2022 2:32 PM |
| 12 | NG32 3LH | 4/25/2022 2:29 PM |
| 13 | NG31 8PY | 4/25/2022 2:27 PM |
| 14 | NG31 8FX | 4/25/2022 2:25 PM |
| 15 | NG31 6JB | 4/25/2022 2:23 PM |
| 16 | LN10 6TL | 4/25/2022 2:11 PM |
| 17 | ng31 8bw | 4/25/2022 2:08 PM |
| 18 | NG31 8GY | 4/25/2022 2:04 PM |
| 19 | NG32 6RJ | 4/25/2022 1:04 PM |
| 20 | NG31 7BT | 4/25/2022 1:00 PM |
| 21 | NG32 1LP | 4/25/2022 12:54 PM |
| 22 | NG31 9ED | 4/25/2022 12:53 PM |
| 23 | NG31 9ED | 4/25/2022 12:52 PM |
| 24 | NG31 9ED | 4/25/2022 12:51 PM |
| 25 | NG31 9ED | 4/25/2022 12:49 PM |
| 26 | NG31 9ED | 4/25/2022 12:43 PM |
| 27 | NG31 9DU | 4/25/2022 12:41 PM |
| 28 | NG31 9EJ | 4/25/2022 12:40 PM |
| 29 | NG31 9DU | 4/25/2022 12:34 PM |
| 30 | NG31 9ED | 4/25/2022 12:32 PM |
| 31 | NG31 9ED | 4/25/2022 12:30 PM |
| 32 | NG31 9DU | 4/25/2022 12:28 PM |
| 33 | NG31 9ED | 4/25/2022 12:25 PM |
| 34 | NG31 9ED | 4/25/2022 12:23 PM |
| 35 | NG31 9ED | 4/25/2022 12:21 PM |
| 36 | NG31 9ED | 4/25/2022 12:20 PM |
| 37 | NG31 9EJ | 4/25/2022 12:18 PM |
| 38 | NG32 1AU | 4/25/2022 12:11 PM |
| 39 | NG31 7EN | 4/25/2022 12:08 PM |
| 40 | NG31 7EN | 4/25/2022 12:04 PM |
| 41 | NG31 6LJ | 4/25/2022 11:59 AM |
| 42 | NG31 8BN | 4/25/2022 11:58 AM |
| 43 | NG31 8GL | 4/25/2022 11:56 AM |
| 44 | NG33 8DJ | 4/25/2022 11:54 AM |

Community Governance Review Survey March 2022

| | | |
|----|----------|--------------------|
| 45 | NG31 8DX | 4/25/2022 11:43 AM |
| 46 | NG33 5BS | 4/25/2022 11:41 AM |
| 47 | NG31 7JR | 4/25/2022 11:37 AM |
| 48 | NG31 8QD | 4/25/2022 11:35 AM |
| 49 | NG31 8QP | 4/25/2022 11:20 AM |
| 50 | NG31 8QB | 4/25/2022 11:05 AM |
| 51 | NG31 8RX | 4/25/2022 11:02 AM |
| 52 | PE9 2XF | 4/25/2022 10:54 AM |
| 53 | PE10 0PL | 4/25/2022 10:52 AM |
| 54 | NG31 7PW | 4/25/2022 10:51 AM |
| 55 | NG31 9ED | 4/25/2022 10:49 AM |
| 56 | NG31 7ES | 4/25/2022 10:47 AM |
| 57 | NG31 9AA | 4/25/2022 10:36 AM |
| 58 | NG31 8LS | 4/25/2022 10:24 AM |
| 59 | NG31 7LX | 4/25/2022 10:11 AM |
| 60 | NG32 1BT | 4/25/2022 10:09 AM |
| 61 | NG31 9AA | 4/25/2022 10:07 AM |
| 62 | NG31 9LS | 4/25/2022 9:32 AM |
| 63 | NG31 7HT | 4/25/2022 9:20 AM |
| 64 | NG31 8JN | 4/25/2022 9:11 AM |
| 65 | NG31 8RX | 4/25/2022 9:05 AM |
| 66 | NG31 8QJ | 4/25/2022 9:00 AM |
| 67 | NG319SA | 4/24/2022 10:17 AM |
| 68 | ng318dl | 4/23/2022 10:14 AM |
| 69 | NG31 9PJ | 4/23/2022 8:25 AM |
| 70 | NG317AJ | 4/22/2022 10:44 PM |
| 71 | NG31 9RU | 4/22/2022 10:11 PM |
| 72 | NG31 9DJ | 4/22/2022 9:31 PM |
| 73 | Ng32 | 4/22/2022 9:19 PM |
| 74 | Ng32 | 4/22/2022 9:17 PM |
| 75 | Ng31 9tn | 4/22/2022 9:01 PM |
| 76 | NG32 1JG | 4/22/2022 7:48 PM |
| 77 | NG31 8PP | 4/22/2022 6:44 PM |
| 78 | NG31 6NN | 4/22/2022 6:36 PM |
| 79 | NG31 9FP | 4/22/2022 6:12 PM |
| 80 | NG31 9TN | 4/22/2022 4:45 PM |
| 81 | NG321BB | 4/22/2022 4:44 PM |
| 82 | Ng32 | 4/22/2022 4:10 PM |

Community Governance Review Survey March 2022

| | | |
|-----|----------|--------------------|
| 83 | ng31 9se | 4/22/2022 4:04 PM |
| 84 | NG316PA | 4/22/2022 3:26 PM |
| 85 | Ng31 6jb | 4/22/2022 3:22 PM |
| 86 | NG31 6SN | 4/22/2022 2:53 PM |
| 87 | NG31 6SN | 4/22/2022 2:47 PM |
| 88 | NG32 1BX | 4/22/2022 2:42 PM |
| 89 | NG31 8QB | 4/22/2022 2:02 PM |
| 90 | NG31 8ET | 4/22/2022 1:11 PM |
| 91 | NG31 6RG | 4/22/2022 12:58 PM |
| 92 | Ng31 8Ab | 4/22/2022 12:05 PM |
| 93 | NG31 8AQ | 4/22/2022 11:57 AM |
| 94 | NG31 8UE | 4/22/2022 10:44 AM |
| 95 | NG319PF | 4/22/2022 10:09 AM |
| 96 | Ng31 9ea | 4/22/2022 9:44 AM |
| 97 | NG32 1AU | 4/22/2022 9:42 AM |
| 98 | Ng31 9ea | 4/22/2022 9:33 AM |
| 99 | NG317XP | 4/22/2022 9:02 AM |
| 100 | NG31 6HF | 4/22/2022 1:26 AM |
| 101 | NG32 1TB | 4/21/2022 11:06 PM |
| 102 | NG31 9AA | 4/21/2022 10:31 PM |
| 103 | NG31 7XF | 4/21/2022 10:31 PM |
| 104 | NG31 8UD | 4/21/2022 10:02 PM |
| 105 | NG31 8BW | 4/21/2022 9:49 PM |
| 106 | NG31 8DT | 4/21/2022 8:50 PM |
| 107 | NG31 9PG | 4/21/2022 7:39 PM |
| 108 | NG31 7AU | 4/21/2022 7:38 PM |
| 109 | NG31 9PG | 4/21/2022 7:37 PM |
| 110 | NG31 7WJ | 4/21/2022 7:15 PM |
| 111 | NG31 9DX | 4/21/2022 6:59 PM |
| 112 | NG31 9DX | 4/21/2022 6:56 PM |
| 113 | NG31 8GZ | 4/21/2022 6:51 PM |
| 114 | NG31 8GZ | 4/21/2022 6:11 PM |
| 115 | NG31 9ED | 4/21/2022 6:11 PM |
| 116 | NG31 9TA | 4/21/2022 5:47 PM |
| 117 | NG31 8GL | 4/21/2022 5:18 PM |
| 118 | NG31 7GS | 4/21/2022 5:15 PM |
| 119 | NG31 9LG | 4/21/2022 5:12 PM |
| 120 | NG31 7EN | 4/21/2022 5:10 PM |

Community Governance Review Survey March 2022

| | | |
|-----|----------|--------------------|
| 121 | NG31 7LT | 4/21/2022 5:08 PM |
| 122 | NG31 8LD | 4/21/2022 5:03 PM |
| 123 | NG31 8AZ | 4/21/2022 5:01 PM |
| 124 | NG32 1JZ | 4/21/2022 4:58 PM |
| 125 | NG32 1JZ | 4/21/2022 4:56 PM |
| 126 | NG31 8LP | 4/21/2022 4:52 PM |
| 127 | NG317FP | 4/21/2022 4:52 PM |
| 128 | NG31 8GZ | 4/21/2022 4:48 PM |
| 129 | NG31 8GZ | 4/21/2022 4:41 PM |
| 130 | NG31 7BS | 4/21/2022 3:49 PM |
| 131 | NG318AZ | 4/21/2022 3:37 PM |
| 132 | NG318SB | 4/21/2022 3:26 PM |
| 133 | NG317SN | 4/21/2022 3:17 PM |
| 134 | NG31 8BW | 4/21/2022 3:15 PM |
| 135 | NG321AX | 4/21/2022 2:51 PM |
| 136 | NG31 9AA | 4/21/2022 2:48 PM |
| 137 | NG321BB | 4/21/2022 2:09 PM |
| 138 | Ng31 9hb | 4/21/2022 1:14 PM |
| 139 | ng317hd | 4/21/2022 1:05 PM |
| 140 | NG32 1TE | 4/21/2022 1:03 PM |
| 141 | Ng319lh | 4/21/2022 12:40 PM |
| 142 | NG31 9DX | 4/21/2022 12:18 PM |
| 143 | Ng318xg | 4/21/2022 12:13 PM |
| 144 | Ng31 6db | 4/21/2022 12:11 PM |
| 145 | NG31 7JX | 4/21/2022 11:10 AM |
| 146 | NG31 8PZ | 4/21/2022 10:31 AM |
| 147 | Ng31 7fr | 4/21/2022 10:24 AM |
| 148 | NG317GX | 4/21/2022 9:47 AM |
| 149 | NG32 1BB | 4/21/2022 9:30 AM |
| 150 | NG31 9ED | 4/21/2022 8:32 AM |
| 151 | Ng32 1ax | 4/21/2022 7:10 AM |
| 152 | NG31 9LQ | 4/20/2022 11:13 PM |
| 153 | NG31 9BF | 4/20/2022 9:28 PM |
| 154 | NG317EN | 4/20/2022 8:28 PM |
| 155 | NG31 7HT | 4/20/2022 8:13 PM |
| 156 | NG31 9ED | 4/20/2022 7:56 PM |
| 157 | NG31 9ED | 4/20/2022 7:54 PM |
| 158 | NG31 7FR | 4/20/2022 4:30 PM |

Community Governance Review Survey March 2022

| | | |
|-----|----------|--------------------|
| 159 | NG319NQ | 4/20/2022 3:34 PM |
| 160 | NG32 1TQ | 4/20/2022 3:25 PM |
| 161 | NG32 1AZ | 4/20/2022 3:17 PM |
| 162 | NG318BN | 4/20/2022 2:08 PM |
| 163 | NG31 8BW | 4/20/2022 2:02 PM |
| 164 | NG31 8DX | 4/20/2022 1:08 PM |
| 165 | NG32 1DN | 4/20/2022 12:49 PM |
| 166 | NG31 7FE | 4/20/2022 12:42 PM |
| 167 | NG31 7LX | 4/20/2022 12:36 PM |
| 168 | NG31 8DJ | 4/20/2022 9:59 AM |
| 169 | NG31 9NU | 4/20/2022 9:55 AM |
| 170 | NG31 7EZ | 4/20/2022 9:49 AM |
| 171 | NG31 9RX | 4/20/2022 9:45 AM |
| 172 | NG31 7PZ | 4/20/2022 9:32 AM |
| 173 | NG31 9JE | 4/20/2022 9:31 AM |
| 174 | NG31 8DJ | 4/20/2022 9:28 AM |
| 175 | NG31 8LD | 4/20/2022 9:26 AM |
| 176 | NG31 8BD | 4/20/2022 9:24 AM |
| 177 | NG31 7LT | 4/20/2022 9:21 AM |
| 178 | NG31 7XR | 4/20/2022 9:10 AM |
| 179 | NG31 7JJ | 4/20/2022 9:08 AM |
| 180 | NG31 9EB | 4/20/2022 9:03 AM |
| 181 | NG31 9DE | 4/20/2022 9:01 AM |
| 182 | NG31 9FP | 4/20/2022 9:00 AM |
| 183 | NG31 8BW | 4/20/2022 8:56 AM |
| 184 | NG31 9HZ | 4/20/2022 8:54 AM |
| 185 | NG31 7EZ | 4/20/2022 8:51 AM |
| 186 | NG31 9NZ | 4/20/2022 8:40 AM |
| 187 | NG31 6JX | 4/20/2022 8:38 AM |
| 188 | NG31 9JN | 4/20/2022 8:36 AM |
| 189 | NG31 8JY | 4/20/2022 8:34 AM |
| 190 | NG31 7EA | 4/20/2022 8:31 AM |
| 191 | NG31 8ST | 4/20/2022 6:55 AM |
| 192 | Ng31 7rw | 4/20/2022 12:03 AM |
| 193 | NG31 7AJ | 4/19/2022 11:57 PM |
| 194 | NG31 8GT | 4/19/2022 5:20 PM |
| 195 | NG31 9ED | 4/19/2022 5:11 PM |
| 196 | NG23 5HJ | 4/19/2022 4:30 PM |

Community Governance Review Survey March 2022

| | | |
|-----|----------|--------------------|
| 197 | NG31 8HU | 4/19/2022 3:47 PM |
| 198 | Ng31 7ef | 4/19/2022 3:07 PM |
| 199 | NG31 8AN | 4/19/2022 3:06 PM |
| 200 | NG31 7LJ | 4/19/2022 3:03 PM |
| 201 | NG31 7PU | 4/19/2022 2:58 PM |
| 202 | NG31 7BS | 4/19/2022 2:55 PM |
| 203 | NG31 7ND | 4/19/2022 2:53 PM |
| 204 | NG31 9JJ | 4/19/2022 2:51 PM |
| 205 | NG31 8HN | 4/19/2022 2:49 PM |
| 206 | NG31 8EG | 4/19/2022 2:45 PM |
| 207 | NG31 9AZ | 4/19/2022 2:44 PM |
| 208 | NG31 9DA | 4/19/2022 2:42 PM |
| 209 | NG1 9JW | 4/19/2022 2:38 PM |
| 210 | NG31 9ED | 4/19/2022 2:32 PM |
| 211 | NG31 8BA | 4/19/2022 2:31 PM |
| 212 | NG31 8BQ | 4/19/2022 2:30 PM |
| 213 | NG31 9AQ | 4/19/2022 2:28 PM |
| 214 | NG31 7NY | 4/19/2022 2:20 PM |
| 215 | NG31 9JQ | 4/19/2022 2:18 PM |
| 216 | NG31 7JX | 4/19/2022 1:01 PM |
| 217 | NG31 | 4/19/2022 12:57 PM |
| 218 | NG31 9EW | 4/19/2022 12:54 PM |
| 219 | NG31 8EG | 4/19/2022 12:50 PM |
| 220 | NG31 8DS | 4/19/2022 12:35 PM |
| 221 | NG31 9NX | 4/19/2022 12:30 PM |
| 222 | NG31 9TA | 4/19/2022 12:26 PM |
| 223 | NG31 7JF | 4/19/2022 12:15 PM |
| 224 | NG31 9HG | 4/19/2022 12:14 PM |
| 225 | NG32 1BL | 4/19/2022 11:57 AM |
| 226 | NG31 8NG | 4/19/2022 11:53 AM |
| 227 | NG32 | 4/19/2022 11:51 AM |
| 228 | NG319QQ | 4/19/2022 11:41 AM |
| 229 | NG32 1HU | 4/19/2022 11:20 AM |
| 230 | NG321AX | 4/19/2022 10:00 AM |
| 231 | NG31 7EN | 4/19/2022 9:40 AM |
| 232 | NG31 7GU | 4/19/2022 9:29 AM |
| 233 | NG32 1HE | 4/19/2022 9:28 AM |
| 234 | NG31 7EZ | 4/19/2022 9:25 AM |

Community Governance Review Survey March 2022

| | | |
|-----|----------|--------------------|
| 235 | NG31 8RN | 4/19/2022 9:22 AM |
| 236 | NG31 8DW | 4/19/2022 9:21 AM |
| 237 | NG31 9TG | 4/19/2022 9:19 AM |
| 238 | NG31 9FP | 4/18/2022 7:49 PM |
| 239 | NG31 9FP | 4/18/2022 7:45 PM |
| 240 | Ng31 8WN | 4/18/2022 7:08 PM |
| 241 | NG31 7WN | 4/18/2022 5:11 PM |
| 242 | NG317DZ | 4/18/2022 3:58 PM |
| 243 | NG31 9NB | 4/18/2022 3:45 PM |
| 244 | NG31 8JJ | 4/18/2022 2:57 PM |
| 245 | NG31 8TY | 4/18/2022 1:18 PM |
| 246 | NG31 8SY | 4/18/2022 1:00 PM |
| 247 | NG31 9EL | 4/18/2022 12:35 PM |
| 248 | ng321dj | 4/18/2022 12:12 PM |
| 249 | NG31 7LL | 4/18/2022 11:45 AM |
| 250 | NG31 7LL | 4/18/2022 11:43 AM |
| 251 | ng31 6he | 4/18/2022 11:39 AM |
| 252 | NG31 7LL | 4/18/2022 11:38 AM |
| 253 | ng32 1bb | 4/18/2022 11:33 AM |
| 254 | ng32 1bb | 4/18/2022 11:10 AM |
| 255 | NG31 8UB | 4/18/2022 11:01 AM |
| 256 | NG31 6HZ | 4/18/2022 10:16 AM |
| 257 | NG31 8RL | 4/18/2022 10:14 AM |
| 258 | ng321db | 4/18/2022 9:30 AM |
| 259 | NG31 9JF | 4/18/2022 8:27 AM |
| 260 | NG32 1JG | 4/18/2022 7:31 AM |
| 261 | Ng318HG | 4/17/2022 9:52 PM |
| 262 | NG31 8GP | 4/17/2022 3:31 PM |
| 263 | NG32 | 4/17/2022 3:17 PM |
| 264 | NG32 | 4/17/2022 3:15 PM |
| 265 | NG32 | 4/17/2022 3:14 PM |
| 266 | NG32 | 4/17/2022 3:12 PM |
| 267 | NG32 | 4/17/2022 3:11 PM |
| 268 | NG32 | 4/17/2022 3:09 PM |
| 269 | NG32 | 4/17/2022 3:08 PM |
| 270 | NG32 | 4/17/2022 3:07 PM |
| 271 | NG32 | 4/17/2022 3:05 PM |
| 272 | NG32 | 4/17/2022 3:04 PM |

Community Governance Review Survey March 2022

| | | |
|-----|----------|--------------------|
| 273 | NG32 | 4/17/2022 3:02 PM |
| 274 | NG32 | 4/17/2022 3:01 PM |
| 275 | NG32 | 4/17/2022 3:00 PM |
| 276 | NG32 | 4/17/2022 2:58 PM |
| 277 | NG32 | 4/17/2022 2:57 PM |
| 278 | NG32 | 4/17/2022 2:55 PM |
| 279 | NG32 | 4/17/2022 2:54 PM |
| 280 | NG32 | 4/17/2022 2:53 PM |
| 281 | NG32 | 4/17/2022 2:52 PM |
| 282 | NG32 | 4/17/2022 2:50 PM |
| 283 | NG31 9LF | 4/17/2022 12:45 PM |
| 284 | NG31 9GL | 4/17/2022 11:47 AM |
| 285 | NG31 8EE | 4/17/2022 8:53 AM |
| 286 | NG31 9PF | 4/16/2022 6:44 PM |
| 287 | NG32 | 4/16/2022 3:27 PM |
| 288 | NG32 | 4/16/2022 3:25 PM |
| 289 | NG32 | 4/16/2022 3:24 PM |
| 290 | NG32 | 4/16/2022 3:23 PM |
| 291 | NG32 | 4/16/2022 3:21 PM |
| 292 | NG32 | 4/16/2022 3:19 PM |
| 293 | NG32 | 4/16/2022 3:18 PM |
| 294 | NG32 | 4/16/2022 3:16 PM |
| 295 | NG32 | 4/16/2022 3:14 PM |
| 296 | NG32 | 4/16/2022 3:13 PM |
| 297 | NG31 8DW | 4/16/2022 3:06 PM |
| 298 | NG32 | 4/16/2022 2:55 PM |
| 299 | NG32 | 4/16/2022 2:54 PM |
| 300 | NG32 | 4/16/2022 2:52 PM |
| 301 | NG32 | 4/16/2022 2:51 PM |
| 302 | ng319pe | 4/16/2022 2:44 PM |
| 303 | NG31 7EN | 4/16/2022 1:28 PM |
| 304 | NG31 7EN | 4/16/2022 1:25 PM |
| 305 | NG31 7EN | 4/16/2022 1:23 PM |
| 306 | NG31 9EG | 4/16/2022 12:58 PM |
| 307 | NG31 8AZ | 4/16/2022 11:17 AM |
| 308 | Ng31 7un | 4/16/2022 9:10 AM |
| 309 | Ng31 7jd | 4/15/2022 8:13 PM |
| 310 | NG31 6HX | 4/15/2022 4:52 PM |

Community Governance Review Survey March 2022

| | | |
|-----|----------|--------------------|
| 311 | NG32 1DN | 4/15/2022 3:25 PM |
| 312 | NG32 1DL | 4/15/2022 3:06 PM |
| 313 | NG32 1DB | 4/15/2022 3:05 PM |
| 314 | NG32 1DN | 4/15/2022 3:02 PM |
| 315 | NG32 1DB | 4/15/2022 2:01 PM |
| 316 | Ng32 1db | 4/15/2022 1:25 PM |
| 317 | Ng32 1db | 4/15/2022 1:22 PM |
| 318 | NG32 1DB | 4/15/2022 1:20 PM |
| 319 | NG31 | 4/15/2022 1:14 PM |
| 320 | NG321DB | 4/15/2022 1:09 PM |
| 321 | NG31 8JU | 4/15/2022 1:06 PM |
| 322 | NG31 9AD | 4/15/2022 10:30 AM |
| 323 | NG31 8DD | 4/15/2022 3:30 AM |
| 324 | Ng32 3ez | 4/15/2022 1:33 AM |
| 325 | Ng316dw | 4/14/2022 9:27 PM |
| 326 | Ng31 9na | 4/14/2022 7:18 PM |
| 327 | Ng31 8wn | 4/14/2022 6:51 PM |
| 328 | ng31 6jd | 4/14/2022 6:38 PM |
| 329 | LN1 1YL | 4/14/2022 5:18 PM |
| 330 | NG32 | 4/14/2022 3:43 PM |
| 331 | NG32 | 4/14/2022 3:41 PM |
| 332 | NG32 | 4/14/2022 3:40 PM |
| 333 | NG32 | 4/14/2022 3:38 PM |
| 334 | NG32 | 4/14/2022 3:36 PM |
| 335 | NG32 | 4/14/2022 3:34 PM |
| 336 | NG32 | 4/14/2022 3:33 PM |
| 337 | NG32 | 4/14/2022 3:31 PM |
| 338 | NG32 | 4/14/2022 3:29 PM |
| 339 | NG32 | 4/14/2022 3:27 PM |
| 340 | NG32 | 4/14/2022 3:26 PM |
| 341 | NG32 | 4/14/2022 3:25 PM |
| 342 | NG32 | 4/14/2022 3:23 PM |
| 343 | NG32 | 4/14/2022 3:22 PM |
| 344 | NG32 | 4/14/2022 3:20 PM |
| 345 | NG31 9PN | 4/14/2022 12:07 PM |
| 346 | NG31 7BE | 4/14/2022 11:15 AM |
| 347 | Ng32 | 4/14/2022 9:42 AM |
| 348 | NG31 6RR | 4/13/2022 9:07 PM |

Community Governance Review Survey March 2022

| | | |
|-----|----------|--------------------|
| 349 | NG32 1DB | 4/13/2022 7:11 PM |
| 350 | NG32 1DG | 4/13/2022 6:12 PM |
| 351 | NG31 7GD | 4/13/2022 5:46 PM |
| 352 | NG32 1DJ | 4/13/2022 4:25 PM |
| 353 | NG32 1HP | 4/13/2022 3:05 PM |
| 354 | NG31 6HG | 4/13/2022 2:35 PM |
| 355 | NG32 1DW | 4/13/2022 2:17 PM |
| 356 | NG31 6SW | 4/13/2022 1:15 PM |
| 357 | NG31 7SJ | 4/13/2022 1:01 PM |
| 358 | Ng31 9uh | 4/13/2022 11:32 AM |
| 359 | NG31 9HX | 4/13/2022 10:46 AM |
| 360 | NG31 7NU | 4/13/2022 10:17 AM |
| 361 | NG32 | 4/12/2022 8:31 PM |
| 362 | NG32 | 4/12/2022 8:30 PM |
| 363 | NG32 | 4/12/2022 8:28 PM |
| 364 | NG32 | 4/12/2022 8:27 PM |
| 365 | NG32 | 4/12/2022 8:25 PM |
| 366 | NG32 | 4/12/2022 8:24 PM |
| 367 | NG32 | 4/12/2022 8:23 PM |
| 368 | NG32 | 4/12/2022 8:21 PM |
| 369 | NG32 | 4/12/2022 8:20 PM |
| 370 | NG32 | 4/12/2022 8:17 PM |
| 371 | NG32 | 4/12/2022 8:15 PM |
| 372 | NG32 | 4/12/2022 8:14 PM |
| 373 | NG32 | 4/12/2022 8:13 PM |
| 374 | NG32 | 4/12/2022 8:11 PM |
| 375 | NG31 6QT | 4/12/2022 7:41 PM |
| 376 | NG31 8SF | 4/12/2022 7:27 PM |
| 377 | Ng317qz | 4/12/2022 6:25 PM |
| 378 | NG31 7LP | 4/12/2022 6:04 PM |
| 379 | NG32 1AU | 4/12/2022 5:31 PM |
| 380 | NG31 8PP | 4/12/2022 4:57 PM |
| 381 | NG31 8RJ | 4/12/2022 4:00 PM |
| 382 | NG31 6BX | 4/12/2022 2:11 PM |
| 383 | NG31 7SJ | 4/12/2022 1:30 PM |
| 384 | Ng31 9np | 4/12/2022 1:27 PM |
| 385 | NG31 8JE | 4/12/2022 1:23 PM |
| 386 | NG316RL | 4/12/2022 1:16 PM |

Community Governance Review Survey March 2022

| | | |
|-----|----------|--------------------|
| 387 | NG31 8EB | 4/12/2022 1:14 PM |
| 388 | NG31 9EJ | 4/12/2022 1:12 PM |
| 389 | NG31 7LZ | 4/12/2022 1:07 PM |
| 390 | NG31 7LT | 4/12/2022 1:05 PM |
| 391 | NG31 7UJ | 4/12/2022 1:02 PM |
| 392 | NG31 9JF | 4/12/2022 12:59 PM |
| 393 | NG31 6JN | 4/12/2022 12:57 PM |
| 394 | NG31 1JQ | 4/12/2022 12:52 PM |
| 395 | NG31 6HQ | 4/12/2022 12:49 PM |
| 396 | NG31 8AT | 4/12/2022 12:44 PM |
| 397 | NG31 8QJ | 4/12/2022 12:42 PM |
| 398 | NG31 7JT | 4/12/2022 12:40 PM |
| 399 | NG31 9NX | 4/12/2022 12:33 PM |
| 400 | NG31 6BX | 4/12/2022 12:28 PM |
| 401 | NG31 8GL | 4/12/2022 12:26 PM |
| 402 | NG31 7LX | 4/12/2022 12:25 PM |
| 403 | NG31 8EX | 4/12/2022 12:23 PM |
| 404 | NG31 9UH | 4/12/2022 12:21 PM |
| 405 | NG318DH | 4/12/2022 12:18 PM |
| 406 | NG31 9AA | 4/12/2022 12:14 PM |
| 407 | NG31 8 | 4/12/2022 12:07 PM |
| 408 | NG31 8GT | 4/12/2022 11:40 AM |
| 409 | Ng32 1bf | 4/12/2022 10:17 AM |
| 410 | NG31 8GH | 4/12/2022 8:21 AM |
| 411 | NG31 7bs | 4/12/2022 6:36 AM |
| 412 | ng335ad | 4/11/2022 11:13 PM |
| 413 | NG32 1DJ | 4/11/2022 9:03 PM |
| 414 | NG31 9FY | 4/11/2022 6:48 PM |
| 415 | NG31 7EN | 4/11/2022 5:57 PM |
| 416 | NG317LX | 4/11/2022 5:41 PM |
| 417 | Ng31 9tg | 4/11/2022 4:45 PM |
| 418 | NG31 9AB | 4/11/2022 4:33 PM |
| 419 | NG32 | 4/11/2022 3:51 PM |
| 420 | NG32 | 4/11/2022 3:50 PM |
| 421 | NG32 | 4/11/2022 3:49 PM |
| 422 | NG31 8EL | 4/11/2022 3:49 PM |
| 423 | NG32 | 4/11/2022 3:47 PM |
| 424 | NG32 | 4/11/2022 3:46 PM |

Community Governance Review Survey March 2022

| | | |
|-----|-----------|--------------------|
| 425 | NG32 | 4/11/2022 3:44 PM |
| 426 | NG32 | 4/11/2022 3:43 PM |
| 427 | NG32 | 4/11/2022 3:42 PM |
| 428 | NG32 | 4/11/2022 3:41 PM |
| 429 | NG32 | 4/11/2022 3:39 PM |
| 430 | NG32 | 4/11/2022 3:38 PM |
| 431 | NG32 | 4/11/2022 3:37 PM |
| 432 | NG32 | 4/11/2022 3:35 PM |
| 433 | NG32 | 4/11/2022 3:33 PM |
| 434 | NG32 | 4/11/2022 3:31 PM |
| 435 | NG32 | 4/11/2022 3:29 PM |
| 436 | NG32 | 4/11/2022 3:28 PM |
| 437 | NG32 | 4/11/2022 3:26 PM |
| 438 | NG32 | 4/11/2022 3:24 PM |
| 439 | NG32 | 4/11/2022 3:23 PM |
| 440 | NG32 | 4/11/2022 3:19 PM |
| 441 | NG32 | 4/11/2022 3:16 PM |
| 442 | NG32 | 4/11/2022 3:14 PM |
| 443 | NG32 | 4/11/2022 3:11 PM |
| 444 | NG32 | 4/11/2022 3:09 PM |
| 445 | NG318JW | 4/11/2022 3:09 PM |
| 446 | ng321tg | 4/11/2022 1:52 PM |
| 447 | ng321tg | 4/11/2022 1:48 PM |
| 448 | ng321tg | 4/11/2022 1:45 PM |
| 449 | ng321 1ta | 4/11/2022 1:07 PM |
| 450 | NG31 8GZ | 4/11/2022 12:58 PM |
| 451 | NG318GS | 4/11/2022 11:05 AM |
| 452 | NG31 7EF | 4/11/2022 10:26 AM |
| 453 | NG31 8EA | 4/11/2022 10:21 AM |
| 454 | NG31 7SP | 4/11/2022 10:16 AM |
| 455 | NG31 7PW | 4/11/2022 10:13 AM |
| 456 | NG31 8FJ | 4/11/2022 9:31 AM |
| 457 | NG31 8JJ | 4/11/2022 9:27 AM |
| 458 | NG31 8RN | 4/11/2022 9:25 AM |
| 459 | NG32 1BL | 4/11/2022 9:23 AM |
| 460 | NG31 8ED | 4/11/2022 9:20 AM |
| 461 | NG31 9LH | 4/11/2022 9:17 AM |
| 462 | NG31 9TA | 4/11/2022 8:58 AM |

Community Governance Review Survey March 2022

| | | |
|-----|----------|--------------------|
| 463 | ng31 9he | 4/10/2022 9:06 PM |
| 464 | NG31 8RH | 4/10/2022 12:30 PM |
| 465 | NG334AG | 4/10/2022 11:42 AM |
| 466 | NG32 | 4/10/2022 11:40 AM |
| 467 | NG32 | 4/10/2022 11:38 AM |
| 468 | NG32 | 4/10/2022 11:37 AM |
| 469 | NG32 | 4/10/2022 11:36 AM |
| 470 | NG32 | 4/10/2022 11:34 AM |
| 471 | NG318UL | 4/10/2022 11:34 AM |
| 472 | NG32 | 4/10/2022 11:33 AM |
| 473 | NG32 | 4/10/2022 11:31 AM |
| 474 | NG32 | 4/10/2022 11:30 AM |
| 475 | NG32 | 4/10/2022 11:28 AM |
| 476 | NG32 | 4/10/2022 11:27 AM |
| 477 | NG32 | 4/10/2022 11:25 AM |
| 478 | NG32 | 4/10/2022 11:23 AM |
| 479 | NG32 | 4/10/2022 11:22 AM |
| 480 | NG32 | 4/10/2022 11:20 AM |
| 481 | NG32 | 4/10/2022 11:18 AM |
| 482 | NG32 | 4/10/2022 11:17 AM |
| 483 | NG32 | 4/10/2022 11:15 AM |
| 484 | NG32 | 4/10/2022 11:14 AM |
| 485 | NG32 | 4/10/2022 11:12 AM |
| 486 | NG32 | 4/10/2022 11:10 AM |
| 487 | NG32 | 4/10/2022 11:09 AM |
| 488 | NG32 | 4/10/2022 11:07 AM |
| 489 | NG32 | 4/10/2022 11:05 AM |
| 490 | NG32 | 4/10/2022 11:03 AM |
| 491 | NG32 | 4/10/2022 11:01 AM |
| 492 | NG32 | 4/9/2022 9:30 PM |
| 493 | NG32 | 4/9/2022 9:28 PM |
| 494 | NG32 | 4/9/2022 9:27 PM |
| 495 | NG32 | 4/9/2022 9:24 PM |
| 496 | NG32 | 4/9/2022 9:21 PM |
| 497 | NG32 1DN | 4/9/2022 2:53 PM |
| 498 | ng31 9pw | 4/9/2022 2:33 PM |
| 499 | NG31 8BS | 4/9/2022 1:02 PM |
| 500 | NG319JZ | 4/9/2022 12:16 PM |

Community Governance Review Survey March 2022

| | | |
|-----|----------|-------------------|
| 501 | NG31 8AD | 4/9/2022 12:08 PM |
| 502 | NG31 9BD | 4/9/2022 8:22 AM |
| 503 | NG32 | 4/8/2022 7:26 PM |
| 504 | NG32 | 4/8/2022 7:23 PM |
| 505 | Ng317ha | 4/8/2022 7:18 PM |
| 506 | NG32 | 4/8/2022 7:15 PM |
| 507 | NG32 | 4/8/2022 7:13 PM |
| 508 | NG32 | 4/8/2022 7:11 PM |
| 509 | NG32 | 4/8/2022 7:09 PM |
| 510 | NG32 | 4/8/2022 7:08 PM |
| 511 | NG318QE | 4/8/2022 6:18 PM |
| 512 | NG32 1AE | 4/8/2022 5:31 PM |
| 513 | NG31 7AZ | 4/8/2022 5:15 PM |
| 514 | NG31 7LP | 4/8/2022 4:30 PM |
| 515 | NG31 8HJ | 4/8/2022 3:59 PM |
| 516 | NG32 | 4/8/2022 3:32 PM |
| 517 | NG32 | 4/8/2022 3:29 PM |
| 518 | NG32 | 4/8/2022 3:27 PM |
| 519 | NG32 | 4/8/2022 3:25 PM |
| 520 | NG32 | 4/8/2022 3:23 PM |
| 521 | NG32 | 4/8/2022 3:21 PM |
| 522 | NG32 | 4/8/2022 3:17 PM |
| 523 | NG32 | 4/8/2022 3:15 PM |
| 524 | NG318PT | 4/8/2022 3:10 PM |
| 525 | NG31 8DW | 4/8/2022 2:51 PM |
| 526 | NG31 8DY | 4/8/2022 2:28 PM |
| 527 | NG32 | 4/8/2022 12:55 PM |
| 528 | NG32 | 4/8/2022 12:52 PM |
| 529 | NG32 | 4/8/2022 12:50 PM |
| 530 | NG32 | 4/8/2022 12:46 PM |
| 531 | NG32 | 4/8/2022 12:33 PM |
| 532 | NG32 | 4/8/2022 12:28 PM |
| 533 | ng321tg | 4/8/2022 12:26 PM |
| 534 | NG31 8BS | 4/8/2022 12:18 PM |
| 535 | ng321tg | 4/8/2022 12:04 PM |
| 536 | NG32 | 4/8/2022 12:03 PM |
| 537 | NG32 | 4/8/2022 11:59 AM |
| 538 | NG321DW | 4/8/2022 10:11 AM |

Community Governance Review Survey March 2022

| | | |
|-----|---------------|-------------------|
| 539 | ng321tg | 4/8/2022 8:19 AM |
| 540 | NG32 | 4/8/2022 5:42 AM |
| 541 | NG31 7JL | 4/7/2022 8:24 PM |
| 542 | NG32 | 4/7/2022 7:43 PM |
| 543 | NG32 | 4/7/2022 7:40 PM |
| 544 | NG32 | 4/7/2022 7:34 PM |
| 545 | NG32 | 4/7/2022 7:29 PM |
| 546 | NG32 | 4/7/2022 7:25 PM |
| 547 | NG32 | 4/7/2022 7:18 PM |
| 548 | NG31 8SG | 4/7/2022 6:02 PM |
| 549 | NG31 8HP | 4/7/2022 5:32 PM |
| 550 | NG31 9HH | 4/7/2022 4:33 PM |
| 551 | NG32 | 4/7/2022 3:43 PM |
| 552 | NG32 | 4/7/2022 3:25 PM |
| 553 | NG31 8HU | 4/7/2022 3:04 PM |
| 554 | NG31 9TX | 4/7/2022 2:37 PM |
| 555 | ng317pw | 4/7/2022 2:20 PM |
| 556 | NG31 8AS | 4/7/2022 2:19 PM |
| 557 | NG32 | 4/7/2022 1:03 PM |
| 558 | NG31 9HA | 4/7/2022 12:57 PM |
| 559 | NG31 8NF | 4/7/2022 12:16 PM |
| 560 | N31 9QQ | 4/7/2022 11:54 AM |
| 561 | NG317PP | 4/7/2022 11:37 AM |
| 562 | NG31 9BY | 4/7/2022 10:47 AM |
| 563 | Already given | 4/7/2022 10:30 AM |
| 564 | Ng31 7wn | 4/6/2022 9:15 PM |
| 565 | NG31 7DP | 4/6/2022 7:03 PM |
| 566 | NG32 2JP | 4/6/2022 5:59 PM |
| 567 | NG32 | 4/6/2022 4:51 PM |
| 568 | NG32 | 4/6/2022 4:04 PM |
| 569 | NG31 8EY | 4/6/2022 2:17 PM |
| 570 | NG31 8LR | 4/6/2022 1:53 PM |
| 571 | NG31 7UJ | 4/6/2022 1:49 PM |
| 572 | NG32 3PS | 4/6/2022 12:28 PM |
| 573 | NG31 8GZ | 4/6/2022 11:46 AM |
| 574 | Ng31 9fl | 4/6/2022 9:47 AM |
| 575 | NG31 7JP | 4/5/2022 7:33 PM |
| 576 | NG31 7GL | 4/5/2022 7:00 PM |

Community Governance Review Survey March 2022

| | | |
|-----|----------|-------------------|
| 577 | ng31 9dh | 4/5/2022 5:48 PM |
| 578 | NG31 8AQ | 4/5/2022 3:37 PM |
| 579 | NG31 7EL | 4/5/2022 3:07 PM |
| 580 | NG31 7EL | 4/5/2022 3:03 PM |
| 581 | NG32 1TA | 4/5/2022 1:16 PM |
| 582 | NG31 7BJ | 4/5/2022 11:33 AM |
| 583 | NG32 1HE | 4/5/2022 10:58 AM |
| 584 | NG31 7JJ | 4/5/2022 10:47 AM |
| 585 | NG31 7XF | 4/5/2022 10:46 AM |
| 586 | NG31 1DE | 4/5/2022 10:43 AM |
| 587 | NG31 8EF | 4/5/2022 10:39 AM |
| 588 | NH31 8PP | 4/5/2022 10:36 AM |
| 589 | NG31 8QJ | 4/5/2022 10:34 AM |
| 590 | NG31 8GH | 4/5/2022 10:32 AM |
| 591 | Ng318af | 4/5/2022 10:31 AM |
| 592 | NG31 8AD | 4/5/2022 10:25 AM |
| 593 | NG31 9PF | 4/5/2022 10:20 AM |
| 594 | NG31 8RF | 4/5/2022 9:40 AM |
| 595 | NH31 8DD | 4/5/2022 9:34 AM |
| 596 | NG31 | 4/5/2022 9:23 AM |
| 597 | Ng31 7xt | 4/5/2022 9:19 AM |
| 598 | NG31 9JS | 4/5/2022 9:17 AM |
| 599 | Ng31 8bx | 4/5/2022 7:52 AM |
| 600 | NG316HG | 4/5/2022 7:30 AM |
| 601 | NG316rr | 4/5/2022 3:22 AM |
| 602 | NG31 | 4/4/2022 8:19 PM |
| 603 | NG31 9JN | 4/4/2022 8:18 PM |
| 604 | NG31 7LT | 4/4/2022 7:50 PM |
| 605 | NG32 3LJ | 4/4/2022 6:56 PM |
| 606 | NG31 9LQ | 4/4/2022 6:05 PM |
| 607 | Ng31 7ua | 4/4/2022 5:40 PM |
| 608 | NG33 4BS | 4/4/2022 5:37 PM |
| 609 | NG31 9DF | 4/4/2022 4:49 PM |
| 610 | NG31 8AJ | 4/4/2022 4:44 PM |
| 611 | NG31 8QQ | 4/4/2022 4:42 PM |
| 612 | Ng318rr | 4/4/2022 4:36 PM |
| 613 | NG31 9AD | 4/4/2022 4:22 PM |
| 614 | NG31 8FD | 4/4/2022 4:21 PM |

Community Governance Review Survey March 2022

| | | |
|-----|----------|-------------------|
| 615 | NG31 9ED | 4/4/2022 4:00 PM |
| 616 | NG31 6RY | 4/4/2022 4:00 PM |
| 617 | NG31 7QT | 4/4/2022 3:24 PM |
| 618 | NG31 9EJ | 4/4/2022 3:20 PM |
| 619 | NG31 7BH | 4/4/2022 3:15 PM |
| 620 | NG31 8DS | 4/4/2022 3:08 PM |
| 621 | NG31 8EF | 4/4/2022 3:02 PM |
| 622 | NG31 8ED | 4/4/2022 2:59 PM |
| 623 | NG31 9AB | 4/4/2022 2:31 PM |
| 624 | NG31 7RS | 4/4/2022 2:29 PM |
| 625 | NG31 8SE | 4/4/2022 2:22 PM |
| 626 | NG31 6UE | 4/4/2022 2:17 PM |
| 627 | NG32 1DB | 4/4/2022 2:13 PM |
| 628 | NG31 8QY | 4/4/2022 2:12 PM |
| 629 | NG31 8LQ | 4/4/2022 2:10 PM |
| 630 | NG31 7LT | 4/4/2022 2:09 PM |
| 631 | NG31 8HS | 4/4/2022 2:05 PM |
| 632 | NG31 8UB | 4/4/2022 2:01 PM |
| 633 | NG31 9HY | 4/4/2022 1:54 PM |
| 634 | NG31 7EY | 4/4/2022 12:40 PM |
| 635 | NG31 8FD | 4/4/2022 11:43 AM |
| 636 | ng318nq | 4/4/2022 11:17 AM |
| 637 | NG31 7EY | 4/4/2022 11:06 AM |
| 638 | NG31 7GU | 4/4/2022 10:37 AM |
| 639 | ng319uh | 4/4/2022 10:07 AM |
| 640 | ng317hw | 4/4/2022 9:48 AM |
| 641 | NG31 8GE | 4/4/2022 7:38 AM |
| 642 | NG31 9AA | 4/3/2022 7:31 PM |
| 643 | NG31 9RR | 4/3/2022 5:22 PM |
| 644 | NG318GY | 4/3/2022 4:56 PM |
| 645 | NG31 8RB | 4/3/2022 4:49 PM |
| 646 | NG31 9PE | 4/3/2022 4:16 PM |
| 647 | NG31 9RR | 4/3/2022 2:13 PM |
| 648 | NG31 8EJ | 4/3/2022 1:25 PM |
| 649 | Ng319hs | 4/3/2022 12:57 PM |
| 650 | NG318XH | 4/3/2022 12:41 PM |
| 651 | Ng31 9qn | 4/3/2022 12:40 PM |
| 652 | Ng31 9DU | 4/3/2022 12:19 PM |

Community Governance Review Survey March 2022

| | | |
|-----|----------|--------------------|
| 653 | NG31 7HW | 4/3/2022 10:45 AM |
| 654 | ng31 9fj | 4/3/2022 10:38 AM |
| 655 | NG319FF | 4/3/2022 9:44 AM |
| 656 | NG32 1JG | 4/2/2022 8:05 PM |
| 657 | Ng31 9tu | 4/2/2022 8:00 PM |
| 658 | N32 2BY | 4/2/2022 5:22 PM |
| 659 | Ng318qz | 4/2/2022 4:53 PM |
| 660 | NG31 7PH | 4/2/2022 3:53 PM |
| 661 | NG31 7PH | 4/2/2022 3:46 PM |
| 662 | NG31 7AW | 4/2/2022 3:38 PM |
| 663 | Ng319SA | 4/2/2022 12:26 PM |
| 664 | Ng33 5da | 4/2/2022 10:11 AM |
| 665 | Ng32 1dl | 4/2/2022 9:23 AM |
| 666 | Ng321dl | 4/2/2022 9:12 AM |
| 667 | ng31 8jy | 4/2/2022 6:39 AM |
| 668 | NG31 7JX | 4/2/2022 1:29 AM |
| 669 | NG31 8PF | 4/1/2022 7:28 PM |
| 670 | NG31 8PF | 4/1/2022 7:20 PM |
| 671 | NG319JL | 4/1/2022 4:10 PM |
| 672 | ng318lq | 4/1/2022 4:03 PM |
| 673 | ng318al | 4/1/2022 3:06 PM |
| 674 | ng32 3ju | 4/1/2022 2:20 PM |
| 675 | NG31 9HG | 4/1/2022 1:17 PM |
| 676 | NG31 7HH | 4/1/2022 12:19 PM |
| 677 | NG319PW | 4/1/2022 11:50 AM |
| 678 | NG319JL | 4/1/2022 11:43 AM |
| 679 | Ng319ru | 4/1/2022 9:30 AM |
| 680 | NG319AB | 4/1/2022 9:02 AM |
| 681 | NG32 1HP | 4/1/2022 8:03 AM |
| 682 | NG31 8LR | 3/31/2022 11:20 PM |
| 683 | Ng319jz | 3/31/2022 10:04 PM |
| 684 | Ng319jz | 3/31/2022 10:02 PM |
| 685 | Ng318pt | 3/31/2022 8:44 PM |
| 686 | NG317JQ | 3/31/2022 5:30 PM |
| 687 | NG318PJ | 3/31/2022 5:20 PM |
| 688 | NG31 9TP | 3/31/2022 5:18 PM |
| 689 | NG318SF | 3/31/2022 3:44 PM |
| 690 | NG31 8ET | 3/31/2022 3:16 PM |

Community Governance Review Survey March 2022

| | | |
|-----|-----------|--------------------|
| 691 | NG31 8SL | 3/31/2022 3:14 PM |
| 692 | NG31 6PD | 3/31/2022 1:37 PM |
| 693 | NG 31 8D | 3/31/2022 12:39 PM |
| 694 | NG31. 8JU | 3/31/2022 12:16 PM |
| 695 | NG31 9HH | 3/31/2022 11:58 AM |
| 696 | NG32 1DP | 3/31/2022 10:23 AM |
| 697 | NG31 9PE | 3/31/2022 8:42 AM |
| 698 | ng31 6qw | 3/30/2022 10:27 PM |
| 699 | NG32 1AX | 3/30/2022 8:25 PM |
| 700 | NG31 8XF | 3/30/2022 7:28 PM |
| 701 | NG32 1BJ | 3/30/2022 7:20 PM |
| 702 | NG33 4AA | 3/30/2022 4:50 PM |
| 703 | NG31 8EE | 3/30/2022 4:48 PM |
| 704 | NG31 7FJ | 3/30/2022 4:29 PM |
| 705 | NG321HD | 3/30/2022 3:39 PM |
| 706 | NG31 7JH | 3/30/2022 3:30 PM |
| 707 | NG32 1HF | 3/30/2022 1:37 PM |
| 708 | NG31 8RP | 3/30/2022 1:05 PM |
| 709 | NG31 8SY | 3/30/2022 12:37 PM |
| 710 | NG1 8GQ | 3/30/2022 11:50 AM |
| 711 | NG31 9JZ | 3/30/2022 11:48 AM |
| 712 | NG31 8AT | 3/30/2022 11:38 AM |
| 713 | NG32 1AU | 3/30/2022 11:36 AM |
| 714 | NG31 6HT | 3/30/2022 11:32 AM |
| 715 | NG31 9EB | 3/30/2022 11:30 AM |
| 716 | NG31 8AD | 3/30/2022 11:26 AM |
| 717 | NG318WR | 3/30/2022 11:22 AM |
| 718 | NG31 6QL | 3/30/2022 11:21 AM |
| 719 | NG31 6RJ | 3/30/2022 11:19 AM |
| 720 | NG31 9LS | 3/30/2022 11:17 AM |
| 721 | NG31 8QA | 3/30/2022 11:08 AM |
| 722 | NG31 8QA | 3/30/2022 11:06 AM |
| 723 | NG31 8QA | 3/30/2022 11:03 AM |
| 724 | NG31 | 3/30/2022 10:58 AM |
| 725 | NG31 9RE | 3/30/2022 10:56 AM |
| 726 | NG31 9UG | 3/30/2022 10:54 AM |
| 727 | NG31 9PG | 3/30/2022 10:51 AM |
| 728 | NG31 6HG | 3/30/2022 10:44 AM |

Community Governance Review Survey March 2022

| | | |
|-----|----------|--------------------|
| 729 | NG31 8GR | 3/30/2022 10:42 AM |
| 730 | NG31 8GX | 3/30/2022 10:42 AM |
| 731 | NG32 1BD | 3/30/2022 10:36 AM |
| 732 | NG31 7HS | 3/30/2022 10:32 AM |
| 733 | NG31 8DH | 3/30/2022 10:25 AM |
| 734 | NG31 8GL | 3/30/2022 10:22 AM |
| 735 | NG31 9LE | 3/30/2022 9:59 AM |
| 736 | NG31 8FD | 3/30/2022 9:31 AM |
| 737 | NG31 8GU | 3/30/2022 9:28 AM |
| 738 | NG31 9RE | 3/30/2022 9:26 AM |
| 739 | NG31 8RP | 3/30/2022 9:23 AM |
| 740 | NG31 9PE | 3/30/2022 9:00 AM |
| 741 | NG31 8PJ | 3/29/2022 8:36 PM |
| 742 | NG31 8GH | 3/29/2022 8:32 PM |
| 743 | NG31 7Hh | 3/29/2022 5:50 PM |
| 744 | NG31 8AD | 3/29/2022 4:38 PM |
| 745 | NG32 1AZ | 3/29/2022 3:53 PM |
| 746 | NG31 8SA | 3/29/2022 3:41 PM |
| 747 | NG31 7WN | 3/29/2022 3:37 PM |
| 748 | NG31 8HT | 3/29/2022 3:30 PM |
| 749 | NG31 9GA | 3/29/2022 3:18 PM |
| 750 | NG32 1TA | 3/29/2022 3:12 PM |
| 751 | NG31 8LG | 3/29/2022 3:09 PM |
| 752 | NG31 8GT | 3/29/2022 3:07 PM |
| 753 | NG31 8JD | 3/29/2022 3:04 PM |
| 754 | ng31 9pf | 3/29/2022 2:24 PM |
| 755 | NG31 8AD | 3/29/2022 2:02 PM |
| 756 | NG31 8TG | 3/29/2022 1:45 PM |
| 757 | Ng317js | 3/29/2022 12:33 PM |
| 758 | NG31 8EJ | 3/29/2022 10:47 AM |
| 759 | NG31 9DL | 3/28/2022 5:14 PM |
| 760 | NG317XF | 3/28/2022 4:23 PM |
| 761 | NG31 7SJ | 3/28/2022 2:44 PM |
| 762 | NG31 7LU | 3/28/2022 2:42 PM |
| 763 | NG31 6QP | 3/28/2022 2:14 PM |
| 764 | NG31 8GE | 3/28/2022 2:11 PM |
| 765 | NG31 9HH | 3/28/2022 2:05 PM |
| 766 | NG31 8RJ | 3/28/2022 2:02 PM |

Community Governance Review Survey March 2022

| | | |
|-----|----------|--------------------|
| 767 | NG31 9TN | 3/28/2022 2:00 PM |
| 768 | NG31 9JE | 3/28/2022 1:56 PM |
| 769 | NG31 6QS | 3/28/2022 1:47 PM |
| 770 | NG31 9LE | 3/28/2022 1:44 PM |
| 771 | ng318rr | 3/28/2022 1:21 PM |
| 772 | NG31 6TA | 3/28/2022 12:27 PM |
| 773 | NG31 7JL | 3/28/2022 12:24 PM |
| 774 | NG31 6HH | 3/28/2022 12:22 PM |
| 775 | NG31 9HZ | 3/28/2022 12:20 PM |
| 776 | NG31 6RJ | 3/28/2022 12:17 PM |
| 777 | NG32 1BA | 3/28/2022 12:07 PM |
| 778 | NG31 9FY | 3/28/2022 12:02 PM |
| 779 | NG31 8JW | 3/28/2022 12:01 PM |
| 780 | NG31 8GA | 3/28/2022 12:00 PM |
| 781 | NG31 9DA | 3/28/2022 11:52 AM |
| 782 | NG31 9PL | 3/28/2022 11:45 AM |
| 783 | NG31 9EN | 3/28/2022 11:42 AM |
| 784 | NG31 9LG | 3/28/2022 11:38 AM |
| 785 | NG31 8EP | 3/28/2022 11:24 AM |
| 786 | NG31 8FD | 3/28/2022 10:33 AM |
| 787 | NG31 7BZ | 3/28/2022 9:36 AM |
| 788 | Ng31 7tn | 3/27/2022 10:39 PM |
| 789 | ng31 9uh | 3/27/2022 7:23 PM |
| 790 | NG31 9EB | 3/27/2022 6:23 PM |
| 791 | NG31 8RA | 3/27/2022 5:36 PM |
| 792 | NG31 7GD | 3/27/2022 3:49 PM |
| 793 | NG31 8ED | 3/27/2022 3:43 PM |
| 794 | Ng317ds | 3/27/2022 2:49 PM |
| 795 | NG31 9PY | 3/27/2022 1:37 PM |
| 796 | NG31 9DJ | 3/27/2022 1:05 PM |
| 797 | NG316QT | 3/27/2022 12:26 PM |
| 798 | ng31 9pw | 3/27/2022 12:11 PM |
| 799 | Ng31 8el | 3/27/2022 11:23 AM |
| 800 | NG31 7ES | 3/26/2022 7:37 PM |
| 801 | NG31 7JY | 3/26/2022 3:21 PM |
| 802 | NG31 8GZ | 3/26/2022 3:06 PM |
| 803 | NG31 8LP | 3/26/2022 1:11 PM |
| 804 | NG31 9TZ | 3/26/2022 12:38 PM |

Community Governance Review Survey March 2022

| | | |
|-----|----------|--------------------|
| 805 | NG31 7AQ | 3/26/2022 12:06 PM |
| 806 | NG31 8DT | 3/26/2022 11:43 AM |
| 807 | NG31 7HR | 3/26/2022 9:24 AM |
| 808 | NG31 9SA | 3/26/2022 6:47 AM |
| 809 | NG31 9JP | 3/25/2022 5:14 PM |
| 810 | NG31 8UP | 3/25/2022 4:38 PM |
| 811 | Ng31 6ez | 3/25/2022 12:02 PM |
| 812 | NG31 7WN | 3/25/2022 11:34 AM |
| 813 | NG31 6TA | 3/25/2022 10:33 AM |
| 814 | NG31 6QT | 3/25/2022 10:23 AM |
| 815 | NG31 8BY | 3/25/2022 9:57 AM |
| 816 | ng31 8gx | 3/25/2022 7:33 AM |
| 817 | Ng31 7et | 3/25/2022 7:30 AM |
| 818 | NG31 7DH | 3/24/2022 7:01 PM |
| 819 | Ng319rh | 3/24/2022 6:26 PM |
| 820 | Ng31 7aj | 3/24/2022 5:24 PM |
| 821 | NG31 9ET | 3/24/2022 4:14 PM |
| 822 | NG31 8GR | 3/24/2022 1:44 PM |
| 823 | NG31 8UN | 3/24/2022 1:36 PM |
| 824 | NG31 9RB | 3/24/2022 11:21 AM |
| 825 | NG31 8SE | 3/24/2022 10:27 AM |
| 826 | NG31 8SE | 3/24/2022 10:22 AM |
| 827 | Ng31 | 3/24/2022 10:19 AM |
| 828 | NG31 7PD | 3/24/2022 10:11 AM |
| 829 | NG317ER | 3/24/2022 9:39 AM |
| 830 | NG31 9AA | 3/23/2022 9:02 PM |
| 831 | NG31 9NY | 3/23/2022 7:10 PM |
| 832 | ng319qg | 3/23/2022 5:52 PM |
| 833 | NG31 9JL | 3/23/2022 4:57 PM |
| 834 | NG31 7FS | 3/23/2022 4:48 PM |
| 835 | NG31 8GL | 3/23/2022 4:46 PM |
| 836 | NG32 5DD | 3/23/2022 4:43 PM |
| 837 | NG31 8PL | 3/23/2022 4:40 PM |
| 838 | NG31 9PB | 3/23/2022 4:37 PM |
| 839 | NG31 9HB | 3/23/2022 4:00 PM |
| 840 | NG31 7PH | 3/23/2022 3:57 PM |
| 841 | NG31 9NN | 3/23/2022 3:55 PM |
| 842 | NG31 6DB | 3/23/2022 3:53 PM |

Community Governance Review Survey March 2022

| | | |
|-----|----------|--------------------|
| 843 | NG31 9NN | 3/23/2022 3:37 PM |
| 844 | NG31 8FD | 3/23/2022 3:24 PM |
| 845 | NG31 | 3/23/2022 3:21 PM |
| 846 | NG31 9JD | 3/23/2022 3:19 PM |
| 847 | NG31 7JS | 3/23/2022 3:14 PM |
| 848 | NG31 6JG | 3/23/2022 3:12 PM |
| 849 | NG31 8RU | 3/23/2022 2:24 PM |
| 850 | NG318SN | 3/23/2022 1:39 PM |
| 851 | NG31 9EJ | 3/23/2022 12:33 PM |
| 852 | NG31 8NR | 3/23/2022 11:21 AM |
| 853 | NG31 7BY | 3/23/2022 11:05 AM |
| 854 | NG31 8NR | 3/23/2022 10:51 AM |
| 855 | NG31 8HG | 3/23/2022 9:24 AM |
| 856 | NG31 7WS | 3/23/2022 8:22 AM |
| 857 | NG31 8RX | 3/23/2022 8:18 AM |
| 858 | PE6 8EP | 3/22/2022 11:08 PM |
| 859 | NG31 6JL | 3/22/2022 9:28 PM |
| 860 | NG31 8QW | 3/22/2022 5:38 PM |
| 861 | NG31 8LS | 3/22/2022 4:58 PM |
| 862 | NG31 8LR | 3/22/2022 4:56 PM |
| 863 | NG31 8WJ | 3/22/2022 4:51 PM |
| 864 | NG31 8RJ | 3/22/2022 4:47 PM |
| 865 | NG31 8PP | 3/22/2022 4:45 PM |
| 866 | NG31 6JX | 3/22/2022 4:40 PM |
| 867 | NG31 9JP | 3/22/2022 4:26 PM |
| 868 | NG31 7LW | 3/22/2022 4:07 PM |
| 869 | ng317bw | 3/22/2022 2:54 PM |
| 870 | NG31 8LD | 3/22/2022 2:46 PM |
| 871 | NG31 7JJ | 3/22/2022 1:58 PM |
| 872 | NG31 8BW | 3/22/2022 1:52 PM |
| 873 | NG31 7LL | 3/22/2022 1:50 PM |
| 874 | NG31 7EG | 3/22/2022 1:44 PM |
| 875 | NG31 6HT | 3/22/2022 1:38 PM |
| 876 | NG31 8BN | 3/22/2022 12:51 PM |
| 877 | NG1 8RA | 3/22/2022 12:25 PM |
| 878 | NG31 9JD | 3/22/2022 12:18 PM |
| 879 | NG32 1TB | 3/22/2022 12:08 PM |
| 880 | NG31 7JH | 3/22/2022 12:06 PM |

Community Governance Review Survey March 2022

| | | |
|-----|----------|--------------------|
| 881 | NG31 8FF | 3/22/2022 12:01 PM |
| 882 | NG31 7HD | 3/22/2022 11:53 AM |
| 883 | NG31 8DQ | 3/22/2022 11:49 AM |
| 884 | NG31 9 | 3/22/2022 11:46 AM |
| 885 | NG31 6HQ | 3/22/2022 11:41 AM |
| 886 | NG31 7UU | 3/22/2022 11:39 AM |
| 887 | NG31 8EG | 3/22/2022 11:26 AM |
| 888 | NG31 7WS | 3/22/2022 11:16 AM |
| 889 | NG31 | 3/22/2022 11:11 AM |
| 890 | ng319rs | 3/22/2022 11:08 AM |
| 891 | NG31 8ET | 3/22/2022 11:02 AM |
| 892 | NG31 8SY | 3/22/2022 10:58 AM |
| 893 | NG31 7UA | 3/22/2022 10:55 AM |
| 894 | NG31 9RX | 3/22/2022 10:54 AM |
| 895 | NG31 7RW | 3/22/2022 10:51 AM |
| 896 | NG31 9DU | 3/22/2022 10:48 AM |
| 897 | NG31 8FQ | 3/22/2022 10:46 AM |
| 898 | NG31 7WN | 3/22/2022 10:44 AM |
| 899 | NG31 9QF | 3/22/2022 10:44 AM |
| 900 | NG32 1DW | 3/22/2022 10:38 AM |
| 901 | NG31 9RB | 3/22/2022 10:35 AM |
| 902 | NG31 9HS | 3/22/2022 10:34 AM |
| 903 | NG31 9JS | 3/22/2022 10:28 AM |
| 904 | NG31 6LA | 3/22/2022 9:25 AM |
| 905 | NG31 9AA | 3/21/2022 8:42 PM |
| 906 | Ng319df | 3/21/2022 7:22 PM |
| 907 | Ng31 8gb | 3/21/2022 6:33 PM |
| 908 | NG317EG | 3/21/2022 5:18 PM |
| 909 | ng31 7gq | 3/21/2022 4:30 PM |
| 910 | Ng31 8sb | 3/21/2022 4:12 PM |
| 911 | NG31 7EN | 3/21/2022 3:50 PM |
| 912 | NG31 7FF | 3/21/2022 3:30 PM |
| 913 | NG319HH | 3/21/2022 2:00 PM |
| 914 | NG31 9FR | 3/21/2022 12:30 PM |
| 915 | NG32 1HB | 3/21/2022 12:25 PM |
| 916 | NG31 8QG | 3/21/2022 12:03 PM |
| 917 | NG31 8AN | 3/21/2022 12:02 PM |
| 918 | NG31 8RW | 3/21/2022 11:58 AM |

Community Governance Review Survey March 2022

| | | |
|-----|-----------|--------------------|
| 919 | NG31 8BL | 3/21/2022 11:42 AM |
| 920 | Ng31 7ju | 3/21/2022 11:09 AM |
| 921 | NG31 9TD | 3/21/2022 10:55 AM |
| 922 | ng31 6rg | 3/21/2022 10:27 AM |
| 923 | NG31 8RE | 3/21/2022 10:07 AM |
| 924 | NG31 9AZ | 3/21/2022 10:05 AM |
| 925 | NG31 9TR | 3/21/2022 9:46 AM |
| 926 | NG319BD | 3/21/2022 9:28 AM |
| 927 | NG31 7LS | 3/21/2022 9:05 AM |
| 928 | NG33 %DA | 3/21/2022 8:59 AM |
| 929 | NG31 8AF | 3/21/2022 8:50 AM |
| 930 | ng31 9fx | 3/21/2022 8:40 AM |
| 931 | ng31 8ea | 3/21/2022 7:48 AM |
| 932 | NG31 8ST | 3/21/2022 7:15 AM |
| 933 | NG31 9GD | 3/20/2022 5:44 PM |
| 934 | ng31 9fx | 3/20/2022 5:40 PM |
| 935 | NG31 9JN | 3/20/2022 3:47 PM |
| 936 | NG31 9RD | 3/20/2022 3:14 PM |
| 937 | NG32 1HY | 3/20/2022 3:11 PM |
| 938 | NG31 9DA | 3/20/2022 3:05 PM |
| 939 | NG317HD | 3/20/2022 1:44 PM |
| 940 | NG31 8LY | 3/20/2022 1:35 PM |
| 941 | NG31 9LG | 3/20/2022 1:28 PM |
| 942 | NG31 9LG | 3/20/2022 1:08 PM |
| 943 | NG31 8DF | 3/20/2022 12:32 PM |
| 944 | NG31 8UR | 3/20/2022 11:53 AM |
| 945 | NG31 8 LP | 3/20/2022 11:43 AM |
| 946 | NG319QL | 3/20/2022 11:10 AM |
| 947 | NG317GE | 3/20/2022 10:53 AM |
| 948 | ng317ge | 3/20/2022 10:50 AM |
| 949 | Ng31 8se | 3/20/2022 10:38 AM |
| 950 | NG31 8RB | 3/20/2022 10:20 AM |
| 951 | NG318GA | 3/19/2022 10:39 PM |
| 952 | NG31 9TX | 3/19/2022 10:13 PM |
| 953 | Ng31 8pp | 3/19/2022 10:04 PM |
| 954 | Ng316by | 3/19/2022 9:07 PM |
| 955 | NG318UA | 3/19/2022 8:13 PM |
| 956 | ng31 9pe | 3/19/2022 8:13 PM |

Community Governance Review Survey March 2022

| | | |
|-----|-----------|--------------------|
| 957 | NG31 7LH | 3/19/2022 7:41 PM |
| 958 | NG31 8AB | 3/19/2022 6:38 PM |
| 959 | NG318EP | 3/19/2022 6:22 PM |
| 960 | NG31 9BS | 3/19/2022 4:35 PM |
| 961 | NG31 9RJ | 3/19/2022 3:40 PM |
| 962 | NG31 6QQ | 3/19/2022 3:01 PM |
| 963 | NG31 8TG | 3/19/2022 2:34 PM |
| 964 | NG31 8AF | 3/19/2022 1:59 PM |
| 965 | Ng321jd | 3/19/2022 1:32 PM |
| 966 | NG31 8SH | 3/19/2022 1:26 PM |
| 967 | ng321bh | 3/19/2022 1:19 PM |
| 968 | NG31 9SA | 3/19/2022 1:04 PM |
| 969 | NG319bh | 3/19/2022 12:07 PM |
| 970 | NG319EF | 3/19/2022 11:05 AM |
| 971 | NG319GG | 3/19/2022 10:44 AM |
| 972 | NG 31 8EJ | 3/19/2022 10:17 AM |
| 973 | NG321EH | 3/19/2022 10:02 AM |
| 974 | NG31 9QS | 3/19/2022 10:01 AM |
| 975 | NG319ab | 3/19/2022 9:48 AM |
| 976 | NG31 | 3/19/2022 9:48 AM |
| 977 | NG31 9PE | 3/19/2022 9:40 AM |
| 978 | NG31 9HR | 3/19/2022 9:19 AM |
| 979 | Ng31 6jg | 3/19/2022 8:39 AM |
| 980 | NG32 8EN | 3/19/2022 8:36 AM |
| 981 | Ng31 8ps | 3/19/2022 8:27 AM |
| 982 | Ng318df | 3/19/2022 8:21 AM |
| 983 | NG32 1HY | 3/19/2022 7:55 AM |
| 984 | NG31 7FH | 3/19/2022 7:30 AM |
| 985 | NG31 8QX | 3/18/2022 8:12 PM |
| 986 | NG32 1HZ | 3/18/2022 5:49 PM |
| 987 | Ng319gd | 3/18/2022 5:17 PM |
| 988 | NG31 8HP | 3/18/2022 4:19 PM |
| 989 | NG31 8FH | 3/18/2022 3:57 PM |
| 990 | NG32 1DL | 3/18/2022 3:21 PM |
| 991 | NG31 9HG | 3/18/2022 2:56 PM |
| 992 | Ng31 8rx | 3/18/2022 2:34 PM |
| 993 | NG31 7QG | 3/18/2022 2:19 PM |
| 994 | ng318gj | 3/18/2022 2:15 PM |

Community Governance Review Survey March 2022

| | | |
|------|----------|--------------------|
| 995 | Ng316en | 3/18/2022 2:06 PM |
| 996 | Ng31 7xf | 3/18/2022 1:52 PM |
| 997 | Ng318wp | 3/18/2022 1:32 PM |
| 998 | ng318es | 3/18/2022 1:29 PM |
| 999 | NG31 8PT | 3/18/2022 12:56 PM |
| 1000 | NG31 8PT | 3/18/2022 12:25 PM |
| 1001 | NG31 8EJ | 3/18/2022 11:38 AM |
| 1002 | Ng319ty | 3/18/2022 11:34 AM |
| 1003 | NG31 8RQ | 3/18/2022 11:24 AM |
| 1004 | NG32 2LZ | 3/18/2022 11:20 AM |
| 1005 | NG31 9HH | 3/18/2022 11:11 AM |
| 1006 | NG319PU | 3/18/2022 11:10 AM |
| 1007 | NG31 9HU | 3/18/2022 11:06 AM |
| 1008 | NG319QQ | 3/18/2022 10:44 AM |
| 1009 | NG31 7AP | 3/18/2022 10:44 AM |
| 1010 | Ng317fs | 3/18/2022 10:33 AM |
| 1011 | Ng31 8dy | 3/18/2022 9:01 AM |
| 1012 | NG31 9EF | 3/18/2022 8:37 AM |
| 1013 | ng31 8qa | 3/18/2022 8:22 AM |
| 1014 | NG31 9HH | 3/18/2022 8:02 AM |
| 1015 | NG318jq | 3/18/2022 7:35 AM |
| 1016 | NG31 8UB | 3/18/2022 7:23 AM |
| 1017 | Ng321ba | 3/18/2022 7:12 AM |
| 1018 | Ng31 7bs | 3/18/2022 7:11 AM |
| 1019 | NG33 5DA | 3/18/2022 6:46 AM |
| 1020 | NG31 6NB | 3/17/2022 9:04 PM |
| 1021 | Ng32 1 | 3/17/2022 8:24 PM |
| 1022 | NG31 9TH | 3/17/2022 5:39 PM |
| 1023 | NG319FR | 3/17/2022 5:31 PM |
| 1024 | ng319hq | 3/17/2022 5:06 PM |
| 1025 | ng31 8nz | 3/17/2022 4:58 PM |
| 1026 | NG318NB | 3/17/2022 4:47 PM |
| 1027 | NG318QX | 3/17/2022 4:46 PM |
| 1028 | Ng317hw | 3/17/2022 4:44 PM |
| 1029 | Ng318fh | 3/17/2022 3:54 PM |
| 1030 | NG32 1TD | 3/17/2022 3:35 PM |
| 1031 | NG31 8FX | 3/17/2022 3:25 PM |
| 1032 | NG31 8DS | 3/17/2022 3:24 PM |

Community Governance Review Survey March 2022

| | | |
|------|-----------|--------------------|
| 1033 | NG31 8JJ | 3/17/2022 3:09 PM |
| 1034 | NG31 8GH | 3/17/2022 3:04 PM |
| 1035 | NG319QT | 3/17/2022 2:33 PM |
| 1036 | NG31 8BQ | 3/17/2022 2:14 PM |
| 1037 | Ng31 8nb | 3/17/2022 1:59 PM |
| 1038 | NG31 9UH | 3/17/2022 12:56 PM |
| 1039 | NG31 8AT | 3/17/2022 12:46 PM |
| 1040 | NG31 9FT | 3/17/2022 12:28 PM |
| 1041 | NG31 8PF | 3/17/2022 12:17 PM |
| 1042 | NG31 8DW | 3/17/2022 12:05 PM |
| 1043 | NG318SD | 3/17/2022 11:41 AM |
| 1044 | NG31 8AL | 3/17/2022 11:24 AM |
| 1045 | NG31 8AF | 3/17/2022 11:12 AM |
| 1046 | ng317aj | 3/17/2022 11:12 AM |
| 1047 | Ng318ty | 3/17/2022 10:46 AM |
| 1048 | NG32 1HB | 3/17/2022 10:06 AM |
| 1049 | Ng31 6qs | 3/17/2022 9:36 AM |
| 1050 | Ng31 8gs | 3/17/2022 9:26 AM |
| 1051 | Ng318dh | 3/17/2022 8:47 AM |
| 1052 | NG31 9GJ | 3/17/2022 8:22 AM |
| 1053 | NG31 6HY | 3/17/2022 7:08 AM |
| 1054 | ng319PG | 3/17/2022 1:59 AM |
| 1055 | NG318UL | 3/16/2022 8:52 PM |
| 1056 | Ng31 9gd | 3/16/2022 7:39 PM |
| 1057 | NaG31 7LX | 3/16/2022 6:48 PM |
| 1058 | NG31 9TF | 3/16/2022 6:46 PM |
| 1059 | NG31 8HW | 3/16/2022 6:35 PM |
| 1060 | NG31 9RN | 3/16/2022 6:20 PM |
| 1061 | NG318UT | 3/16/2022 6:09 PM |
| 1062 | NG31 9RN | 3/16/2022 6:02 PM |
| 1063 | Ng31 6hy | 3/16/2022 5:57 PM |
| 1064 | NG31 7LX | 3/16/2022 5:38 PM |
| 1065 | NG319PF | 3/16/2022 5:15 PM |
| 1066 | NG319LG | 3/16/2022 5:12 PM |
| 1067 | NG31 7AZ | 3/16/2022 5:00 PM |
| 1068 | NG31 9QB | 3/16/2022 4:53 PM |
| 1069 | NG32 1TG | 3/16/2022 4:53 PM |
| 1070 | NG31 9AZ | 3/16/2022 4:38 PM |

Community Governance Review Survey March 2022

| | | |
|------|----------|--------------------|
| 1071 | NG31 8PQ | 3/16/2022 4:30 PM |
| 1072 | Ng317lw | 3/16/2022 4:28 PM |
| 1073 | NG31 8RJ | 3/16/2022 4:21 PM |
| 1074 | NG31 8UF | 3/16/2022 4:18 PM |
| 1075 | NG31 8AZ | 3/16/2022 3:57 PM |
| 1076 | NG31 8UJ | 3/16/2022 3:57 PM |
| 1077 | NG32 1TB | 3/16/2022 3:38 PM |
| 1078 | NG31 8EF | 3/16/2022 3:33 PM |
| 1079 | NG31 9BZ | 3/16/2022 3:16 PM |
| 1080 | NG31 6JH | 3/16/2022 3:15 PM |
| 1081 | NG31 9HX | 3/16/2022 3:12 PM |
| 1082 | NG32 1HD | 3/16/2022 2:57 PM |
| 1083 | NG31 9BY | 3/16/2022 2:53 PM |
| 1084 | NG31 8WS | 3/16/2022 2:51 PM |
| 1085 | NG31 8LL | 3/16/2022 2:44 PM |
| 1086 | NG31 9JW | 3/16/2022 2:36 PM |
| 1087 | Ng319 | 3/16/2022 2:36 PM |
| 1088 | NG31 9FN | 3/16/2022 2:26 PM |
| 1089 | NG31 7JP | 3/16/2022 2:19 PM |
| 1090 | Ng31 9qd | 3/16/2022 1:45 PM |
| 1091 | NG31 8SF | 3/16/2022 1:42 PM |
| 1092 | Ng31 9tu | 3/16/2022 1:21 PM |
| 1093 | NG31 9AF | 3/16/2022 1:20 PM |
| 1094 | NG31 8FX | 3/16/2022 1:00 PM |
| 1095 | NG31 7QL | 3/16/2022 12:53 PM |
| 1096 | NG31 7QL | 3/16/2022 12:51 PM |
| 1097 | Ng31 8jw | 3/16/2022 12:51 PM |
| 1098 | Ng318SN | 3/16/2022 12:50 PM |
| 1099 | Ng318lw | 3/16/2022 12:49 PM |
| 1100 | NG31 8ES | 3/16/2022 12:37 PM |
| 1101 | NG31 8LL | 3/16/2022 12:30 PM |
| 1102 | ng31 8dp | 3/16/2022 12:21 PM |
| 1103 | NG31 8UP | 3/16/2022 12:11 PM |
| 1104 | NG31 8DW | 3/16/2022 12:11 PM |
| 1105 | NG31 7BW | 3/16/2022 12:10 PM |
| 1106 | NG31 8UP | 3/16/2022 12:07 PM |
| 1107 | NG31 8AD | 3/16/2022 11:34 AM |
| 1108 | NG31 8HS | 3/16/2022 11:17 AM |

Community Governance Review Survey March 2022

| | | |
|------|----------|--------------------|
| 1109 | NG31 8AJ | 3/16/2022 11:16 AM |
| 1110 | NG31 9AA | 3/16/2022 10:27 AM |
| 1111 | NG31 9UB | 3/16/2022 10:26 AM |
| 1112 | NG31 9FU | 3/16/2022 10:01 AM |
| 1113 | NG31 8RR | 3/16/2022 9:50 AM |
| 1114 | NG319BG | 3/16/2022 9:50 AM |
| 1115 | NG318GL | 3/16/2022 9:32 AM |
| 1116 | NG31 9HL | 3/16/2022 8:52 AM |
| 1117 | NG31 9JD | 3/16/2022 8:51 AM |
| 1118 | NG31 7JS | 3/16/2022 8:15 AM |
| 1119 | NG31 8UJ | 3/16/2022 8:06 AM |
| 1120 | NG31 8GN | 3/16/2022 8:02 AM |
| 1121 | Ng317jj | 3/16/2022 7:52 AM |
| 1122 | Ng31 7JJ | 3/16/2022 7:51 AM |
| 1123 | NG31 9EF | 3/16/2022 4:19 AM |
| 1124 | Ng31 6jx | 3/15/2022 10:35 PM |
| 1125 | NG31 9NE | 3/15/2022 9:53 PM |
| 1126 | Ng31 9hz | 3/15/2022 9:40 PM |
| 1127 | NG31 7JW | 3/15/2022 9:22 PM |
| 1128 | NG31 9SB | 3/15/2022 9:20 PM |
| 1129 | NG319DU | 3/15/2022 8:24 PM |
| 1130 | NG318DH | 3/15/2022 8:23 PM |
| 1131 | Ng31 9pf | 3/15/2022 7:59 PM |
| 1132 | NG318RL | 3/15/2022 7:30 PM |
| 1133 | NG31 7GD | 3/15/2022 7:21 PM |
| 1134 | NG31 9DU | 3/15/2022 7:03 PM |
| 1135 | NG318UU | 3/15/2022 7:01 PM |
| 1136 | NG31 8WS | 3/15/2022 7:01 PM |
| 1137 | NG31 8QZ | 3/15/2022 6:58 PM |
| 1138 | ng319uj | 3/15/2022 6:55 PM |
| 1139 | NG318SS | 3/15/2022 6:54 PM |
| 1140 | NG31 9AD | 3/15/2022 6:51 PM |
| 1141 | Ng317xa | 3/15/2022 6:39 PM |
| 1142 | NG317HX | 3/15/2022 6:30 PM |
| 1143 | Ng318DT | 3/15/2022 5:59 PM |
| 1144 | NG31 8QN | 3/15/2022 5:57 PM |
| 1145 | NG31 8US | 3/15/2022 5:33 PM |
| 1146 | NG31 7RE | 3/15/2022 5:29 PM |

Community Governance Review Survey March 2022

| | | |
|------|----------|--------------------|
| 1147 | NG31 8AE | 3/15/2022 5:26 PM |
| 1148 | NG31 9PN | 3/15/2022 5:15 PM |
| 1149 | NG31 7JQ | 3/15/2022 4:52 PM |
| 1150 | Ng31 9ru | 3/15/2022 4:39 PM |
| 1151 | Ng317nh | 3/15/2022 4:36 PM |
| 1152 | NG31 9et | 3/15/2022 4:33 PM |
| 1153 | NG31 8SY | 3/15/2022 4:31 PM |
| 1154 | NG31 8GZ | 3/15/2022 4:30 PM |
| 1155 | NG31 7JY | 3/15/2022 4:27 PM |
| 1156 | NG31 6QW | 3/15/2022 4:18 PM |
| 1157 | Ng31 8pw | 3/15/2022 4:16 PM |
| 1158 | NG31 6QQ | 3/15/2022 4:06 PM |
| 1159 | NG318AA | 3/15/2022 4:04 PM |
| 1160 | NG31 9TJ | 3/15/2022 4:03 PM |
| 1161 | NG31 8XA | 3/15/2022 3:38 PM |
| 1162 | NG31 | 3/15/2022 3:32 PM |
| 1163 | NG319AG | 3/15/2022 3:20 PM |
| 1164 | NG31 8BW | 3/15/2022 3:06 PM |
| 1165 | NG32 1DB | 3/15/2022 3:02 PM |
| 1166 | Ng31 8nd | 3/15/2022 2:50 PM |
| 1167 | NG319LJ | 3/15/2022 2:41 PM |
| 1168 | Ng31 8pn | 3/15/2022 2:27 PM |
| 1169 | PE6 8EA | 3/15/2022 2:17 PM |
| 1170 | NG31 8UJ | 3/15/2022 2:17 PM |
| 1171 | NG31 8QL | 3/15/2022 2:10 PM |
| 1172 | ng31 6pd | 3/15/2022 1:50 PM |
| 1173 | NG31 9HE | 3/15/2022 1:42 PM |
| 1174 | NG31 7JX | 3/15/2022 1:33 PM |
| 1175 | NG31 8QJ | 3/15/2022 1:12 PM |
| 1176 | NG317TE | 3/15/2022 1:03 PM |
| 1177 | NG31 8UF | 3/15/2022 1:01 PM |
| 1178 | NG31 7RZ | 3/15/2022 12:59 PM |
| 1179 | NG31 9AX | 3/15/2022 12:51 PM |
| 1180 | NG31 6NZ | 3/15/2022 12:28 PM |
| 1181 | ng318sy | 3/15/2022 12:14 PM |
| 1182 | NG31 7UB | 3/15/2022 10:58 AM |
| 1183 | NG31 7JX | 3/14/2022 10:03 PM |
| 1184 | Ng31 9jr | 3/14/2022 8:44 PM |

Community Governance Review Survey March 2022

| | | |
|------|----------|--------------------|
| 1185 | PE94RA | 3/14/2022 6:52 PM |
| 1186 | NG31 6RG | 3/14/2022 5:35 PM |
| 1187 | Pe100sh | 3/14/2022 12:21 PM |
| 1188 | NG31 7PZ | 3/14/2022 11:22 AM |
| 1189 | NG31 7EZ | 3/14/2022 11:03 AM |
| 1190 | ng319ej | 3/14/2022 10:41 AM |
| 1191 | NG32 3DF | 3/14/2022 9:08 AM |
| 1192 | NG32 1DF | 3/14/2022 8:00 AM |
| 1193 | NG31 6JB | 3/13/2022 11:48 PM |
| 1194 | Ng32 9aa | 3/13/2022 7:25 PM |
| 1195 | NG31 9UD | 3/13/2022 4:28 PM |
| 1196 | Ng31 9ds | 3/13/2022 6:25 AM |
| 1197 | Ng31 9hy | 3/12/2022 9:19 PM |
| 1198 | Ng31 6dw | 3/12/2022 9:12 PM |
| 1199 | NG31 8UD | 3/12/2022 7:26 PM |
| 1200 | Ng31 7ep | 3/12/2022 5:36 PM |
| 1201 | NG32 2BY | 3/12/2022 4:27 PM |
| 1202 | NG32 1TA | 3/12/2022 1:31 PM |
| 1203 | NG23 5GZ | 3/12/2022 9:39 AM |
| 1204 | NG318PL | 3/12/2022 9:32 AM |
| 1205 | NG31 9AD | 3/12/2022 9:00 AM |
| 1206 | NG31 9BF | 3/11/2022 10:02 PM |
| 1207 | NG31 9DZ | 3/11/2022 9:52 PM |
| 1208 | NG31 8PY | 3/11/2022 7:28 PM |
| 1209 | NG31 9LQ | 3/11/2022 7:26 PM |
| 1210 | NG31 7DU | 3/11/2022 7:16 PM |
| 1211 | NG319ED | 3/11/2022 4:54 PM |
| 1212 | NG31 9FP | 3/11/2022 4:21 PM |
| 1213 | NG31 6HQ | 3/11/2022 4:09 PM |
| 1214 | Ng31 6jb | 3/11/2022 3:46 PM |
| 1215 | Ng31 7ef | 3/11/2022 1:35 PM |
| 1216 | Ng31 7ef | 3/11/2022 1:34 PM |
| 1217 | Ng31 6db | 3/11/2022 1:28 PM |
| 1218 | NG31 9QT | 3/11/2022 12:55 PM |
| 1219 | NG32 1DL | 3/11/2022 12:22 PM |
| 1220 | NG32 3QP | 3/11/2022 11:30 AM |
| 1221 | NG31 9JS | 3/11/2022 10:57 AM |
| 1222 | Ng32 | 3/11/2022 10:40 AM |

Community Governance Review Survey March 2022

| | | |
|------|----------|--------------------|
| 1223 | NG31 9HE | 3/11/2022 10:32 AM |
| 1224 | Ng31 9pe | 3/11/2022 10:14 AM |
| 1225 | NG31 9TS | 3/11/2022 10:09 AM |
| 1226 | NG31 9PB | 3/11/2022 9:53 AM |
| 1227 | NG31 8UF | 3/11/2022 9:50 AM |
| 1228 | NG31 9JD | 3/11/2022 9:47 AM |

| # | COUNTRY | DATE |
|---|---------|------|
|---|---------|------|

There are no responses.

| # | EMAIL ADDRESS | DATE |
|---|---------------|------|
|---|---------------|------|

There are no responses.

| # | PHONE NUMBER | DATE |
|---|--------------|------|
|---|--------------|------|

There are no responses.